



A mixed fibration theorem for Hilbert irreducibility on non-proper varieties

CEDRIC LUGER 

Abstract. We prove that the weak Hilbert property ascends along a morphism of varieties over an arbitrary field of characteristic zero, under suitable assumptions.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14G99; Secondary 14G05, 14G40.

Keywords. Integral points, Ramified covers, Hilbert irreducibility.

A variety X over a field k is said to have the *Hilbert property over k* if its k -rational points are not thin (cf. [7, §3]).

Definition 1. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, let X be an integral variety over k , and let $\Sigma \subseteq X(k)$ be a subset. We say that Σ is *thin* in X if there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ and a finite collection $(\pi_i: Y_i \rightarrow X)_{i=1}^n$ of finite surjective morphisms of integral varieties of degree at least 2 such that

$$\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \pi_i(Y_i(k)) \subseteq X(k)$$

is not Zariski-dense in X . We say that X satisfies the *Hilbert property (over k)* if $X(k)$ is not thin in X .

Note that, in Definition 1, we may always assume the Y_i to be normal (by replacing them with a normalization) and geometrically integral (as they would not have a k -rational point otherwise). The Hilbert property is usually defined using generically finite covers; this gives the same notion since we may replace a generically finite cover $Y_i \rightarrow X$ by the relative normalization of X in Y_i .

Serre asked whether the product of two varieties with the Hilbert property satisfies the Hilbert property (see the problem stated in [7, §3.1]). This question was answered positively by Bary-Soroker, Fehm, and Petersen [2,

Corollary 3.4]. More generally, Bary-Soroker–Fehm–Petersen prove a fibration theorem [2, Theorem 1.1] for the Hilbert property: If $X \rightarrow S$ is a dominant morphism of k -varieties such that S and all fibres X_s with $s \in S(k)$ satisfy the Hilbert property, then X satisfies the Hilbert property.

Corvaja–Zannier [4, Theorem 1.4] showed that, if k is a number field and X is a normal integral projective k -variety, then the presence of a non-trivial finite étale cover of X forces $X(k)$ to be thin. In light of this, they introduce the *weak Hilbert property* [3, Definition 1.2], in which only ramified covers are considered.

Definition 2. Let X be a normal integral variety over a field k of characteristic zero. A *ramified cover* of X is a finite surjective morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ of normal integral varieties over k that is not unramified.

Definition 3. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, let X be a normal integral variety over k , and let $\Sigma \subseteq X(k)$ be a subset. We say that Σ is *strongly thin in X* if there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ and a finite collection $(\pi_i: Y_i \rightarrow X)_{i=1}^n$ of ramified covers of normal integral varieties such that

$$\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \pi_i(Y_i(k)) \subseteq X(k)$$

is not Zariski-dense in X . We say that X satisfies the *weak Hilbert property (over k)* if $X(k)$ is not strongly thin in X .

Definition 3 is restricted to normal varieties due to the fact that in this case a cover $Y \rightarrow X$ is either ramified or étale (see [3, Lemma 2.3]). Moreover, a ramified cover is of degree at least 2 (as otherwise it would be an isomorphism by Zariski’s main theorem), which shows that a strongly thin set is thin.

It has been shown in [3, Theorem 1.9] that the product of two smooth proper varieties over a finitely generated field k of characteristic zero with the weak Hilbert property over k satisfies the weak Hilbert property over k . (Without the properness assumption, a similar statement holds by considering near-integral points, cf. [6, Theorem 1.4]). The proof of the product theorem due to Corvaja–Demeio–Javanpeykar–Lombardo–Zannier does allow to consider subsets of rational points that are not necessarily given by a product of sets of rational points (see [6, Theorem 4.4] for a precise statement). However, it still requires to work with a product of varieties, instead of a more general fibration as in [2, Theorem 1.1].

A fibration theorem similar to the result of Bary-Soroker–Fehm–Petersen for the weak Hilbert property was established in [5, Theorem 1.3], where the base has the *weak Hilbert property* and the fibres have the *usual Hilbert property*:

Theorem 1 (Javanpeykar). *Let k be a number field and let $X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of normal integral projective k -varieties. Let $\Omega \subseteq S(k)$ be a subset that is not strongly thin in S and such that, for every $s \in \Omega$, the fibre X_s is a normal integral variety satisfying the Hilbert property over k . Then X satisfies the weak Hilbert property over k .*

The purpose of this short note is to extend the above “mixed fibration theorem” to not necessarily proper varieties over an arbitrary field of characteristic zero:

Theorem 2. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let $X \rightarrow S$ be a dominant morphism of normal integral varieties over k . Let $\Gamma \subseteq X(k)$ and $\Sigma \subseteq S(k)$ be subsets such that Σ is not strongly thin in S and, for every $s \in \Sigma$, the fibre X_s is a normal integral k -variety and $\Gamma \cap X_s(k)$ is not thin in X_s . Then Γ is not strongly thin in X .*

The proof of Theorem 1 uses Corvaja–Zannier’s result [4, Theorem 1.4] that a normal integral projective variety with the Hilbert property over a number field is algebraically simply connected in order to conclude that the covers of the fibres X_s occurring in the proof are ramified, and thus of degree at least 2. We avoid this argument by comparing the degrees of these covers of X_s to the degrees of other non-trivial covers, and by using Nagata compactification to get rid of the projectivity assumption.

Our motivation for writing this short note is that the mixed fibration in the generality proven here allows for new applications to the conjectures of Campana and Corvaja–Zannier (see [1, Lemma 7.7] or [1, Corollary 7.8] for concrete examples).

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $(\pi_i: Y_i \rightarrow X)_{i=1}^n$ be a finite collection of ramified covers. To prove the claim, we have to show that $\Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \pi_i(Y_i(k))$ is dense in X . Let $X \rightarrow \bar{X} \rightarrow S$ be a factorization of $X \rightarrow S$ such that $\bar{X}$ is a normal integral k -variety, $X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is an open immersion, and $\bar{X} \rightarrow S$ is proper. (This can be achieved by taking a Nagata compactification of $X \rightarrow S$ [8, Tag 0F3T] and normalization.) For every $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $\bar{\pi}_i: \bar{Y}_i \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the normalization of $\bar{X}$ in Y_i and let $\bar{Y}_i \rightarrow T_i \xrightarrow{\psi_i} S$ be the Stein factorization of $\bar{Y}_i \rightarrow S$ (see [8, Tag 03H0]), so ψ_i is finite, the fibres of $\bar{Y}_i \rightarrow T_i$ are geometrically connected, and T_i is the normalization of S in $\bar{Y}_i$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y_i & \longrightarrow & \bar{Y}_i & & \\
 \pi_i \downarrow & & \bar{\pi}_i \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 X & \longrightarrow & \bar{X} & & T_i \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow \psi_i & \\
 & & S & &
 \end{array}$$

Since $\bar{Y}_i$ is normal and integral, it follows from [8, Tag 035 L] that T_i is normal and integral.

Let $U \subseteq X$ be a dense open subscheme such that, for every i , the restriction $Y_{i,U} := Y_i \times_X U \rightarrow U$ is étale. Moreover, let $V \subseteq S$ be a dense open such that, for every $s \in V$, we have $U_s \subseteq X_s$ dense open. (Such V exists by [8,

Tag 0573] since X and the fibres X_s are reduced, which implies that scheme-theoretic density and Zariski-density of open subschemes are equivalent by [8, Tag 056D].)

Up to reindexing, we may and do assume that there exists an integer $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that ψ_i is unramified (hence étale) for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and ramified for $i = r+1, \dots, n$. Let $V' \subseteq S$ be a dense open subscheme such that, for every $i \leq r$ and every $s \in V'$, the fibre $\bar{Y}_{i,s}$ is normal. Define

$$\Omega = (\Sigma \cap V \cap V') \setminus \bigcup_{i=r+1}^n \psi_i(T_i(k)).$$

Since Σ is not strongly thin in S and V, V' are dense opens, Ω is dense in S . Moreover, for every $s \in \Omega$ and every $i \geq r+1$, we have $Y_{i,s}(k) = \emptyset$ (as $T_{i,s}(k)$ is empty). Let

$$\Psi = \bigcup_{s \in \Omega} \left((\Gamma \cap X_s) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r \pi_{i,s}(Y_{i,s}(k)) \right) \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \pi_i(Y_i(k)).$$

Since Ω is dense in S , to conclude the proof, it suffices to show that, for every $s \in \Omega$, the set $(\Gamma \cap X_s) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r \pi_{i,s}(Y_{i,s}(k))$ is dense in X_s .

Let $i \leq r$ and $s \in \Omega$. By the étaleness of ψ_i , the fibre $T_{i,s}$ is a disjoint union of closed points, say $T_{i,s} = t_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup t_N$. We then have $\bar{Y}_{i,s} = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N \bar{Y}_{i,t_j}$ with $\bar{Y}_{i,t_j}$ normal and geometrically connected, and thus geometrically integral, over the residue field $\kappa(t_j)$. Moreover, we have $Y_{i,s} = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N Y_{i,t_j}$ and, for every j , the fibre Y_{i,t_j} is either empty or a dense open of $\bar{Y}_{i,t_j}$ (in which case it is normal and geometrically integral over $\kappa(t_j)$). Clearly, if $\kappa(t_j) \neq k$, then $Y_{i,t_j}(k) = \emptyset$. Therefore, since $\Gamma \cap X_s$ is not thin in X_s , it suffices to show that, for every j with $\kappa(t_j) = k$ and $Y_{i,t_j} \subseteq \bar{Y}_{i,t_j}$ dense open, the degree of $Y_{i,t_j} \rightarrow X_s$ is at least 2.

Let $X_{T_i} = X \times_S T_i$ and $Y_{i,T_i} = Y_i \times_S T_i$. We have $T_i \rightarrow S$ finite étale, so $X_{T_i} \rightarrow X$ is finite étale. It follows that the induced morphism $Y_i \rightarrow X_{T_i}$ is not étale (since $Y_i \rightarrow X$ would be étale otherwise). Since $X_{T_i} \rightarrow X$ and $Y_i \rightarrow X$ are finite, $Y_i \rightarrow X_{T_i}$ is also finite [8, Tag 035D]. Moreover, $Y_i \rightarrow X_{T_i}$ is a left factor of the surjective morphism $Y_{i,T_i} \rightarrow X_{T_i}$ and therefore surjective, so X_{T_i} is connected. By Zariski's main theorem [8, Tag 0AB1] and the fact that $Y_i \rightarrow X_{T_i}$ is not étale (in particular not an isomorphism), it follows that $Y_i \rightarrow X_{T_i}$ is of degree at least 2.

Let $t_j \in T_{i,s}(k)$ be such that $Y_{i,t_j} \neq \emptyset$. To conclude the proof, we show that the degree of $Y_{i,t_j} \rightarrow X_s$ is at least 2. Note that the composition of $t_j: \text{Spec } k \rightarrow T_i$ and ψ_i is s , so we have $X_{T_i,t_j} = X_s$. Let $U_{T_i} = U \times_S T_i$. Then $Y_{i,U} \subseteq Y_i$ and $U_{T_i} \subseteq X_{T_i}$ are dense opens and the induced morphism $Y_{i,U} \rightarrow U_{T_i}$ has the same degree as $Y_i \rightarrow X_{T_i}$, which is at least 2. By the definition of U , the morphism $Y_{i,U} \rightarrow U$ is étale, and since $U_{T_i} \rightarrow U$ is étale, it follows from [8, Tag 02GW] that $Y_{i,U} \rightarrow U_{T_i}$ is étale, hence flat. By flatness, the degree of the induced morphism on fibres $(Y_{i,U})_{t_j} \rightarrow U_s$ equals the degree of $Y_{i,U} \rightarrow U_{T_i}$, i.e., is at least 2. Since $(Y_{i,U})_{t_j} \rightarrow U_s$ is the pullback of $Y_{i,t_j} \rightarrow X_s$

along $U_s \rightarrow X_S$ and $U_s \subseteq X_s$ is a dense open, this shows that $Y_{i,t_j} \rightarrow X_s$ is of degree at least 2, as required. $\square$

Acknowledgements. We thank Ariyan Javanpeykar for suggesting to us to write this note and for helpful discussions. We also thank the referee for helpful comments. This research was funded by the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes (German Academic Scholarship Foundation). We gratefully acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 444845124 – TRR 326.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Bartsch, F., Javanpeykar, A., Levin, A.: Symmetric products and puncturing Campana-special varieties. [arXiv:2412.14931](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.14931) (2024)
- [2] Bary-Soroker, L., Fehm, A., Petersen, S.: On varieties of Hilbert type. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **64**(5), 1893–1901 (2014)
- [3] Corvaja, P., Demeio, J.L., Javanpeykar, A., Lombardo, D., Zannier, U.: On the distribution of rational points on ramified covers of abelian varieties. *Compos. Math.* **158**(11), 2109–2155 (2022)
- [4] Corvaja, P., Zannier, U.: On the Hilbert property and the fundamental group of algebraic varieties. *Math. Z.* **286**(1–2), 579–602 (2017)
- [5] Javanpeykar, A.: Hilbert irreducibility for varieties with a nef tangent bundle. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **39**(1), 1–6 (2024)
- [6] Luger, C.: Products of varieties with many integral points. [arXiv:2401.05203](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.05203) (2024)
- [7] Serre, J.-P.: *Topics in Galois Theory*. Lecture Notes Prepared by Henri Damon. With a Foreword by Darmon and the Author. *Research Notes in Mathematics*, 1. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA (1992)
- [8] The Stacks Project Authors: *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu> (2025)

CEDRIC LUGER
Institut für Mathematik
Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz
Staudingerweg 9
55099 Mainz
Germany
e-mail: celuger@uni-mainz.de

Received: 20 December 2024

Revised: 23 July 2025

Accepted: 10 August 2025