# Simulation Studies of Correlation Functions and Relaxation in Polymeric Systems

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des Grades

"Doktor der Naturwissenschaften"

am Fachbereich Physik der Johannes Gutenberg – Universität Mainz

vorgelegt von

Martin Aichele

geboren am 16.06.1974 in Marburg

Mainz, April 2003

Datum der mündlichen Prüfung: 25. Juli 2003

# Abstract

We investigate the statics and dynamics of a glassy, non-entangled, short beadspring polymer melt with molecular dynamics simulations. Temperature ranges from slightly above the mode-coupling critical temperature to the liquid regime where features of a glassy liquid are absent. Our aim is to work out the polymer specific effects on the relaxation and particle correlation.

We find the intra-chain static structure unaffected by temperature, it depends only on the distance of monomers along the backbone. In contrast, the distinct interchain structure shows pronounced site-dependence effects at the length-scales of the chain and the nearest neighbor distance. There, we also find the strongest temperature dependence which drives the glass transition. Both the site averaged coupling of the monomer and center of mass (CM) and the CM-CM coupling are weak and presumably not responsible for a peak in the coherent relaxation time at the chain's length scale. Chains rather emerge as soft, easily interpenetrating objects. Three particle correlations are well reproduced by the convolution approximation with the exception of model dependent deviations.

In the spatially heterogeneous dynamics of our system we identify highly mobile monomers which tend to follow each other in one-dimensional paths forming "strings". These strings have an exponential length distribution and are generally short compared to the chain length. Thus, a relaxation mechanism in which neighboring mobile monomers move along the backbone of the chain seems unlikely. However, the correlation of bonded neighbors is enhanced.

When liquids are confined between two surfaces in relative sliding motion kinetic friction is observed. We study a generic model setup by molecular dynamics simulations for a wide range of sliding speeds, temperatures, loads, and lubricant coverings for simple and molecular fluids. Instabilities in the particle trajectories are identified as the origin of kinetic friction. They lead to high particle velocities of fluid atoms which are gradually dissipated resulting in a friction force. In commensurate systems fluid atoms follow continuous trajectories for sub-monolayer coverings and consequently, friction vanishes at low sliding speeds. For incommensurate systems the velocity probability distribution exhibits approximately exponential tails. We connect this velocity distribution to the kinetic friction force which reaches a constant value at low sliding speeds. This approach agrees well with the friction obtained directly from simulations and explains Amontons' law on the microscopic level. Molecular bonds in commensurate systems lead to incommensurate behavior, but do not change the qualitative behavior of incommensurate systems. However, crossed chains form stable load bearing asperities which strongly increase friction.

# Zusammenfassung

Statik und Dynamik einer glasartigen Schmelze aus kurzkettigen, nicht-verschlauften Kugel-Feder Polymeren werden mittels Molekulardynamik-Simulationen untersucht. Die Temperatur reicht von kurz oberhalb der kritischen Temperatur der Modenkopplungstheorie bis in die Flüssigphase, in der keine glastypischen Eigenschaften mehr auftreten. Unser Ziel ist es, die für Polymere spezifischen Effekte der Relaxation und Teilchenkorrelation heraus zu arbeiten.

Die statische Einzelkettenstruktur ändert sich kaum mit der Temperatur, sie hängt nur vom Abstand der Monomere entlang der Molekülkette ab. Im Gegensatz dazu zeigt die Fremdkettenstruktur deutliche Abhängigkeiten von der Monomerposition auf den Längenskalen der Kette und des nächsten Nachbarn. Dort stellen wir auch die stärkste Temperaturabhängigkeit fest, die den Glasübergang treibt. Sowohl die über die Kette gemittelte Kopplung zwischen Monomeren und Schwerpunkt (SP) als auch die SP-SP Kopplung sind schwach und vermutlich nicht für ein Maximum der kollektiven Relaxationszeit auf der Längenskala der Kette verantwortlich. Die Polymere stellen sich vielmehr als weiche, sich leicht durchdringende Objekte dar. Dreiteilchenkorrelationen werden durch die Faltungsnäherung bis auf modellabhängige Abweichungen gut wieder gegeben.

In der räumlich heterogenen Dynamik unseres Systems identifizieren wir hoch mobile Teilchen, die sich in eindimensionalen "Schnüren" folgen. Die Schnüre haben eine exponentielle Längenverteilung und sind im Allgemeinen kurz im Vergleich zur Kettenlänge. Ein Relaxationsmechanismus, in dem benachbarte mobile Teilchen einander entlang des Moleküls folgen, erscheint daher unwahrscheinlich. Die Korrelation von gebundenen Nachbarn ist allerdings erhöht.

Flüssigkeitsschichten, die sich zwischen zwei gegeneinander gescherten Wänden befinden, zeigen kinetische Reibung. Wir untersuchen einen generischen Aufbau mit Molekulardynamik-Simulationen über einen weiten Bereich der Schergeschwindigkeit, Temperatur, Last und Flüssigkeitsbedeckung für einfache und molekulare Flüssigkeiten. Wir identifizieren Instabilitäten in den Teilchentrajektorien als den Ursprung der kinetischen Reibung. Diese führen zu hohen Teilchengeschwindigkeiten, die allmählich dissipiert werden, woraus eine Reibungskraft resultiert. In kommensurablen Systemen folgen die Flüssigkeitsatome stetigen Bahnen für Bedeckungen unterhalb einer Monolage, so dass die Reibung für niedrige Geschwindigkeiten verschwindet. Für inkommensurable Systeme zeigt die Wahrscheinlichkeitsverteilung der Geschwindigkeit näherungsweise exponentielle Schwänze. Diese Geschwindigkeitsverteilung verknüpfen wir mit der kinetischen Reibung, die einen konstanten Wert für niedrige Schergeschwindigkeiten annimmt. Dieser Zugang stimmt gut mit der direkt in der Simulation gemessenen Reibung überein und erklärt das Gesetz von Amontons auf mikroskopischer Ebene. Molekülbindungen in kommensurablen Systemen führen zu inkommensurablem Verhalten, ändern aber nicht das qualitative Verhalten von inkommensurablen Systemen. Uberkreuzte Ketten bilden jedoch stabile Unebenheiten, die eine hohe Last tragen und die Reibung stark erhöhen.

# Résumé

Nous avons étudié à l'aide de simulations de Dynamique Moléculaire les propriétés statiques et dynamiques d'un fondu vitreux de polymères courts et non-enchevêtrés en utilisant un modèle bille-ressort. L'échelle de température considérée s'étend d'une valeur légèrement supérieure à la température critique de la théorie de couplage de modes jusqu'au régime liquide pour lequel les caractéristiques vitreuses sont absentes. Notre objectif est de déterminer les effets typiques des polymères pour la relaxation et les corrélations entre particules.

Nous avons montré que la structure statique à l'intérieur d'une même chaîne n'est pas modifiée par la température mais dépend uniquement de la distance entre monomères le long de la chaîne. Par contre, la structure inter-chaîne dépend fortement du site considéré aux échelles de longueur correspondant à la taille de la chaîne et à la distance entre plus proches voisins. A cette distance, nous avons trouvé la plus forte dépendance en température qui induit la transition vitreuse. Le couplage monomère-centre de masse (CM) moyenné par site et le couplage CM-CM sont tous les deux faibles et ne sont vraisemblablement pas à l'origine d'un pic dans le temps de relaxation de la fonction de structure cohérente à l'échelle de longueur de la chaîne. Les chaînes ressortent plutôt comme des objets mous, s'interpénétrant facilement. Les corrélations à trois particules sont bien reproduites par l'approximation de convolution, à l'exception de certaines déviations qui sont fonctions du modèle.

Pour la dynamique spatiallement hétérogène de notre système, nous avons identifié des monomères ayant une grande mobilité qui ont tendance à se suivre les uns les autres sur des chemins unidimensionnels, formant des «cordes». Ces cordes ont une distribution des longeurs exponentielle et sont en général courtes par rapport à la longueur de la chaîne. Un mécanisme de relaxation dans lequel les monomères mobiles voisins se déplacent le long de la chaîne semble donc peu probable. Cependant, les corrélations entre monomères voisins liés sont renforcées.

Quand des liquides sont confinés entre deux surfaces en mouvement de glissement relatif, une friction cinétique est observée. Nous avons étudié un dispositif modèle par des simulations de Dynamique Moléculaire pour une vaste gamme de vitesses de glissement, températures, charges et taux de couverture d'adsorbants pour des fluides simples et moléculaires. Des instabilités dans les trajectoires des particules ont pu être identifiées comme origine de la friction cinétique. Elles induisent des vitesses élevées des atomes du fluide qui sont progressivement dissipées, ce qui conduit à l'apparition d'une force de friction. Dans des systèmes commensurables, les atomes suivent des trajectoires continues pour des couvertures moindres qu'une monocouche et par suite, la friction disparaît à basse vitesse de glissement. Pour des systèmes incommensurables, la distribution de probabilités des vitesses comprend des queues de forme approximativement exponentielle. Nous avons relié cette distribution à la force de friction qui atteint une valeur constante pour des faibles vitesses de glissement.

Cette approche s'accorde bien avec la friction tirée directement des simulations et permet d'expliquer la loi d'Amontons à l'échelle microscopique. Les liaisons moléculaires dans des systèmes commensurables conduisent à un comportement incommensurable, mais ne modifient pas le comportement qualitatif des systèmes incommensurables. Cependant, des croisements de chaînes forment des aspérités qui augmentent fortement la friction.

# Contents

Intr	oduction 1			
1.1	Concepts Pertaining to Glassy Dynamics			
1.2	From Macroscopic to Microscopic Friction			
1.3	Organization of the Present Work			
2 Simulation Methods and Models				
2.1	Introduction			
2.2	Program Overview			
2.3	Potentials			
2.4	Walls Models         8			
	2.4.1 Wall Geometry			
	2.4.2 Wall Atom Coupling $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 9$			
2.5	Molecular Dynamics Techniques			
	2.5.1 Predictor Step			
	2.5.2 Thermostat $\ldots \ldots 10$			
	2.5.3 Interactions $\ldots \ldots 11$			
	2.5.4 Corrector Step $\ldots \ldots 12$			
	2.5.5 Pressure Tensor			
2.6	Initializing Configurations			
	2.6.1 CBMC and Recoil Growth Algorithm			
	2.6.2 Intra-Chain Energy Calculation			
Stat	ics and Dynamics of Polymer Melts 19			
3.1	Introduction			
3.2	Model Details			
3.3	Basic Notations			
3.4	Density Correlators			
3.5	Consideration of Periodic Boundary Conditions			
3.6	Direct Correlation Functions			
3.7	Fast Calculation of Static Structure Factors			
3.8	Three Particle Structure Factors			
	3.8.1 Annotations on the Implementation of $S_3$			
3.9	Results for the Static Structure Factors			
	3.9.1 Monomer Quantities			
	3.9.2 Chain Center of Mass Correlators			
3.10	Three Particle Correlation Results			
3.11	Aspects of Mode Coupling Theory			
	Intro 1.1 1.2 1.3 Simu 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 Stat 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 3.11			

	3.12	Center of Mass and Monomer Dynamics	45
	3.13	Summary and Conclusions	49
	3.14	Outlook	50
4	Cor	related Motion in Glassy Polymer Melts	53
	4.1	Introduction	53
	4.2	Mean-square Displacements	54
	4.3	How to Select Mobile Particles	56
		4.3.1 Non-GAUSSian Parameters	56
		4.3.2 Definition of Mobility	59
	4.4	Properties of Mobile Monomers	60
		4.4.1 Mean-Square Displacement of Mobile Monomers	60
		4.4.2 Mobile Monomers and Chain Connectivity	62
		4.4.3 Mobile End Monomers	62
		4.4.4 Correlations of Mobile Monomers in a Chain	65
		4.4.5 Characteristic Times	66
		4.4.6 Stringlike Motion	68
		4.4.7 Directional Correlations Between Neighboring Mobile Monomers	5 71
	4.5	Concepts, Summary, and Conclusions	78
<b>5</b>	Inst	abilities and Kinetic Friction	81
	5.1	Introduction	81
	5.2	The PRANDTL-TOMLINSON Model	83
	5.3	Relation Between Velocity Distribution and Friction	84
		5.3.1 Effect of Instabilities	87
	5.4	Impurity Limit	89
		5.4.1 1-d Model Systems	89
		5.4.2 2-d Model Systems	90
		5.4.3 3-d Model Systems	94
	5.5	Bevond the Impurity Limit	103
		5.5.1 Coverage Effects	103
		5.5.2 Effects due to Molecular Bonds	105
	5.6	Wall Diffusion and Molecules	107
	5.7	Summary and Conclusions	109
$\mathbf{A}$	Two	-Dimensional Polymer Films	113
	A.1	Single Chain Results	113
	A.2	Dense Systems	117
в	Clu	stering between Elastic Walls	119
$\mathbf{C}$	Sim	ulation Code	121
П	Ano	Jusis Codo	170
D		Code for the Static Structure Fraters	190
	דית חים	Code for $S$	100
	D.2		190

Bibliography

# List of Figures

1.1	A macroscopic contact and microscopic asperities	3
2.1	LJ and FENE potentials	7
2.2	Dead alley problem	15
2.3	Faster calculation of the intra-chain interaction	17
3.1	Geometry of the arguments of the three particle structure factors	27
3.2	$S(q)$ at all temperatures $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	30
3.3	$w(q)$ at all temperatures with approximations $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	32
3.4	$w^{ab}(q)$ at $T = 0.47$	32
3.5	$S_{\rm d}(q)$ at several temperatures $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	33
3.6	$S_{\rm d}^{ab}(q)$ at $T = 0.47$	33
3.7	$c^{ab}(q)$ and $c^{\mathbb{C}}(q)$ at $T = 0.47$	34
3.8	$S^{\mathbb{C}}(q)$ at all T and Eq. (3.61)	37
3.9	$S_{\rm s}^{\rm m,C}(q)^2/w(q)^2$ at $T = 1.0$	37
3.10	$S^{a,C}(q)$ at $T = 0.47$	38
3.11	Direct correlation functions at $T = 0.47 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	40
3.12	$S_3(q,q,q)$ and $S_3^{\rm p}(q,q,q)$ at $T = 0.47$ and convolution approximation.	41
3.13	$S_{3}^{p}(q, q, q\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \varphi)})$ and $S_{3}(q, q, q\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \varphi)})$ at $T = 0.47$ and	
	convolution approximation	42
3.14	Schematic density correlator	43
3.15	$\phi^{\rm C}(q,t)$ at $T = 0.47$ with KWW fits	46
3.16	KWW plateau heights at $T = 0.47$	47
3.17	KWW times for monomer and CM correlators at $T = 0.47$	48
3.18	KWW stretching exponents for monomer and CM correlators at	
	$T = 0.47 \dots \dots$	49
3.19	Comparison of the non-ergodicity parameters from simulation and MCT	51
4.1	Mean square displacements for all $T$	55
4.2	Non-GAUSSian parameters	57
4.3	Mean square displacements and non-GAUSSian parameter	61
4.4	Example for mobile chain segments	63
4.5	Comparison of the fraction of mobile end monomers and $g_4(t)/g_0(t)$ .	64
4.6	Mean contiguous segment length $N_{c,m}(t)$	66
4.7	Characteristic times of the correlated motion	67
4.8	String length and stringlength in contiguous segments	69
4.9	Replacement-parameter $\delta$ -dependence of the stringlength	72
4.10	Probability distribution of the stringlength	73

4.11	Definitions of angles between displacements	74		
4.12	Probability distribution $P_{d,r}$	75		
4.13	Tendency of a mobile particle to replace a mobile nearest neighbor	76		
4.14	4 Tendency of two neighboring mobile particles to follow each other			
4.15	Comparison of quantities describing the correlated motion	79		
5.1	Example of instabilities in an incommensurate system	87		
5.2	Superposition of surface potentials with hexagonal symmetry	91		
5.3	Instability in a 2-d STEELE-potential system	93		
5.4	Schematic comparison of commensurate and incommensurate surfaces	95		
5.5	$S(\mathbf{q})$ for a boundary lubricant $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	96		
5.6	Example of trajectories in a commensurate system	97		
5.7	Velocity distribution parallel and normal to the sliding direction for			
	an incommensurate system	98		
5.8	Temperature dependence of the velocity distribution	99		
5.9	Load dependence of the velocity distribution	00		
5.10	Comparison of $\mu_k$ from simulation and theory $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	101		
5.11	$\mu_{\mathbf{k}}$ of the commensurate standard system at different L and T $\ldots$ 1	102		
5.12	Velocity distribution in and normal to the wall plane	103		
5.13	Coverage dependence of the dynamic friction coefficient $\mu_k$ 1	104		
5.14	$\mu_{\rm k}$ dependence for molecules and different wall lattice constants $\ldots$ 1	106		
5.15	MSD of simple lubricant atoms and walls for different system sizes 1	107		
5.16	Influence of crossed chains on wall diffusion	108		
5.17	MSD of a 10-mer and walls for different system sizes	109		
A.1	Example for a chain of length 2048 in 2-d	14		
A.2	Acceptance rate dependence of the RG-algorithm on $N_{\text{choice}}$	14		
A.3	$R_{\rm e}$ and $R_{\rm g}$ and ratio versus $N$	15		
A.4	Distribution of the end-to-end distance for single chains 1	116		
A.5	Example of a dense 2-d melt of 256-mers	18		
B.1	Clustering between elastic walls	20		

# Chapter 1 Introduction

Most non-metallic materials in the solid state that surround us are made up of molecules. If these molecules consist of smaller repeating units (monomers) which are chemically bonded to each other, one speaks of polymers [1]. Many of these chain molecules are very familiar to us in the form of plastics. The understanding of the properties of the static and dynamic structure of polymers is a long-standing problem in the physics of condensed matter with a strong impact on applications and has often been tackled by computer simulations [2]. While some polymers crystallize, others do not, but form a "glassy solid" when they are cooled below the melting temperature [3]. The ordinary glass we know from windows and wine glasses is more precisely silica glass and behaves in a similar fashion. It does not have a crystalline structure as opposed to its crystallized form, quartz. In fact, many substances show a dramatic increase of the relaxation time in a narrow temperature interval below the melting temperature. If the relaxation time exceeds days we perceive these substance as solids. Their behavior has universal features, which justifies to call all these materials "glasses" in a physical sense [4, 5]. In the glassy state, the structure is characterized by the absence of long-range periodic order just like in a liquid, hence one can say that glasses are "supercooled liquids".

Although the static and dynamic properties of supercooled fluids, in particular polymers, have already been studied by simulation methods very extensively, there are still many open questions, as we shall discuss succinctly below. The present study builds on these previous efforts, and extends them in several directions of high current interest. These directions are briefly summarized in the following.

## **1.1** Concepts Pertaining to Glassy Dynamics

A very successful description of the glassy dynamics of liquids was proposed by the "mode-coupling theory" (MCT) [6–8]. It describes the density fluctuations by coupled integro-differential equations and predicts a novel dynamic transition phenomenon, causing a dramatic slowing down of the dynamics leading to structural arrest of the system at the critical temperature  $T_c$  of MCT. The driving force of the dramatic slowing down of the relaxation is in the MCT-picture the neighbor shell of a particle which becomes so tight at  $T_c$  that the dynamics comes to a standstill. MCT needs as input only the static structure data of the system under consideration and predicts the long-time dynamics. Originally, MCT has been developed for simple fluids, in particular hard spheres. Yet, both qualitative and quantitative predictions of MCT have been reported to describe very well the dynamics of a variety of glass-forming systems including polymers, for reviews see Refs. [5, 7, 9–11].

Computer simulations offer the possibility to analyze the statics and dynamics in a very detailed fashion for well defined model systems. Simplified model systems allow for the investigation of generic features and have the advantage that the computational cost decreases. To this end, a computer simulation model system of a short, non-entangled glass-forming polymer has been developed by BENNEMANN et al. as a simplified "bead-spring" model [12, 13]. In this model, the full atomistic description is replaced by point particles with empirical pair potentials. For this system it was shown in a series of studies that the basic form of MCT for simple liquids describes the dynamics near the glass transition fairly well [14–17]. Some deviations remained unexplained, however. In particular, the role of the molecular bonds in the relaxation dynamics was not investigated and a comparison with theoretical predictions in the framework of MCT concerning the influence of bonds was not possible, because an extension of MCT to chain molecules did not exist.

This situation has changed very recently, as MCT has been extended to molecules [18, 19]. In particular for short chain molecules this extension contains both the well-known ROUSE model [20] and the so-called 'idealized' MCT for simple fluids as special cases. Hence, it is very promising to extend the analysis of the simulation data to test to what extent this new theory correctly accounts for the relevant chain specific effects. We will study the precise connection of center of mass and monomer motion which arises naturally for molecules and is important not only for this new theoretical approach. Furthermore, we will address the site dependence of the structure, e.g. if chain ends behave differently than monomers in the middle of the chain. In addition, the necessary static input data for MCT can be provided so that a quantitative comparison with simulation data without any adjustable parameters can be achieved. Finally, the validity of assumptions entering the derivation of the MCT for polymers can be tested.

Another interesting feature of the glassy dynamics is that the particle dynamics becomes increasingly spatially non-uniform [21–24]. This so-called "dynamic heterogeneity" has been proposed to be related to the long, stretched form of the decay of dynamic correlators of glass formers. For our polymer melt it has also been observed [25, 26]. These investigations focused on analyses which stressed the similarity with simple fluids. However, for polymers it is tempting to ask whether bonds provide a preferred direction for the 'transmission' of dynamical heterogeneity.

Our studies thus aim at specifically analyzing the effect of molecular bonds and of the center of mass motion on the relaxation and correlated motion in a simulated glassy polymer melt.



Figure 1.1: A macroscopic contact of nominally flat walls (left) has microscopic contact zones which are corrugated on a smaller length scale (middle). The most fundamental unit in tribology is the single micro-asperity (right). We will investigate its response to an external shear force  $F_k$  by means of molecular dynamics simulations.

## **1.2** From Macroscopic to Microscopic Friction

Another mechanism strongly affecting the particle dynamics of a liquid is the coupling to surfaces. When two surfaces form a contact, a thin film of fluid can be confined between them which will strongly influence the frictional behavior when the surfaces are sheared against each other [27, 28]. This is something we use in everyday life when we oil a squealing door. Although friction is such an ubiquitous phenomenon with classical macroscopic descriptions [29] dating back to the days of DA VINCI (1452–1519) which are appropriate even for modern engineering tasks, we still do not have a satisfying microscopic understanding of the kinetic friction of sheared contacts.

However, the interest in understanding the physics of nanoscopic contacts has grown, too, because of the miniaturization of technical devices down to very small dimensions, like in computer hard disks. Furthermore, macroscopic contacts interact only via small contact zones, called "asperities" [27, 28], as depicted in Fig. 1.1. The understanding of the microscopic origins of friction in single asperities is therefore needed as a base on which a full macroscopic description of tribological phenomena can be built upon.

One distinguishes the static friction force  $F_s$  as the force needed to initialize sliding and the kinetic friction force  $F_k$  which maintains sliding. For static friction, the determining role of absorbed surfactants has been recognized over the last years [30– 32]. For many experimental situations and applications, the kinetic friction is more important, and a microscopic description of it is still missing. Computer simulations provide an excellent tool for the investigation of microscopic friction, as the 'experimental' conditions can be completely controlled. On the contrary, controlling the surfaces and ambient conditions is notoriously difficult for friction experiments, like with the surface forces apparatus [33]. In order to identify the microscopic mechanisms of friction for a generic case, we use a setup where two crystalline walls confine a liquid under shear and concentrate on the particle dynamics and its link to the friction force. We begin with single particle adiabatic trajectories and successively enhance the complexity of our model. In this way, we arrive at thin films with varying thickness confined between atomically smooth surfaces with LENNARD-JONES type interaction which are studied by molecular dynamics simulations. While a large part of our study is done for simple fluids, we extend it also to polymers under shear.

When preparing ultrathin films comprised of bead-spring polymers for our tribological studies we found that it was difficult to construct long chains. This lead us to implement the recently proposed "recoil growth"-algorithm [34, 35] for the construction of long polymers in thin films and strictly two-dimensional systems. As this is the first study of two-dimensional polymers with the recoil growth algorithm we investigated the dependence of its efficiency on the parameters of the algorithm.

# **1.3** Organization of the Present Work

This work is layed out as follows. First we will describe the molecular dynamics simulation methods used for the study of the tribology of interfaces in chapter 2. They are similar to the methods used to simulate the glassy polymer melt which we discuss in chapters 3 and 4.

Chapter 3 contains our analysis of the static properties of a polymer melt under special consideration of chain-specific effects. They will be connected to the dynamics in the framework of MCT. The dynamical heterogeneities and correlated motion found in this glassy polymer will be investigated in chapter 4.

We show how discontinuous particle trajectories arise in boundary lubricated systems and connect them to kinetic friction in chapter 5. Each of the aforementioned chapters will be closed by a summary and conclusion.

Topics which arose during the course of this work which have not been fully worked out can be found in appendix A and appendix B. The remainder of the appendix contains the simulation and analysis code used for our study.

# Chapter 2

# Simulation Methods and Models

# 2.1 Introduction

Computer simulation methods have become an established third pillar of the physical sciences next to analytic theory and experiment for the investigation of molecular scale models of matter [2, 36, 37]. Their success began in the 1950s with the first computers and took a second upswing in the 1970s when computers with ever increasing computational power become affordable.

When quantitative measurements by means of computer simulations are performed, one computes an ensemble average, e.g. in the canonical NVT ensemble. More precisely, one averages over a representative subset of the hypersurface in phase space defined by the thermodynamic conditions (particle number N, volume V, and temperature T in our example). Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations compute the (continuous) trajectories of the particles when all positions and interactions are known at the start of the simulation. If the system is ergodic, the trajectories cover the hypersurface densely in time and the long time average equals the ensemble average. Hence, MD for classical systems basically consists of solving NEWTON's equations of motion numerically with certain boundary conditions, such as constant temperature. It is important to note that the computed trajectories need not be the 'true' physical ones, it suffices that they lie on the correct hypersurface. In fact, they do not even have to be continuous. In Monte Carlo (MC) simulations, the system is moved from one point on the hypersurface to another one discontinuously. Each MC move is determined by the probability of the old and new point in the chosen ensemble and randomly accepted according to it. The hypersurface is thereby sampled pointwise yielding the ensemble average for an infinite number of MC steps. Depending on the problem, 'unphysical' MC moves can (often) sample the phase space faster to obtain a static quantity, whereas the microscopic dynamics of the system is (often) better accessed by MD simulations.

After these general remarks, we will next give an overview of our simulation program and lay out the algorithms employed in more detail.

# 2.2 Program Overview

Our simulation code we will describe in this chapter can perform MD or MC simulations in one, two or three dimensions. The basic set-up consists of two flat, two-dimensional walls confining a liquid. The liquid can be a simple one or a polymer with a degree of polymerization N up to  $N \approx 10^3$ . We can also perform bulk simulations without walls in d = 1, 2, or 3 dimensions. All results reported in the present work are for 2-d fluid films, either purely 2-d films or thin 3-d films confined between two walls. The program code itself is printed in appendix C.

Walls are composed of one layer of LENNARD-JONES (LJ) atoms on a hexagonal lattice. In the MD part of the program, in each direction three simulation modes can be chosen in the simulation: First, the "force mode" with constant force or with a linear force ramp. Second, the "velocity mode" moving one wall with constant velocity in the direction (including velocity zero, which fixed the walls in space). Third, the "spring mode" where one wall is pulled with a spring of variable stiffness which is in turn moved with constant velocity. Not all modes can sensibly be employed in every direction.

When polymers are simulated, we use a "bead-spring" model devised by KREMER and GREST [38]. The start-up configurations can be defined using a simple random walk, a configurational bias monte carlo method or the so called "recoil-growth" algorithm.

The results reported in chapters 3 and 4 for a polymer melt are based on simulations done with another MD-simulation program by BENNEMANN. We will not describe this code here, but refer the reader to section 3.2 and references therein for a description. The MD-methods used there are however similar to the ones which we are going to describe next.

### 2.3 Potentials

Because the length and time scales of real polymers diverge by many orders of magnitude [2] (electron motion  $10^{-17}$ s, typical time scale for the relaxation of liquid polymers  $10^{-3}$ s) not all details can be kept in computer simulations when performing simulations involving many particles or which span a long time. Hence, a "coarse-graining" of the model has to be done in order to obtain a computationally tractable model. To this end, one or several repeat units of the polymer are replaced by a single "effective monomer". In addition, the exact interaction potentials are replaced by empirical effective potentials.

For walls of a crystal surface, similar reasonings can be made. Instead of using realistic atomic interactions, simplified models can dramatically reduce the computational cost while yielding the same information.

A very generic and computationally efficient model for particle interaction is given by the LENNARD-JONES (LJ) potential which we used in the simulation for all particle interactions (fluid-fluid, fluid-wall, wall-wall),

$$U_{\rm LJ}(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 4\varepsilon \left[ \left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}}\right)^6 \right] + C(r_{\rm cut}), & r_{ij} < r_{\rm cut} \\ 0, & r_{ij} \ge r_{\rm cut} \end{cases} ,$$
(2.1)



Figure 2.1: LENNARD-JONES (LJ) potentials, used in the simulation as interaction potentials. Bond connectivity is ensured by an additional FENE potential. The superposition of the LJ and FENE-potential defines the bond-length of the polymers,  $r_{\text{bond}} = 0.9609\sigma$ . The minimum of the (full) LJ-potential is at  $r_{\min} = 2^{1/6} = 1.1225$ . Potentials are shifted to ensure continuity at the cut-off radius  $r_{\text{cut}}$  which is either equal to  $r_{\min}$  (thick lines) or  $r_{\text{cut}} = 2r_{\min}$  (thin lines).

where  $r_{ij}$  denotes the distance of particles i, j and  $C(r_{\rm cut})$  ensures continuity of the potential at the cut-off radius  $r_{\rm cut}$ .  $\varepsilon$  defines the energy scale and  $\sigma$  the length scale of the system. The LJ-parameters  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$  can be chosen independently for fluid and wall atoms, but were set to unity in all presented studies. There are two commonly employed choices for  $r_{\rm cut}$ . First, setting  $r_{\rm cut} = 2^{1/6}\sigma$ , the minimum of the LJ-potential. It corresponds to a purely repulsive interaction, or physically to a substance in good solvent conditions. Second,  $r_{\rm cut} = 2 \times 2^{1/6}\sigma$ , including a great part of the attractive interaction.

For the bond-potential in polymers, the widely used FENE (finitely extensible nonlinear elastic) potential introduced by KREMER and GREST [38] was added to the LJ-interaction between neighboring monomers. It diverges for  $r_{ij} \rightarrow R_0$  and restricts the separation of monomers,

$$U_{\rm FENE}(r_{ij}) = -\frac{k}{2}R_0^2 \ln\left[1 - \left(\frac{r_{ij}}{R_0}\right)^2\right] .$$
 (2.2)

Throughout the present work  $R_{\rm ch} = 1.5\sigma$  and  $k_{\rm ch} = 30\varepsilon/\sigma^2$  [38]. Typical values for hydrocarbons are  $\varepsilon \approx 30$  meV,  $\sigma \approx 0.5$  nm, resulting in a typical time scale of  $t_{\rm LJ} \approx 3$  ps [38]. The different potentials are plotted in Fig. 2.1, where one can read off the bond-length  $r_{\rm bond} = 0.96909$ .

An advantage of these generic choices for the interactions is that the results will be of general validity and are less likely to reflect special properties of the potentials.

## 2.4 Walls Models

An important ingredient of the simulation of frictional behavior we will discuss in chapter 5 are the interfaces. The first simplification consists of assuming flat, crystalline walls. This is an important simplification, as most real, macroscopic contacts are more irregular and not perfectly flat in general, but rather curved. We will elaborate on this point in more detail in section 5.1. Here, we focus on presenting the features of the simulation program.

Ideally, one would simulate walls made up of thick slabs containing several layers of atoms. Because only the outermost layers of the walls interact with the lubricant, contributions of atoms deeper inside are much less important and only one layer of wall atoms was used in the simulation.

#### 2.4.1 Wall Geometry

Walls were modeled as hexagonal closed packed lattices (the (1,1,1)-plane of an fcc crystal) with an LJ-atom sitting at each lattice point and lattice constant  $d_{nn}$  as shown in Fig. 5.2 (where  $d_{nn} = 1$ ). The two walls could be set up identically leading to a commensurate geometry or with the upper wall rotated by  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$  resulting in an incommensurate setup, as the ratios of the length scales in each direction are irrational, as seen most easily for the coordinate directions, where it is  $\sqrt{3}$ . If the ratio is rational but not unity, one speaks of quasi-incommensurate walls.

The nearest neighbor spacing  $d_{nn}$  in the walls is 1.20914 $\sigma$  unless noted otherwise. This choice for  $d_{nn}$  is incommensurate with other length scales in the system and thus more generic than the minimum distance of the LJ potential  $r_{min}$ . We will see in section 5.5.2 how important this point is. In addition, a larger value for  $d_{nn}$ enhances the effects of surface corrugation in which we are particularly interested.

The use of periodic boundary conditions in the wall plane required a slight distortion of the perfect hexagonal geometry in order to obtain two quadratic walls. Therefore, walls were not perfectly incommensurate anymore, but quasi-incommensurate (as every setup realized with finite number precision, strictly speaking).

A rectangular wall unit cell consists of two atoms, at positions (0,0) and  $(d_{nn}/2, \sqrt{3} d_{nn}/2)$ , cf. Fig. 5.2. By choosing the ratio of the wall unit cells in x and y close to the ideal value  $\sqrt{3}$  this distortion was minimized. Neither in our present work, nor in previous simulations using the same wall setup any effect of this adjustment could be seen [31, 39, 40]. We did not use other relative wall rotations, as it was found in Refs. [31, 40] that the influence of the rotation angle is weak if it exceeds  $\approx 5^{\circ}$  and that all these walls geometries can be considered incommensurate. This is consistent with our analysis of adiabatic trajectories using similar interaction potentials in section 5.4.2.3. We note that when two solids in an experiment come in contact they will most likely be incommensurate, as it would take utmost care to have two identical defect-free crystals and orient them perfectly. As detailed calculations show, elastic deformations do not generally alter this argument provided the solids are treated as 3-dimensional objects [41, 42].

#### 2.4.2 Wall Atom Coupling

For the coupling of the wall atoms to the lattice sites we have different choices. The obviously most simple one is to fix them at the lattice positions. This corresponds to very hard and inelastic materials and is consuming the least computing time. We used this model preferably for our studies in chapter 5 where we verified that results remained valid if wall atoms were elastically coupled.

The wall model implemented in the simulation is more flexible then fixed wall atoms, as it allows for two different types of coupling to the lattice sites. We discern two potentials. First, the harmonic (or TOMLINSON) coupling,

$$U_{\rm T} = \frac{1}{2} k_{\rm T} \left( \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_i^{\rm eq} \right)^2 , \qquad (2.3)$$

where the actual position of atom i is at  $\mathbf{r}_i$  and the equilibrium (lattice) position at  $\mathbf{r}_i^{\text{eq}}$ . This spring-like potential keeps the wall atoms attached to their equilibrium sites. Second, we have a potential that prefers to keep relative positions close to the equilibrium values. This is the so-called FRENKEL-KONTOROVA interaction,

$$U_{\rm FK} = \frac{1}{2} k_{\rm FK} \sum_{j \in \rm nn}(i) \left( \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_i^{\rm eq} - (\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_j^{\rm eq}) \right)^2 \,. \tag{2.4}$$

The sum runs over all nearest neighbors, i.e. 6 for the hexagonal lattice. This potential introduces long range elasticity in the walls and is a diagonalization of the more general  $3\times3$  tensorial coupling of actual and equilibrium site differences. The full tensorial coupling was used in the more sophisticated wall model discussed in [43, 44] for realistic modeling of metal surfaces. Yet, our wall model gave qualitatively similar results which we will present in section B.

For adjusting to the same wall hardness, one can use  $k_{\rm T} = k_{\rm FK}/6$  as there are six nearest neighbors. To get a feeling for the spring constants we compare to a molten LJ-fluid. According to the LINDEMANN criterion a crystal melts, when the thermal energy causes the atoms to vibrate by 10% of the lattice spacing which is of order  $\sigma$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}k_{\rm T}(0.1\sigma)^2 \approx \frac{1}{2}k_{\rm B}T$ . For a typical temperature T = 0.5 one obtains  $k_{\rm T} = 50$ . This value for  $k_{\rm T}$  leads thus to very soft walls.

In the simulation a variant of the potential  $U_{\text{FK}}$  is also implemented, which is proportional to the square of the moduli of the distances  $|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j| - |\mathbf{r}_i^{\text{eq}} - \mathbf{r}_j^{\text{eq}}|$ . The difference is  $2|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j||\mathbf{r}_i^{\text{eq}} - \mathbf{r}_j^{\text{eq}}|(1 - \cos \varphi)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the angle between  $\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$ and  $\mathbf{r}_i^{\text{eq}} - \mathbf{r}_j^{\text{eq}}$ , which becomes appreciately only if *i* has moved far out of the plane defined by its neighbors. The combination of both variants could be used to adjust the elasticity perpendicular to the wall-plane. (We call the first one "vector" and the latter "linear" FK potential).

## 2.5 Molecular Dynamics Techniques

For the numerical integration of NEWTON's equations of motion in our molecular dynamics simulation we implemented the GEAR predictor-corrector algorithm up to fifth order. Using it in second order leads to the velocity-VERLET algorithm. We found that we could use a time-step  $\Delta t = 0.005$  for systems with polymers using

the fifth order algorithm which is significantly higher than the time-step  $\Delta t = 0.002$  which was used for the same polymer model simulated with the velocity-VERLET algorithm and NOSÉ-HOOVER thermostat [13, 45].

For maintaining constant temperature, a stochastic thermostat, the so-called LANGEVIN thermostat was used. The implementation of the algorithm and the thermostat in the simulation program will be given in a form which resembles the routines implemented in the simulation code itself. For a discussion of the GEAR predictor-corrector algorithm see e.g. [37]. For acceleration of the time consuming force calculation standard binning and VERLET neighborhood list techniques were used [36, 46].

#### 2.5.1 Predictor Step

Let  $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$  be the position of particle *i* at time *t*. First, in the predictor step the positions and derivatives of the particle positions are predicted,

$$\frac{(\Delta t)^n}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \mathbf{r}_i(t + \Delta t) = \frac{(\Delta t)^n}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \mathbf{r}_i(t) + \sum_{m=n+1}^{N_{\text{order}}} C_{n,m}^{\text{p}} \frac{(\Delta t)^m}{m!} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} \mathbf{r}_i(t) , \ n = 0, \dots, N_{\text{order}} ,$$
(2.5)

where  $C_{n,m}^{p}$  is the *m*-th predictor coefficient of *n*-th order. The predictor coefficients are just the TAYLOR expansion coefficients

$$C_{n,m}^{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{m!}{n!(m-n)!} \,. \tag{2.6}$$

For efficiency, the powers of the time-step and faculties are saved on the arrays holding the derivatives of the positions. When configurations are written out, they are however divided out, to obtain the time-derivatives of the positions independently of the time-step. They can be used to propagate saved configurations with another time-step  $\Delta t$ .

#### 2.5.2 Thermostat

In the thermostatting routine we first define the random force for time t. This random force is ideal white noise with no memory with a width  $\sigma^{\rm r}$  chosen to satisfy the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [46].

We draw a uniform random force distribution  $\mathbf{f}_i^{\mathbf{r}}(t)$  as random numbers on  $(-\sigma^{\mathbf{r}}, \sigma^{\mathbf{r}})$  in each dimension for each particle with width

$$\sigma^{\rm r} = \sqrt{3} \sqrt{\frac{2Tmk_{\rm B}\gamma}{\Delta t}} \tag{2.7}$$

The factor  $\sqrt{3}$  is needed because we use a uniform, not a GAUSSian distribution. Uniform random numbers can be obtained more efficiently and because they do not contain rare, high values like the GAUSSian distribution, the algorithm is more stable.  $\gamma$  is the damping constant of the thermostat and set to 0.5 in almost all simulations unless noted otherwise. We explicitly write the argument of  $\mathbf{f}_i^{\mathbf{r}}(t)$  as time t, and not  $t + \Delta t$  to stress that the random forces do not depend on the predicted positions and derivatives at time  $t + \Delta t$  as the other forces, but are defined before. Next, this randomly fluctuating force  $\mathbf{f}_i^{\mathrm{r}}(t)$  is applied,

$$\mathbf{r}(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{r}(t) + \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{2m_i} \mathbf{f}_i^{\mathrm{r}}(t) , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{r}_i(t+\Delta t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{r}_i(t) + \frac{\Delta t}{m_i} \mathbf{f}_i^{\mathrm{r}}(t) , \qquad (2.8)$$

where  $m_i$  is the mass of particle *i*. The random force acts on the position and the velocity of the particles only, not on the acceleration. The reason is that if we would do this, we would have a (direct, not via the *forces* computed in the present propagation step) contribution of the random forces in the corrector step, leading to a higher instability, so that the time-step  $\Delta t$  had to be chosen smaller.

Thermostatting can be done in different reference frames and sometimes it is not appropriate to thermostat in all spacial dimensions. We usually use the center of walls of the two walls (if present) as reference frame and thermostat in all dimensions. This choice is symmetric with respect to the wall motion. Other choices will be mentioned wherever there is fit to do so. When wall particles are at fixed positions only the fluid can be thermostatted. If wall atoms were elastically bound, we usually only thermostatted the walls.

The friction force on the particles is the first deterministic contribution to the force at the propagated time  $\mathbf{f}_i^{\mathrm{d}}(t + \Delta t)$ ,

$$\mathbf{f}_{i}^{\mathrm{d}}(t+\Delta t) = -m\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{r}_{i}(t+\Delta t) \ . \tag{2.9}$$

The random contribution in the velocities from the previous predictor step enter here. Friction force and random force counterbalance in a way that in equilibrium detailed balance is fulfilled.

We note in passing that the quality of the random numbers is not crucial in MD simulations as there are so many particles in the simulation that the system would be ergodic anyways. For generation of random numbers we use the "ranlux" (pseudo-) random number generator [47, 48] for which a Fortran90 module was publicly available. An advantage of this random number generator is that the "quality level" (or "luxury level") can be set. It has been tested extensively and is believed to pass any known test for luxury level 2 (in a range from 0 to 4) and higher. We use level 2 in our simulations. In particular a test using random walks has been performed in [49] and an investigation of the correlation of different sequences of random numbers with regard to MD-simulations was done in [50] where ranlux passed and the commonly used R250 failed.

#### 2.5.3 Interactions

Interaction forces are calculated using the positions from the predictor step (including the random contribution) and added to the deterministic force. In our model these forces are two body potential forces  $\mathbf{f}_i^c$  which depend only on the position of the particles,

$$\mathbf{f}_{i}^{\mathrm{d}}(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{f}_{i}^{\mathrm{d}}(t+\Delta t) + \mathbf{f}_{i}^{\mathrm{c}}\left(\left\{\mathbf{r}_{i}(t+\Delta t), \ i=1,\ldots,N_{\mathrm{particles}}\right\}\right)$$
(2.10)

	$N_{\rm order} = 2$	$N_{\rm order} = 3$	$N_{\rm order} = 4$	$N_{\rm order} = 5$
$C_0^{\rm c}$	0	1/6	19/120	3/16
$C_1^{\rm c}$	1	5/6	3/4	251/360
$C_2^{\rm c}$	1	1	1	1
$C_3^{\rm c}$	_	1/3	1/2	11/18
$C_4^{\rm c}$	—	—	1/12	1/6
$C_5^{\rm c}$	—	—	—	1/60

Table 2.1: Corrector coefficients for the GEAR predictor corrector algorithm.

#### 2.5.4 Corrector Step

The most difficult part of the GEAR predictor corrector algorithm is the correction step after which a propagation step is completed. In this step, positions and derivatives are corrected proportionally to the difference between computed and predicted force (or equivalently acceleration),

$$\frac{(\Delta t)^n}{n!}\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}\mathbf{r}_i(t+\Delta t) = \frac{(\Delta t)^n}{n!}\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}\mathbf{r}_i(t+\Delta t) + C_n^c\frac{(\Delta t)^2}{2}\left(\frac{\mathbf{f}_i^d(t+\Delta t)}{m} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\mathbf{r}_i(t+\Delta t)\right)$$
(2.11)

Here,  $C_n^c$  is the *n*-th corrector coefficient. The correction does not depend directly on the random forces. Thus, mainly the physical trajectory is corrected and stability is improved. By correcting this way, the effect of the random forces is weakened as compared to treating them like deterministic forces. This resulted in a system temperature (measured by the kinetic energy of the fluid particles) which was about  $10^{-4} T$  too low in equilibrium.

GEAR devised the correction coefficients to minimize errors such that the local truncation error is of  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{N_{\text{order}}+1})$  for linear differential equations [51]. For second-order differential equations the global error is then  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{N_{\text{order}}-1})$ . We list the corrector coefficients in table 2.5.4 following Ref. [37].

For monitoring stability of the integration algorithm we found empirically that a difference in the deviation with magnitude

$$\left|\frac{(\Delta t)^2}{2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}_i^{\mathrm{d}}(t+\Delta t)}{m} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{r}_i(t+\Delta t)\right)\right| > 0.001$$
(2.12)

was an indication for the onset of instability. For typical simulation runs this value was  $\leq 0.0004$ .

#### 2.5.5 Pressure Tensor

For controlling pressure, the pressure tensor of the complete system [52, 53]

$$P^{ab}(t) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \frac{1}{V} \left[ \sum_{\text{fluid atoms } i} m_i v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) + \sum_{\text{interacting pairs } ij} F^a_{ij}(t) r^b_{ij}(t) \right]$$
(2.13)

could be recorded during a simulation.  $v_i(t)$  are the peculiar velocities, i.e. measured in the center of mass of the fluid. For conservative forces,  $P^{ab}$  is symmetric. For elastic walls the normalization to the volume is difficult, and the average z-positions of the wall were used for obtaining the total volume of the system containing lines connecting interacting particles ("force lines"). For a discussion of the forces lines see [54]. We note that an apparent problem in deriving the pressure tensor in systems with periodic boundary conditions can be avoided in a derivation using momentum fluxes and imaginary planes for pair-wise interactions [37, App. B]. The pressure tensor could be used for viscosity measurements like in [55].

## 2.6 Initializing Configurations

At the beginning of a simulation, a starting configuration has to be created. While in three dimensional space usually this does not pose any severe problem, the situation changes for restricted geometries. In 3-d, one could just start with a large simulation box, create random walk polymers and then compress the system. With this method it is straightforward to create a dense bulk melt or a dense thick film, however it is not possible to similarly create a sub-monolayer of a flat and dense polymer film, as upon compression chain crossings occur due to chains which lie over each other. This effect can happen even for low densities, yet for a single chain which crosses itself. Configurations where chains lie over each other are not equilibrium configurations and equilibrate very slowly. Hence this situation is to be avoided and the simulation program provides subroutines for detecting them (but this alone does not help to completely avoid the problem). In section 5.6 we will demonstrate the dramatic effect of crossed chains on the wall motion.

The touchstone for the creation of a thin polymer film is the single chain in two dimensions. If one chain can be created, several chains can be fit in the system up to very high densities by creating scaled down chains which are expanded in the course of the simulation (or the system size is continously decreased). The method we use consists in starting the simulation with monomers with reduced LJ-radius  $\sigma$  which is linearly increased during the simulation. When  $\sigma$  is increased, particles can feel a very strong repulsion leading to an instability of the integration algorithm. The LJ interaction is therefore cut off at a maximum value or, equivalently, the particle distance is cut off at a distance of closest allowed approach. This closest approach is gradually reduced to zero in an initial relaxation phase of the simulation. In addition, overstretched bonds (bond-length  $\geq R_0$ ) are 'repaired' by placing monomers closer to each other instantaneously. These two mechanisms make the program more tolerant towards high energy configurations during the initialization phase.

We now comment on the construction of polymers themselves, where we will focus on the "recoil-growth" algorithm with which we could construct chains up to length N = 2048.

#### 2.6.1 CBMC and Recoil Growth Algorithm

The fact that it becomes increasingly difficult to construct of a polymer of growing length N, especially in dense systems, is known as "attrition problem" [56]. It originates from the fact that a monomer which we want to add to a polymer can hit a position already occupied. This happens in two and three dimensions alike.

There is an important difference, however, which is related to the POLYA-problem in mathematics. It states that two dimensional random walks are "recurrent", i.e. will surely return to the start point. On the contrary, three dimensional random walks are not recurrent (they are "transient") and will not return to the origin. This shows that the attrition problem is more severe in two dimensions than in three.

The most basic approach for constructing a polymer is a self avoiding random walk. This works not very well even for 3-d systems as the probability for the chain construction rate falls exponentially,  $p = \exp[-\lambda(d)N]$  [34, 56]. The random walk is 'blind' for excluded volume, so to say. A considerable improvement can be achieved by the Configurational Bias Monte Carlo scheme (CBMC) [36, Chap. 13] based on a scheme proposed by ROSENBLUTH and ROSENBLUTH [57] with an additional bias introduced to recover the correct distribution in a Monte Carlo scheme. At each step during the construction of the polymer the interaction energies of  $N_{choice}$ trial directions for setting the next bond are calculated and one direction is chosen according to its BOLTZMANN weight, so that low energy configurations are strongly favored.

An implicit assumption of the CBMC algorithm is that it does not matter at which position we are for adding the next monomer. At some positions it is however not possible to grow the chain any further, namely when the chain has grown into a "dead-end" as depicted in figure 2.2 where after growing from position 3 to 3' there is no way to go anymore for a bond, the particle at 3' is completely blocked. In 3-d this situation is relatively rare and CBMC has become a standard simulation technique if the density is not too high in which case local propagation is to be preferred. As in 2-d it becomes more and more likely for a chain to see itself and consequently trap itself (the chain can just not not grow 'over' itself as in 3-d) CBMC will fail even for single chains in 2-d and we found that in our implementation we could not construct chains longer than  $N \approx 100$  repeat units within an acceptable time. We used the CBMC for initializing short polymers which were further equilibrated by MD simulation runs for the simulations in chapter 5.

The reason for this behavior is the 'shortsightedness' of the CBMC method, it can not recoil back to position 3 and try another direction (4 in our example) after it becomes trapped in 3'. Curing this ill-behavior was the motivation for the recoilgrowth (RG) algorithm [34, 35]. For presenting it we follow the more pedagogical description in [36, Sect. 13.7]. Because this method is a generalization of the CBMC method we abstain from describing CBMC separately. A good description of the CBMC method can be found in [36, Sect. 13.2]. Results for single chains can be found in chapter A.

The basic idea of the RG algorithm is antique and is similar to the problem of systematically finding a way out of a maze. At a crossing one takes the leftmost turn and makes a mark, if one has entered a dead-end one goes back to the last crossing where not all branches are already tried out and takes the leftmost branch still not tried. If there is a way out it is found surely, unless one dies from starvation first (or there are loops in the maze, in this case any recursive algorithm can end up in an infinite loop [58]). This is pretty much the recoil-growth algorithm. We may identify the way out of a maze with the growing of a chain, each step in a certain direction is a bond created, and if the algorithms has to go back too far it discards the chain.



Figure 2.2: When conventional CBMC fails: When trying to grow the polymer past position 3' all directions are blocked and CBMC fails. The RG scheme on the contrary retracts to position 3 and can find 4 and continue growing the full chain.

The difficulty lies in the computation of the correct weight of the conformation.

The following steps are carried out for the generation of a new chain:

1. Place the first monomer 1 at the random trial position  $\mathbf{t}_1$ . Compute the probability

$$p_1^{\text{open}}(\mathbf{t}_1) = \min\{1, \exp[-\beta u(\mathbf{t}_1)]\}$$
 (2.14)

that this position with interaction energy  $u(\mathbf{t}_1)$  is "open". If it is "open", i.e. does not have a very high energy, continue with step 2.

2. Generate a trial position  $\mathbf{t}_{i+1}$  from monomer *i*, compute  $p_i^{\text{open}}(\mathbf{t}_i)$  and decide if this is an open direction. If not make another try unless  $N_{\text{choice}}$  trial positions were discarded, because they were "closed". As soon as an open direction is found, proceed to step 3.

If no open trial direction is found, make a recoil step and retract to monomer i-1 (if existing) and try to find an open position  $\mathbf{t}_{i-1}$ . If no open trial direction is found at monomer i-1 recoil back to i-2, and so on. A maximal number of  $N_{\text{recoil}}$  recoil steps is allowed. If this number is exceeded we restart chain building from step 1.

- 3. Add monomer  $i N_{\text{recoil}}$  'permanently' to the chain, as recoiling can now only reach  $i N_{\text{recoil}} + 1$ .
- 4. Repeat step 2 and 3 unless the complete chain of length N has been grown.

Now a polymer has been built and we have to decide on its acceptance. A chain is accepted if it has low energy and high weight, i.e. has a very likely conformation. Compared to the CBMC scheme the RG algorithm is very efficient, as is does the weight calculation only if a complete chain has been constructed. The weight for the new chain is obtained as follows: 1. At monomer *i* we have found in the construction part that at least one trial direction out of  $k_{\text{checked}} \leq N_{\text{choice}}$  did not 'die' within the next  $N_{\text{recoil}}$  steps. We now test the remaining  $k_{\text{rest}} = N_{\text{choice}} - k_{\text{checked}}$  directions to find out how many "feelers" of length min $(N_{\text{recoil}}, N - i)$  can be grown (at the chain end we stop, thus the min() condition). Let the number of directions where such a feeler can be grown be  $1 \leq m_i(new) \leq 1 + k_{\text{rest}}$ . It can be shown (and this is the most difficult part of the RG algorithm) that monomer *i* contributes the factor

$$w_i(new) = \frac{m_i(new)}{p_i^{\text{open}}} , \qquad (2.15)$$

to the total weight of the chain.

- 2. Repeat step 1 for the whole chain. At the chain end, no feelers can be grown and one just sets  $m_N(new) = 1$  as this is the minimal value for each *i*.
- 3. The weight for the whole new chain is given by

$$W(new) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} w_i(new) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m_i(new)}{p_i^{\text{open}}(new)} .$$
(2.16)

For the weight calculation of the old chain we have to generate  $N_{\text{choice}} - 1$  trial directions at each monomer but the last and proceed exactly as for the new chain by counting the number of feelers to obtain

$$W(old) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} w_i(old) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m_i(old)}{p_i^{\text{open}}(old)} .$$
 (2.17)

Note that the weight for the old chain depends on the trial positions chosen each time the weight is calculated, but the average value reaches a constant.

Finally, the new chain is accepted with probability

$$P_{\rm acc}(old \to new) = \min\left\{1, \frac{W(new)\exp[-\beta U(new)]}{W(old)\exp[-\beta U(old)]}\right\}$$
(2.18)

which fulfills detailed balance. U denotes to total internal energy of the chains.

Some remarks are in order. The criterion of "openness",  $p_i^{\text{open}}$  can be chosen differently, it affects efficiency. Taking it to be the BOLTZMANN weight works well for LJ-interactions. The algorithm creates a random tree on the fly and searches through it until it finds a complete chain. Thus, it works with the smallest number of trial directions possible leading to high efficiency. The number of choices for trial positions  $N_{\text{choice}}$  need not be an integer, it can be chosen differently at each *i* with an average value  $N_{\text{choice}}$ . Setting  $N_{\text{recoil}} = 1$  leads to a scheme which resembles CBMC. While we found that for 2-d systems  $N_{\text{recoil}}$  is not affecting efficiency very strongly, it is very sensitive on  $N_{\text{choice}}$  which had to be adjusted to 4 significant digits for our longest chains with N = 2048, as we show in Fig. A.2.

To our best knowledge this is the first time the recoil-growth algorithm was used for a study of 2-d chains.



Figure 2.3: After measuring the distance  $\overline{AB}$  one can calculate the number of monomers which can not interact with A by dividing  $\overline{AB}$  by the maximal interaction range and skip this number of monomers, calculating again only for C.

#### 2.6.2 Intra-Chain Energy Calculation

Every time a new trial position is defined, its potential energy must be computed, which includes the intra-chain energy. A straightforward approach would be to just loop over the  $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$  (here N is the present length of the chain) possible pairs. A single chain is not very dense, so geometrical binning is not very efficient and has to be done dynamically when a chain is constructed, which is CPU-intensive. One can however use the topology of the chain to reduce the prefactor for the energy calculation. Let us turn to Fig. 2.3. For calculating the interaction energy between monomers at positions A and B we need to know the distance  $\overline{AB}$ . If  $\overline{AB}$  is larger than the maximal interaction range  $r_{\rm cut}$  then we know that the next  $[\overline{AB}/r_{\rm cut}]$ monomers along the chain backbone in direction A can not interact with A, as a straight line is the shortest connection between A and B. We so obtain the next possible interaction candidate, C. In our figure, we can only skip a few monomers at C, but still some. By employing this method for calculating the intra-chain energy, a factor 10 in computing time could be saved for single chains with N = 1024.

# Chapter 3

# Statics and Dynamics of Polymer Melts

## **3.1** Introduction

Polymers are macromolecules each consisting of a chain of repeat units linked together by chemical bonds [1]. They are of great industrial and biological importance – one may only think of the vast number of products made of plastics which surround us and of the DNA molecules in cells. Their properties are not only determined by their chemical characteristics, but also by their static structure and dynamic properties.

Many polymers are found in the amorphous or glassy state, i.e. they are solid but retain a liquid-like structure when they are cooled to solidification. As opposed to a crystal, a liquid is characterized by the absence of long range periodic ordering. When a glass forming substance is cooled, the relaxation time grows by many orders of magnitude in a small temperature range near the glass transition temperature [4, 5, 8]. This effect is used to define the experimental glass temperature  $T_g$  at which the viscosity reaches a value of  $10^{14}$ Poise corresponding to relaxation times of days.

The microscopic understanding of the nature of the glass transition is a challenging problem in contemporary condensed matter physics. A very successful approach is the mode-coupling theory (MCT), see e.g. [6–8] and references therein. MCT predicts that due to non-linear coupling of the particles, there exists a critical temperature  $T_c$  above the experimental glass temperature  $T_g$  where the dynamics changes qualitatively. At  $T_c$  complete structural arrest is predicted in the most basic version of MCT, the "ideal MCT". Sufficiently close to  $T_c$  the dynamics is determined by the mutual blocking of the particle by the shell of its nearest neighbors, the so-called "cage-effect". In the 'extended' form of MCT, total structural arrest is circumvented by the inclusion of alternative relaxation channels [59–61]. These corrections become important only very close to  $T_c$ . Originally developed for simple liquids, the encouraging success of MCT in describing experimental and computer simulation data [7] stimulated the extension to the inclusion of orientational degrees of freedom [62–64].

In previous studies [14–16] the ideal MCT of simple liquids was found to describe well our simulation data for polymers of length 10 repeat units close to, but not too close to the critical MCT temperature  $T_c$ . The quality of the description provided by the idealized MCT for simple liquids is insofar surprising, as that in a simple liquid each particle can move independently, whereas the presence of bonds in polymer melts gives rise to specific chain effects due to the steric constraints.

Recently, an extension of MCT for polymers was proposed by CHONG and FUCHS [19] which included full information on intra-chain conformations. This theoretical development stimulated the investigation of the static structure under full consideration of the polymeric character of the system, i.e. the dependence of the structure on the place a monomer has on the polymer backbone. This allowed for the test of the validity of the assumptions made in Ref. [19]. Furthermore, we calculated the static and dynamic structure of our polymer melt model including the centers of mass (CM) of the polymers. We are therefore able to discuss the role of the CM-motion in our system.

Very often in liquid theory and MCT, approximations are used which neglect three particle correlations. In light of recent MCT investigations which included the three particle direct correlation function  $c_3$  [65, 66] and reported significant improvements of the descriptions with respect to molecular dynamics simulation results for molecular liquids, we also calculated the three particle structure factors, from which  $c_3$  can be obtained.

### **3.2** Model Details

This section briefly describes the polymer model used in the simulations. More details may be found in [12, 17]. We used a well established "bead-spring" polymer model first proposed by KREMER and GREST where monomers interact via LENNARD-JONES (LJ) interaction and chain connectivity is assured by a FENE potential as defined in section 2.3. The number of monomers (repeat units) was N = 10 in all simulations. In the following all quantities are given in Lennard-Jones units. That is, distance, temperature and time are measured in units of  $\sigma$ ,  $\epsilon/k_{\rm B}$  ( $k_{\rm B} = \text{BOLTZMANN's constant}$ ), and  $(m\sigma^2/\epsilon)^{1/2}$ , respectively. The monomers mass m is set to unity.

The LJ-potential included a part of the long range interaction, with a cut-off radius  $r_{\rm cut} = 2r_{\rm min}$ . Here,  $r_{\rm min} = 2^{1/6}\sigma = 1.1225\sigma$  is the minimum of the (full) LJ- potential. The FENE-potential parameters were set to  $R_0 = 1.5$  and k = 30. The superposition of the LJ- and FENE potentials leads to a steep effective bond potential with a sharp minimum at  $r_{\rm bond} = 0.9606$ , cf. Fig. 2.1. This prevents bonds from crossing each other in the course of the simulation.

The length scale  $r_{\text{bond}}$  has a further consequence. If there was no bond potential, the monomer fluid would crystallize at low temperature, the lattice spacing being close to  $r_{\text{min}}$ . The bond potential locally distorts the regular arrangement of the monomers. It favors the inter-monomer distance  $r_{\text{bond}}$  which is incompatible with  $r_{\text{min}}$ . Thus, the competition between  $r_{\text{bond}}$  and  $r_{\text{min}}$  hinders crystallization.

However, this competition alone is not sufficient to preclude crystallization [67–69]. The chains should also be flexible. This has recently been pointed out by simulations of a bead-spring model in which large bond angles are favored by a bending potential [68, 69]. This leads to an increase of chain stiffness at low temperatures. The interplay of stiffness and excluded volume interactions suffices to induce crystallization from the melt. Contrary to that, the chains of our model are flexible. In the whole temperature range studied, the end-to-end distance ( $R_e^2 \simeq 12.3$ ) and the radius of gyration ( $R_g^2 \simeq 2.09$ ) are almost constant, and the collective static structure factor is typical of an amorphous material [15, 70].

The simulations were performed in two steps [12, 17, 67]: First, the volume of the simulation box was determined in a constant pressure simulation at p = 1. Then, this volume was fixed and the simulations were continued in the canonical ensemble (NOSÉ-HOOVER thermostat). Periodic boundary conditions were applied in all three spatial directions of the cubic simulation box. The number of polymers was in the range 100 – 120. We simulated at T = 0.46, 0.47, 0.48, 0.50, 0.52, 0.55, 0.6, 0.65, 0.7 and 1. These temperatures correspond to monomer densities ranging between  $1.04 \ge \rho \ge 0.91$ . The critical temperature of MCT was estimated to be  $T_c = 0.450 \pm 0.005$  [16, 45]. The temperature range in which simulations were done thus covers temperatures very close to  $T_c$  up to temperatures were all features of a glassy liquid are absent.

#### **3.3 Basic Notations**

In the following, let  $\mathbf{r}_i^a(t)$  denote the position of the *a*th monomer in the *i*th chain at time *t*. We may drop the explicit time dependence for static quantities. Here,  $a = 1, \ldots, N$  is the monomer index and  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  the chain index. Hence we have M = nN monomers in the melt of volume *V*. Furthermore, let  $\rho_n$  and  $\rho_m$  denote the chain and monomer number densities, defined by

$$\rho_{\rm n} \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \frac{n}{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\rm m} \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \frac{M}{V} \ .$$
(3.1)

The position of the center of mass of the ith chain at time t is written

$$\mathbf{R}_{i}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}(t) . \qquad (3.2)$$

The (squared) radius of gyration  $R_{\rm g}^2$  and (squared) end-to-end distance  $R_{\rm e}^2$  are in this notation

$$R_{\rm g}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{M} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{a=1}^N \left( \mathbf{r}_i^a - \mathbf{R}_i \right)^2 \right\rangle, \qquad (3.3)$$

$$R_{\rm g}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \mathbf{r}_i^1 - \mathbf{r}_i^N \right)^2 \right\rangle \,. \tag{3.4}$$

We introduce the FOURIER-transformed monomer densities at wave vector  $\mathbf{q}$ ,

$$\rho_i^a(\mathbf{q},t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp\left[\mathbf{i}\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_i^a(t)\right] , \qquad (3.5)$$

$$\rho^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{q},t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \exp\left[\mathbf{i}\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}(t)\right] , \qquad (3.6)$$

$$\rho(\mathbf{q},t) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\N}}^{n} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \exp\left[\mathrm{i}\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}(t)\right] , \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\rho^{\mathrm{C}}(\mathbf{q},t) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp\left[\mathrm{i}\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{i}(t)\right] \,. \tag{3.8}$$

In our notation we use a superscript "p" for indicating polymer quantities, the subscript "s" stands for self, and "C" indicates the center of mass. Upper indices a and b are monomer indices along the backbone of the polymer. A subscript "A" will be used to collect all other dependencies except time.

## **3.4** Density Correlators

The density correlators or structure factors are often used for the description of the structure and the dynamics of a liquid. We can now list the various density correlators which result from the coupling of the different densities. Here,  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes the canonical average, i.e. the mean of all independent configurations simulated. We note that in a homogeneous and isotropic system, all two-particle quantities like the density correlators depend on the distance or equivalently on the length of the reciprocal vector only.

We start with the monomer separated structure factor, which is the sum of the intra-polymer and the distinct contribution,

$$S^{ab}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle \rho^a(\mathbf{q},t)\rho^b(\mathbf{q},0)^* \right\rangle = S^{ab}_{\text{s}}(q,t) + S^{ab}_{\text{d}}(q,t) .$$
(3.9)

where we defined the monomer resolved (or separated) structure factor of a polymer

$$w^{ab}(q,t) \equiv S^{ab}_{s}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle \rho^{a}_{s}(\mathbf{q},t)\rho^{b}_{s}(\mathbf{q},0)^{*} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{n} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left\{ i\mathbf{q} \cdot \left[\mathbf{r}^{a}_{i}(t) - \mathbf{r}^{b}_{i}(0)\right] \right\} \right\rangle, \quad (3.10)$$

and the monomer separated structure factor of the melt from distinct chains

$$S_{\rm d}^{ab}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \left\langle \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} \exp\left\{ i\mathbf{q} \cdot \left[\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}(t) - \mathbf{r}_{j}^{b}(0)\right] \right\} \right\rangle.$$
(3.11)

Averaging over the monomer pairs (a, b) leads to the intermediate scattering function of the melt,

$$S(q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \rho(\mathbf{q},t)\rho(\mathbf{q},0)^* \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a,b=1}^N S^{ab}(q,t) = w(q,t) + S_{\mathrm{d}}(q,t) , \qquad (3.12)$$

where S(q) is referred to as the static structure factor of the melt.

The structure factor of the chain is given by

$$w(q,t) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \langle \rho^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{q},t)^* \rho^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{q},t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a,b=1}^N w^{ab}(q,t) .$$
(3.13)

Similarly, one obtains the distinct part of S(q, t),

$$S_{\rm d}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} S_{\rm d}^{ab}(q,t) \;.$$
 (3.14)

Furthermore, we can analogously define the structure factor of the centers of mass of the polymers,

$$S^{\mathcal{C}}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \rho^{\mathcal{C}}(q,t) \rho^{\mathcal{C}}(q,0)^* \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \Big\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^n \exp\{ i\mathbf{q} \cdot [\mathbf{R}_i(t) - \mathbf{R}_j(0)] \} \Big\rangle .$$
(3.15)

Coupling the monomer and center of mass densities leads to the structure factor of the *a*th monomer relative to the center of mass. We separate again in self (intrachain) and distinct contributions,

$$S_{\rm s}^{a,{\rm C}}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle \rho_i^a(q,t)\rho^{\rm C}(q,0)^* \right\rangle = \frac{1}{n} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{ i\mathbf{q} \cdot \left[\mathbf{r}_i^a(t) - \mathbf{R}_i(0)\right] \right\} \right\rangle.$$
(3.16)

When summing over all monomers we obtain (note that there is no prefactor 1/N here)

$$S_{\rm s}^{\rm m,C}(q) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \sum_{a=1}^{N} S_{\rm s}^{a,C}(q,t) \;.$$
 (3.17)

Likewise,

$$S_{\rm d}^{a,\rm C}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \Big\langle \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} \exp\left\{ i\mathbf{q} \cdot [\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}(t) - \mathbf{R}_{j}(0)] \right\} \Big\rangle , \qquad (3.18)$$

and the corresponding

$$S_{\rm d}^{\rm m,C}(q) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \sum_{a=1}^{N} S_{\rm d}^{a,C}(q,t) \;.$$
 (3.19)

The sum of both contributions is

$$S^{a,C}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S^{a,C}_{s}(q,t) + S^{a,C}_{d}(q,t) ,$$
  

$$S^{m,C}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S^{m,C}_{s}(q) + S^{m,C}_{d}(q) .$$
(3.20)

By normalizing the time dependent quantities defined above by their static value at t = 0 we obtain their corresponding dynamic correlation functions ("correlators")

$$\phi^{\mathbf{x}}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S^{\mathbf{x}}(q,t) / S^{\mathbf{x}}(q,0) , \qquad (3.21)$$

where the superscript "x" stands for any of the aforementioned quantities.

# 3.5 Consideration of Periodic Boundary Conditions

Since all density correlators defined above are real,  $\mathbf{q}$  can be chosen from say, the positive half reciprocal space. For all reciprocal vectors  $\mathbf{q}$  and all dimensions  $\alpha$  in which periodic boundary conditions are used, the periodic boundary conditions employed in the simulations require

$$\exp\{iq_{\alpha}r_{\alpha}\} = \exp\{iq_{\alpha}(r_{\alpha} + L_{\alpha})\}, \qquad (3.22)$$

where  $L_{\alpha}$  is the linear dimension of the simulation volume the  $\alpha$ th direction of the *d*-dimensional system. It follows that every reciprocal vector which is compliant with the periodic boundary conditions can be written in the following form

$$\mathbf{q} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} \frac{2\pi}{L_{\alpha}} \hat{e}_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} , \quad n_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z} , \qquad (3.23)$$

with  $\hat{e}_{\alpha}$  denoting the unit vector in direction  $\alpha$ .

When one is interested in static quantities, it is crucial for computational efficiency to calculate first  $S^{ab}(q,0)$  and  $S^{ab}_{s}(q,0)$  and to obtain the difference  $S^{ab}_{d}(q,0)$ only afterwards, because the double sums in the former two quantities can be rewritten as a product of two simple sums. Additionally, the monomer resolved static quantities are symmetric in a and b, so that they need to be calculated for  $a \leq b$ only (with  $S^{aa}_{s}(q,0) \equiv 1 \quad \forall a$ ).

To improve statistics it is necessary to average over a range of  $q = |\mathbf{q}|$ , i.e. to put all  $\mathbf{q}$  with  $q - \Delta q/2 \leq |\mathbf{q}| \leq q + \Delta q/2$  in a bin labeled q, provided that the average of the moduli  $|\mathbf{q}|$  in this bin is close to the nominal value of the bin. When we quote a value  $S^{\mathbf{x}}(q, t)$  we therefore actually mean the average

$$S^{\mathbf{x}}(q,t) = \frac{\sum_{\{\mathbf{q}|q - \Delta q/2 \le |\mathbf{q}| \le q + \Delta q/2\}} S^{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{q},t)}{\left|\{\mathbf{q}|q - \Delta q/2 \le |\mathbf{q}| \le q + \Delta q/2\}\right|} .$$
(3.24)

The bin width  $\Delta q$  was typically 0.2. It had to be chosen in general bigger for small q where it is more difficult to collect sufficiently many q-vectors. The number of q-vectors per bin was restricted to 1000 in order to save CPU-time. Dynamic scattering functions were computed for the following set of q-values: 0.6, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 6.5, 6.9, 7.15, 7.5, 8.0, 8.5, 9.5, 10.25, 11.0 12.8, 14.0, 15.0, 16.0, 17.5, 19.0, 20.5, and 22.0.

## **3.6** Direct Correlation Functions

Another important quantity for the description of liquids is the direct correlation function which we will introduce in real space for a simple liquid (see e.g. [53]).

The pair correlation function g(r),

$$g(r) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \frac{1}{\rho_{\rm m} N} \left\langle \sum_{i \neq j} \delta(r - |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|) \right\rangle, \qquad (3.25)$$
is proportional to the probability to find another particle at distance r from a particle at the origin. For very long distances g(r) approaches unity,

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} g(r) = \frac{N-1}{N} \stackrel{N \to \infty}{\to} 1.$$
(3.26)

A directly related quantity is the total correlation function  $h(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(r) - 1$  which fluctuates around 0.

While h(r) measures the integrated contribution of all particles at once, the direct correlation function c(r) measures only the two-particle contribution. The ORNSTEIN-ZERNIKE equation connects h(r) and c(r) in the following way:

$$h(r) = c(r) + \rho_{\rm m} \int dr' c(r') h(r - r') , \qquad (3.27)$$

which expresses h(r) as the two-particle contribution c(r) and the integral over c(r') weighed with h at the distance r - r'.

The ORNSTEIN-ZERNIKE equation in reciprocal space reads

$$h(q) = c(q) + \rho_{\rm m} c(q) h(q) = c(q) [1 + \rho_{\rm m} h(q)] , \qquad (3.28)$$

by virtue of the folding theorem of FOURIER theory. The structure factor can also be written in term of the total correlation function as

$$S(q) = 1 + \rho_{\rm m} h(q)$$
. (3.29)

In this equation the one comes from the self contribution of the particle and  $\rho_{\rm m}h(q)$  from the other particles.

Combining the last two equations yields

$$\rho_{\rm m} c(q) = \frac{\rho_{\rm m} h(q)}{S(q)} = 1 - S(q)^{-1} .$$
(3.30)

For a polymeric system the generalized equations analogous to Eq. (3.28) and (3.29) including the site-dependence of molecular systems read [71],

$$h^{ab}(q) = \sum_{c,d} dw^{ac}(q) c^{cd}(q) \left[ w^{db}(q) + \rho_{n} h^{db}(q) \right] = \sum_{c,d} w^{ac}(q) c^{cd}(q) S^{db}(q) , \quad (3.31)$$

$$S^{ab}(q) = w^{ac}(q) + \rho_{\rm n} h^{ab}(q) .$$
(3.32)

Note that we use the chain number density  $\rho_n$ . The generalized site-site ORNSTEIN-ZERNIKE equation (3.31) is also known as RISM (Reference Site Interaction Model) equation;  $c^{ab}(q)$  is the site-site direct correlation function.

We then obtain for the direct correlation function matrix

$$\rho_{n}c^{ab}(q) = \left[w^{ab}(q)\right]^{-1} - \left[S^{ab}(q)\right]^{-1}$$
(3.33)

For later reference we note that the monomer pair averaged quantities satisfy

$$h(q) = w(q)c(q)[w(q) + \rho_{n}h(q)],$$
  

$$S(q) = w(q) + \rho_{n}h(q),$$
  

$$\rho_{n}c(q) = \frac{1}{w(q)} - \frac{1}{S(q)}.$$
(3.34)

Given the structure factors  $w^{ab}(q)$  and  $S^{ab}(q)$ , the calculation of the direct correlation function matrix  $c^{ab}(q)$  can be done by matrix inversion for which we used the LAPACK library [72, 73].

#### 3.7 Fast Calculation of Static Structure Factors

When calculating the static structure factors one needs  $S^{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{q})$  for a significant subset of all allowed reciprocal lattice vectors  $\mathbf{q}$  up to a maximal length  $q_{\text{cut}}$ . Excellent statistics was needed in order to have sufficiently good data even for the monomerpair separated structure factors whose statistics is  $1/N^2$  worse than the collective structure factor.

To get a better understanding of the number of operations involved in the computation of the structure factors we first note that by expansion of the real part in trigonometric terms we can write

$$MS(\mathbf{q}) = \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} \exp\{ i\mathbf{q} \cdot [\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a} - \mathbf{r}_{j}^{b}] \} \right\rangle$$
  
$$= \left\langle \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \cos\{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}\} \right)^{2} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \sin\{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}\} \right)^{2} \right\rangle.$$
(3.35)

Here, we used S(q) as an example, but for the other structure factors we can write similar expressions. With this rewriting one immediately sees that the number of operations for the computation of  $S^x$  scales with the number of particles nN = M. As the structure factors are real, one can restrict the calculation of the say, positive half q-space.

A naive approach would be to loop over all vectors  $\mathbf{q}$  in the half q-space and hence compute  $\mathcal{O}(Mq_{\text{cut}}^d)$  sines and cosines in a d-dimensional system.

One strategy for reducing the number of operations is to use only a subset of all  $\mathbf{q}$  at a certain length q, provided that statistics is sufficient. For achieving low noise in the data this subset must be rather large, and consequently the savings are not very significant.

There is a faster way, however, and we are grateful to H. MEYER for pointing this out to us [74]. In this method we loop over the total half reciprocal space up to  $q_{\rm cut}$  and employ a strategy for replacing CPU-intensive trigonometric calculations by simple additions and multiplications. Unfortunately, one has to loop over the lattice systematically so that this method is not compatible with the selection of subsets for each q.

The basic idea is quite simple and is based on the observation that all sines and cosines can be decomposed using the addition theorems in the following way (here written for a cosine):

$$\cos\{\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}\} = \cos\left\{2\pi\sum_{\alpha=1}^{d}\frac{n_{\alpha}r_{i,\alpha}^{a}}{L_{\alpha}}\right\}$$
$$\stackrel{d=2}{=}\cos\left(\frac{2\pi n_{1}r_{i,1}^{a}}{L_{1}}\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi n_{2}r_{i,2}^{a}}{L_{2}}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n_{1}r_{i,1}^{a}}{L_{1}}\right)\sin\left(\frac{2\pi n_{2}r_{i,2}^{a}}{L_{2}}\right).$$
(3.36)

For three dimensions a similar, lengthier expression is obtained.

We thus need only to compute the sines and cosines for each component and each particle and can obtain the trigonometric functions with arguments  $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i^a$  by multiplication. If intermediate results for lower dimension product terms are saved



Figure 3.1: Geometry of the vectors used for defining the three particle structure factor  $S_3(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})$ .

for d > 2 the number of operations can be further decreased and the calculation of the structure factor now needs only  $\mathcal{O}(Mq_{\rm cut})$  trigonometric terms and  $\mathcal{O}(Mq_{\rm cut}^d)$ additions and multiplications, which are much faster. For efficiency it is also advantageous to calculate all static structure factors at once by collecting the appropriate terms successively.

We note that we considered to use DE MOIVRE's formula

$$\cos(kx) + i\sin(kx) = [\cos(x) + i\sin(x)]^k$$

$$(3.37)$$

for replacing even more trigonometric calculations. However, there are two objections against doing so. First, the number of trigonometric terms to evaluate is  $\mathcal{O}(q_{\text{cut}}^{d-1})$  smaller than the number of other operations. Hence there is not much potential for saving CPU time. Second, for large integers k round-off errors could accumulate and introduce systematic deviations.

In appendix D.1 the program code for the calculation of the static structure factors is given.

## 3.8 Three Particle Structure Factors

The collective structure factor is given by  $S(\mathbf{q}) = \langle \rho(\mathbf{q})\rho(-\mathbf{q}) \rangle$ . It measures the distribution of two particles and consequently depends on one reciprocal vector  $\mathbf{q}$ . The dependence reduces for homogeneous, isotropic systems to the length of  $|\mathbf{q}| = q$ . A generalization to a three particle distribution is the three monomer structure factor which depends on two vectors  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  connecting three particles. The vector  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}$  is the third side of the triangle formed by the three particles, see Fig. 3.1.  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  span an angle  $\varphi$  given by the law of cosines,

$$\cos\varphi = \frac{q^2 + k^2 - p^2}{2qk} \,. \tag{3.38}$$

We now define the three particle structure factor for the melt,  $S_3(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})$  and for the polymer,  $S_3^{p}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})$ . For the melt the defining equation is

$$S_{3}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{nN} \langle \rho(-\mathbf{q})\rho(\mathbf{k})\rho(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{nN} \left\langle \sum_{i,j,l=1}^{n} \sum_{a,b,c=1}^{N} \exp\{i[-\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a}+\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}^{b}+(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c}]\}\right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{nN} \left\langle \sum_{i,j,l=1}^{n} \sum_{a,b,c=1}^{N} \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}^{b})\cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\cos(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a})\cos(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\right\rangle$$

$$+ \cos(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a})\cos(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}^{b})\sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})$$

$$+ \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\cos(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}^{b})\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a})$$

$$- \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\cos(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{a})\sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}^{c})\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a})$$

$$- \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\cos(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a})\sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a})$$

$$+ \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{b})\cos(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a})\sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})$$

$$+ \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}^{b})\cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{c}) \sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})$$

$$+ \sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}^{b})\sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a})\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})$$

$$+ \sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{j}^{b})\sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a})\sin(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{l}^{c})$$

The intra-polymer static three particle correlator  $S_3^{\rm p}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})$  is defined analogously with  $\rho$  replaced by  $\rho^{\rm p}$ .

For homogeneous, isotropic systems  $S_3$  depends on the moduli of the three vectors only,  $S_3(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) \equiv S_3(q, k, p)$ . We can furthermore exploit symmetry relations: First,  $S_3(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) = S_3(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k})$ , and we can choose without loss of generality  $|p| \leq |k|$ . We used this relation for improving statistics by a factor two. Second, from the reality of  $S_3$  we have  $S_3(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) = S_3^*(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) = S_3(-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{q})$ , i.e. symmetry under simultaneous reflection of  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})$ . Thus, we can choose one vector from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and the other one the semi-positive half-space  $\mathbb{R}_0^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$  without loosing information. Third, the triangle inequality  $|q-k| \leq p \leq |q+k|$  further restricts the possible combinations of vectors.

The triplet direct correlation function is related to the three particle structure factors by the triplet ORNSTEIN-ZERNIKE equation [75]

$$S_3(q,k,p) = S(q)S(k)S(p)\left[1 + \rho_{\rm m}^2 c_3(q,k,p)\right]$$
(3.40)

The often used convolution approximation [76] states  $c_3(q, k, p) \equiv 0$ , in other words, that there are no three body correlations which are not contained in the product of two particle correlations.

#### **3.8.1** Annotations on the Implementation of $S_3$

Even when using the symmetry relations and restrictions on the vectors above, looping over a six-dimensional space is not feasible even when using a sophisticated scheme for the calculation of trigonometric terms. In fact, what was needed were the values of  $S_3(k, p, q)$  at certain lattice points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Each lattice point corresponds to a bin of width  $\Delta q = 0.2$  in our calculation. If  $N_{\text{bin}}$  is the number of bins in one dimension, the problem is of order  $\mathcal{O}(N_{\text{bin}}^3)$ . At each of the lattice points given by the values for (q, k, p) a set of 100 vector tuples  $\{(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) \mid |\mathbf{q}| = q, |\mathbf{k}| = k, |\mathbf{p}| = p)\}$  was chosen and S(q, k, p) was taken to be the average value for this set, like it was described for the structure factors  $S^{x}$  in section 3.5.

We obtained  $S_3$  up to q = 40, that is  $N_{\text{bin}} = 200$ . Because of the numerical complexity of  $S_3$  it was extremely important to find a fast implementation.

We proceeded in several steps:

- 1. Create a mapping between all q and all  $\mathbf{q}$  of this length in the full reciprocal lattice and a mapping between all k and all  $\mathbf{k}$  of this length in the half reciprocal lattice.
- 2. Loop over the MCT-lattice values for q and k. Find all  $\mathbf{q}$  in this q-bin and all  $\mathbf{k}$  in this k-bin. Draw vector tuples  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})$  randomly and put them in bins according to  $|\mathbf{p}|$  until all p-bins are filled with (approximately) 100 vectors. The outermost bins for p have to be dropped, because only special entries in the lists for q and k can produce entries there. Remove duplicate entries in the lists of vectors  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  to avoid double computations.
- 3. From Eq. (3.39) one sees that  $S_3(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})$  can be decomposed in sines and cosines which depend either on  $\mathbf{q}$  or on  $\mathbf{k}$ . Save all sines and cosines for all monomers for every  $\mathbf{q}$  which is in the set of tuples we are considering and loop over the vectors  $\mathbf{k}$  and put the results in the proper (q, k, p) bin. Thus, every trigonometric function involving  $\mathbf{q}$  is evaluated only once for the given values of (q, k) at the cost of using lots of memory.

For the details it is best to refer to the program code for the analysis routines which is given in appendix D.2.

For validation of the code we checked the following relations. First, one has from the definition (3.39)  $S_3(q = 0, k, p = k) = S(k)$ , so for q = 0 (or k = 0) we could test the results of the  $S_3$  calculation against the data for the static structure factor which were obtained independently. Second, if all vectors to the lengths q and kwere used for the computation, the symmetry relation S(q, k, p) = S(q, p, k) must hold. If only a subset of vectors is used, this symmetry is increasingly well borne out for increased statistics and provides a measure for the fluctuations of the data. For further computations, the average  $\frac{1}{2}[S(q, k, p) + S(q, p, k)], p \leq k$  was used. Another method for obtaining the magnitude of the fluctuations would have been to compute the imaginary part of  $S_3$ , too. However, the already high computational effort would have been increased even more, so we did not pursue this option.

The 100  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})$  tuples in each (q, k, p) bin were drawn anew for each configuration. Hence, for bins where only a small subset was needed, the tuples chosen have only a small overlap. This is especially true at high values of the arguments. As a consequence, data-sets obtained for configurations which are not independent themselves could nevertheless be considered independent at high moduli of the wave vectors, increasing the statistical quality of the data. This is an improvement over the method used in [65] where one fixed set of vector tuples was used for all configurations. Data was accumulated for 1155 configurations. For one configuration 16 hours of CPU time were necessary to compute the  $S_3$  data up to q, k = 40 on a Pentium III processor with 1GHz clock speed.



Figure 3.2: Collective monomer static structure factor S(q) at all simulated temperatures. The peak at  $q_{\text{max}} \simeq 7.15$  decreases with increasing temperature.

## 3.9 Results for the Static Structure Factors

In this section we will present our results on the static structure of the polymer melt. We begin by the collective static structure factors of the chain and the melt. For all temperatures below T = 1.0, S(q) has already been presented in previous works [16, 77] for a smaller q-range, but we include it in our presentation for completeness. Due to the improved algorithm we could calculate the static structure factors up to q = 50 averaged over more than 1000 configurations, whereas in Ref. [77] only data for  $q \leq 20$  was obtained with less statistics.

#### 3.9.1 Monomer Quantities

In Fig. 3.2 we show S(q) at all temperatures which we simulated. The typical features of a liquid are seen: The nearest neighbor shell causes an "amorphous halo" around the maximum  $q_{\text{max}} \simeq 7.15$  of S(q). A crystal structure would show up as a very sharp peak in contrast. Oscillations around one are present for growing q. Upon cooling, the main peak at  $q_{\text{max}}$  grows and shifts to slightly higher q, as the melt becomes denser. The temperature dependence is most pronounced around  $q_{\text{max}}$ , but otherwise weak. For  $q \gtrsim 30$ , S(q) oscillates very regularly around unity. This is due to the intra-polymer contribution w(q) to S(q) as we will see in Fig. 3.3.

This figure displays the intra-chain contribution separately for all temperatures up to q = 20. Regular oscillations around unity continue for q > 20. We can rationalize this high-q behavior by the following argument:

$$w(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} w^{ab}(q) = 1 + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{a < b}^{N} w^{ab}(q)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{2}{N} (N-1) w^{a a+1}(q) + \frac{2}{N} (N-2) w^{a a+2}(q) + \dots$$
(3.41)

The next neighbor contribution  $w^{aa+1}(q)$  can be further evaluated when we use the fact that the bond-potential fixes the distance  $|\mathbf{r}^a - \mathbf{r}^{a+1}|$  of monomers a and a+1at positions  $\mathbf{r}^a$  and  $\mathbf{r}^{a+1}$  to the bond-length  $r_{\text{bond}} = 0.9609$  in our model [15, 77], if we neglect thermal fluctuations:

$$w^{a\,a+1}(q) = \left\langle \frac{1}{4\pi q^2} \int_{S^2} \exp[\mathrm{i}\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r}^a - \mathbf{r}^{a+1})] q^2 \sin(\theta) \,\mathrm{d}\phi \,\mathrm{d}\theta \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\sin(q|\mathbf{r}^a - \mathbf{r}^{a+1}|)}{q|\mathbf{r}^a - \mathbf{r}^{a+1}|} \right\rangle \approx \frac{\sin(qr_{\mathrm{bond}})}{qr_{\mathrm{bond}}} , \qquad (3.42)$$

where **q** defined the spherical coordinate system. Since monomers with |a - b| > 1 are farther apart on the average than  $r_{\text{bond}}$ , these contributions to w(q) should be less important as the scale with  $1/|\mathbf{r}^a - \mathbf{r}^b|$ . To lowest order, we can therefore expect that

$$w(q) \approx 1 + \frac{2}{N}(N-1)\frac{\sin(qr_{\text{bond}})}{qr_{\text{bond}}}$$
(3.43)

describes w(q) well, if the contribution from not next neighbors has decayed for high q. Figures 3.3 and 3.4 corroborate this conjecture.

For large distances in real space, respectively small q, the DEBYE approximation [20] provides a good description of w(q),

$$w(q) \approx N f_{\rm D}(q^2 R_g^2) , \quad f_{\rm D}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2}{x^2} \left[ e^{-x} + x - 1 \right] .$$
 (3.44)

It assumes a GAUSSian distribution for the neighbor distance, an assumption which will fail at sufficiently high q where the precise form of the potential is determining.

We have included these two approximations in Fig. 3.3. In the small q limit, the DEBYE approximation works quite well. The behavior crosses over to the oscillating next neighbor contribution  $w^{a\,a+1}(q) \approx \sin(qr_{\text{bond}})/qr_{\text{bond}}$  which provides a very good description of w(q) for  $q \gtrsim 8$ .

Because we saw that w(q) does not depend on temperature, we may expect that also all components  $w^{ab}(q)$  are independent of temperature and that only the distance along the polymer backbone is relevant for their behavior. Otherwise, temperature dependent effects had to cancel mutually. We have indeed confirmed that all  $w^{ab}(q)$  are temperature independent but do not present the plot here. In other words, the polymer stays flexible and does not change its (static) structure.

Figure 3.4 presents the dependence of  $w^{ab}(q)$  on the site indices a, b at temperature T = 0.47.  $w^{ab}(q)$  depends on |a - b| only, i.e. for the single polymer structure, there are no chain end effects. It is also verified that the main contribution to w(q)comes from the nearest neighbors. For |a - b| > 1,  $w^{ab}(q)$  decays very fast with qand is very close to zero at  $q \gtrsim 5$ . The approximation given in Eq. (3.42) is fulfilled very precisely for  $w^{aa+1}$  and hence, w(q) is well described by Eq. (3.43). We have also included the GAUSSian approximation,

$$w^{ab}(q) \approx \exp(-q^2 |a - b| r_{\text{bond}}^2 / 2d) ,$$
 (3.45)

with d = 3, which holds in the small q limit. We see that it is generally not a very good approximation. The  $w^{ab}(q)$  decay faster and become negative, for next neighbors there are even long range oscillations. The GAUSSian approximation only works for  $q \ll 2\pi/r_{\text{bond}}$ , where local effects (like the bond potential) do not play a role, so it cannot account for this.



Figure 3.3: w(q) at the lowest and the highest temperature T = 0.46 (dots) and T = 1.0 (lines), respectively. Both temperatures yield almost identical results so that the data for intermediate temperatures are not included. At small q, the DEBYE approximation provides a good description, whereas at high q the nearest neighbor contributions along the backbone,  $1 + \frac{2(N-1)}{N} \sin(qr_{\text{bond}})/qr_{\text{bond}}$ , dominate (we abbreviate  $r_{\text{bond}}$  by  $r_{\text{b}}$  in the legend).



Figure 3.4:  $w^{ab}(q)$  at T = 0.47.  $w^{ab}(q)$  depends only on |a - b|. For |a - b| = 1, we confirm  $w^{aa+1}(q) \simeq \sin(qr_{\text{bond}})/(qr_{\text{bond}})$  with high accuracy. All other  $w^{ab}$  decay very fast. Thin dotted lines are used for the GAUSSian approximation,  $w^{ab}(q) = \exp(-q^2|a - b|r_{\text{bond}}^2/6)$ , shown for indices with a separation of 1, 2, and 3.



Figure 3.5: Distinct contribution to the static structure factor,  $S_d(q)$  at temperatures T = 0.46, 0.65 and 1.0. While the self contribution w(q) is temperature independent,  $S_d(q)$  depends on temperature, especially around the maximum  $q_{\text{max}}$ . The circles mark an approximation for T = 0.46 which assumes all particles to be at the preferred non-bonded nearest neighbor distance  $r_{\text{nn}} \approx 1.08$ .



Figure 3.6:  $S_{\rm d}^{ab}(q)$  at T = 0.47 for different index-pairs a, b.  $S_{\rm d}^{ab}(q)$  depends on a, b at the maximum and for  $q \leq 5.5$ . The correlation of two chain ends (a = b = 1) behaves differently in comparison to all other curves.



Figure 3.7: Direct correlation function  $c^{ab}(q)$  at T = 0.47 and some selected monomer-pairs. Chain ends, a = b = 1 are correlated differently than other monomer pairs. The curves overlap completely for  $q \gtrsim 5$  where  $c^{ab}(q)$  is almost zero. The chain center of mass direct correlation function  $c^{C}(q)$  (dash-dash-dotted line) reaches zero very fast.

If the self (intra polymer) contribution  $w^{ab}(q)$  to the collective monomer structure factor S(q) is temperature independent, then the temperature dependence of S(q) we stated must be due to temperature dependent distinct (inter polymer) contributions  $S^{ab}_{d}(q)$ . This is indeed true, as Fig. 3.5 reveals. While the overall qualitative structure is liquid-like, the extrema are much more clearly borne out upon cooling. This trend is especially visible around the maximum of the structure factor,  $q_{\max} \simeq 7.15$ . That means that the glass transition is driven only by the distinct contribution to S(q) in our model, i.e. by nearest neighbors which are not bonded. This is reasonable, as the bonds keep the bonded neighbors at an almost fixed distance and only non-bonded neighbors can close the cage tighter when  $T_c$  is approached.

If all non-bonded neighbors were arranged in neighbor shells at their preferred distance  $r_{\rm nn} \simeq 1.08$  [15] we had  $S_{\rm d} \propto \sin(qr_{\rm nn})/(qr_{\rm nn})$ , with a prefactor depending on the number of neighbor shell members being approximately 10. ( $\approx 12$  nearest neighbors for 3-d closest packing minus the number of bonded neighbors, 2-2/N = 1.8).  $r_{\rm nn}$  is slightly smaller then the minimum  $r_{\rm min} = 1.1225$  of the LJ-potential due to finite pressure. This assumption provides a reasonable approximation for the nearest neighbor peak, but fails for the next extrema, an indication for a short ranged shell structure.

We now take a closer look at  $S_d^{ab}(q)$  at T = 0.47 in Fig. 3.6. While for  $q \gtrsim 15$  there is no significant dependence on the sites a, b, we find a significant dependence around  $q_{\text{max}}$  and even more for  $q \lesssim 5.5$ . All pairs with inner sites (1 < a, b < N) fall on top of each other and exhibit a step-like increase around  $2\pi/R_g$ .  $S_d^{22}$  shows slight deviations, whereas all  $S_d^{aa}$  with 2 < a < N - 1 are falling on this bundle (not included in the figure). If one end-monomer (a = 1) is present, the step becomes weaker and is even reversed in the correlation  $S_d^{11}$  of two chain ends.

The direct correlation functions  $c^{ab}(q)$  which we show in Fig. 3.7 for T = 0.47

is not very sensitive on the monomer indices. In this figure we use the same line styles as in Fig. 3.6 and observe that the curves form the same groups. The curves are very close and indistinguishable for  $q \gtrsim 5$ . This is a general feature of the direct correlation function which is constructed to be short ranged, because the indirect correlations via other particles are separated. At a value of  $q = 2\pi/R_{\rm g} \approx 4.35$ we do not observe any particular splaying out of the curves, as we did for  $S^{ab}(q)$ . From this figure we can draw the conclusion that chain ends are stronger correlated than inner monomers, as  $|c^{ab}(q)|$  is a measure for the correlation strength. Either chain end contributes equally to this effect. This explains why the spacing between the curves belonging to inner monomer pairs, 1 < a, b < N to the a = 1, 1 < ab < N pairs is the same as the difference of these to  $c^{11}(q)$ . In this figure we also include the direct correlation function of the chain center of mass correlation,  $\rho_{\rm n}c^{\rm C}(q) = 1 - 1/S^{\rm C}(q)$  (not considering monomer–CM couplings, see section 3.9.2). It is relatively featureless and has only for  $q \leq 2$  a value appreciately different from zero. All direct correlation functions reach a finite value for  $q \to 0$  determined by the isothermal compressibility [53],

$$\lim_{q \to 0} S(q) = \frac{\langle M^2 \rangle - \langle M \rangle^2}{\langle M \rangle} = k_{\rm B} T \rho_{\rm m} \kappa_T , \qquad (3.46)$$

$$\lim_{q \to 0} S^{\mathcal{C}}(q) = \frac{\langle n^2 \rangle - \langle n \rangle^2}{\langle n \rangle} = \frac{S(q \to 0)}{N} , \qquad (3.47)$$

because nN = M. The low values of the correlation function are thus caused by a low compressibility of our system.

#### **3.9.2** Chain Center of Mass Correlators

Recently, the center of mass structure was connected to the monomer structure of the polymer chains [78]. This work is based on the PRISM formalism (polymer reference interaction site model formalism [71, 79], for a review see e.g. [80, 81]). In Ref. [78], the center of mass is included as an additional non-interacting site which is treated separately from the monomers. The monomer contribution itself is site-averaged. We sketch the main ideas before comparing with our simulation results.

The starting point in [78] is to use a  $2 \times 2$  ORNSTEIN-ZERNIKE equation which is formally identical to Eq. (3.31), with indices taking on the values "m" for (averaged) monomer and "CM" for polymer center of mass, the pairs of indices indicate which densities were coupled. We first define the matrices <u>h</u>, <u>c</u>, <u>w</u>, <u>S</u>,

$$\underline{\underline{h}} \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} Nh_{\text{m,m}} & \sqrt{N}h_{\text{m,CM}} \\ \sqrt{N}h_{\text{m,CM}} & h_{\text{CM,CM}} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (3.48)$$

$$\underline{c} \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} Nc_{\text{m,m}} & \sqrt{N}c_{\text{m,CM}} \\ \sqrt{N}c_{\text{m,CM}} & c_{\text{CM,CM}} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (3.49)$$

$$\underline{\underline{w}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} w_{\text{m,m}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} w_{\text{m,CM}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} w_{\text{m,CM}} & w_{\text{CM,CM}} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (3.50)$$

$$\underline{\underline{S}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} S_{\text{m,m}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} S_{\text{m,CM}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} S_{\text{m,CM}} & S_{\text{CM,CM}} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(3.51)

The quantities involved are defined analogously as in sections 3.4 and 3.6 using all possible couplings of the CM density, density of the monomers inside a polymer, and the monomer density in the melt. The matrices are symmetric by definition. The factors N and  $\sqrt{N}$  arise from the averaging over  $N^2$  monomer-monomer couplings, resp. N monomer-CM couplings.

The ORNSTEIN-ZERNIKE equations then read

$$\underline{\underline{h}}(q) = \underline{\underline{w}}(q)\underline{\underline{c}}(q) \left[\underline{\underline{w}}(q) + \rho_{n}\underline{\underline{h}}(q)\right] , \qquad (3.52)$$

$$\rho_{\mathbf{n}}\underline{\underline{c}}(q) = \left[\underline{\underline{w}}(q)\right]^{-1} - \left[\underline{\underline{S}}(q)\right]^{-1} .$$
(3.53)

Using CRAMER's rule one quickly arrives at

$$\rho_{\rm m}c_{\rm m,m} = \frac{1}{w_{\rm m,m} - \frac{w_{\rm m,CM}^2}{Nw_{\rm CM,CM}}} - \frac{1}{S_{\rm m,m} - \frac{S_{\rm m,CM}^2}{NS_{\rm CM,CM}}}, 
\rho_{\rm m}c_{\rm m,CM} = -\frac{w_{\rm m,CM}}{w_{\rm m,m}w_{\rm CM,CM} - \frac{1}{N}w_{\rm m,CM}^2} + \frac{S_{\rm m,CM}}{S_{\rm m,m}S_{\rm CM,CM} - \frac{1}{N}S_{\rm m,CM}^2}, \qquad (3.54)$$

$$\rho_{\rm n}c_{\rm CM,CM} = \frac{1}{w_{\rm CM,CM} - \frac{w_{\rm m,CM}^2}{Nw_{\rm m,m}}} - \frac{1}{S_{\rm CM,CM} - \frac{S_{\rm m,CM}^2}{NS_{\rm m,m}}}.$$

Note that  $\rho_n = N\rho_m$ . In the notation of sections 3.4 and 3.6 we have  $w_{m,m} = w$ ,  $w_{m,CM} = S_s^{m,C}$ ,  $w_{CM,CM} = 1$ ,  $S_{m,m} = S$ ,  $S_{CM,CM} = S^C$ , and  $S_{m,CM} = S^{m,C}$ .

In Ref. [78] it is assumed that

$$c_{\rm CM,CM} \equiv 0 \quad c_{\rm CM,m} = c_{\rm m,CM} \equiv 0 , \qquad (3.55)$$

and only  $c_{m,m} \neq 0$  is retained. These assumptions state that the centers of mass do not couple to each other and that the centers of mass do not couple to the individual sites in the polymer chain. We will show in the following that the validity of these simplifications is rather good.

Note that if the monomer-CM couplings are set to zero, we recover the familiar relations  $\rho_{\rm m}c_{\rm m,m}(q) = 1/w(q) - 1/S(q)$  and  $\rho_{\rm n}c_{\rm CM,CM}(q) = 1 - 1/S^{\rm C}(q)$  and we can identify  $c_{\rm m,m}(q) \equiv c(q)$  and  $c_{\rm CM,CM}(q) \equiv c^{\rm C}(q)$ .

Because the matrix  $\underline{c}(q)$  has only one non-zero entry, the components of  $\underline{\underline{h}}(q)$  consist only of one term,

$$h_{\rm m,m}(q) = w_{\rm m,m}(q)c_{\rm m,m}(q)[w_{\rm m,m}(q) + N\rho_{\rm n}c_{\rm m,m}(q)], \qquad (3.56)$$

$$h_{\rm m,CM}(q) = w_{\rm m,m}(q)c_{\rm m,m}(q) \left[w_{\rm m,CM}(q) + N\rho_{\rm n}h_{\rm m,CM}(q)\right] , \qquad (3.57)$$

$$h_{\rm CM,m}(q) = h_{\rm m,CM} ,$$
 (3.58)

$$h_{\rm CM,CM}(q) = w_{\rm m,CM}(q)c_{\rm m,m}(q)\left[w_{\rm m,CM}(q) + N\rho_{\rm n}h_{\rm m,CM}(q)\right] .$$
(3.59)

It then follows by solving this system of equations that

$$h_{\rm CM,CM}(q) = \frac{w_{\rm m,CM}(q)^2}{w_{\rm m,m}(q)^2} h_{\rm m,m}(q) .$$
(3.60)

Using our notation from sections 3.4 and 3.6,  $h_{\text{CM,CM}}(q) = [S^{\text{C}}(q) - 1]/\rho_{\text{n}}$  and  $h_{\text{m,m}}(q) \equiv h(q)$ , the result reads

$$S^{\rm C}(q) = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \frac{S_{\rm s}^{\rm m, \rm C}(q)^2}{w(q)^2} [S(q) - w(q)] .$$
(3.61)



Figure 3.8: Static chain center of mass structure factor  $S^{C}(q)$  at all temperatures and approximation according to Eq. (3.61) calculated for T = 1.0.



Figure 3.9:  $S_{\rm s}^{\rm m,C}(q)^2/w(q)^2$  at T = 1.0. The maximum is around  $q \approx 1.5$ ; for  $q \gtrsim 5$  the function is close to zero, meaning that there is no coupling between the monomers and the chain's center of mass (cf. Eq. (3.61)). At  $q \approx 4.35$  a small maximum can be seen.

In Ref. [78] this approximation was compared with MC simulation results of polymers with N = 500 repeat units on a cubic lattice at various densities from the dilute limit to semi-dilute regimes. It was found that Eq. (3.61) provided a very good description of the data.

Figure 3.8 plots the chain center of mass structure factor  $S^{C}(q)$  for all investigated temperatures, together with the approximation Eq. (3.61) calculated from input data at T = 1.0. There is no visible temperature dependence of  $S^{C}(q)$ , as we found for the collective monomer quantities w(q) and S(q). Small, but systematic deviations with respect to Eq. (3.61) are detected at  $q \approx 3$  similar to Ref. [78].



Figure 3.10: Structure factors between monomer positions and centers of mass,  $S_{\rm s}^{a,{\rm C}}(q)$  (intra-polymer),  $S_{\rm d}^{a,{\rm C}}(q)$  (distinct), and  $S^{a,{\rm C}}(q)$  (all monomers) at T = 0.47. The thin lines correspond to monomers which are near the chain ends and line thickness increases towards the middle of the chains. Circles are used for plotting the GAUSSian approximation (Eq. (3.67)) of  $S_{\rm s}^{a,{\rm C}}(q)$  for a = 1 and a = 5.

The coupling factor between the total correlation functions in Eq. (3.61),

$$\frac{S_{\rm s}^{\rm m,C}(q)^2}{w(q)^2} \xrightarrow[q \to 0]{} 1 , \qquad (3.62)$$

provides information about on which length scale the coupling of  $S^{C}$  and S-w takes place. The results for T = 1.0 are plotted in Fig. 3.9, where the small-q limit has been included by hand. The coupling is maximum at  $q \approx 1.5$  and decays for larger q until it vanishes for  $q \gtrsim 5$ . At the length scale of the chains,  $q \approx 4.35$ , a small maximum might be an indication that the coupling is slightly enhanced.

Equation (3.55) stated that there was no coupling between monomers and CM. We now take a closer look at this correlation in Fig. 3.10 where we plotted  $S_s^{a,C}(q)$ ,  $S_d^{a,C}(q)$ , and  $S^{a,C}(q)$  at T = 0.47. As for most other structure factors, there is no visible temperature dependence. Since the inner monomers are closer to the chain CM, the intra-polymer  $S_s^{5,C}(q)$  extends to higher q, whereas for the chain ends,  $S_s^{5,C}(q)$  decays very fast. This observation can be rationalized with a GAUSSian approximation.

We introduce to this end the bond-vector connecting monomers a and a + 1 on a chain i,

$$\mathbf{b}_i^a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{r}_{i+1}^a - \mathbf{r}_i^a \,. \tag{3.63}$$

With this notation we can rewrite the difference between the chain's center of mass and a monomer

$$\mathbf{r}_i^a - \mathbf{R}_i = \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{b}{N}\right) \mathbf{b}_i^b - \sum_{b=a}^{N-1} \mathbf{b}_i^b \,. \tag{3.64}$$

This allows us to write  $S_s^{a,C}$  (Eq. (3.16)) as

$$S_{s}^{a,C}(q) = \frac{1}{n} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left\{ i\mathbf{q} \cdot [\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a} - \mathbf{R}_{i}] \right\} \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int \prod_{b=1}^{N-1} \left[ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{b}_{i}^{b} p(\mathbf{b}_{i}^{b}) \right] \exp\left\{ i\mathbf{q} \cdot \left[ \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \left( \frac{b}{N} \right) \mathbf{b}_{i}^{b} - \sum_{b=a}^{N-1} \mathbf{b}_{i}^{b} \right] \right\} \right\rangle.$$
(3.65)

When assuming a GAUSSian probability distribution for the bond vectors in 3-d,

$$p(\mathbf{b}_i^b) \approx \left(\frac{3}{2\pi B^2}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{3\mathbf{b}_i^{b\,2}}{2B^2}\right]$$
, (3.66)

we can evaluate the integrals (which do not depend on the chain index *i* in our homogeneous system) and relate the statistical bond length *B* to the radius of gyration,  $R_g^2 = NB^2/6$ , and arrive at

$$S_{\rm s}^{a,\rm C}(q) \approx \exp\left\{-q^2 R_{\rm g}^2 \left[\frac{2N^2 + 3N + 1 - 6Na + 6a(a-1)}{6N^2}\right]\right\}$$
 (3.67)

Note that the fraction in brackets in the argument of the exponential is symmetric under  $a \leftrightarrow N - a + 1$ , i.e. counting the monomers from the other end of the chain. This fraction is a parabola with a minimum at N/2 which means that the function  $S_s^{a,C}(q)$  decays faster for chain ends then for inner monomers. As we can learn from Fig. 3.10 this description is rather good, the negative values can however not be described with a GAUSSian ansatz.

A sort of anti-GAUSSian correlation is observed for the contribution from other chains:  $S_s^{a,C}(q)$  is qualitatively mirrored to obtain  $S_d^{a,C}(q)$ . This suggests that chainends are farther away from the CM of other chains, just like chain ends are farther away from the CM of the chain they belong to. The sum of both aforementioned contributions,  $S^{a,C}(q)$ , is connected to the probability to find a monomer around a CM (of the same chain or another one). This is more likely for inner monomers. As this is a local ordering effect, the positive overshoot for inner monomers must be compensated with negative values for chain ends. This compensation leads to a very small (monomer averaged)  $S^{m,C}(q)$  and we therefore expect also  $c_{m,CM}(q)$  to be small.

A small  $c_{\rm CM,CM}(q)$  together with  $S^{\rm C}(q)$  without a characteristic length scale at  $2\pi/R_{\rm g}$  suggests that chains interact only weakly and interpenetrate easily.



Figure 3.11: Direct correlation functions with (two lower indices) and without (no lower indices) inclusion of monomer-CM coupling at T = 0.47 multiplied by the weights appearing in Eq. (3.52). The monomer-monomer direct correlation functions c(q) and  $c_{m,m}(q)$  fall together and one sees that they largely dominate over the other contributions.

We assess now the validity of the assumptions in Eqs. (3.55), i.e. we check if the direct correlation functions in Eqs. (3.54) involving the CM are small compared to  $c_{m,m}$ . We learn from Fig. 3.11 that the collective monomer direct correlation function  $c_{m,m}$  indeed largely dominates the other components of  $\underline{c}$ . From this point of view, Eqs. (3.55) seem completely justified. However, this does not rule out that the coupling between the monomers and the CM could be important for site resolved monomer-CM couplings, because the contributions from different sites could cancel like they did in  $S^{m,C}(q)$ . This question could be answered by a site-wise inclusion of the monomer-CM coupling in the PRISM formalism.



Figure 3.12:  $S_3(q, q, q)$  and  $S_3^{\rm p}(q, q, q)$  at T = 0.47 (thin lines) and their convolution approximations  $S(q)^3$ , resp.  $S^{\rm p}(q)^3$  plotted with thick lines. A high noise level in  $S_3(q, q, q)$  for  $q \gtrsim 20$  hinders interpretation.

#### 3.10 Three Particle Correlation Results

In this section we will investigate to what extent we can expect the three particle correlations to be important for the fluid dynamics. To this end, we compare  $S_3(q, k, p)$ with the convolution approximation,  $S_3(q, k, p) = S(q)S(k)S(p)$ , for selected subsets of (q, k, p).

Figure 3.12 presents  $S_3(q, q, q)$  and  $S_3^p(q, q, q)$ , that is, all three vectors  $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\mathbf{k}$ , and  $\mathbf{p}$  make up an equilateral triangle characterized by the length of its side q. The  $S_3$  data (thin lines) are not smoothed to highlight the higher noise level compared to the (two particle) structure factor data. In the case of  $S_3^p(q, q, q)$  the convolution approximation provides a very good description of the curve, the amplitude of the oscillations being underestimated, however. For  $q \leq 20$ ,  $S_3(q, q, q)$  is equally well represented in the convolution approximation, expect for a sharp dip at  $q \approx 6.3$ . This dip is an indication for an anti-correlation. For  $q \geq 20$  the interpretation of the data is made difficult due to the high noise level. In this region,  $S_3(q, q, q)$  is constantly higher as  $S(q)^3$  and stays above unity, the large q-limit of both quantities. This could be due to insufficient statistics.

In order to investigate whether there is an angular dependence of the goodness of the convolution approximation for the three particle structure factors we show a comparison with arguments  $(q, k = q, p = q\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \varphi)})$ , i.e. of an isosceles triangle with two sides of length q enclosing an angle  $\varphi$ . These data-sets are plotted as functions of q and  $\cos \varphi$  in Fig. 3.13.

For the polymer contribution  $S^{\rm p}$  the agreement with the convolution approximation is generally good for most q, with the exception of q = 7.7 for  $\cos \varphi \approx -0.75$ which is probably linked to the similar deviation in  $S_3$  at q = 7.1. For both  $S_3^{\rm p}$  and  $S_3$  the convolution approximation produces oscillations at q = 24.9 which are absent



Figure 3.13:  $S_3^p(q, q, p = q\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \varphi)})$  (top) and  $S_3(q, q, p = q\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \varphi)})$  (bottom) at T = 0.47 (thin lines) and the convolution approximation (thick lines) for some selected q. Note that the inter-chain  $S_3$  data at q = 7.1 are rescaled by a factor of 10.

in the triple correlation data. Given the general quality of the convolution approximation the strong deviations of  $S_3$  at q = 6.3 for all  $\cos \varphi$  is quite remarkable. For  $\varphi \leq 60^{\circ}$  there is an anti-correlation not observed for any other q. Consequently, this leads to a higher value for bigger angles. One might speculate, that this effect is due to the polymer backbone which favors a stretched configuration with a mean of  $\cos \theta_{\text{bond}} = 0.205$  which coincides approximately with the maximum of the  $S_3$  curve at q = 6.3.

We can summarize our findings concerning the triple correlation functions by saying that the convolution approximation works well in general. The triple direct correlation function  $c_3(q, k, p)$  will therefore be very small. Exceptions are special geometries of (q, k, p) were specific effects of the model come into play.



Figure 3.14: Schematic representation of a density correlator  $\phi_A(t)$  on a logarithmic time scale. One distinguishes the microscopic time scale, and the  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$ -regime. For temperatures in the glassy regime, a typical two-step decay is found.

## 3.11 Aspects of Mode Coupling Theory

In this section we give a brief overview of some aspects of mode-coupling theory (MCT) [6–8, 64, 82] which will be useful for the subsequent discussion. It should serve as a framework for more detailed statements in the following chapters.

To introduce the relevant time scales we show in Fig. 3.14 the schematic form of a time dependent correlator  $\phi_A(t)$  (cf. section 3.3) in the glassy regime. Upon cooling towards the critical temperature  $T_c$  of mode-coupling theory a typical two step decay develops. The correlator decays from unity (by definition) at very short times until reaching the so-called  $\beta$ -plateau. At the center of the plateau the value  $f_A$  is reached at the ( $\beta$ -) cross-over time  $\tau_{co}$ . In reality, the plateau is usually less well borne out, as a comparison with Fig. 3.15 shows. In the idealized version of MCT the correlator stays at this value for  $T \leq T_c$ ,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi_A(t) = f_A$ , and does not decay anymore. For this reason,  $f_A$  is called "non-ergodicity parameter", as the system is not ergodic anymore for finite  $f_A$ , and can thus be used to discriminate the liquid and the glassy phases. The final relaxation of the correlator takes place in the  $\alpha$ -regime. For measuring the time-scale of the structural relaxation, one introduces the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_A$  by a decay to a suitably chosen value,  $\phi_A(\tau_\alpha) = C_A$ .

Using the MORI-ZWANZIG projector formalism [53] the dynamics of a density correlator can be exactly rewritten as a set of coupled integro-differential equations. One obtains

$$\partial_t^2 \phi(q,t) + \Omega_q^2 \phi(q,t) + \Omega_q^2 \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \, m_q(t-t') \partial_{t'} \phi(q,t') = 0 \,, \qquad (3.68)$$

where we wrote the equations for  $\phi(q, t)$ , but they are analogous for other correlators

which couple to the particle density. At this stage there is no difference for simple or polymeric liquids. Equation (3.68) describes an oscillator with frequency  $\Omega_q = q^2 v^2/S(q)$  with v denoting the monomer thermal velocity. The density 'modes'  $\phi(q, t)$ at each wavelength q are coupled by the memory kernel  $m_q(t)$ , which includes the temporal history of the system.

There is an exact expression for the memory kernel, which is generally not used, because it is too complicated for further evaluation. Instead, one uses simplifying assumptions: Short time effects are separated and neglected, as only the long-time dynamics is determining the glass transition. More importantly and not strictly controlled is the assumption that certain density couplings factorize. This yields the following memory kernel:

$$m_q(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{q}} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{p} \, V(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) \phi(k, t) \, \phi(p, t) , \qquad (3.69)$$

where the integral respects momentum conservation with  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}$ . The so-called coupling vertices in the memory kernel are

$$V(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) = \rho_{\rm m} S(q) S(k) S(p) \frac{\{\mathbf{q} \cdot [\mathbf{k}c(k) + \mathbf{p}c(p)]\}^2}{(2\pi)^3 q^4} \,. \tag{3.70}$$

Given the number density and the static structure factors, the equations are closed. In other words, the static structure completely determines the dynamics of the glassy phase.

In the vertex above, the three particle couplings are set to zero. For silica [65] and the molecular glass former OTP [66] it was shown that the inclusion of three particle correlations significantly improved the MCT-description of simulation data, while for a simple hard-sphere liquid it is not important [83]. As the triple correlation function could be computed for our system, the influence of the three particle coupling could be investigated. In this case the vertex function reads [83]

$$V(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}) = \rho_{\rm m} S(q) S(k) S(p) \frac{\{\mathbf{q} \cdot [\mathbf{k}c(k) + \mathbf{p}c(p)] - \rho_{\rm m} q^2 c_3(q,k,p)\}^2}{(2\pi)^3 q^4} , \qquad (3.71)$$

with  $c_3(q, k, p)$  defined in Eq. (3.40).

The extension to molecules is done by including the single-chain conformational dynamics by the set of  $N \times N$  matrix equations [18, 19]

$$\partial_t^2 \underline{\underline{w}}(q,t) + \underline{\underline{\Omega}_{q,\underline{s}}^2} \underline{\underline{w}}(q,t) + \underline{\underline{\Omega}_{q,\underline{s}}^2} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \, \underline{\underline{m}_{q,\underline{s}}}(t-t') \partial_{t'} \underline{\underline{w}}(q,t') = 0 ,$$
$$m_{q,\underline{s}}^{ab}(t) = \sum_c \frac{w^{ac}(q)}{q^2} \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k} V_{\underline{s}}(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}) \, w^{cb}(k,t) \, \phi(p,t) .$$
(3.72)

Here  $\underline{\Omega_{q,s}^2} = q^2 v^2 \left[\underline{w}(q)\right]^{-1}$  and  $V_s(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) = \rho_m(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}/q)^2 S(p) c(p)^2 / (2\pi)^3$ .

The indices a, b of the matrices denote monomer pairs with each index running from 1 to N, the chain-length.

In principle, the MCT-equations for the collective melt correlators, Eqs. (3.68) and (3.69), have to be formulated like the single chain correlators, i.e. monomerpair separated [18]. Because of severe difficulties to solve such equation systems a site-independent direct correlation function was assumed,  $c^{ab}(q) = c(q)$ . This means that each monomer is assumed to experience a site independent surrounding in the melt (including all chains). Lacking direct simulation input in Ref. [19], a GAUSSian chain conformation was assumed,  $w^{ab}(q) = \exp(-q^2|a - b|r_{\text{bond}}/6)$  and collective quantities were obtained from hard-sphere calculations.

From our analysis of the MD-simulation data we were able to test the validity of aforementioned assumptions in the MCT-equations as well as to provide the necessary static input data for quantitative MCT calculations.

We first note that the consideration of  $c_3(q, k, p)$  in the MCT vertex will not alter the results very much, because it is overall very small as stated in section 3.10. Explicit MCT-calculations including  $c_3$  were performed by CHONG for our system and his results confirm this prediction [84]. However, the high noise level makes quantitative statements difficult.

As assumed, the direct correlation functions can be considered as being siteindependent with high accuracy, cf. Fig. 3.7. Using a GAUSSian approximation for the intra-chain structure captures the small-q region well, the approximation will however not describe the q-range corresponding to distances smaller than the radius of gyration accurately as we learned in Fig. 3.4. Because the chain structure was seen to be independent of temperature, this does not introduce a conceptual problem, as the glass transition of our model is driven by the correlation between monomers belonging to different chains. To what extend the center of mass has to be considered, can not be answered conclusively. While neglecting the CM for the static structure seems to be in order, the next section will show that the dynamics might be clearly affected by the CM-motion.

## **3.12** Center of Mass and Monomer Dynamics

In this section we will present some findings concerning the  $\alpha$ -relaxation times of the dynamical scattering functions. We will compare the results for our model with the studies for other molecular glass formers. In particular we want to address the question what influence on the dynamics in the  $\alpha$ -regime the monomer-CM coupling may have.

In order to obtain information on the relaxation times we used so-called KOHL-RAUSCH-WILLIAMS-WATTS (KWW) fits to the late part  $\alpha$ -regime of the decay of the scattering functions. KWW functions provide a good description in this regime as we have layed out in previous work [16, 77] for the system under consideration and as was found also for OTP [66].

The KWW function describing the stretched exponential decay of a correlator  $\phi^{\mathbf{x}}(q,t)$  in the  $\alpha$ -regime is defined by

$$\phi^{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{K}}(q,t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f^{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{K}}(q) \exp\left[-\left(\frac{t}{\tau^{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{K}}(q)}\right)^{\beta^{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{K}}(q)}\right] \,. \tag{3.73}$$

The KWW-decay-time  $\tau^{x,K}$  marks the time at which the correlator has decayed to  $f^{x,K}(q)/e$ .  $f^{x,K}(q)$  is a measure for the plateau height of the scattering functions. Within (ideal) MCT it can be proved mathematically [85] that

$$\phi^{\mathbf{x}}(q,t) = f^{\mathbf{x}}(q) \exp\left[-\Gamma^{\mathbf{x}}(q)(t/\tilde{\tau})^{b}\right], \ \Gamma^{\mathbf{x}}(q) > 0, \ \Gamma^{\mathbf{x}}(q) \propto q \text{ for } q \to \infty .$$
(3.74)



Figure 3.15:  $\phi^{C}(q,t)$  at T = 0.47 for q = 4, 7.15, 10.25, 12.8, 17.5 from simulation data (symbols) with KWW fits (lines). The KWW-fits provide a good description of the  $\alpha$ -regime.

In particular, one has

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \beta^{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{K}}(q) = b , \qquad (3.75)$$

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} f^{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{K}}(q) = f^{\mathbf{x}}(q) , \qquad (3.76)$$

where b is the so-called VON SCHWEIDLER exponent governing the late  $\beta$ -decay. It depends on the system, for our system  $b = 0.75 \pm 0.04$  [14].

Indeed, we found that the  $f^{x,K}(q)$  behave qualitatively like the non-ergodicity parameters  $f^{x}(q)$  in the whole q-range studied [77], as often observed [66].

While KWW fits to the monomer scattering functions were discussed in [16, 77], we can now perform a comparison between the monomer and the CM dynamics. Unfortunately, the scattering functions for the coupling between CM and monomers are very noisy, rendering a more detailed analysis impossible.

The KWW-fits were performed in the temperature range from T = 0.47 to T = 0.52 in which glassy behavior is manifest and ideal MCT works well [15]. The time-temperature-superposition principle of MCT [7] states that in the  $\alpha$ -regime the curves for different temperatures of a correlator fall on a single master curve for each correlator after rescaling with a T-dependent relaxation time. Hence, it is sufficient to investigate only one temperature, T = 0.47 in our case. We have however checked that the results are indeed independent of temperature in this T-range. This also assures the numerical stability of the algorithm used. The fits were performed in the following way: In a first step the KWW-function was fitted to all data points at time  $t \geq t_{\text{start}}$ , with  $t_{\text{start}}$  at the beginning of the plateau, usually  $t_{\text{start}} = 1$ . This gave us an initial estimate of the plateau height. Next, fits were done on all data with a function value less than  $x_{\text{cut}} f^{\text{x},\text{K}}$ , until the fit parameters converged (usually in two-three iterations). The actual non-linear fitting was done using a LEVENBERG-MARQUARD method in gnuplot V3.7pl1. Not all data-sets could easily be fitted, especially for very high or low plateau values the iterative fitting did not



Figure 3.16: The plateau heights for the scattering functions of monomer and chain CM,  $f^{s,K}(q)$ ,  $f^{K}(q)$ ,  $f^{s,C,K}(q)$ ,  $f^{C,K}(q)$  at T = 0.47 as determined by KWW fits. The values for coherent and incoherent CM scattering functions are nearly identical, indicating that collective coupling of the CM is weak.

always converge and parameters were adjusted by hand. In particular, the results at very small q are not very reliable because the statistics is very poor, the plateaus are very high, and the simulation did not cover the complete decay so that the fit was performed only on the early  $\alpha$ -regime. The choice for  $x_{\text{cut}}$  influences also the fit results, but  $x_{\text{cut}} = 0.8$  is a reasonable choice. For a more complete discussion see Refs. [15, 77].

To get an impression for the shape of the correlators and the KWW-functions, we present in Fig. 3.15 the coherent CM correlator  $\phi^{C}(q,t)$  at T = 0.47 at some q. The different time-regimes are clearly distinguished. The KWW fits provide a very good approximation for the simulation data in the  $\alpha$ -regime.

The plateau heights  $f^{\mathrm{x},\mathrm{K}}(q)$  of the incoherent (superscript s) and coherent monomer and CM (superscript C) correlation functions at T = 0.47 are plotted in Fig. 3.16. The non-ergodicity parameters provide information about the decay in the  $\beta$ -regime, where the cage-effect dominates the dynamics. A high value  $f^{\mathrm{x},\mathrm{K}}(q)$ means that the scattering function  $\phi^{\mathrm{x}}(q,t)$  is only slowly decaying ('freezing') in the  $\beta$ -regime. There is a striking difference between monomer dynamics and CM dynamics: While the plateau heights for coherent and incoherent CM scattering functions are nearly identical (we will see similar behaviors for the other KWW-parameters), they differ significantly for the corresponding monomer values. For the monomers this is due to the coupling of the monomers in the melt giving rise to the cage effect at  $q_{\max} \simeq 7.15$ , the length scale of the nearest neighbor distance. For the coherent CM coupling an analogous effect would be the hindrance of a whole chain in a (soft) cage formed by the polymer coils of surrounding chains. This would presumably happen at  $q \approx 2\pi/R_{\rm g}$ , the typical length scale of a polymer coil. The absence of a difference in  $f^{\rm s,C,K}(q)$  and  $f^{\rm C,K}(q)$  indicates that there is no such caging and no



Figure 3.17: KWW-times for the coherent monomer-monomer and CM-CM correlation function,  $\tau^{K}(q)$ , respectively  $\tau^{C,K}(q)$  and the corresponding incoherent functions,  $\tau^{K}_{s}(q)$  and  $\tau^{K}(q)$  at T = 0.47. While there is a pronounced peak around  $q = 2\pi/R_{\rm g}$  in the relaxation times of the coherent monomer scattering function  $\tau^{K}(q)$ , this feature is absent in the coherent center of mass scattering function. Error bars are one standard deviation. Where not drawn, error bars are comparable to the line width.

glassy dynamics leading to a slow decay of collective CM-modes. In contrast, a peak in  $f^{C,K}(q)$  at  $2\pi/(\text{size of OTP})$  was reported for MD-data of an OTP model [66], which is a rigid three-body molecule. This suggests that the polymers can easily interpenetrate so that no caging of polymer coils can happen.

In Fig. 3.17 we present a comparison between the KWW decay times for the different scattering functions. The broad peak in  $\tau^{K}(q)$  might suggest that the CMmotion of the polymers gives rise to it, because it is at the length scale corresponding to the radius of gyration,  $R_{\rm g}$ , namely  $2\pi/R_{\rm g} \approx 4.35$ . For the monomer density coupling, the preferred neighbor spacing leads to the much sharper peak in  $\tau^{K}(q)$ at  $q_{\rm max}$ . However, a peak at  $2\pi/R_{\rm g}$  in  $\tau^{C,K}(q)$  is absent. From this finding we draw the conclusion that the CM–CM coupling is not at the origin of the first, broad peak at  $q \approx 2\pi/R_{\rm g}$  in the relaxation times of the monomer scattering function. In addition, we find that there is very little difference between the self and collective CM-CM correlation times, as it was found for the plateau heights. This supports our statement that this coupling must be weak. In contrast, in Ref. [66] it is shown that  $\tau^{C,K}(q)$  has a peak just at a length corresponding to the size of the molecule and consequently it has been suggested that the collective CM-dynamics dominates also the monomer dynamics of OTP at this length scale.

Finally, we plot the stretching exponents  $\beta^{x,K}(q)$  for the monomer and CM scattering functions in Fig. 3.18. As for the other KWW parameters, the curves for the incoherent and coherent CM correlators coincide. Interestingly, there is a broad peak at  $q \approx 2\pi/R_g$ , which means that the decay is less stretched as for other q. It



Figure 3.18: KWW stretching exponents  $\beta^{x,K}(q)$  for the monomer and CM scattering functions at T = 0.47.

is at the same positions as a weak shoulder in  $\beta^{K}(q)$  and the maximum of  $\beta^{K}_{s}(q)$ .

Our observations for the KWW parameters are consistent with the fact that the direct correlation function of the CM–CM coupling decays to zero very fast at  $q \approx 2$ , which is by a factor of two lower than the peak we are interested in (see Fig. 3.7). They also corroborate that chains are only weakly interacting, as suggested by  $S^{\rm C}$ .

#### **3.13** Summary and Conclusions

We have performed an extensive analysis of the statics and dynamics of a nonentangled polymer melt consisting of short chains with N = 10 repeat units in the liquid and glassy state. By using a new, improved method for calculating the static structure factors we were able to investigate the site-dependence and the influence of the center of mass (CM) on the static structure with unprecedented precision. In addition, the importance of three particle correlations could be assessed by the three particle static structure factors.

The chain structure w(q) was seen to be unaffected by temperature, and only the distinct part of the collective structure factor,  $S_d(q)$ , changed with temperature. We found that the coherent intra chain structure is dominated by the next neighbor contribution for  $q \geq 2\pi/R_g \simeq 4.35$ . This is because  $w^{a\,a+1}(q)$  has a much higher magnitude than other site-site resolved  $w^{ab}(q)$ , due to molecular bonds which keep the distance of bonded neighbors almost fixed, independent of temperature. This constraint is absent in the distinct structure factors, explaining why the change in the static structure upon cooling towards the glass transition can only come from monomers on other chains. As a GAUSSian ansatz for  $w^{ab}(q)$  can not include a fixed bond-length, deviations from  $w^{ab}(q)$  calculated from simulation data were seen.

While  $w^{ab}(q)$  depends only on the distance of sites |a - b| along the backbone,

the distinct, site resolved inter-chain structure  $S_{\rm d}^{ab}(q)$  depends on |a - b| together with the number of end-monomers in a, b. Hence, for the investigation of chainend effects, this quantity is to be considered. The site-dependence of  $S_{\rm d}^{ab}(q)$  is most pronounced around  $q \approx 2\pi/R_{\rm g}$ , indicating an influence of the coupling to the chains' center of mass (CM). In the direct correlation functions,  $c^{ab}(q)$ , the site dependence was found to be only weak, justifying a replacement by the monomer-pair averaged c(q) in MCT-calculations.

The static structure of the centers of mass,  $S^{C}(q)$ , is relatively featureless. We found that both the site averaged monomer-CM and the CM-CM direct correlation function are small compared to the monomer-monomer direct correlation function. This suggests that polymers are soft, only weakly interacting objects which can easily interpenetrate. However, we found a pronounced qualitative site dependence of the monomer-CM structure factor  $S^{a,C}(q)$  with different signs for chain ends (a = 1, N)and inner monomers (a = N/2). Averaging over all sites might therefore lead to an underestimation of this coupling.

Our results concerning the three particle static structure factors  $S_3(q, k, p)$  and  $S_3^p(q, k, p)$  indicate that for our system the convolution approximation which factorizes the three particle static structure factors and sets the triple correlation function to zero works overall quite well. This is consistent with the fact that the structure is close to a simple liquid and the polymer remains flexible at all temperatures. There are deviations at intermediate q and at certain angles which result presumably from a preferred bond-angle in our model.

By analyzing the dynamics and performing KWW-fits we found that the CMdynamics shows no indication that it is particularly slowed down at the length scale  $R_{\rm g}$  of a polymer. This would be the chain analog to the cage-effect for monomers. In contrast to the monomer correlators, the incoherent and coherent CM correlators behave very similar. These findings are consistent with a weak CM-CM coupling inferred from the small magnitude of the CM-CM direct correlation function. Thus, the pronounced peak in the relaxation time of the monomer dynamics at  $q \approx 2\pi/R_{\rm g}$ is unlikely to be due to the CM-CM coupling which leaves therefore only a site dependent monomer-CM coupling as cause for it because the site averaged monomer-CM direct correlation function is also small. The exact origin of the peak in  $\tau^{\rm K}(q)$ at lengths corresponding to the chain size for polymeric systems still remains to be elucidated.

## 3.14 Outlook

A numerical evaluation of the MCT-equations (3.68) - (3.70) and (3.72) using the static input data from the simulation has been done by CHONG [86]. From the comparison of results for the non-ergodicity parameter f(q) in Fig. 3.19 we can learn that while the MCT calculation using the GAUSSian approximation for  $w^{ab}(q)$  together with hard-sphere collective structure data yields satisfactory results, the utilization of the MD data leads to a considerable improvement. In this case f(q) obtained from a detailed MCT-analysis [15] is described very well for  $q \gtrsim q_{\text{max}}$ . The dip in the MCT data at  $q \approx 10.25$  is a numerical artifact. The amplitude of the KWW fits,  $f^{\text{K}}(q)$  is included to show that it matches the non-ergodicity parameter



Figure 3.19: Comparison of the non-ergodicity parameters for the coherent scattering function f(q) from simulation data (circles, from Ref. [15]),  $f^{K}(q)$  at T = 0.47, (thin line, as in Fig. 3.16), MCT calculations using a GAUSSian approximation for  $w^{ab}(q)$  and hard-sphere collective structure data (dashed line, from Ref. [19]), and MCT calculations using the static input from the simulation data (thick solid line, from Ref. [86]).

f(q) semi-quantitatively. Since the non-ergodicity parameter is a very fundamental quantity for the description of the glassy dynamics, we do not show a comparison of other quantities obtained in the MCT calculation where a similar matching with simulation data was observed.

The good agreement of the MCT calculations using simulation data at  $q \gtrsim q_{\text{max}}$  contrasts with the clear deviations around  $q \approx 2\pi/R_{\text{g}}$ . This finding can be interpreted as indication that the CM dynamics has not been appropriately included in the MCT equations for polymers. As pointed out before, this feature rests unexplained and needs further study.

To the end of investigating the influence of the CM in more detail, an extended PRISM analysis would be desirable, where the monomer-CM couplings are treated site-wise and not site averaged as done so far.

In addition, it would be interesting to perform simulations with varying chain length. This would shift  $R_{\rm g}$  and consequently the features caused by the CM-motion would shift accordingly, allowing to identify them more clearly. Longer chains would also allow for the investigation of entanglement effects in our polymer melt, which are absent for N = 10.

One could also think of simulations of two-dimensional films in the glassy state as natural extension of bulk and film simulations in the glassy state [17]. Again, simulations of different chain lengths would be very interesting as connectivity effects are more pronounced in 2-d as in 3-d.

## Chapter 4

# Polymer Specific Effects and String-Like Correlated Motion in the Dynamics of Supercooled Polymer Melts

#### 4.1 Introduction

Many liquids can be supercooled below the melting temperature  $T_{\rm m}$  and transformed into a glass, a solid phase without long-range positional order, at the glass transition temperature  $T_{\rm g}$ . Structurally, the liquid well above  $T_{\rm g}$  and the amorphous solid below  $T_{\rm g}$  are almost identical. Yet, the dynamics is very different. In the temperature interval  $T_{\rm g} \leq T \leq T_{\rm m}$  the relaxation time slows dramatically, typically by more than 10 orders of magnitude [4, 5, 8].

A possible explanation could be that a glass former develops spatially heterogeneous dynamics upon cooling toward  $T_{\rm g}$  [21–24]. The term "dynamic heterogeneity" means that the amorphous packing in the supercooled state engenders aggregates ("subensembles") of particles with enhanced or reduced mobility relative to the average. These aggregates are not envisaged as static entities. Rather they are supposed to be fluctuating objects with some finite life time. To test this idea experimentally several techniques, such as multi-dimensional NMR [87, 88], optical bleaching [89], non-resonant spectral hole burning [90] or solvation dynamics [91], have been applied to a variety of glass formers (for reviews see [21, 22, 24]). These experiments show that it is possible to select subensembles of slow or fast particles close to  $T_{\rm g}$ . Although the possibility of detecting such subsets suggests that the fast or slow particles are close in space, a direct evidence of this spatial correlation is often hard to extract by these experimental approaches [21]. In multidimensional NMR experiments, it is typically of the order of 3 nm close to  $T_{\rm g}$  [88].

Information on this correlation has, however, become accessible in recent experiments on colloidal suspensions [92, 93]. Colloidal suspensions undergo a glass transition driven by density [94], which, for hard-sphere colloids, is well described by the idealized mode-coupling theory (MCT) [95–98], cf. section 3.11. Individual particle trajectories can be monitored by confocal spectroscopy [92, 93]. This new technique thus provides the same information as computer simulations on different

length and time scales. In the supercooled phase, the experiments [92] revealed that the fastest particles form clusters, the size of which grows as the glass transition is approached. These findings closely agree with the results obtained from computer simulations of various model glass formers [23], such as binary soft-spheres [99] and Lennard-Jones (LJ) mixtures [100–102], polymer melts [26], and water [103] (see also [104–106] for related work on two- and three-dimensinal hard spheres as well as [107] for dynamical heterogeneities in a LJ-mixture below  $T_{\rm g}$ ).

In this chapter we analyze molecular-dynamics simulations of a bead-spring model for a non-entangled glassy polymer melt [12], which was already discussed in chapter 3. While chapter 3 was mainly concerned about the static structure and the long-time dynamics under special consideration of the role of the center of mass motion, we present here a detailed study of the polymer aspects of the intermediate time dynamics.

This model has been extensively investigated in the supercooled regime above the critical temperature  $T_c$  of MCT[15, 16, 70, 108, 109]. In this temperature regime, it exhibits dynamical heterogeneities. This was evidenced by two approaches: The first consisted in measuring spatial correlations between the displacements of different monomers. Reference [25] showed that the strength of these correlations depends on time, that it is largest for times where a monomer is likely to break out of its nearest-neighbor "cage", and that this maximum correlation grows on cooling toward  $T_c$ . These findings were supported by a second approach [26] which identifies clusters of highly mobile particles and analyzes their size distribution as a function of time and temperature close to  $T_c$ .

The analyses of Refs. [25, 26] averaged over all monomers in the melt without discriminating between monomers bonded in a chain and non-bonded ones. The goal of the present work is to study the influence of chain connectivity on the dynamical heterogeneities in our model. To this end, we have considerably extended the simulations to longer times at the lowest and the highest temperature compared to Refs. [25, 26], and we investigated spatial correlations in the motion of the highly mobile monomers, similarly to Refs. [100–102].

This chapter is organized as follows: Section 4.2 reviews some properties of typical mean-square displacements. These quantities are important reference points for the following analysis. Section 4.3 discusses two non-GAUSSian parameters and explains how we define mobile monomers. In Sec. 4.4 we show that the most mobile monomers follow each other in a stringlike fashion and we discuss the influence of chain connectivity on this motion. A summary of the basic concepts used in the analysis and of the main results is given in Sec. 4.5.

#### 4.2 Mean-square Displacements

While the temperature T = 0.46 is slightly larger than  $T_c \simeq 0.45$  (critical temperature of mode-coupling theory (MCT) [7, 8]), the highest temperature T = 1 lies well above the temperature range where the transient blocking of neighboring monomers, the "cage effect" [7, 8], can be observed. This blocking is responsible for the glass-like, slow relaxation of our model [15, 16, 70], cf. sections 3.11–3.13. We illustrate this point by the mean-square displacement (MSD) of a monomer  $g_0(t)$ 



Figure 4.1: Time-dependence of the MSD of all monomers,  $g_0(t)$ , for all T studied and of the MSD of the center of mass,  $g_3(t)$ , at T = 0.46 (shown by  $\Box$ ) [see Eq. (4.1)]. Temperature decreases from the left (T = 1) to the right (T = 0.46) in the figure. The lowest temperature is slightly above  $T_c \simeq 0.45$  [15, 16, 70]. The dashed horizontal lines indicate  $6r_{0,c}^2$  (LINDEMANN localization length  $r_{0,c} \simeq 0.095$ ) and the values of the radius of gyration  $R_g^2$  (= 2.09) and of the end-to-end distance  $R_e^2$  (= 12.3). The filled circles ( $\bullet$ ) for T = 0.46, 0.5, 0.55, 0.7, 1 mark the values of  $g_0$ which correpond to the time  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  where the non-GAUSSian parameter  $\alpha_2$  is maximum (see Figure 4.2).  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  is in the regime of the  $\alpha$ -process. The solid line labeled  $\sim t^{0.65}$ shows an effective power law describing the data in the regime  $1 \leq g_0 \leq R_e^2$ , where the connectivity between the monomers dominates the dynamics [109]. Two other solid lines indicate the behavior in the ballistic regime ( $\sim t^2$ ) and the diffusion of the center of mass (6Dt).

and of the center of mass of a chain  $g_3(t)$ ,

$$g_0(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle \left[ \mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0) \right]^2 \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad g_3(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle \left[ \mathbf{R}_c(t) - \mathbf{R}_c(0) \right]^2 \right\rangle.$$
 (4.1)

Here,  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes the canonical ensemble average,  $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$  is the position of monomer i (in any chain) at time t, and  $\mathbf{R}_c(t)$  is the position of the center of mass of chain c at time t. Note that we use a modified notation with respect to the notation in section 3.3 by including both the chain index and the site index in i. This is appropriate, as the full site dependence of the monomers in a chain is not discussed here.

Figure 4.1 depicts  $g_0(t)$  and  $g_3(t)$ . At short times,  $g_0(t) \sim t^2$  for all temperatures (regime of ballistic motion). If T = 1, the displacement becomes subdiffusive for longer times,  $g_0 \sim t^x$  ( $x \simeq 0.65$ ). This subdiffusive behavior can be attributed to chain connectivity which determines the monomer dynamics for  $g_0 \gtrsim 1$  (= monomer diameter) [70, 109]. If  $g_0$  is comparable to the size of the chain, free diffusion sets in ( $g_0 \sim t$ ). At low temperature, this scenario is interrupted by an intermediate time window where  $g_0$  increases very slowly ("plateau regime"). This protracted motion reflects a temporary localization of the monomers by their nearest neighbors ("cage") because  $g_0$  is of the order of 10% of the monomer diameter ( $g_0$  is close to  $6r_{0,c}^2$  with  $r_{0,c} \simeq 0.095$ [15, 70]). The intermediate time window is called " $\beta$ -regime" by MCT [8]. It precedes the final structural relaxation, the " $\alpha$ -regime". In the  $\alpha$ -regime a monomer leaves its initial cage ( $g_0 \gg 6r_{0,c}^2$ ), begins to move subdiffusively due to the bonding to its neighbors, and finally diffuses freely as soon as  $g_0 > R_e^2$ .

Relative to  $g_0$  the MSD of the center of mass is suppressed by about a factor of 1/N in the  $\beta$ -regime. For longer times  $g_3$  directly crosses over to free diffusion. There is no intervening subdiffusive regime because the center of mass is not subject to chain connectivity. These findings are in good agreement with the theoretical predictions in the framework of molecular MCT [19] which we sketched in section 3.11.

## 4.3 How to Select Mobile Particles

#### 4.3.1 Non-Gaussian Parameters

In the limit  $t \to 0$ , the monomers behave as if there were no interactions between them. The probability for a displacement r, the self-part of the VAN HOVE correlation function  $G_{\rm s}(\mathbf{r},t)$ , is proportional to the MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN distribution [53]. In the opposite limit  $t \to \infty$ , the polymers behave as if they were isolated Brownian particles embedded in a heat bath. They diffuse freely. Due to chain connectivity the monomers have to follow the diffusive motion of the center of mass, and  $G_{\rm s}(\mathbf{r},t)$  is again GAUSSian.

At intermediate times, however, there may be deviations from GAUSSian behavior. A possible means to measure them is the non-GAUSSian parameter  $\alpha_2(t)$  [53]

$$\alpha_2(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{3 \left\langle [\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0)]^4 \right\rangle}{5 \left\langle [\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0)]^2 \right\rangle^2} - 1 .$$
(4.2)

Similar to  $\alpha_2(t)$  which quantifies deviations of the monomer dynamics from GAUSSian behavior, one can also measure these deviations for the chain motion by calculating a non-GAUSSian parameter  $\alpha_2^{\rm p}(t)$  for the polymers as

$$\alpha_2^{\mathrm{p}}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{3 \left\langle [\mathbf{R}_c(t) - \mathbf{R}_c(0)]^4 \right\rangle}{5 \left\langle [\mathbf{R}_c(t) - \mathbf{R}_c(0)]^2 \right\rangle^2} - 1 \,. \tag{4.3}$$

By definition the non-GAUSSian parameters vanish in the limits  $t \to 0$  and  $t \to \infty$ . Otherwise, they are bound from below because the mean-quartic displacement is always larger than or equal to the square of the mean-square displacement  $(\alpha_2(t), \alpha_2^{\rm p}(t) \ge -0.4)$ . A negative value of the parameter means that the particles move on average less far than expected for a random walk, whereas a positive value implies that they move farther. The latter case is often observed in computer simulations [110–115] and in experiments [92, 93, 116].

Figure 4.2 shows  $\alpha_2(t)$  and  $\alpha_2^{\rm p}(t)$ . The non-GAUSSian parameters vanish in the ballistic regime. With increasing time the amplitude of  $\alpha_2(t)$  and  $\alpha_2^{\rm p}(t)$  goes up to a maximum which occurs at  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  for  $\alpha_2(t)$  and at  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  for  $\alpha_2^{\rm p}(t)$ . Notice that the peak



Figure 4.2: Non-GAUSSian parameter of the monomers  $\alpha_2(t)$  (upper panel) and of the center of mass of the polymers  $\alpha_2^{\rm p}(t)$  (lower panel) versus time for different temperatures.  $\alpha_2(t)$  and  $\alpha_2^{\rm p}(t)$  are defined in Eqs. (4.2,4.3). The temperatures range from the high-*T*, normal liquid state (T = 1; thick dashed lines in both panels) to the supercooled state of the melt slightly above  $T_{\rm c} \simeq 0.45$  (T = 0.46; thick dashdotted lines). Temperature decreases from the bottom curve to the top curve in both panels. The dashed horizontal line (= 0.043) in the upper panel indicates a possible intermediate plateau toward which all  $\alpha_2(t)$ -curves could converge. This line is also included in the lower panel.

height is larger for  $\alpha_2(t)$  than for  $\alpha_2^{\rm p}(t)$  and that  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  and  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  are different.  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  is shifted by about half a decade to longer times if  $T \leq 0.52$ .

The small amplitude of  $\alpha_2^{\rm p}(t)$  may be attributed to the difference in packing of the monomers and of the chains. The monomers of our model exhibit an ondulating pair-distribution function g(r) [15] whose shape and range are very similar to those found in simple liquids. In contrast to that, the pair-distribution function  $g_{\rm cm}(r)$ for the centers of mass is fairly structureless, according our findings for the static structure for the chains' centers of mass in section 3.9.2, cf. Fig. 3.8 ( $g_{\rm cm}$  is the FOURIER-transform of  $S^{\rm C}$ ). This reflects the fact that polymers are soft, strongly interpenetrating objects. The effective interaction between the centers of mass is weak. If this interaction were zero, there would be no resulting force on the center of mass. Then, the chain would diffuse freely (outside the ballistic regime). The small, non-zero  $\alpha_2^{\rm p}(t)$  at T = 1 may thus be related to a weak force arising from the presence of other chains in the volume occupied by a polymer [117].

On cooling the melt toward  $T_c$  a pronounced maximum occurs for both non-GAUSSian parameters. Since  $g_{cm}(r)$  is (essentially) temperature independent, the maximum of  $\alpha_2^p$  cannot be attributed to enhanced interchain interactions at low T. The similarity between  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_2^p$  rather suggests that the coupling between monomer and chain dynamics [19] drives the behavior of  $\alpha_2^p(t)$ . If the monomers of chain are blocked in their cages, the center of mass cannot move either. On the other hand, if a sufficient number of monomers move far during the time t, a large displacement of the center of mass results. As many monomers of the same chain are involved in this motion, a large displacement of the center of mass should take a longer time than for a single monomer. This might explain why  $t^*_{\alpha_2^p}$  is larger than  $t^*_{\alpha_2}$ .

In addition to the maximum  $\alpha_2$  shows two conspicuous features. First, there is a small, temperature independent step at  $t \simeq 0.1$ . This time corresponds to the crossover from the ballistic to the plateau regime (Fig. 4.1). Thus, the step can be more or less pronounced, depending on the microscopic properties of the system studied [111, 114, 115, 118]. Second,  $\alpha_2(t)$  does not vanish continuously for large times. Rather it seems to relax toward a plateau before going to zero. This behavior is clearly visible for T = 1.0, while the other temperatures are only indicative of a similar trend. Figure 4.2 suggests that the plateau value is the same for all T, but that the time when it is reached increases on cooling. For T = 1 the plateau is attained if the MSD of all monomers is  $g_0 \approx 1$ , whereas, for T = 0.46, it is only reached if  $t \gtrsim 10^5$ . This time corresponds to displacements of the order of the chain size  $(R_g^2 < g_0 < R_e^2)$  for T = 0.46 (Fig. 4.1). Because the motion of the monomers becomes diffusive for  $g_0 \gg R_e^2$ , one can speculate that the length of the plateau decreases with T.

For all temperatures the plateau occurs if  $g_0 \gtrsim 1$ . This corresponds to times where the ROUSE model [20] is believed to describe the dynamics of non-entangled chains in the melt [119]. In this model the displacements of the monomers and of the chains are GAUSSian distributed. Thus,  $\alpha_2(t)$  and  $\alpha_2^p(t)$  should vanish at all times. The finite value of the plateau points to small, but systematic deviations from ROUSE behavior. This value is approximately the same for both  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_2^p$ , and roughly agrees with the maximum of  $\alpha_2^p$  found at T = 1. The latter observation could imply that the occurrence of the plateau is related to the weak interactions between the centers of mass alluded to above.

In summary, the interpretation of Fig. 4.2 suggests that deviations from GAUSSian behavior in our model might have two origins: The weak, temperature independent interaction between the centers of mass leads to small deviations in the sub-diffusive regime. Preceding the sub-diffusive regime strong, T-dependent deviations occur due to the motion of the monomers in their nearest-neighbor cages and due the escape from them. This drives the sluggish glass-like relaxation of the monomer and, as a consequence, also that of the center of mass. Therefore, the subsequent analysis will focus on the monomer dynamics.

#### 4.3.2 Definition of Mobility

The most prominent feature of  $\alpha_2$  is the pronounced maximum. At low temperatures  $t^*_{\alpha_2}$  lies in the crossover regime from the late- $\beta$  to the early- $\alpha$  process [6, 8] (see Fig. 4.1). The large positive amplitude of  $\alpha_2(t^*_{\alpha_2})$  indicates that the onset of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation involves displacements which are much larger than expected for a random walk. Thus,  $t^*_{\alpha_2}$  is a good time to select mobile particles.

In the following we characterize the "mobility" of a monomer by the magnitude of its displacement at time  $t_{\mu}$ ,

$$\mu_i(t_\mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\mathbf{r}_i(t_\mu) - \mathbf{r}_i(0)| . \tag{4.4}$$

A monomer is said to be "mobile" if it is among the 6.5% with the largest  $\mu_i(t_{\mu})$  at time  $t_{\mu}$ . We denote the resulting set of mobile monomers by  $\mathcal{M}_{\rm m}(t_{\mu})$ . This definition does not necessarily imply large displacements in absolute terms. It just selects those monomers which move farthest in some time interval at a given temperature. At short times or low temperatures the actual distance covered may be small compared to long times or high temperatures.

A practical advantage is the easy implementation: One just sorts all monomers according to the modulus of their displacement and finds the 6.5% with the largest  $\mu_i(t_{\mu})$ . Nevertheless, this choice seems fairly arbitrary and the question arises to what extent the results depend on it. A thorough study of this problem has been performed in Ref. [26]. This work suggests that 6.5% is a reasonable choice due to the following observation: The first approach to define mobile particles [100, 102] employed the criterion that a particle has to move further than some distance  $r^*$  in the time  $t_{\mu} = t^*_{\alpha_2}$ . Since this choice identifies  $t_{\mu}$  with the time where deviations from GAUSSian behavior are maximum, it is natural to determine  $r^*$  in a similar way, for instance, by comparing the simulated  $G_s(\mathbf{r}, t)$  with its GAUSSian approximation. The comparison shows that the GAUSSian approximation underestimates the probability of large displacements. The distance  $r^*$  can therefore be defined by the intersection point of both distributions in the tail region [100, 102]. Applying this approach to the present polymer model one finds that the fraction of monomers in the tail beyond  $r^*$  ranges between 6.2% and 6.8%. Thus, 6.5% is a good compromise.

Nevertheless, this definition of mobility seems to have a drawback: If a monomer first moves far away from its origin and then returns at the end of the time window  $[0, t_{\mu}]$ , it will not be classified as mobile. The selection is made at  $t_{\mu}$  and ignores the history of motion between the start and end points. To circumvent this problem a different definition was utilized in Ref. [102]: A particle is called "mobile" provided its maximum displacement,

$$\widetilde{\mu}_i(t_{\mu}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{0 \le t \le t_{\mu}} \left[ |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0)| \right], \qquad (4.5)$$

occurring at some time  $0 \le t \le t_{\mu}$ , belongs to the 5% of largest displacements found in the interval  $[0, t_{\mu}]$ .

In order to decide which definition to use some of the quantities to be discussed below were calculated for both choices (4.4) and (4.5). The comparison revealed no qualitative differences between the two definitions, a result also found for a binary LJ-mixture [102]. Therefore, we only use the computationally simpler criterion (4.4) in the following.

## 4.4 **Properties of Mobile Monomers**

#### 4.4.1 Mean-Square Displacement of Mobile Monomers

We first characterize the motion of the mobile monomer subset, and investigate how that motion is affected by the choice of  $t_{\mu}$ . To this end, we calculate a specially defined MSD  $g_{0,m}(t_{\mu}, t)$ , which calculates the MSD, as a function of time, of a subset of monomers that are identified to be highly mobile in a specific time window  $t_{\mu}$ ,

$$g_{0,\mathrm{m}}(t_{\mu},t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{m}}(t_{\mu})|} \left\langle \sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{m}}(t_{\mu})} \left[ \mathbf{r}_{i}(t) - \mathbf{r}_{i}(0) \right]^{2} \right\rangle.$$
(4.6)

Here,  $|\mathcal{M}_{\rm m}(t_{\mu})| (= 0.065 \times M)$  denotes the total number of particles in the subset of mobile monomers at time  $t_{\mu}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\rm m}(t_{\mu})$ . For all times the number  $|\mathcal{M}_{\rm m}(t_{\mu})|$  remains fixed, although the composition of  $\mathcal{M}_{\rm m}(t_{\mu})$  changes with  $t_{\mu}$  – at different times different monomers will be among the 6.5% with the largest displacement. By fixing  $t_{\mu}$  and varying t we fix this composition for all t and follow the motion of only those monomers that have been found to be mobile at  $t_{\mu}$ . However, we can also calculate the MSD of the mobile monomers  $g_{0,\rm m}(t)$ , as done in Ref. [26]; this corresponds to the choice  $t_{\mu} = t$  in Eq. (4.6). In that case, the composition of  $\mathcal{M}_{\rm m}(t)$  may vary for different times.

Figures 4.3a and 4.3b compare  $g_{0,m}(t)$  with the average MSD,  $g_0(t)$ , of the melt at T = 1 and T = 0.46. Qualitatively,  $g_0(t)$  and  $g_{0,m}(t)$  exhibit the same behavior at both temperatures. However, there are quantitative differences. As expected, the mobile particles always move significantly farther than the average. At early and very late times, the ratio  $g_{0,m}(t)/g_0(t)$  is approximately 3.14 (Fig. 4.3c). This value can be understood from the GAUSSian behavior of the displacements in the ballistic and diffusive regimes. If  $G_s(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is a GAUSSian, we have

$$G_{\rm s}(\mathbf{r},t) = \left(\frac{3}{2\pi g_0(t)}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{3\mathbf{r}^2}{2g_0(t)}\right] \,. \tag{4.7}$$

The fraction of the 6.5% of the most mobile monomers can then be expressed as:

$$0.065 = 4\pi \int_{r^*}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}r \ r^2 G_{\rm s}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (2/\sqrt{\pi})\Gamma(3/2, \sqrt{u^*}) , \qquad (4.8)$$

where  $u = 3r^2/2g_0$  and  $\Gamma(\alpha, x)$  is the incomplete gamma function. This condition yields  $\sqrt{u^*} \simeq 3.614$ . Similarly, we can write for the MSD of the mobile monomers

$$g_{0,\mathrm{m}}(t) = (4\pi/0.065) \int_{r^*}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}r \ r^4 G_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (4/0.065\sqrt{9\pi})g_0(t)\Gamma(5/2, \sqrt{u^*}) \ . \tag{4.9}$$

Using the identity  $\Gamma(\alpha + 1, x) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha, x) + x^{\alpha} e^{-x}$  we arrive at

$$\frac{g_{0,\mathrm{m}}(t)}{g_0(t)} = 1 + \frac{4}{0.065\sqrt{9\pi}} (x^*)^{3/2} \,\mathrm{e}^{-x^*} \,, \tag{4.10}$$

where  $x^*$  is determined by the normalization condition  $(4/\sqrt{\pi}) \int_{x^*}^{\infty} dx \, x^2 \exp(-x^2) = 0.065$ . This yields  $x^* \simeq 3.6136$  so that  $g_{0,m}(t)/g_0(t) \simeq 3.143$ .


Comparison of various MSD's at T = 1 [panel (a), top left] and Figure 4.3: T = 0.46 [panel (b), top right]. The thick dashed curve is the average MSD,  $g_0(t)$ , of all monomers in the melt, whereas the dash-dotted curve represents the MSD of all mobile monomers,  $g_{0,m}(t)$ .  $g_{0,m}(t)$  results from the concatenation of the tips of the thin solid curves. Each of these solid curves shows the time evolution of the MSD  $g_{0,m}(t_{\mu},t)$  which is obtained by averaging over all those monomers that are mobile at  $t = t_{\mu}$  (= time when the tip occurs). The vertical solid lines in both panels show the time  $t^*_{\alpha_2}$  where the non-GAUSSian parameter  $\alpha_2$  is maximum. In panel (b) the vertical arrows indicate two times  $t_{\mu}$ , one at the beginning of the plateau  $(t_{\mu} = 1.038)$  and in the  $\alpha$ -regime  $(t_{\mu} = 2634)$ . The insets in panels (a) and (b) illustrate the difference between the average MSD and that of the mobile particles by showing  $g_{0,m}(t_{\mu},t)/g_0(t)$  for  $t_{\mu}$  well beyond the ballistic regime. Panel (c) compares  $g_{0,m}(t)/g_0(t)$  to  $\alpha_2(t)$ . The non-GAUSSian parameter was shifted by 3.143 [dashed horizontal line, see Eq. (4.10)]. The vertical arrows indicate the time when  $g_0 = R_e$  for T = 1 and T = 0.46, respectively.

At intermediate times,  $g_{0,m}(t)/g_0(t)$  is larger than 3.143 and behaves qualitatively in the same way as  $\alpha_2$  (Fig. 4.3c). This finding is not unreasonable. The mean-quartic displacement is more sensitive to large displacements than  $g_0$ . Thus, it particularly samples the large-r wing of  $G_s(\mathbf{r}, t)$  similar to  $g_{0,m}$ . In particular,  $g_{0,m}(t)/g_0(t)$  exhibits a maximum at  $t = t^*_{\alpha_2}$ , the amplitude of which increases with decreasing T. This illustrates again that the most mobile monomers move farther than expected for a random walk. The difference in mobility between all and the fastest monomers grows on cooling and is most pronounced at the beginning of the  $\alpha$ -process.

Figure 4.3 also shows the MSD  $g_{0,m}(t_{\mu}, t)$  which illustrates how the monomers that are mobile at  $t_{\mu}$  move at other times. Apparently, the mobile monomers do not belong to a special class of particles which are always faster than the average. Rather they behave as the average for  $t \ll t_{\mu}$ , accelerate as t approaches  $t_{\mu}$ , and finally relax back to the average for  $t \gg t_{\mu}$ . This cycle is almost symmetric on a log-scale at T = 1 if  $t_{\mu} \gtrsim t_{\alpha_2}^*$ , i.e., in the subdiffusive regime [inset of panel (a)]. Similar behavior is found at T = 0.46 for times beyond the plateau in the subdiffusive regime [inset of panel (b)]. However, the cycle is fairly asymmetric in the  $\beta$ -regime. If  $t_{\mu}$  is at the beginning of the plateau (curve indicated by an arrow at  $t_{\mu} \approx 1$  in Fig. 4.3b), the monomer accelerates fast, but takes a long time to relax back to  $g_0(t)$ , whereas the behavior is opposite if  $t_{\mu}$  is in the  $\alpha$ -relaxation regime (curve indicated by an arrow at  $t_{\mu} \approx 2600$  in Fig. 4.3b).

#### 4.4.2 Mobile Monomers and Chain Connectivity

The previous subsection discussed the motion of mobile monomers without distinguishing whether they are connected to each other or not. Of course, the interplay of connectivity and mobility is an interesting issue. To analyze this polymer-specific aspect the following quantities were introduced. Let  $|C_m(t_\mu)|$  be the number of chains which contain at least one mobile monomer. Then, we define the fraction of endmonomers in the set  $\mathcal{M}_m(t_\mu)$ ,  $f_{e,m}(t_\mu)$ , and the number of mobile monomers per chain averaged over all chains  $c_m$  in the set  $C_m(t_\mu)$ 

$$N_{\rm m}(t_{\mu}) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \left\langle \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_{\rm m}(t_{\mu})|} \sum_{c_{\rm m} \in \mathcal{C}_{\rm m}(t_{\mu})} \left| \{i \in \mathcal{M}_{\rm m}(t_{\mu}), \ i \in c_{\rm m}\} \right| \right\rangle . \tag{4.11}$$

Note that  $N_{\rm m}$  does not discriminate between mobile monomers separated along the backbone of the chain and those which are directly connected to one another. The latter property, however, reflects the extent to which mobile monomer excite their bonded neighbors. Therefore, we also calculate the average number of contiguous segments of mobile monomers along the backbone of a chain,  $N_{\rm c,m}(t_{\mu})$ . In the following we will mainly discuss this latter quantity. Figure 4.4 illustrates these definitions.

#### 4.4.3 Mobile End Monomers

Figure 4.5a shows the time evolution of  $Nf_{e,m}/2$  for all temperatures. The factor N/2 takes into account that the *a-priori* probability of finding an end monomer



Figure 4.4: An example of how the number of mobile monomers per chain,  $N_{\rm m}(t_{\mu})$ , and the average length of contiguous segments of mobile monomers,  $N_{\rm c,m}(t_{\mu})$ , are defined. At time  $t_{\mu}$  only the shaded monomers are supposed to be mobile. The first two monomers constitute a contiguous segment of length 2, then there are five nonmobile monomers, followed by another contiguous segment of length 3. So,  $N_{\rm m}(t_{\mu})$ is five and the average length of contiguous mobile segments is  $N_{\rm c,m}(t_{\mu}) = 2.5$ .

among the N monomers of a chain is 2/N. If the mobility of the ends cannot be distinguished from the average,  $Nf_{\rm e,m}/2$  should be 1. This is the case in the ballistic regime, where the monomers are independent of each other, and in the diffusive regime, where they follow the motion of the center of mass. At intermediate times, however, we find  $Nf_{\rm e,m}/2 > 1$ . Chain ends are more mobile than inner monomers.

We compare  $Nf_{\rm e,m}/2$  with the ratio  $g_4(t)/g_0(t)$ , where  $g_4(t)$  is the MSD of the end monomers (Fig. 4.5b). The time dependence of  $Nf_{\rm e,m}/2$  closely agrees with that of  $g_4(t)/g_0(t)$ . This implies that the qualitative relationship between the motion of the mobile ends and that of all mobile monomers is not different from the average behavior of the melt. However, there are quantitative differences. For times outside the ballistic and diffusive regimes  $Nf_{\rm e,m}(t)/2$  is larger than  $g_4(t)/g_0(t)$ , except in the window of the intermediate plateau (MCT  $\beta$ -process), where  $Nf_{\rm e,m}(t)/2 \approx g_4(t)/g_0(t)$ .

When leaving the ballistic regime  $g_4/g_0$  first increases. This increase can be understood by the short-time expansion of the MSD of monomer i [53]

$$\left\langle [\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0)]^2 \right\rangle = 2t \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' \left( 1 - \frac{t'}{t} \right) \left\langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}_i(0) \right\rangle \approx 3T t^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{\langle |\mathbf{F}_i|^2 \rangle}{36T} t^2 \right] \quad (t \text{ small}) ,$$

where  $\langle \mathbf{v}_i(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}_i(0) \rangle$  is the velocity auto-correlation function and  $\mathbf{F}_i$  the total force on monomer *i*. Since an end is only bonded to one monomer,  $\mathbf{F}_i$  is smaller than for inner monomers. Thus, one expects  $g_4/g_0 > 1$  (and also  $Nf_{\rm e,m}/2 > 1$ ) for times just outside the ballistic regime. In our model the ratio continues to increase up to a maximum that occurs around  $t \approx 0.13$ . This is close to the time where the velocity auto-correlation function becomes negative [12]. The inversion of the initial direction of the velocity is caused by rebounding collisions between a monomer and its neighbors. It is typical of dense liquids and must occur in the same way for end and inner monomers. Therefore, the difference in mobility should diminish and  $g_4/g_0$  should decrease. In fact, the simulation shows that, for longer times,  $g_4/g_0$ first decreases toward a minimum and then, at about  $t \approx t_{\alpha_2}^*$ , crosses over to a steep rise. The rise reaches a maximum close to the time where the MSD of the center of mass equals  $R_{\rm g}^2$ . This roughly corresponds to the ROUSE time  $\tau_{\rm R}$  [20] of our model. Thereafter, the transition to free diffusion takes place.



Figure 4.5: Panel (a): Fraction of mobile end monomers  $f_{\rm e,m}$  versus t.  $f_{\rm e,m}$  is multiplied by N/2 (= 5) to account for the fact that there are only two ends per chain. Besides T = 1 (dashed curve) and T = 0.46 (dash-dotted curve) the following temperatures are shown (solid curves from left to right): T = 0.7, 0.65, 0.6, 0.55,0.52, 0.5, 0.48, 0.47. For T = 1 and T = 0.46, the open squares indicate  $t^*_{\alpha_2}$ , the filled squares the time when  $g_0 = R_{\rm e}^2$ . Panel (b): Same as in panel (a), but for  $g_4(t)/g_0(t)$ .  $g_4(t)$  is the MSD of the end monomers.

The enhanced mobility of the end monomers for  $t > t_{\alpha_2}^*$  is not unexpected. The ROUSE theory predicts  $g_4/g_0 = 2$  in the time regime where the monomer displacement follows a  $t^{1/2}$  behavior (i.e., for  $t \leq \tau_R$ ) [20]. In the present simulation, the maximum of  $g_4/g_0$  is smaller than 2, partially due to finite-N effects. Longer chains should attain the ROUSE prediction more closely if entanglements can be neglected [19].

The double-peak structure of  $g_4/g_0$  is present at all temperatures. When cooling the melt toward  $T_c$  two additional features can be observed: First, the second peak ( $\alpha$ -relaxation) strongly shifts to longer times. This is the signature of the slowing down of structural relaxation. Second, the curves collapse in time window of the minimum which deepens and evolves into a protracted plateau with decreasing T. Previous analysis [15, 70, 108, 109] showed that this time window corresponds to the MCT  $\beta$ -process where one expects temporary intermittence of particle motion due to the cage effect [7, 8]. In the  $\beta$ -regime,  $g_n(t)$  is close to the localization length  $6r_{n,c}^2$  [7, 8, 19]

$$g_n(t) = 6r_{n,c}^2 - 6h_n G(t) \quad (n = 0, 4) .$$
 (4.12)

Here, only the  $\beta$ -correlator G(t) depends on time and temperature, whereas the other parameters  $r_{n,c}$  and  $h_n$  are independent of T (close to  $T_c$ ). Since  $6h_nG(t)$  represents a small correction to  $6r_{n,c}^2$ , Eq. (4.12) suggests  $g_4/g_0 \approx (r_{4,c}/r_{0,c})^2 (\simeq 1.046)$  in the time window of the  $\beta$ -relaxation. Figure 4.5 shows that  $g_4/g_0$  and  $Nf_{e,m}/2$  are indeed close to 1 in this time window. This illustrates that the different bonding of end and inner monomers does not crucially alter the dynamics in the  $\beta$ -regime. Here, the relaxation is determined by the local packing of a monomer and its nearest neighbors, which is (almost) the same for end and inner monomers (in our model).

#### 4.4.4 Correlations of Mobile Monomers in a Chain

Intuitively, one may expect that the bonds in a chain provide a preferred direction along which mobility can be transmitted. To investigate this point we calculated the mean contiguous segment length  $N_{\rm c,m}(t)$ , that is, the average number of mobile monomers which are directly connected to each other in a chain (Fig. 4.4).

Figure 4.6 shows  $N_{\rm c,m}(t)$  for all temperatures studied. In the ballistic regime  $N_{\rm c,m} \approx 1.06$ . Thus, each of the chains in the set  $C_{\rm m}$  mostly contains one mobile monomer. The value  $N_{\rm c,m} \approx 1.06$  could also be obtained by calculating  $N_{\rm c,m}$  after selecting 6.5% of monomers at random and labeling them as "mobile". Therefore, no dynamic correlations between bonded nearest neighbors exist.

Beyond the ballistic regime  $N_{c,m}(t)$  increases, but it never exceeds ~ 1.5 as long as  $t \leq t_{\alpha_2}^*$ . In the studied temperature interval a relaxation mechanism is thus unlikely, in which many consecutive monomers of a chain are mobile and slide along the backbone. Such long-range dynamic correlations would require  $N_{c,m}$  to be of order N. The small value of  $N_{c,m}$  rather suggests that the relaxation in the  $\beta$ -regime is predominantly determined by the dense local packing of the melt and not by chain connectivity. However, this does not imply that chain connectivity is completely irrelevant. For  $T \leq 0.7$ ,  $N_{c,m}(t)$  exhibits a maximum at  $t_{seg}^{max}$  ( $\gtrsim t_{\alpha_2}^*$ ) in the time window of the late- $\beta$ /early- $\alpha$  process. The maximum becomes more pronounced on cooling toward  $T_c$ . Thus, the colder the melt, the larger the tendency of the mobile monomers to be nearest neighbors in the chain. This trend to cluster is not only observed for monomers bonded to each other, but for all mobile monomers [26] in the late- $\beta$ /early- $\alpha$  regime.

For times larger than  $t_{\text{seg}}^{\text{max}}$  the clustering is suppressed again. The length of the contiguous segments relaxes back to a minimum. The minimum occurs at  $t_{\text{seg}}^{\min}$  which roughly corresponds to the time where  $g_0 = 1$  (subdiffusive regime). For  $t > t_{\text{seg}}^{\min}$ , the crossover to free diffusion takes place and  $N_{\text{c,m}}$  continuously increases because the displacement of the center of mass is predicated upon a concomitant motion of many monomers in the chain. Presumably,  $N_{\text{c,m}}$  reaches a long time limit slightly below N, which is a bound by definition of  $N_{\text{c,m}}$ .

The occurrence of the maximum and the minimum suggests that there are two



Figure 4.6: Mean contiguous segment length  $N_{\rm c,m}(t)$ , i.e., average number of mobile monomers directly bonded to each other, versus time for all temperatures. Besides T = 1 (dashed curve) and T = 0.46 (dash-dotted curve) the following temperatures are shown (solid curves from left to right): T = 0.7, 0.65, 0.6, 0.55, 0.52, 0.5, 0.48, 0.47. For T = 1 and T = 0.46, the open squares indicate  $t^*_{\alpha_2}$  and the arrows the time when  $g_0 = R_{\rm e}^2$ .

relaxation mechanisms at low temperature: The maximum corresponds to the cagebreaking process. Here, clustering of highly mobile particles is most pronounced, irrespective of whether they are bonded to each other or not [26]. Cluster formation at the beginning of the  $\alpha$ -process is also observed in a binary LJ-mixture close to  $T_c$ [102] and in experiments on colloidal suspensions close to the glass transition [92]. Thus, chain connectivity is not an imperative premise for clustering. The clustering is rather a consequence of the self-generated cooperativity between the local motion of the caged monomers in the cold melt. To a large extent, this cooperativity is lost as  $N_{c,m}$  crosses over to the minimum. The minimum and the subsequent steep rise are a signature of ROUSE-like, polymer-specific dynamics because they are, at least as precursors, already present at T = 1 where no caging occurs.

In addition to  $N_{\rm c,m}$  we also analyzed the average number of mobile monomers in a polymer,  $N_{\rm m}(t)$  [see Eq. (4.11)]. Since mobile monomers need not necessarily be connected to each other,  $N_{\rm m}(t)$  is larger than  $N_{\rm c,m}(t)$ . Qualitatively, however, both quantities are alike. Within 10% accuracy we find  $N_{\rm c,m}(t)/N_{\rm m}(t) = 0.8$  for all times and temperatures. Thus, about 80% of the mobile monomers of a chains are connected nearest neighbors.

#### 4.4.5 Characteristic Times

Quantities, such as  $\alpha_2(t)$  or  $N_{c,m}(t)$ , exhibit a maximum at some time in the window of the  $\alpha$ -process. Ideal mode-coupling theory predicts that these times, as well as any other time from this window, may be chosen as a characteristic relaxation time of the  $\alpha$ -process (see, e.g., [7, 8]). The relaxation times  $\tau_A$  (cf. section 3.11) of



Figure 4.7: Log-log plot of characteristic time scales versus  $T - T_c$  ( $T_c = 0.45$ ). The times are:  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  (maximum of  $\alpha_2$ ),  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  (maximum of  $\alpha_2^p$ ),  $t_{\text{seg}}^{\text{max}}$  (maximum of  $N_{c,m}$ ),  $t_{\text{seg}}^{\min}$  (minimum of  $N_{c,m}$ ),  $\Delta t_{\max}$  (maximum of the cluster size of mobile monomers; taken from Ref. [26]),  $t_{\text{str}}^{\max}$  (maximum of  $\langle s \rangle$ , see Fig. 4.8). The solid lines labeled by the power law (4.13) indicate the temperature dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time resulting from a quantitative MCT analysis ( $\gamma = 2.09$  [15, 70]) and of the inverse diffusion coefficient ( $\gamma = 1.84$  [15, 109]).

different quantities "A" should only differ in prefactors, but scale with temperature in the same way

$$\tau_A = \tau_A^0 \left(\frac{T - T_c}{T_c}\right)^{-\gamma} \quad (T \to T_c^+) . \tag{4.13}$$

Provided that T is sufficiently close to  $T_c$ , the exponent  $\gamma$  is predicted to be independent of A. Contrary to that, the range of validity of Eq. (4.13), i.e., the upper bound up to which the power law is observable, depends on A [64, 118].

Fits to simulation data often show that Eq. (4.13) only holds approximately [110, 120]. Very close to  $T_c$  deviations occur in (almost) all systems (colloidal suspensions are an exception [94, 95, 98]). These deviations may be rationalized in the framework of MCT by relaxation mechanisms, other than the cage effect, which are not incorporated in the idealized theory [59, 121]. In the remaining temperature interval where Eq. (4.13) can be applied the fits often find the same result for  $T_c$ , whereas  $\gamma$  can depend on A.

For the present model, for instance, the relaxation times derived from intermediate scattering functions yield a  $\gamma$  that decreases with the modulus of the wave vector **q** and approaches  $\gamma_D$ , the exponent of the diffusion coefficient, in the low-*q* limit [16, 108]. Since quantities like the mean-square displacements or the non-GAUSSian parameters are related to the small-*q* behavior of the intermediate scattering functions [19, 118], we expect that relaxation times derived from these quantities exhibit a temperature dependence which is compatible rather with  $\gamma_D$  than with the exponent found at the maximum of the static structure factor.

Figure 4.7 shows the temperature dependence of various time scales introduced in this study, including also the time  $\Delta t_{\text{max}}$  of Ref. [26] where the cluster size of mobile

monomers is maximum. As expected, deviations from the power law (4.13) are found for T close to  $T_c$  (i.e.,  $T - T_c \leq 0.02$ ). For larger temperatures the relaxation times roughly agree with Eq. (4.13). Within the (admittedly large) statistically uncertainties of the data and perhaps with the exception of  $\Delta t_{\text{max}}$  which seems to show a weaker increase [26] the exponents are closer to  $\gamma_D = 1.84 \pm 0.02$  [16] than to the result obtained at the maximum of the static structure factor ( $\gamma \approx 2.09$  [15, 70]), as suggested above.

#### 4.4.6 Stringlike Motion

The cluster analysis of Ref. [26] showed that the spatial correlations between highly mobile monomers closely agree with those found in a binary Lennard-Jones mixture [102]. Reference [102] also reveals that the clusters consist of smaller objects called "strings". A string is a set of mobile particles moving in one direction. Due to the similarity of the results obtained from the cluster analyses of the polymer melt and the LJ-mixture we also expect to find strings here.

To define a string we first applied the prescription proposed in Ref. [101]: Two particles i and j, which are mobile at time t, are connected to a string if

$$\min\left[|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(0)|, |\mathbf{r}_j(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0)|\right] < \delta .$$

$$(4.14)$$

This equation means that one particle, say i, moved from  $\mathbf{r}_i(0)$  to  $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$  in time t, while the other particle simultaneously approached the initial position of i within a sphere of radius  $\delta$ .  $\delta$  must be sufficiently smaller than the Lennard-Jones diameter  $\sigma$  (= 1) to guarantee that j unambiguously replaces i. For the binary LJ-mixture a good choice was  $\delta = 0.6$  [101].

For the polymer model under consideration we found that Eq. (4.14) with  $\delta = 0.6$ can lead to ambiguities. Sometimes several replacements are equally possible so that it is not clear which one to take. To circumvent this problem a different definition of a string was introduced. Particle *i* replaces particle *j* and *j* is in the same string as *i* if

$$j = \arg \min_{\{j \mid |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(0)| < \delta\}} \left[ |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(0)| \right],$$
(4.15)

and similarly, j replaces i and i is in the same string as j if

$$i = \arg \min_{\{i \mid |\mathbf{r}_{j}(t) - \mathbf{r}_{i}(0)| < \delta\}} \left[ |\mathbf{r}_{j}(t) - \mathbf{r}_{i}(0)| \right].$$
(4.16)

Out of several possible replacements Eqs. (4.15) and (4.16) add that particle to an already existing string, whose distance to the end of the string is shortest. The shortest possible stringlength is 1, meaning an isolated mobile monomer. We have considered the possibility of loops which would occur if a set of particles replaced each other in a circular fashion. In our analysis loops occurred very seldomly. Mostly, they were of length two (or four) and consisted of contiguous chain segments of length two. This means that bonded, neighboring monomers switch places. Because the loops were so rare, we decided not to analyze the results for open and closed strings separately.

For  $\delta \leq 0.45$  Eq. (4.14) and the new definition give the same result. However, they become (slightly) different for larger  $\delta$  because two or more particles fulfil the



Figure 4.8: Average stringlength  $\langle s(t) \rangle$  of all mobile monomers and average stringlength in contiguous segments of mobile monomers  $\langle s_{\text{seg}}(t) \rangle$  versus t with replacement parameter  $\delta = 0.55$ . For clarity the data for  $\langle s_{\text{seg}}(t) \rangle$  are shifted upwards by 1. The temperatures shown are (from left to right): T = 1, 0.7, 0.65, 0.6, 0.55,0.52, 0.5, 0.48, 0.47, 0.46. The dotted vertical lines indicate the time when  $\alpha_2$  is maximum for T = 1 ( $t^*_{\alpha_2} = 0.766$ ) and T = 0.46 ( $t^*_{\alpha_2} = 100.894$ ). A stringlength of 1 means an isolated mobile monomer, i.e., no "bond" could be formed between two mobile monomers via the replacement criterion of Eqs. (4.15,4.16).

criterion (4.14). If this happens frequently, a "string" contains many Y-like portions, i.e., two particles j are equally likely to occupy the initial position of i. Then, the postulated one-dimensionality of the string is not preserved. For  $\delta = 0.6$  we found such ambiguities in 1%, for  $\delta = 0.55$  only in 0.2% of the replacements. Since we wanted to avoid these ambiguities as much as possible while still keeping a value close to that used in Ref. [101],  $\delta = 0.55$  was chosen for the subsequent analysis.

Figure 4.8 shows the time evolution of the stringlengths averaged over all mobile monomers,  $\langle s(t) \rangle$ , and over the mobile monomers that are directly connected to each other in a chain,  $\langle s_{seg}(t) \rangle$ . Qualitatively, both quantities behave in the same way. At short times,  $\langle s(t) \rangle$  and  $\langle s_{seg}(t) \rangle$  are equal to 1. A stringlength of 1 means that all mobile monomers are separated from each other. For a replacement to occur the shortest distance a monomer must have covered is the nearest-neighbor distance ( $\approx 1$ ) minus  $\delta$ . So, roughly 0.45. Figure 4.3 shows that such a displacement  $(g_{0,m} \approx 0.2)$  takes about  $t \approx 0.2$  at T = 1 and about  $t \approx 2$  at T = 0.46. These estimates are close to the times at which the stringlengths start increasing.

A stringlength larger than 1 implies that mobile monomers tend to replace each other. This trend is present at all temperatures, but becomes more pronounced on cooling. It is maximum at a time which is slightly shorter for  $\langle s_{\text{seg}}(t) \rangle$  than for  $\langle s(t) \rangle$  (perhaps due to finite-N effects). We determined this time  $t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}$  for  $\langle s(t) \rangle$  (Fig. 4.7). A glance at Fig. 4.3 shows that  $t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}$  represents a time where  $g_{0,\text{m}} \approx 1$ . Thus,  $\langle s(t) \rangle$  is maximum if a monomer displaces on average over its own size and another monomer moves into its position ( $\langle s(t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}) \rangle \approx 2$ ). An important contribution to this one-particle replacement comes from bonded neighbors,  $\langle s_{\text{seg}}(t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}) \rangle / \langle s(t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}) \rangle \approx 2/3$ .

Does this mean that the connectivity is important or would randomly assigning bonds to pairs of mobile particles would lead to a similar value? String replacements happen preferably between next neighbors, and in a 3-d close packed system, there are  $N_{\rm nn} \approx 12$  of them, leading to  $MN_{\rm nn}/2$  possible nearest neighbor pairs. There are (N-1)n bonds in the system. The probability of randomly finding a bonded pair (if bonds had no physical meaning) is then given by the ratio of bonds to neighbor pairs,  $[(N-1)n]/(nNN_{\rm nn}/2) = [2(1-1/N)]/N_{\rm nn} \approx 0.15$ . Assuming that this probability stays constant, a string of length  $s \geq 2$  will thus lead with a probability of  $0.15^{s-1}$  to a segment  $s_{\rm seg} = s$  of the same length. As this value is small compared to the ratio  $\langle s_{\rm seg}(t_{\rm str}^{\rm max}) \rangle / \langle s(t_{\rm str}^{\rm max}) \rangle$ , we conclude that physical chain connectivity is important. However, as  $\langle s \rangle > \langle s_{\rm seg} \rangle$  strings will consist of several segments, especially for long strings.

For times larger than  $t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}$ ,  $\langle s_{\text{seg}}(t) \rangle$  relaxes back to its initial value 1, whereas  $\langle s(t) \rangle$  asymptotically tends to a slightly larger value (~ 1.04). This disparity is related to the definition of both stringlengths which gives rise to a different larget limit in the diffusive regime. We can explain this difference by the following argument: Let  $P_{\delta}(|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(0)| < \delta)$  denote the probability that a mobile monomer i at time t approaches the initial position of another mobile monomer j to within the range  $\delta$ . Then,  $(0.065M - 1)P_{\delta}$  is the average number of mobile monomers that satisfy the criterion of string formation. Hence, the average stringlength is given by

$$\langle s(t) \rangle = 1 + (0.065M - 1)P_{\delta} .$$
 (4.17)

For  $P_{\delta}$  we can write

$$P_{\delta} = \int_{\varepsilon < \delta} d\varepsilon \left\langle \delta \left( \left[ \mathbf{r}_{i}(t) - \mathbf{r}_{j}(0) \right] - \varepsilon \right) \right\rangle$$
  
$$= \int_{\varepsilon < \delta} d\varepsilon \left\{ \int d\mathbf{r}_{i}(t) d\mathbf{r}_{j}(0) \, \delta \left( \left[ \mathbf{r}_{i}(t) - \mathbf{r}_{j}(0) \right] - \varepsilon \right) \right\}$$
  
$$G_{s} \left( \mathbf{r}_{i}(t) - \mathbf{r}_{i}(0), t \right) \left[ \frac{\rho}{M} g \left( \mathbf{r}_{i}(0) - \mathbf{r}_{j}(0) \right) \right] \right\} \quad (t \text{ large}) , \qquad (4.18)$$

where  $G_{\rm s}(\mathbf{r},t)$  and  $g(\mathbf{r})$  are the self-part of the VAN HOVE correlation function and the pair-distribution function, respectively. Since  $\rho g(\mathbf{r}_{ij})/M$  is the probability density that the two monomers are initially at a distance  $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i(0) - \mathbf{r}_j(0)$ , and  $G_{\rm s}(\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0), t)$  is the probability density for the displacement  $\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0)$ , the product  $\rho g G_{\rm s}/M$  gives the probability density for the vector  $\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(0)$ , provided the displacement of monomer j is not correlated with  $\mathbf{r}_i(0)$ . This condition can only be valid for large times. If we perform the integral over the  $\delta$ -function and use the homogeneity of space, we obtain

$$P_{\delta} = \frac{\rho}{M} \int_{\varepsilon < \delta} \mathrm{d}\varepsilon \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{ij} \ G_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbf{r}_{ij} + \varepsilon, t) g(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) \quad (t \text{ large}) \ . \tag{4.19}$$

In the diffusive regime the VAN HOVE function is vanishingly small for distances where g(r) varies appreciably. Thus, we may replace g(r) by its large-r limit. This limit is 0 for the polymer pair-distribution function as bonds restrict distances to finite values [15] so that  $\langle s_{\text{seg}} \rangle = 1$ , whereas it is 1 in the case of the melt. Furthermore, since  $G_s$  is a normalized GAUSSian, the integral over  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$  just gives 1. Thus, we find  $P_{\delta} = (\rho/M)(4\pi\delta^3/3)$ . Together with Eq. (4.17) this yields

$$\langle s \rangle = 1 + \frac{4\pi (0.065M - 1)\delta^3}{3M} \rho .$$
 (4.20)

Using  $\delta = 0.55$  and M = 1020,  $\rho = 0.9058$  at T = 1 as well as M = 1200,  $\rho = 1.0378$  at T = 0.46 we find  $\langle s \rangle = 1.040$  and  $\langle s \rangle = 1.046$  at T = 1 and 0.46, respectively. These estimates are in good agreement with the simulation data of Fig. 4.8 at large times.

The previous analysis was done with  $\delta = 0.55$ . When introducing the criterion for defining strings we argued that the precise choice of  $\delta$  is not crucial, as long as its value is sufficiently small. To illustrate this point Fig. 4.9 shows the temperature dependence of the maximum average stringlength,  $\langle s(t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}) \rangle$ , for various  $\delta$ . We find that the strings become longer if  $\delta$  increases. This is expected, since more particles satisfy the  $|\cdot| < \delta$  condition. However, the qualitative features are independent of  $\delta$ . To support this point further we invoke an analogy, first proposed in Ref. [101], between the strings and equilibrium polymers (see for instance [122] and references therein).

Equilibrium polymers are systems in which the bonds between the monomers are not permanent. They can constantly break and recombine at random points along the backbone of a chain. In chemical equilibrium a melt of these self-assembling polymers is characterized by an exponential distribution of chain length s,  $P(s) \sim \exp(-s/\langle s \rangle)$  (if s is large), and by a mean chain length that increases exponentially with the energy gain E obtained by bond formation,  $\langle s \rangle \propto \exp(E/T)$ .

In our context, the mobile monomers also self-assemble to (short) chains, driven by the sluggish dynamics of the cold melt. The dynamically created bonds can break and recombine at any instant. They are the more likely to form, and thus "the stronger", the larger  $\delta$ . This suggests a correspondence between  $\delta$  and E, the simplest assumption being  $E \propto \delta$ . Figure 4.9 shows that this assumption is not unrealistic. Despite the disparity between the theoretical premise of long chains and the shortness of our strings a reasonable superposition of stringlengths, found for various  $\delta$  and T, is obtained. This implies that any of the shown values for  $\delta$  could have been chosen for the present analysis.

From the analogy with equilibrium polymers one would expect that the strings are fairly polydisperse. Figure 4.10 shows the distribution of the stringlength sfound at  $t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}$ . At the highest temperature, T = 1, P(s) is a single exponential which decreases rapidly. The most frequent stringlengths are s = 1, 2. Their probability remains essentially unchanged on cooling, whereas longer strings occur much more frequently for T < 1. The tail of the distribution is roughly exponential, supporting the possible interpretation of strings as equilibrium polymers. Very similar observations were also made in the simulations of the binary LJ-mixture [101].

# 4.4.7 Directional Correlations Between Neighboring Mobile Monomers

The string analysis of the previous section showed that mobile monomers tend to move to the initial position of other mobile monomers. Since typical displacements of mobile monomers at  $t_{\text{seg}}^{\text{max}}$  are still fairly local (see Fig. 4.3), a replacement is most



Figure 4.9: Top panel: Average stringlength  $\langle s \rangle$  versus T for various  $\delta$  [see Eqs. (4.15,4.16)]. The stringlength is calculated at  $t_{\rm str}^{\rm max}$ , where it is maximum. Bottom panel: Rescaling of  $\langle s \rangle$  as suggested by the analogy with equilibrium polymers (see text for details). A satisfactory collapse of the data for all T and  $\delta$  is obtained except for T = 1. At this temperature, strings larger than 1 occur very seldomly (see Fig. 4.10). The dashed straight line is a fit through the data for  $T \leq 0.7$ , yielding  $\ln \langle s \rangle = -0.23 + 0.73 \ \delta/T$ .

likely to occur between nearest neighbors. In this section, we thus concentrate on neighboring mobile monomers and explore their correlated motion.

Let monomers *i* and *j* be nearest neighbors at t = 0 and mobile at some later time *t*. We define particle *i* to be a nearest neighbor of particle *j* if their initial distance is within the first neighbor shell of the pair-distribution function, i.e.,  $|\mathbf{r}_j(0) - \mathbf{r}_i(0)| < 1.5$  [15]. The same definition was also used in Ref. [26]. To simplify the notation we write for the distance between two neighbors at time t = 0

$$\mathbf{r}_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{r}_j(0) - \mathbf{r}_i(0) , \qquad (4.21)$$



Figure 4.10: Semi-log plot of the probability distribution P(s) of the stringlength s for various T. P(s) is calculated at  $t = t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}$  where  $\langle s \rangle$  is maximum. It is roughly exponential. Inset: P(s) rescaled by the mean value  $\langle s \rangle$  versus  $s/\langle s \rangle$ . In addition to the temperatures T = 0.46, 0.47, 0.48, 0.50, 0.52, 0.55 for  $\delta = 0.55$  the graph also includes T = 0.46 and 0.55 for both  $\delta = 0.4$  and  $\delta = 0.6$ . The scaling would deteriorate if higher T were included.

and for the displacement of monomer i in time t,

$$\mathbf{d}_i(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0) \ . \tag{4.22}$$

Now we can define the following angles:

$$\theta \left[ \mathbf{d}_{i}(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arccos \left[ \frac{\mathbf{d}_{i}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{|\mathbf{d}_{i}(t)||\mathbf{r}_{ij}|} \right] , \quad \theta \left[ \mathbf{d}_{i}(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right] \in [0, \pi]$$
(4.23)

and

$$\theta \left[ \mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{d}_j(t) \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arccos \left[ \frac{\mathbf{d}_i(t) \cdot \mathbf{d}_j(t)}{|\mathbf{d}_i(t)| |\mathbf{d}_j(t)|} \right] , \quad \theta \left[ \mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{d}_j(t) \right] \in [0, \pi] .$$
(4.24)

These definitions are illustrated in Fig. 4.11. The first angle (4.23) was proposed in Ref. [101]. It indicates to what extent a mobile particle has occupied, at time t, the initial position of one of its neighbors which is also mobile at time t. The second angle (4.24) measures the correlations between the displacements  $\mathbf{d}_i(t)$  and  $\mathbf{d}_j(t)$  of the monomers at time t. Thus, it shows to what extent two mobile neighbors follow each other.

For both bonded and non-bonded nearest-neighbor pairs we computed the probability distributions  $P_{d,r}(\theta [\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij}])$  and  $P_{d,d}(\theta [\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{d}_j(t)])$ . Since these probabilities are supposed to detect displacements under preferred angles, one has to take into account that the probability of  $\theta$  is not uniform even for isotropic, uncorrelated



Figure 4.11: Left panel: definition of  $\theta [\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij}]$ , Eq. (4.23). Right panel: definition of  $\theta [\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{d}_j(t)]$ , Eq. (4.24). In both panels, the dashed circles depict the position of the mobile monomers *i* and *j* at t = 0, whereas the shaded circles represent their positions at time *t*. The vectors  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$  and  $\mathbf{d}_i(t)$  are defined by Eqs. (4.21) and (4.22), respectively.

motion. Suppose we have a vector  $\mathbf{d}$  on the unit sphere  $S^2$  connecting the origin to a point on the surface of  $S^2$  in direction  $(\theta, \phi)$ .  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  is the latitude and  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$  the longitude. Furthermore, let  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  be the vector from the origin to the north pole ( $\theta = 0, \phi = 0$ ). Then, the angles defined in Eqs. (4.23,4.24) correspond to a measurement of the latitude  $\theta$ , the angle between  $\mathbf{d}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ , after integration over  $\phi$ . Because the sector of the unit sphere for fixed  $\theta$  is small if  $\theta$  is close to the poles, but large if it is close to the equator, the  $\phi$ -integrated probability of an isotropic distribution of vectors on  $S^2$ ,  $P_{\rm iso}(\theta)$ , is maximum at  $\theta = 90^\circ$ . More precisely,

$$P_{\rm iso}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\sin(\theta) . \qquad (4.25)$$

In order to determine whether the angle, say, between two particle displacements is preferred relative to purely isotropic motion one has to divide its probability by the isotropic value. In the following analysis, we thus consider the ratios

$$\frac{P_{\mathrm{d,d}}(\theta \left[\mathbf{d}_{i}(t), \mathbf{d}_{j}(t)\right])}{P_{\mathrm{iso}}(\theta \left[\mathbf{d}_{i}(t), \mathbf{d}_{j}(t)\right])} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{P_{\mathrm{d,r}}(\theta \left[\mathbf{d}_{i}(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij}\right])}{P_{\mathrm{iso}}(\theta \left[\mathbf{d}_{i}(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij}\right])} \,. \tag{4.26}$$

Figure 4.12 shows the ratio  $P_{d,r}(\theta)/P_{iso}(\theta)$  at T = 0.47 for several selected times which are approximately uniformly distributed on a logarithmic scale. At the earliest and the latest time, we find  $P_{d,r}(\theta) \approx P_{iso}(\theta)$ . This lack of significant correlation between  $\mathbf{d}_i(t)$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}(0)$  may be interpreted in the following way: For the longest time,  $t = 10^4$ , Fig. 4.1 shows that  $g_0 > R_g^2$ . Since the mobile monomers move farther than the average (Fig. 4.3),  $d_i$  is certainly much larger than  $R_g$  and thus than  $r_{ij}$ . In this case, one would not expect significant correlations between  $\mathbf{d}_i$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ . The motion should be isotropic. On the other hand, for very short times the motion is ballistic. The monomers behave as if they were free particles, and any correlation between  $\mathbf{d}_i$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$  should vanish again.

Figure 4.12 shows that isotropic motion persists up to approximately  $t \approx 0.1$ . For longer times deviations occur. In the  $\beta/\alpha$ -regime we find that  $P_{d,r}(\theta)$  is symmetric around  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ . The symmetry arises because we calculate  $P_{d,r}(\theta [\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij}])$  by averaging over the displacements  $\mathbf{d}_i(t)$  and  $\mathbf{d}_j(t)$  for the same  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ . Thus, the rise of  $P_{d,r}(\theta)/P_{iso}(\theta)$  close to  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$  results from motions of particle *i* in direction of  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ ,



Figure 4.12: Probability distribution  $P_{d,r}(\theta [\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij}])$  of the angle between the displacement vector of a mobile monomer  $\mathbf{d}_i(t)$  and of the vector  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$  between the initial positions of the monomer and of its mobile neighbor j.  $P_{d,r}$  is divided by the probability  $P_{iso}$  for isotropic motion. The upper figure presents the results for bonded nearest neighbors, the lower for non-bonded nearest neighbors. In both cases, T = 0.47. The times shown are separated from each other roughly by a factor of 10: t = 0.098 ( $\approx$  first maximum of  $f_{e,m}$ ), t = 1.016 (beginning of the  $\beta$ -regime), t = 9.014 (center of the  $\beta$ -regime, where  $g_0 \simeq 6r_{0,c}^2$ ), t = 93.33 ( $= t_{seg}^{max}$ ), t = 1541.7( $= t_{seg}^{min}$ ),  $t = 10^4$  ( $\hat{=} g_0 > R_g^2$ ).

whereas that close to  $\theta = 180^{\circ}$  comes from displacements of particle *j* against the orientation of  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ . Note that the analysis of Ref. [101] only consider the former case. Therefore, the probability distribution is asymmetric (see Fig. 3 of Ref. [101]).

Displacements perpendicular to the axis  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$  are suppressed relative to  $P_{iso}$ , whereas motion parallel to it is enhanced. The enhancement is particularly prominent in the late- $\beta$ /early- $\alpha$  regime ( $t \sim t_{seg}^{max}$ ). As the monomers escape from their cages, they tend to follow each other. This stringlike motion is more pronounced for nearest-neighbors along the chain backbone. In the  $\beta$ -regime (t = 9.014, 93.33),  $P_{d,r}(\theta)/P_{iso}(\theta)$  is about a factor of 2 larger in the bonded than in the non-bonded case. This shows that chain connectivity promotes stringlike motion as we saw from the ratio  $\langle s_{seg} \rangle / \langle s \rangle$ .



Figure 4.13:  $C_{d,r}(t)$  versus time for all T.  $C_{d,r}$  measures the tendency of a mobile particle to replace a mobile nearest neighbor at time t [Eq. (4.27)]. Panel (a) and panel (b) present the results for non-bonded and bonded nearest neighbors. In both panels, the vertical dotted lines indicate the times  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  for T = 1 ( $t_{\alpha_2}^* = 0.766$ ) and for T = 0.46 ( $t_{\alpha_2}^* = 100.894$ ). The following temperatures are shown (curves from left to right): T = 1, 0.7, 0.65, 0.6, 0.55, 0.52, 0.5, 0.48, 0.47, 0.46.

In order to study the influence of temperature on the time evolution of this anisotropic motion a direct comparison of the probabilities is not advisable. This resulting graphs are fairly crowded and often hide the main trends. It is better to look for an averaged quantity which is sensitive to preferential motion in direction parallel to  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ . A possible choice is

$$C_{\mathrm{d},\mathrm{r}}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left| \cos \left\{ \theta \left[ \mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right] \right\} \left| \frac{P_{\mathrm{d},\mathrm{r}}(\theta \left[ \mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right])}{P_{\mathrm{iso}}(\theta \left[ \mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right])} \, \mathrm{d}\theta \left[ \mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right] - \frac{2}{\pi} \right.$$
(4.27)

We use the absolute value of the cosine because the probability distribution is symmetric about 90°. Since  $C_{d,r}(t)$  should vanish for isotropic motion, we subtracted  $(1/\pi) \int_0^{\pi} |\cos \theta| d\theta = 2/\pi$ . Note that Eq. (4.27) is not an expectation value because the ratio  $P_{d,r}/P_{iso}$  is not a probability distribution. If  $\mathbf{d}_i$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$  are perfectly parallel to each other,  $C_{d,r}$  diverges.

Figure 4.13 depicts the time- and temperature dependence of  $C_{d,r}$  for both bonded and non-bonded nearest neighbors. Several observation can be made: First, for all



Figure 4.14:  $C_{d,d}(t)$  versus time for all T.  $C_{d,d}$  measures the tendency of two neighboring mobile particles to follow each other at time t [Eq. (4.28)]. Panel (a) and panel (b) present the results for non-bonded and bonded nearest neighbors. In both panels, the vertical dotted lines indicate the times  $t_{\alpha_2}^*$  for T = 1 ( $t_{\alpha_2}^* = 0.766$ ) and for T = 0.46 ( $t_{\alpha_2}^* = 100.894$ ), whereas the open squares mark the times when  $g_4/g_0$  reaches its second maximum ( $t \simeq 92$  for T = 1,  $t \simeq 33361$  for T = 0.46; see Fig. 4.5). The following temperatures are shown (curves from left to right): T = 1, 0.7, 0.65, 0.6, 0.55, 0.52, 0.5, 0.48, 0.47, 0.46.

temperatures the displacement of the mobile monomers is isotropic in the ballistic regime and for times in the  $\alpha$ -process provided that  $d_i \gg 1.5$  (for T = 1 and 0.46 this implies t > 10 and  $t > 10^4$ ; see Fig. 4.3). Second, at intermediate times a mobile monomer tends to replace one of its initial mobile neighbors. This tendency is present at all T, but increases on cooling toward  $T_c$ . It is maximum in the late- $\beta$ /early- $\alpha$  process (at a time close to, but larger than  $t^*_{\alpha_2}$ ). Third, the propensity of displacements along the nearest-neighbor axis is more pronounced if the neighbor is directly bonded to the mobile monomer.

For  $\theta [\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{d}_j(t)]$  we define a similar quantity to measure the tendency of mobile neighbors to follow each other at some time t,

$$C_{\mathrm{d,d}}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cos\left\{\theta \left[\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{d}_j(t)\right]\right\} \frac{P_{\mathrm{d,d}}(\theta \left[\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{d}_j(t)\right])}{P_{\mathrm{iso}}(\theta \left[\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{d}_j(t)\right])} \,\mathrm{d}\theta \left[\mathbf{d}_i(t), \mathbf{d}_j(t)\right] , \quad (4.28)$$

which gives zero for uncorrelated motion and diverges to  $+\infty$  if the mobile neighbors follow each other in perfect alignment (or to  $-\infty$  for antiparallel motion).

Figure 4.14 depicts  $C_{d,d}(t)$  for all temperatures. Beyond the ballistic regime  $C_{d,d}(t)$  is positive for both bonded and non-bonded mobile monomers. The positive value implies that small angles between the displacements  $\mathbf{d}_i(t)$  and  $\mathbf{d}_i(t)$  are more likely than expected for isotropic motion. An analysis of  $P_{d,d}(\theta)/P_{iso}(\theta)$  in the time interval  $1 \leq t \leq 10^4$  shows that  $P_{d,d}(\theta) > P_{iso}(\theta)$  for  $\theta \leq 60^\circ$ , whereas  $P_{\rm d,d}(\theta[\mathbf{d}_i(t),\mathbf{d}_i(t)]) \to 0$ , as  $\theta \to 180^\circ$ . Thus, mobile monomers have an enhanced tendency to follow each other for all times outside the ballistic regime. This tendency is particularly pronounced at  $t \approx 1$ , which corresponds to the early  $\beta$ -relaxation at low temperatures, and for  $t \gg t_{\alpha_2}^*$ , where the monomer displacements are determined first by chain connectivity and later by the diffusion of the center of mass. In the diffusive regime one expects  $C_{d,d}(t)$  to be large. During the large time lapse t many monomers have moved in similar directions so that the center of mass advances substantially. Much before the diffusive regime, however,  $C_{d,d}(t)$  first "grows" and then "shrinks" as the observation time increases. A clue to interpret this behavior is obtained by calculating the ratio of the bonded (b) and the non-bonded (nb) neighbors. We find that  $C_{d,d}^{b}(t)/C_{d,d}^{nb}(t) \sim g_4(t)/g_0(t)$  (see Fig. 4.5 for comparison). The difference between  $C_{d,d}^{b}(t)$  and  $C_{d,d}^{nb}(t)$  is large for  $t \approx 0.1$  and for  $t_{\alpha_2}^* \lesssim t \lesssim \tau_{\rm R}$ , where the mean-square displacement of the ends is enhanced compared to the average. It is plausible that a highly mobile end monomer will trigger large displacements of the neighbor connected to it and bias this displacement in direction of its own motion. This effect is strongly suppressed in the  $\beta$ -regime, where  $g_4(t)$  and  $g_0(t)$  are alike. For  $1 \leq t \leq t_{\alpha_2}^*$ ,  $C_{d,d}^{b}(t)/C_{d,d}^{nb}(t) \approx \text{constant}$ , comparable to  $g_4/g_0$ .

# 4.5 Concepts, Summary, and Conclusions

The presented analysis of stringlike motion in the dynamics of a simulated polymer melt is based on the following prerequisites:

- We analyze the dynamics of a non-entangled melt in the supercooled state close to, but above the critical temperature of mode-coupling theory  $T_{\rm c}$ .
- Our analysis utilizes the 6.5% of the monomers which undergo the largest displacements in some time t. These monomers are called "mobile". The fraction of 6.5% was derived in Ref. [26] following the procedure proposed in Refs. [100–102]: The non-GAUSSian parameter of the monomers has a pronounced maximum at a time  $t^*_{\alpha_2}$  in the window of the late- $\beta$ /early- $\alpha$  process (Fig. 4.2). This reflects that large displacements occur more frequently than for a random walk motion.
- The fraction of 6.5% is fixed for all times and temperatures. Thus, the number of particles in the set of mobile monomers is always the same, but the composition of the set will generally vary with t: Monomers that moved far in some time interval may be blocked at a later time, and *vice versa*.
- If a mobile monomer i approaches at time t the initial position of another mobile monomer j to within a radius  $\delta$ , we say that i and j form a "string".  $\delta$  is chosen such that the one-dimensionality of the replacement is guaranteed.



Figure 4.15: Recapitulating comparison of the time dependence of various quantities discussed before. In all cases, the temperature is T = 0.46 ( $T_c \simeq 0.45$ ). The upper figure shows the non-GAUSSian parameters  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_2^p$ . These quantities are averages over all monomers and all chains in the melt. The other quantities shown are calculated from the 6.5% of highly mobile monomers. They are: the fraction of mobile end-monomers  $Nf_{e,m}/2$ , the average stringlength  $\langle s \rangle$ , the average length of mobile contiguous segments of a chain  $N_{\rm c,m}$ , and the cosine of the angle between the displacement of a mobile monomer and the vector to one of its nearest mobile neighbors in the chain  $C_{d,r}^{b}$ . The vertical dotted lines indicate the time of the first peak of  $Nf_{\rm e,m}/2$  (t = 0.1104) and the time  $t^*_{\alpha_2}$  (= 100.894) where  $\alpha_2$  is maximum. These times are also included in the lower figure (vertical dotted lines). This figure shows the MSD of all monomers  $g_0$ , of the mobile monomers  $g_{0,m}$ , and of the center of mass  $q_3$ . The behavior in the ballistic (~  $t^2$ ), the subdiffusive (~  $t^{0.65}$ ) and the diffusive regimes ( $\sim t$ ) are indicated by solid lines. The horizontal dashed lines depict  $6r_{0,c}^2$  (LINDEMANN localization length  $r_{0,c} \simeq 0.095$  for  $g_0$ ), the radius of gyration  $R_g^2$ (=2.09), and the end-to-end distance  $R_{\rm e}^2$  (=12.3).

Using this method we can select a subensemble of highly mobile monomers at any time, ranging from the ballistic to the diffusive regime. For this subensemble we address the following questions: (1) Do these monomers follow each other in a stringlike fashion, as observed for a binary LJ-mixture [101, 102]? (2) If yes, what are the properties of these dynamic strings? (3) What is the influence of chain connectivity on this correlated motion?

The answers to these questions may be summarized as follows (see Fig. 4.15):

- There is an intermediate time interval in which string formation can be observed. In the cold melt this interval corresponds to the late- $\beta$ /early- $\alpha$  process.
- The average stringlength  $\langle s(t) \rangle$  is maximum at a time  $t_{\rm str}^{\rm max}$ . Close to  $T_{\rm c}$ , the temperature dependence of  $t_{\rm str}^{\rm max}$  is approximately the same as that of the inverse diffusion coefficient 1/D (the product  $Dt_{\rm str}^{\rm max} \approx \text{const}$ ). This finding is not unexpected, as we select the monomers with the largest displacements. These monomers are most likely to determine the diffusion behavior.
- The maximum stringlength  $\langle s(t_{\text{str}}^{\text{max}}) \rangle$  increases with decreasing T, approximately in an exponential fashion (Fig. 4.9). The distribution of stringlengths s, yielding this average, is close to an exponential (Fig. 4.10). These findings suggest an analogy between strings and equilibrium polymers, as proposed in Ref. [101].
- The average stringlength remains fairly small on cooling. Even at T = 0.46,  $\langle s(t_{\rm str}^{\rm max}) \rangle \approx 2$ . As the MSD of the mobile monomers is  $g_{0,\rm m}(t_{\rm str}^{\rm max}) \approx 1$ , this implies that a monomer substitutes one of its mobile neighbors.
- An important contribution to this process comes from chain connectivity. A monomer tends to replace one of its bonded neighbors (see  $C_{d,r}^{b}$  in Fig. 4.15 and Fig. 4.8). However, mobility is not concentrated along the backbone of some chains. If this was the case, the average stringlength calculated for the monomers of a chain only  $\langle s_{seg} \rangle$  (Fig. 4.8) and the average length of mobile contiguous segments  $N_{c,m}$  should be of the order of N. Therefore, a relaxation mechanism, in which mobile monomers are connected to each other and slide along the backbone of the chain, seems to be unlikely.

# Chapter 5

# Kinetic Friction and Atomistic Instabilities in Boundary-Lubricated Systems

## 5.1 Introduction

The every-day phenomenon friction is of great practical and economical importance, which is one of the motivations to improve our understanding of tribological processes [27, 28]. Originally, the intention for this part of the study was to analyze the rheological and tribological behavior of thin polymeric films (two dimensional layers of varying density) that are confined between two solid surfaces sliding against each other by means of molecular dynamics simulations. The scientific challenge to be solved is to understand in detail the lubricating action of such polymeric films (which is well-known from everyday life: after all, short alkane chains are the main constituent in the motor oil we put into our car engines), and why polymers are a much better lubricant than small molecules.

However, it turned out that for this purpose still basic questions on the behavior of simple fluids (imitating small, spherical molecules) as boundary lubricants in the context of such simulations needed to be clarified as well and so actually the bulk of the following chapter necessarily addresses the simulation of kinetic friction and the related atomistic instabilities in such ultrathin fluid layers between sliding solids in general, and only the very last part contains a feasibility study including short polymer chains.

Friction between two solids differs from that between a solid and a fluid in that both static and kinetic friction appear finite, while the force between a solid and a fluid vanishes linearly with sliding velocity  $v_0$  at small  $v_0$ . Static friction  $F_s$  is the externally applied force necessary to initiate relative sliding motion between two solids, whereas kinetic friction  $F_k$  is the force needed to maintain the sliding motion. Phenomenological friction laws, which date back to DA VINCI, AMONTONS, and COULOMB [123], often provide a good description on the macroscopic scale. AMONTONS' laws state that  $F_k$  is proportional to the normal load and independent of the (apparent) area of contact. According to COULOMB's law kinetic friction is independent of sliding speed  $v_0$ .

The microscopic origins of kinetic friction are still a matter of debate, even

though it has long been recognized that kinetic friction must be due to dynamical instabilities [124, 125]. While there can be many different processes leading to instabilities, they all have in common that potential energy is converted abruptly into kinetic energy and ultimately lost as heat [41]. Although instabilities can occur on many different time and length scales, there has been an enhanced interest in identifying those that occur on atomic scales. This quest is not only motivated by the miniaturization of technical devices down to the nanometer scale, but also by the desire to better understand macroscopic friction. The understanding of singleasperity contacts is needed as basis for the full description of macroscopic friction, where the bulk-mediated coupling between contacts gives rise to additional effects.

Load-bearing, simple-asperity contacts are often in the order of microns. According to HERTZian contact mechanics [126] and generalizations thereof [127–132], the pressure is rather constant in the contact with the exception of the areas close to the circumference, where pressure gradients are large. In the center of the contact, most of the lubricant is squeezed out. One may assume that these boundary-lubricated areas often account for most of the energy dissipation when two solids are slid against each other, unless the solids are very compliant, in which case elastic instabilities may also contribute a significant amount to the net dissipation. If wear was the main source of friction, material would have to rub off from the surfaces much faster than observed experimentally [133]. Hydrodynamic lubrication would likewise result in values for friction orders of magnitude too small, if it were assumed to be the dominant dissipation process.

Two different avenues have been pursued in the recent past to study dynamics in boundary lubricants and its consequences for tribological properties. One is a minimalist approach, in which one single lubricant atom embedded between two shearing plates is considered [134, 135]. In the following, we will refer to this approach as the impurity limit. The other avenue incorporates a large ensemble of lubricant atoms [136, 137]. This approach can eventually include surface curvature and elastic deformation of the surfaces making it possible to study what effect the interplay of surface curvature and elastic deformations have on dry or boundary-lubricated friction [138, 139].

Since kinetic friction is intimately connected with instabilities, we focus on the analysis of instabilities. The central assumption of our analysis is the existence of instabilities or "pops" of certain degrees of freedom. A pop is a sudden, seemingly erratic motion of a particle (or a collective degree of freedom) characterized by a velocity much larger than the associated thermal velocity or the drift velocity of the atom. Pops heat the lubricant or alternatively they couple directly to the confining solid walls, e.g. by inducing phonons in the walls. They will eventually induce more dramatic effects such as generation of dislocations or abrade the surfaces. However, as argued above, these extreme processes are rare and hence presumably they are not responsible for the main part of the energy dissipation. This is the motivation to concentrate on the energy transfer to the phonon bath that is due to elementary processes in the lubricant. The underlying idea of the presented approach can be described as follows. Sliding-induced instabilities make the velocity probability distribution (PD) of the lubricant atoms deviate from the thermal equilibrium PD. This alters the balance of energy flow from and to the lubricant. The energy missing

in this balance is provided by the kinetic friction force shearing the plates.

We intend to analyze what features of simplistic models appear robust as the level of complexity in the description of the boundary lubricant is increased. Starting from the impurity limit we will include lubricant particle interaction and increase coverage for spherical fluids. Finally, polymers will be studied.

After discussing the PRANDTL-TOMLINSON model as a paradigmatic system for the occurrence of instabilities in section 5.2 we will connect the probability distribution of the lubricant atoms with the friction force in section 5.3. Then, our findings on different model systems with increasing complexity will be presented in sections 5.4 to 5.6. Section 5.7 contains our conclusions.

# 5.2 The Prandtl-Tomlinson Model

Most earlier work on instabilities and and its connection to friction is devoted to elastic processes, which are most simply described in the PRANDTL-TOMLINSON (PT) model [140, 141]. We will discuss it here to introduce the notions of instabilities and "pops" and how they lead to kinetic friction.

In this one-dimensional model, a wall atom of mass m is coupled harmonically with spring stiffness  $k_{\rm T}$  to a slider. The slider is moved with a constant sliding velocity  $v_0$  with respect to a completely rigid substrate. The slider's atom i being at position  $x_i(t)$  at time t feels a potential  $V_{\rm b}$  which is periodic in the substrate's lattice constant  $b/2\pi$ . For simplicity, a harmonic potential  $V_{\rm b}(x) = f_0 \cos(x/b)$  is assumed. Finally, the atom i feels a viscous dissipative force  $m\gamma v_i$  proportional to its velocity  $v_i$ . This damping mimics the coupling to a heat bath, which is not explicitly treated.

Thereby we arrive at the equation of motion for the individual slider atoms,

$$m\partial_t v_i(t) + m\gamma v_i(t) = -k_{\rm T} \left[ x_i(t) - x_i^0(t) \right] + \frac{f_0}{b} \sin\left[ x_i(t)/b \right] , \qquad (5.1)$$

where we defined the atom's equilibrium position  $x_i^0(t) = v_0 t$ .

The system will show a different behavior for different values of the spring stiffness  $k_{\rm T}$  due to the interplay of the elastic and the harmonic potential. Let

$$V_{\rm w}(x_i) = f_0 \cos(x_i/b) + \frac{k_{\rm T}}{2} (x_i - x_i^0)^2$$
(5.2)

be the combined conservative potential. The second derivative is then

$$\partial_{x_i}^2 V(x_i) = k_{\rm T} - \frac{f_0}{b} \cos(x_i/b) ,$$
 (5.3)

which is greater than zero for all positions  $x_i$  if  $k_{\rm T} > f_0/b$ . Thus, there is only one well-defined stable equilibrium position for particle *i* in this case. At small sliding velocities  $v_0$  where dissipation can be neglected, every atom will be close to its unique equilibrium position, moving at constant velocity  $v_0$ . The drag force is then of the order  $m\gamma v_0$  and hence the time averaged friction force  $F_{\rm k}$  tends linearly with  $v_0$  to zero,  $F_{\rm k} = \mathcal{O}(v_0)$ .

This behavior changes qualitatively if  $k_{\rm T} < f_0/b$ , where there is more than one stable equilibrium position for each wall atom ("multistability"). The atom stays at

one side of the potential hill until the spring is sufficiently stretched by the external force to pull the particle over the barrier. The elastic energy contained in the spring will then be released rapidly, and the particle "pops" into the next stable position. The trajectory shows an "instability". This process will lead to particle velocities  $v_i$ much greater than  $v_0$  which are only determined by the potential landscape and not by  $v_0$ . At sufficiently small sliding velocities there will therefore be a well defined limit of the frictional force  $F_k(v_0 \to 0^+)$ , stemming from the energy dissipation of order  $\mathcal{O}(m\gamma \langle v_i \rangle)$ . This shows that the time evolution of mechanically stable positions is crucial for the understanding of sliding friction at low velocities, because friction arises from instabilities. In the PT-model they arise from the interplay of the elastic coupling of the slider atom and the energy landscape of the surface.

These instabilities result in non-vanishing  $F_k$  in the limit of zero  $v_0$  as long as thermal fluctuations are absent, see e.g. the discussion in Ref. [41]. There is, however, a crucial difference between instabilities in boundary lubricants and instabilities occurring in elastic manifolds that are modeled in terms of the PT model and related approaches such as the FRENKEL-KONTOROVA model [142–144]. In boundary lubricants, atoms are only weakly connected to each other and to the confining walls. As a consequence, bond breaking can occur, whereas in elastic models, bonds are treated as unbreakable. This seemingly subtle difference leads to different tribological behavior.

# 5.3 Relation Between Velocity Distribution and Friction

The most fundamental assumption for the connection of particle motion and friction force is that the interaction between the lubricant atom i and the confining wall can be decomposed into one conservative part  $V_{\rm w}(\mathbf{x}_i)$  and one non-conservative term consisting of a damping force plus thermal noise, like we use in our simulation (cf. section 2.5).  $V_{\rm w}(\mathbf{x}_i)$  depends only on the difference between the position  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and the positions of top wall  $\mathbf{r}_{\rm t}$  and bottom wall  $\mathbf{r}_{\rm b}$ . It can be written as

$$V_{\rm w}(\mathbf{r}_i) = V_{\rm b}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{r}_{\rm b}) + V_{\rm t}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{r}_{\rm t}) .$$
(5.4)

Unless noted otherwise, the relative motion of the walls is imposed externally by constant separation (or constant load) and constant relative velocity  $v_0 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x = (\dot{\mathbf{r}}_t - \dot{\mathbf{r}}_b)$  of the walls parallel to the sliding direction indicated by the unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x$ . We assume the normal pressure variations to be small, which means that the coupling to each individual confining (crystalline) wall is periodic parallel to the interface, i.e. it is periodic in the *xy*-plane.

Consider a system in steady-state equilibrium with the following underlying equation of motion:

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + m\gamma\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{F}_{\rm b}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{F}_{\rm t}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}_0 t) + \mathbf{\Gamma}(t).$$
(5.5)

Here,  $\mathbf{F}_{b}(\mathbf{x})$  denotes the force of the bottom wall (likewise with subscript "t" for the top wall) on an impurity atom located at position  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{0}$  is the velocity of the top wall with respect to the bottom wall. We multiply Eq. (5.5) with  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  and average over a long time interval  $\tau$ . We then interpret the resulting individual terms.

They can be associated with the (average) power dissipated within the system or the (average) power put into the system.

First, the average change of kinetic energy with time equals zero, namely

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau dt \, m \ddot{\mathbf{x}} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau dt \, \frac{d}{dt} T_{\rm kin} = \frac{1}{\tau} [T_{\rm kin}(t=\tau) - T_{\rm kin}(t=0)] \underset{\tau \to \infty}{=} 0 \,. \tag{5.6}$$

The second term is proportional to the time-averaged kinetic energy of the system with respect to the reference frame:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \mathrm{d}t \, m\gamma \dot{\mathbf{x}} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \gamma m \langle \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 \rangle = 2\gamma \langle T_{\rm kin} \rangle, \tag{5.7}$$

 $\langle T_{\rm kin} \rangle$  being the time-averaged (steady-state) kinetic energy of an impurity. The next term is the average work of the bottom wall on the impurity

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \mathrm{d}t \, \dot{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F}_\mathrm{b}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \mathrm{d}t \, \left( -\frac{d}{dt} V_\mathrm{b}(\mathbf{x}) \right) = \frac{1}{\tau} \left\{ V_\mathrm{b}[\mathbf{x}(\tau)] - V_\mathrm{b}[\mathbf{x}(0)] \right\} \underset{\tau \to \infty}{=} 0 \,. \tag{5.8}$$

In any steady-state of the system the average force on the bottom wall (or the top wall) must be zero. If the time average was different from zero, the wall would be accelerated in contradiction to the steady-state assumption, as pointed out for instance, by THOMPSON and ROBBINS [137]. Of course, if the model was generalized such that (steady state) wear would occur, then the contribution discussed in Eq. (5.8) would indeed remain finite.

For the discussion of the next term in Eq. (5.5), it is necessary to keep in mind that

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_{t}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v}_{0}t) = -F_{t}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v}_{0}t)\left(\dot{\mathbf{x}}-\mathbf{v}_{0}\right) .$$
(5.9)

This and the same considerations invoked for Eq. (5.8) yield

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \mathrm{d}t \, \dot{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}_0 t) = \langle \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{t}} \rangle \mathbf{v}_0 \;, \tag{5.10}$$

where  $\langle \mathbf{F}_{t} \rangle$  is the time-averaged force that the top wall exerts on an impurity. This force or depending on the definition its projection onto the sliding direction can be associated with the kinetic friction force  $F_{k}$ . One sees immediately that we would obtain the same result if we changed the reference frame where top and bottom wall would move by  $\mathbf{v}_{0}/2$  in opposite directions and  $\langle \mathbf{F}_{t} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{F}_{b} \rangle$ .

The contribution due to the random force  $\Gamma(t)$  is the most difficult contribution to calculate. However, if the system is close to local equilibrium for most of the time, then the expectation value of  $\Gamma(t)\dot{\mathbf{x}}$  can be expected to be close to the value of this expression in thermal equilibrium. In equilibrium, it must compensate the expression discussed in Eq. (5.7), hence

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \mathrm{d}t \, \dot{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t) \approx 2\gamma \langle T_{\rm kin} \rangle_{\rm eq} \,, \qquad (5.11)$$

where  $\langle T_{\rm kin} \rangle_{\rm eq}$  denotes the average kinetic energy in thermal equilibrium.

Assembling all above terms yields

$$P_{\text{ext}} = \langle \mathbf{F}_{\text{t}} \rangle \mathbf{v}_0 = 2\gamma [\langle T_{\text{kin}} \rangle - \langle T_{\text{kin}} \rangle_{\text{eq}}], \qquad (5.12)$$

as the power provided by the *external* forces – the *internal* effect of the random forces was assumed to be the same in and out of thermal equilibrium in Eq. (5.11).

We can write the time averages for the kinetic energy equivalently as integrals over the velocity probability distributions in steady-state and in (thermal) equilibrium, for which the (MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN) distribution  $P_{eq}(v)$  applies by using Eqs. (5.12) and (5.7),

$$P_{\text{ext}} = N_{\text{fl}}\gamma m \left( \langle \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 \rangle - \langle \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 \rangle_{\text{eq}} \right) = N_{\text{fl}}\gamma m \int_0^\infty v^2 \left[ P(v) - P_{\text{eq}}(v) \right] \mathrm{d}v , \qquad (5.13)$$

with  $P_{\text{ext}}$  being the total external power dissipated by the system and  $v = |\dot{\mathbf{x}}|$  denoting the velocity of a particle in the reference frame of the thermostat.  $F_{\mathbf{k}}$  can now be associated with

$$F_{\rm k} = P_{\rm ext}/v_0.$$
 (5.14)

Typically, the time scales associated with the excitations leading to energy dissipation are short compared to the motion of a particle from one minimum to another, which justifies the assumption of  $\delta$  correlated random forces for our purposes in the LANGEVIN thermostat. Of course, damping can and will be different normal and parallel to the interface. However, this detail does not have any significant consequences for the conclusions presented in this chapter. Similarly, the explicit treatment of internal elastic deformations does not alter the major conclusions either which we checked by simulations including elastic interactions in the walls.

We want to emphasize that Eqs. (5.13) and (5.14) allow one to calculate friction forces under more general conditions than those of our particular model, for instance, if the thermostat only acts on the atoms in the outermost layers of the walls as e.g. employed in Ref. [145]. The approach can also be extended in a straightforward manner if generalized forms of the thermostat are employed such as in dissipative particle dynamics [146, 147] or if the thermostat is based on a MORI ZWANZIG formalism [148, 149]. The main limitation of Eq. (5.13) in the present context is that effects due to heating of the walls are not included. Again, a minor modification would allow one to include heating of the walls into the presented framework as well. However, as we will mainly focus on small velocities, the mentioned effects will be small and shall be neglected in the following. In Fig. 5.10 we will assess the validity of Eq. (5.13) in our simulation more closely.

Note that an alternative way of determining the friction force in the steady state is to time average the conservative plus the non-conservative force that the upper wall exerts on the lubricant which can directly be recorded in a simulation. The observation that the work done by the conservative force is essentially zero does not imply that this time-average must be zero.



Figure 5.1: Trajectory of a lubricant impurity in the xy-plane tagged between two incommensurate surfaces (at large load and small temperature). The relative velocities of the walls is  $v_0 = 10^{-3}$ . The positions are plotted every  $\Delta t = 0.5$ . The bar denotes 100 times the average drift distance per time interval  $\Delta t$ . The arrows indicate dynamical instabilities.

#### 5.3.1 Effect of Instabilities

As discussed in the introduction and for the PT-model, the externally imposed relative motion of the confining walls may induce sudden, dynamic instabilities or "pops" during which the particles' velocities greatly exceed both their thermal velocities and the relative sliding velocity  $v_0$  of the walls. This means that at a time  $t + \delta t$  the atom does not find a stable position in the  $\mathcal{O}(v_0 \delta t)$  vicinity of the old stable position at time t. The continuous trajectory ends at t and the particle has to move to the next mechanically stable position to resume its path. The particle will then pop into the next local potential minimum and for low sliding velocities, its peak velocity  $v_{\text{peak}}$ will be solely determined by the energy landscape and consequently  $\lim_{v_0 \to 0^+} v_{\text{peak}}/v_0$ diverges. This process will lead to a deviation of the velocity distribution P(v) from the thermal equilibrium distribution  $P_{\text{eq}}(v)$  valid for  $v_0 = 0$ . Fig. 5.1 shows such instabilities for a model system that is described in detail in section 5.4.3.1.

The velocity distribution P(v) and hence the friction force  $F_k$  can be calculated in principle, once the precise form of the lubricant's interaction is known. RISKEN's book on the FOKKER-PLANCK equation [150] gives an excellent overview of methods that allow one to treat models like ours, namely externally-driven systems that are mainly deterministic but also contain a certain degree of thermal noise. An analytical approach remains difficult in our case, due to the potential's complex time dependence. Therefore a different, phenomenological approach will be pursued.

An instability will invoke a trajectory during which potential energy is abruptly converted into kinetic energy. The kinetic energy will then be dissipated into the thermostat, i.e., the phonon bath of the confining walls. After some time, which depends on the coupling strength to the thermostat, the MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN probability distribution (PD) will be resumed, provided no new instability has been invoked in the meantime. An instability will thus create a typical velocity PD that will show up as a tail in the MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN PD. Unless the two confining walls are identical and perfectly aligned (thus commensurate), there is a class of instabilities in which the energy lost during the "pop" shows a broad distribution, see also Ref. [151]. Every pop, characterized for instance by the energy dissipated, will contribute to P(v) in its own way. We assume that the net sum  $P_{\text{tail}}$  of all these individual tails shows exponential dependence on velocity, thus

$$P_{\text{tail}}(\mathbf{v}) \propto \exp\left[-B\left|\mathbf{v} - \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle\right|\right],$$
 (5.15)

where  $\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle$  is the average drift velocity of the impurities under consideration, typically  $\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \mathbf{v}_0/2$ , and *B* is a constant. We can get rid of the drift term by changing the reference frame. The motivation for this particular choice of  $P_{\text{tail}}$  partly stems from JAYNES' principle of information theory [152]. It states that the most likely normalized PD with given mean about which we do not have more knowledge is the exponential distribution [153, p. 27]. More importantly, this choice of  $P_{\text{tail}}$  happens to be a quite accurate description for the velocity PDs of impurities between 2-d, incommensurate surfaces. This will be demonstrated later in the result section.

At small sliding velocity  $v_0$ , the statistical weight of the tails must increase linearly with velocity. Instead of using the full 2-d PD for the pops in the *xy*-plane,  $P(v_x, v_y)$ , we can use rotational symmetry and work with

$$P(v_{\parallel}) = 2\pi v_{\parallel} A v_0 e^{-Bv_{\parallel}} + \left(1 - \frac{2\pi A v_0}{B^2}\right) P_{\rm eq}(v_{\parallel}), \tag{5.16}$$

instead. Here A, and B are phenomenological parameters that can (and will) depend on the externally applied load L that an impurity has to counterbalance, damping  $\gamma$ , and other parameters. However, they should depend only weakly on temperature T and sliding velocity  $v_0$  at small T and small values of  $v_0$ . This is because  $Av_0$ is a measure for the rate of the fast processes (which should be proportional to  $v_0$ at small  $v_0$ ), while B characterizes the instability related velocity PD determined by the potential landscape. The prefactor of  $P_{eq}(v_{\parallel})$  is unity minus the integrated probability of the tail.

The assumption of isotropy might seem counterintuitive as it implies that the PD in sliding direction and normal to it to be the same. However, from Fig. 5.7 we will learn that it is justified to use isotropy. To this end it is in order to comment on the form of the projection of  $P(v_x, v_y)$  onto a coordinate axis. The projection can be computed from Eq. (5.15) using polar coordinates where we set B = 1 for simplicity,

$$P(v_x) = \int P(v_x, v_y) dv_y$$
  
=  $\int \exp\left[-\sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2}\right] dv_y = 4 \int_0^{\pi/2} \exp\left[-v_x \sqrt{1 + \tan^2(\phi)}\right] d\phi$   
=  $4 \int_0^{\pi/2} \exp[-v_x \sec(\phi)] d\phi$   
=  $\exp[-C(v_x)v_x], \ 1 < C(v_x) < 1.1, \ \forall v_x \gtrsim 1.$  (5.17)

The projection of the exponential distribution in the plane on an axis will therefore also be approximately exponential (with a different exponent prefactor) for sufficiently high  $v_x$ , the exact analytic result is however quite complicated. Provided that the pops happen preferably in the xy-plane (such that the normal component  $P(v_{\perp})$  is small), inserting Eq. (5.16) into Eqs. (5.13) and (5.14) and integrating over v yields the following friction force per impurity atom  $F_{\rm k}/N_{\rm fl}$ :

$$\frac{1}{N_{\rm fl}}F_{\rm k} = 12\pi\gamma m \frac{A}{B^4} - 4\pi\gamma \frac{A}{B^2}k_{\rm B}T \ . \tag{5.18}$$

The friction force should of course be independent of the choice for the thermostat damping constant  $\gamma$ . In our simulations, we have verified the proportionality  $A \propto 1/\gamma$  upon varying  $\gamma$  over 2 decades ( $0.05 \leq \gamma \leq 5$ ) while B stayed constant with high accuracy, hence assuring this independence.

Of course, Eq. (5.18) can only be valid as long as Eqs. (5.15) and (5.16) give an accurate description of the non-equilibrium velocity PD and provided that the heat flow from the thermostat into the impurities is close to the thermal equilibrium heat flow. At extremely small  $v_0$ , two arguments show that the assumption of exponential tails cannot persist. First, the energy  $\Delta E_{\text{diss}}$  that is dissipated during a pop has an upper bound, which in turn implies an upper bound for the peak velocity. Second, close to equilibrium, thermal noise is sufficient to invoke (multiple) barrier crossing and recrossing. The ratio of sliding and noise-induced instabilities becomes small, which in turn makes the non-equilibrium corrections be less significant.

# 5.4 Impurity Limit

#### 5.4.1 1-d Model Systems

In a recent publication by MÜSER [154] a one-dimensional model system was investigated. Impurity-wall potentials were modeled by harmonic potentials with first higher order contribution and different periodicities for top "t" and bottom "b" substrate,

$$V_{\rm s} = V_{\rm s,0}\cos(2\pi(x-x_{\rm s})/b_{\rm s}) + V_{\rm s,1}\cos(4\pi(x-x_{\rm s})/b_{\rm s}) , \quad {\rm s=t,b} .$$
 (5.19)

As this model was a motivation for the present work and a simple model system for the analysis of instabilities we summarize the results of Ref. [154]. It was found that the existence of instabilities in the impurity limit and as a consequence the frictionvelocity relationship  $F_k(v_0)$  depends on the "details" of the model. For instance, it was found that for 1-d, commensurate interfaces, the sign of the first higher harmonic in the lubricant-wall potential determines: (a) whether or not the athermal kinetic friction remains finite in the zero-velocity limit, and (b) the exponent  $\beta$  that describes the finite-velocity corrections by

$$F_{\rm k}(v) - F_{\rm k}(0) \propto v_0^{\beta}, \quad 0 < \beta < 1.$$
 (5.20)

Note that Eq. (5.20) changes its form when thermal noise is included into the treatment, i.e., it becomes linear at small velocities [154].

For (quasi-) incommensurate walls  $(b_t \neq b_b)$ , the behavior is even richer. If the first higher harmonic is not included, one wall exerts a maximum force on the impurity and drags the impurity along. As a consequence,  $F_k$  is linear in  $v_0$ , which we call STOKES friction. For one certain value of the first higher harmonic  $V_{t,1}^*$  (at a fixed ratio  $b_{\rm s} = b_{\rm b}/b_{\rm t}$ ),  $F_{\rm k}$  can best be described as a power law in the limit of small  $v_0$ . For  $V_{t,1} > V_{t,1}^*$ ,  $F_{\rm k}$  remains finite in the limit  $v \to 0$ , again provided thermal fluctuations are absent.

#### 5.4.2 2-d Model Systems

#### 5.4.2.1 Model Details

We now allow the lubricant atoms to move within the xy-plane, but motion normal to the interface in z direction is still neglected. Eq. (5.19) must then be replaced with a new model potential. As in other studies, we consider the symmetry of the confining walls to be triangular, i.e. (111) surfaces of an fcc crystal, for which the potential  $V_s$  between a wall and an impurity can be written as:

$$V_{\rm s}(\mathbf{x}, z) = \sum_{\mathbf{g}} \tilde{V}_{\rm s}(\mathbf{g}, z) \exp\left[i\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\rm s})\right].$$
(5.21)

Here, **g** denotes the two-dimensional reciprocal lattice vectors of the triangular lattice, **x** is the position of the lubricant particle in the *xy*-plane and **x**<sub>s</sub> the in-plane position of the wall. *z* denotes the (fixed) distance between (top) wall and impurity. The FOURIER coefficients  $\tilde{V}_{s}(\mathbf{g}, z)$  between chemically non-bonding species often depend exponentially on **g** and *z*, thus  $\tilde{V}_{t}(\mathbf{g}, z)$  can be written as

$$\tilde{V}_{t}(\mathbf{g}, z) = \tilde{V}_{t}(\mathbf{g}, 0) \exp[-\alpha |\mathbf{g}| z], \qquad (5.22)$$

where both  $V_t(\mathbf{g}, 0)$  and  $\alpha$  depend on the chemical nature of impurity atom and confining wall. Potentials of this form are known as STEELE potentials [155]. They have proven to describe the potential energy landscape of atoms on crystalline surfaces reasonably well [156]. The fundamental harmonic in this potential is related to the smallest non-zero lattice vectors  $\mathbf{g} = n_x \mathbf{b}_x + n_y \mathbf{b}_y$  with the lattice base vectors  $\mathbf{b}_x = (4\pi/\sqrt{3}, 0), \mathbf{b}_y = (2\pi/\sqrt{3}, 2\pi)$  and  $n_x, n_y$  being integers. This choice sets the wall lattice constants  $d_{nn}$  to unity. First higher harmonics are related to reciprocal lattice vectors that are the sum of a suitable pair of two different fundamental  $\mathbf{g}$ 's with length  $4\pi$  and so on. The fundamental harmonic will be dominant at small loads. However, as the external pressure increases (which makes z decrease), the *relative* importance of higher harmonics will increase due to Eq. (5.22).

The top wall  $V_t$  was rotated relatively to the bottom wall  $V_b$  by an angle  $\theta$  and shifted by a displacement  $\Delta \mathbf{w}$  and the sliding direction was at an angle  $\phi$  relative to the x-axis of the unrotated wall, defining a shear vector  $\mathbf{v}_0$ , with  $\angle(\mathbf{v}_0, \hat{x}) = \phi$ . Two walls are called commensurate if  $\theta$  is an integer multiple of 60° (the potentials are in this case identical). A random choice of the angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  will in general lead to incommensurate ratios of the wall periods in sliding direction. Figure 5.2 shows this setup. An equivalent 2-d model without higher harmonics, was used recently by DALY et al. [151] for a study similar to that presented here.

In the following, we will be concerned with an analysis of mechanically stable positions for the impurity atoms and their motion as the walls slide against each other. The goal is to identify situations, where the trajectory of a mechanically stable position suddenly disappears, which would lead to a dynamical instability. The adiabatic trajectories were obtained as follows: in a given wall setup defined



Figure 5.2: Top view of the superposition of surface potentials with hexagonal symmetry ("walls"). Circles are drawn at the positions of surface atoms. The bottom wall (full circles) defines the coordinate system relative to which the top wall (open circles) is shifted by  $\Delta \mathbf{w}$  and rotated by an angle  $\theta$  in the *xy*-plane. The top wall is sheared along  $\mathbf{v}_0$  (open dotted circles). An asterisk is drawn at an (absolute) energy minimum of the bottom wall potential. The solid line depicts the collective adiabatic trajectory of particles of a sub-monolayer in a commensurate system ( $\theta = 0^\circ$ ) and  $\mathbf{v}_0$  parallel to  $\hat{x}$ .

by  $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\phi$ , an arbitrary initial position was chosen from which the closest local minimum of the combined wall potential was searched by a steepest descent method. This minimum defined the starting point of the adiabatic trajectory. The top wall was then moved by a step dx in the xy-plane in the direction of  $\mathbf{v}_0$ . the next local minimum in the vicinity of the old one was searched by the steepest descent procedure. By repeating these steps the adiabatic trajectory was constructed. A continuous trajectory will have only minimum displacements of  $\mathcal{O}(dx)$  at each step, while an instability will lead to large displacements outside the  $\mathcal{O}(dx)$  environment and will not change when varying the step width dx of the algorithm. A typical step width was  $dx = 10^{-3}$  and we defined an instability by a distance greater 0.1 between two subsequent points of the trajectory. This is somewhat arbitrary and might miss some pops as well as falsely detect some others. These cases are however rare. We checked that the instabilities were not significantly affected by a change of the step width  $dx = 10^{-2} \dots 5 \times 10^{-5}$ . This implies that instabilities will persist at arbitrarily small sliding velocities. Some instabilities disappeared when using a smaller step width which indicates a low barrier of height  $\mathcal{O}(V^{(1,0)}dx)$  which can be overcome by thermal activation of equal order. A systematic study with varied dxcould thus be used to determine finite temperature effects.

#### 5.4.2.2 Commensurate Walls

When absorbed molecules are present between commensurate surfaces the minimal energy is obtained for  $|\Delta \mathbf{w}| \approx 0$  and  $\theta = n 60^{\circ}$ . All particles will then sit in the positions marked by an asterisk in figure 5.2, which are all equivalent. Various mechanically stable 'stacking' geometries can be envisioned for our walls of triangular symmetry, for instance hexagonal close packed (hcp) and face cubic centered (fcc) type configurations best characterized as respectively ABA and ABC layering structures. The boundary lubricant reflects the middle layer. While it does not correspond to an ideally crystalline layer, the probability for a lubricant atom to sit at a certain position would be indeed periodic, i.e. it would have a maximum in every single B position.

If the walls are slid parallel to a symmetry axis and the top wall is allowed to move freely in transverse direction there is an energetically favored collective motion  $\Gamma_{\rm col}(t)$ , which allows all particles to move along the valley of lowest energy between the ridges of the substrate molecules in  $\phi = 0$  direction, as shown by the solid line in figure 5.2. Due to the symmetry between the walls the motion of the top wall is given by  $\mathbf{v}_0 = 2\dot{\Gamma}_{\rm col}$ .  $\Gamma_{\rm col}$  is not exactly harmonic and its precise form depends on the coefficients of the Steele potential, but it can be approximately described by  $\Gamma_{\rm col}(t) = |\mathbf{v}_0|(1/(4\sqrt{3})\cos(2\pi t)))$ . After every half lattice constant moved, the system will convert from an fcc type structure to an hcp type structure or vice versa. This behavior is also found in our 3-d default system (see Fig. 5.6), in which impurities interact with wall atoms through LENNARD-JONES potentials rather than through STEELE potentials.

Note that constraining the walls to zero velocity in the transverse direction leads to instabilities, because particles will in this case occupy positions with high potential which would not be occupied if transverse motion were allowed.

As the mechanically stable positions of the embedded impurities show no discontinuities, the kinetic friction force will tend to zero at small  $v_0$  even if thermal fluctuations are absent. This will not happen linearly in general, but depend on the potential landscape. From the comparison to the 1-d model systems, one would expect a power-law behavior as in Eq. (5.20) with  $F_k(0) = 0$ . This behavior does not depend on the sliding direction, but the form of  $\Gamma_{\rm col}$  changes. For ratios of  $V_t(\mathbf{g}, z)/V_{\rm b}(\mathbf{g}, z)$  different from unity the qualitative behavior is similar.

A central issue of our study is the question how robust the property of the simple impurity model is as more complexity is added to the model. In the present case, one may argue that lubricant atoms would be able to move in a correlated fashion up to a coverage of one monolayer. Above this coverage, the impurity model breaks down, because not all particles can follow  $\Gamma_{\rm col}$  anymore.

#### 5.4.2.3 Incommensurate Walls

Impurity atoms between incommensurate walls have an infinite number of inequivalent minima in their potential energy landscape for a given relative wall displacement. This means that at a given moment in time, it is impossible to find two different positions where the value of the potential and all its derivatives are identical. Yet, the number of inequivalent trajectories of (meta)stable positions can be



Figure 5.3: Example of instable trajectories in an incommensurate 2-d impurity model system. Walls are modeled by STEELE-potentials using only the fundamental harmonic contribution and moved with constant relative velocity. Each point corresponds to a lateral wall displacement of dx = 0.02.

small, because in most cases, they will all be identical up to temporal shifts when the walls are in relative sliding motion. See also the discussion of the dynamics of the incommensurate PT-model by FISHER [157].

We analyze the instabilities by varying randomly the relative orientation  $\theta$  between the two walls as well as the sliding direction  $\phi$ . Unless  $\theta$  is close to an integer multiple of 60°, we find that the number of instabilities depends only weakly on  $\theta$ and  $\phi$ . At this point, we are only concerned with the occurrence of instabilities, rather than with the (average) amount of energy dissipated during an instability. Instabilities between incommensurate walls are shown in Fig. 5.3. The similarity to the instable trajectory recorded during a simulation using LJ-potentials in Fig. 5.1 is well borne out.

If we chose the fundamental harmonics  $\tilde{V}(\mathbf{g})$  of both walls to be identical and the higher harmonics to be absent, then we find on average one instability each time the upper wall has been moved laterally with respect to the lower wall by a distance of 200  $d_{\rm nn}$ . Increasing the interaction strength for just one wall does not change the behavior until the ratio  $\tilde{V}_{\rm t}(\mathbf{g})/\tilde{V}_{\rm b}(\mathbf{g})$  or its inverse exceeds about 4.7. Above this threshold value, the metastable positions and hence the particles follow the motion of just one wall and no instability occurs anymore.

Like DALY et al. [151], we note that the instabilities are possible due to transverse motion of the impurities, see Figs. 5.1 and 5.3. One of the issues DALY et al. also discussed was the question above which value of  $\tilde{V}_t(\mathbf{g})/\tilde{V}_b(\mathbf{g})$  the lubricant particle remains pinned to the (top) wall. They reported a value of 4.5, while we find a slightly higher value of 4.7, which essentially confirms their prediction. Furthermore, we also analyzed the effects of first higher harmonics, which were neglected in Ref. [151]. Including the first higher harmonics  $\mathbf{g}_1$  in addition to the fundamental harmonics  $\mathbf{g}_0$  increases the number of instabilities, in particular for higher harmonics with positive sign. For a ratio  $V(\mathbf{g}_1)/V(\mathbf{g}_0) = 0.1$ , the number of instabilities is increased by a factor of six. (At this ratio the absolute value of the second harmonic would be in the order of 0.01  $V(\mathbf{g}_0)$ , see Eq. (5.22), and is therefore rather small). One may argue that the observed increase in pops is related to an increase of incommensurability due to an additional length scale in the system.

We performed also studies with second higher harmonics and saw no qualitative change. Thus, the occurrence of instability remains a robust feature of incommensurate walls, independent of asymmetric interaction strengths and inclusion of higher order harmonics. This is a striking difference of 2-d and 1-d systems, due to the transverse motion of particles in 2-d.

#### 5.4.3 3-d Model Systems

#### 5.4.3.1 Model Details

We now turn to the analysis of a full three-dimensional model with crystalline walls, where wall atoms were fixed on their lattice sites. The general setup and simulation technique is described in detail in chapter 2. Simulations were done at constant pressure in z-direction, corresponding to constant load  $N_{\text{wall}}L$  pressing down on the upper solid in  $-\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$  (thus L is positive). Note that L is the load per wall particle. Hence, the conversion factor to pressure is the wall area per particle,  $\sqrt{3}d_{nn}^2/2$  and so depends on  $d_{nn}$ , the wall lattice constant.

The force  $\mathbf{F}_{t}(t)$  acting on the top wall opposing the shear motion was recorded during the simulation runs. For commensurate systems  $\mathbf{F}_{t}(t)$  was seen to be periodic with the wall corrugation with time constant  $d_{nn}/v_0$ . Thus, determination of the friction coefficient was done by averaging over complete periods after the system had reached the steady state. We checked that the configurations for sub-monolayers molecular lubricants did not contain crossed chains.

We concentrate on simulations with walls of size  $19 \times 11$  unit cells. The distortion of the perfect hexagonal geometry is  $1 - 19/(11\sqrt{3}) \approx 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$  and the number of wall atoms  $N_{\text{walls}}$  is given by  $2 \times 2 \times 19 \times 11 = 836$ . These walls confine a quarter layer (i.e.  $N_{\text{fluid}} = N_{\text{walls}}/8 = 104$ ) of a simple fluid. All quantities are thereafter measured in reduced LJ-units. All interactions are purely repulsive and identical for fluid and wall particles. We set  $r_{\text{cut}} = 2^{1/6}$ ,  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ , and  $d_{\text{nn}} = 1.20914$ . This system with a quarter layer of spherical lubricant is what we call our "standard system".

The use of a purely repulsive interaction can be justified by the observation that at large pressures the essential behavior is caused by the repulsion of the particles. The main effect of including the attractive LJ contribution in the present context would be to add an adhesive pressure [40]. We have checked explicitly with simulations, that the tribological behavior is only slightly altered if the attractive part of the LJ potential is included. The changes can be understood by noting that higher order corrections in the STEELE expansion are different in both cases.

Temperature was varied from  $T = 10^{-3} \dots 1$ , load from  $L = 1 \dots 100$  corresponding to pressures  $P = L/(\sqrt{3}d_{nn}^2/2) = 0.032$  GPa...3.2 GPa when setting in  $\epsilon = 30$  meV and  $\sigma = 0.5$  nm. The plastic yield stresses (pressure when plastic flow sets in) are in a range from 0.04 GPa (lead), 1 - 7 GPa (steel) to 80 GPa (diamond) [27, Table 5.1]. We are thus performing high pressure simulations. The lowest shear rate simulated was  $3.3 \times 10^{-5} \approx 5.5$  mm/s, comparable to experiments.



Figure 5.4: Schematic geometric interpretation of commensurability. Two bare commensurate walls (left) can lock, whereas bare incommensurate surfaces (right) cannot lock if the lattice constants are (sufficiently) different.

Finite size (and finite commensurability) effects were checked by comparing simulations of systems of size  $14 \times 8$ ,  $19 \times 11$ , and  $28 \times 16$  wall unit cells, and no significant difference of the frictional behavior could be detected.

Wall planes lied in the xy-plane of the coordinate system, the constant shearing velocity  $v_0$  was parallel to the x-direction  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x$ . The top wall was allowed to move freely in  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$ , and we checked that even completely constraining the walls in  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$  did not alter the results significantly for incommensurate walls if the system was allowed to find an energetically favorable relative displacement first.

Checking the influence of the thermostat is crucial in our simulations. We compared two different methods: One method was thermostatting in the reference frame of the bottom wall in the directions perpendicular of the sliding direction (i.e. in  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ ), which had been extensively tested in [31, 40, 137, 158, 159] and found not to significantly influence the measured friction force unless very high shear rates were reached or the damping constant was set to an extremely high value. However, for our simulations we mainly used a second thermostat acting in all directions in the reference frame of the two centers of mass of the walls. Because this thermostat acts isotropically it was more adapted to the investigations of the distribution of the fluid particles velocities in the wall plane. Up to sliding velocities  $v_0 \leq 0.03$  no quantitative differences in the friction force were found, at higher  $v_0$  the thermostat in the fixed reference frame dissipated less energy than the thermostat in the center of mass of the walls, presumingly reflecting the missing direction for energy dissipation. We conclude that for the low sliding velocities we are primarily interested in, either method yields identical results.

#### 5.4.3.2 Effect of Commensurability on Static Friction

While we are primarily focusing on kinetic friction, it is instructive to discuss the crucial role of surfactants for static friction which has been recognized in recent years [30–32]. It can be summarized by saying that static (and kinetic) friction would vanish for bare, incommensurate surfaces, because the bare interfaces cannot lock into each other as they could if they were commensurate. This difference is depicted in Fig. 5.4.

We use our standard system with  $26 \times 15$  wall cells and allow the walls to diffuse freely in the wall plane so that the energy minimum can be found by the system. Thermostatting was done in the center of mass of the walls. Hence, the thermostat was not biasing the wall motion. The structure is analyzed by the static structure factor  $S(\mathbf{q})$ , Eq. (3.12), in the wall plane.





Figure 5.5: S(q) > 1 for a quarter layer of simple fluid confined between two incommensurate walls having hexagonal symmetry. 12 peaks for the fundamental (length  $q_{\rm nn} = 4\pi/\sqrt{3}d_{\rm nn} \simeq 6$ ) and next higher BRAGG vectors are present in the full  $(q_x, q_y)$ -plane, indicating that the fluid simultaneously satisfies the corrugation of *both* walls. Note that peaks are cut in half at the coordinate axes.

Clearly, a corrugated surface will have an influence on the static structure of a boundary lubricant. The fluid atoms will prefer positions where they can minimize the fluid-wall potential. For commensurate (identical) walls one can predict the outcome. In this case the fluid atoms will sit in positions minimizing the energy with respect to both walls simultaneously, i.e. they all will occupy the minimum energy positions labeled with an asterisk in Fig. 5.2 for coverings below a monolayer. S(q) has consequently the same symmetry where sharp peaks form a hexagonal lattice with lattice constant  $q_{nn} = 4\pi/\sqrt{3}d_{nn}$ .

In Fig. 5.5 we show S(q) for *incommensurate* walls at T = 0.6 and L = 3. Due to the hexagonal symmetry of the wall atoms we expect to see six peaks arising from fluid atoms sitting in the potential minima of *one* wall at  $q_{nn} = 4\pi/\sqrt{3}d_{nn} \simeq 6$ , similarly for the next ring at  $\sqrt{3}q_{nn} \simeq 10.4$ . The walls are rotated by an angle  $\theta = 30^{\circ}$  with respect to each other to obtain an incommensurate system. Thus 12 peaks are present in the fluid when it arranges to match the structure of *both* walls simultaneously, each peak being separated by  $15^{\circ}$ , as we observe in Fig. 5.5. At this low covering, the wall corrugation completely dominates the fluid structure, only weak indications of a ring corresponding to a nearest neighbor shell around  $q \approx 5.5$  are visible. By adjusting to both walls simultaneously, the fluid locks together the two surfaces, resulting in finite (static) friction, which would be absent in *incommensurate* systems without lubricant. If there is no static friction, the kinetic friction must vanish for  $v_0 \to 0^+$ , too. This underlines the crucial role of surfactants for the occurrence of friction.


Figure 5.6: Trajectory of a tagged particle (solid line) and of the upper wall (dashed line) for a commensurate system. The upper wall is moved parallel to x at constant velocity. Horizontal lines are drawn at intervals  $1/4\sqrt{3}$ . For integer values of  $x/d_{\rm nn}$  the configurations can be identified as hcp, for half-integer values as fcc configurations.

#### 5.4.3.3 Effect of Commensurability on PDs

In addition to the static structure, the dynamic properties also change dramatically with commensurability. Instead of an isolated impurity, we include here the interaction between the lubricant atoms and have a different potential and finite temperature in the full 3-d model. However, as the coverage is only a quarter layer, the results remain almost identical to the ideal impurity limit. Despite the changes with respect to section 5.4.2, all arguments discussed there remain valid under the new conditions. For instance, Fig. 5.6 shows the expected dynamical behavior of two *commensurate* walls separated by lubricant impurities in sliding motion, i.e., an alteration of hcp and fcc type configurations closely resembling the line of lowest energy in Fig. 5.2. Most importantly, the trajectories of lubricant atoms become continuous for commensurate walls. Trajectories in the commensurate system are completely different from incommensurate systems, cf. Fig. 5.1. Although the average fluid-particle velocity in x is  $v_0/2$ , we see that the motion in x is not uniform. This is because the particle can stick randomly to each wall with the same probability. For completeness, we mention that the simulations in Figs. 5.1 and 5.6 were both done for our standard system at an external load of L = 30 per top wall atom, a thermal energy of T = 0.01, and relative sliding velocity of  $v_0 = 10^{-3}$ .

The different trajectories of the mechanically stable states result in qualitatively different velocity distributions, even in the presence of thermal fluctuations, which is shown in Fig. 5.7 for the same system parameters as the trajectories, but various  $v_0$ . It can be seen that the velocity PDs of impurities between incommensurate walls can indeed be described with the non-equilibrium PD suggested in Eq. (5.16), i.e.



Figure 5.7: Probability distribution (PD) of the fluid particles' x- and y-velocity components for shearing velocities  $v_0 = 10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-2}$  for incommensurate and commensurate wall orientations at  $T = 10^{-2}$  and L = 30 for our standard system. Around the central MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN PD wide tails develop upon shearing. The tails follow an exponential PD, see Eq. (5.16), which have similar magnitude parallel and transversal to the sliding direction. For commensurate walls, the tails are suppressed by two orders of magnitude at  $v_0 = 10^{-2}$  and disappear completely for the lower shear velocity  $v_0 = 10^{-4}$ , when the PDs becomes almost indistinguishable from the MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN PD (not included).

a central MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN peak with exponential tails. It turns out that the PDs longitudinal (x) and transverse (y) to the sliding direction (x) are almost identical justifying the assumption of isotropy. We note in passing that the velocity PD normal to the interface (z direction) is affected much less than the in-plane PDs for the sub-monolayers we discussed so far.

## 5.4.3.4 Effect of Sliding Velocity and Temperature on PDs

As the relative sliding velocity between the walls is changed by a factor of 100, the prefactor of the exponential tail scales with the same factor, as suggested in Eq. (5.16). The commensurate walls behave differently. First, the non-equilibrium velocity distribution P(v) deviates from equilibrium much less than for incommensurate walls and it does not obey Eq. (5.16) as well. More importantly, the tails of P(v) behave differently from those of incommensurate surfaces under a change of sliding velocity. This difference is due to the absence of instabilities for the commensurate system. At  $v_0 = 10^{-4}$ , the velocity PD for commensurate walls is almost identical to the equilibrium MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN PD, while at the same  $v_0$ , the PDs for incommensurate walls show distinct non-equilibrium tails.

Further examination of the distribution functions for incommensurate surfaces as shown in Fig. 5.8 reveals that the coefficients A and B in Eq. (5.16) are approximately constant for a wide range of velocities and temperatures. The parameters can be easily read off the graphs: The slope of the tails equals B and the exponential



Figure 5.8: Probability distribution  $\ln \left[P(v_{\parallel})/2\pi v_{\parallel}\right]$  at load L = 10.0 for temperatures  $T = 10^{-3}$ ,  $T = 10^{-2}$ ,  $T = 10^{-1}$  and sliding speeds  $v_0 = 10^{-3}$ ,  $v_0 = 10^{-2}$ , and  $v_0 = 10^{-1}$ . At low in-plane velocities  $v_{\parallel}$  a thermal peak described by the MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN PD (at T = 0.1 exemplified by a thick dotted line) dominates, before the PD crosses over to exponentially distributed tails described in Eq. (5.16). The slope of the tails, B, is independent of both T and  $v_0$ . The prefactor of the tail distribution is proportional to  $v_0$  and changes at large temperatures.

of the y-axis intercept of a fitted line through the tails equals  $Av_0$ . The data for Fig. 5.8 were produced with load L = 10.0 for temperatures  $T = 10^{-3} \dots 10^{-1}$  and sliding speeds  $v_0 = 10^{-3} \dots 10^{-1}$ .

The present discussion is valid when the non-equilibrium tails are clearly visible such as in Fig. 5.8. It becomes invalid when  $v_0$  reaches extremely small values, i.e., when the tails are starting to disappear under the central MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN peak. Eq. (5.16) then ceases to be a good description of the PDs in that limit and Eqs. (5.16) and (5.18) are no longer applicable. However, the equation describing the heat-flow balance between thermostat and confined system, Eq. (5.13), is unaffected by this argument and remains valid even in the limit  $v_0 \rightarrow 0$ .

### 5.4.3.5 Effect of Load on PDs

The load dependence of the coefficients A and B was investigated as well. We show the effect of load on the PDs for one of our model systems exemplarily in Fig. 5.9. Many similar calculations were done for other loads, coverages, and sliding velocities with similar results for incommensurate surfaces. In all cases, we found that A is roughly proportional to  $L^{-0.8}$ , while B is quite accurately proportional to  $L^{-0.4}$ .

From the normalization factor of the central equilibrium peak in Eq. (5.16), one may infer that the ratio  $A/B^2$  is a measure for the number of atoms far out of equilibrium and hence for the number of invoked instabilities. Given the proportionalities  $A \propto L^{-0.8}$  and  $B \propto L^{-0.4}$ , this number remains constant when L is increased. Inserting the proportionalities  $A \propto L^{-0.8}$  and  $B \propto L^{-0.4}$  into Eq. (5.18) results in a small deviation from AMONTONS' law  $F_k \propto L$  at the microscopic level.



Figure 5.9: Probability distribution  $P(v_y)$  at T = 0.01,  $v_0 = 10^{-3}$  and two different loads L = 1.0 and L = 10. The thermal equilibrium distribution  $P_{eq}$  is inserted for comparison.

Potential differences scale with L in lowest order, thus we obtain for the energy dissipated in a pop  $\Delta E_{\rm diss} \propto L \propto v^2$ . Hence, for exact proportionality, the width of the non-equilibrium tails was  $\propto L^{0.5}$ , respectively  $B \propto L^{-0.5}$ , yielding AMONTONS' law. This shows that  $B \propto L^{-\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda \approx 0.5$  is to be expected, while the precise value of the exponent  $\lambda$  will depend on the specific system potentials.

The deviation in our system is due to a shift of the relative significance of lowerand higher-order harmonics. This shift would presumably be smaller if the repulsive forces were modeled with (slightly more realistic, cf. Ref. [156]) exponentially repulsive forces [32].

Fig. 5.9 reveals that the exponential tails fall off less slowly when the pressure is increased. Thus, large pressures in sliding contacts can dramatically increase the probability of large velocities, even though the lubricant's average kinetic energy  $\langle T_{\rm kin} \rangle$  (or effective thermal energy) may barely change. This favors the occurrence of rare events such as chemical bond breaking, as it becomes much more likely that a bond is hit by high-velocity atoms than expected from a MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN PD. It will thus be difficult to assign a unique effective temperature that reflects at the same time the reactivity of the molecules in the junction and the energy contained in their motion.

# 5.4.3.6 Comparison Between Calculated and Measured Friction Coefficients

The fit of curves equivalent to those shown in Figs. 5.7 and 5.8 allows one to estimate the kinetic friction force  $F_k$  with the help of Eq. (5.18). This result can then be compared to the friction force that is measured directly in the simulation. It turns out that such a comparison typically leads to an agreement within approximately 25 % accuracy, which can be improved by also taking into account the effects of instabilities on the motion normal to the surfaces. The remaining deviation between



Figure 5.10: Comparison between the friction coefficient  $\mu_{\rm k}$  as measured directly at the wall and calculated indirectly through the non-equilibrium velocity distributions. In two cases, the true distributions P(v) were used. Taking into account both in-plane velocities  $v_{\parallel}$  and velocities  $v_{\perp}$  normal to the interface results in perfect agreement, neglecting the normal contribution  $P(v_{\perp})$  underestimates  $\mu_{\rm k}$ . We also first fitted the PDs to Eq. (5.16), determined the coefficients A and B from the simulations and then calculated the kinetic friction force with Eq. (5.18). Quarter layer of lubricant, T = 0.001 and L = 30.

the 'predicted'  $F_k$ 's and the directly measured  $F_k$ 's is due to the fact that the tails are not exactly exponential. This is particular important when the temperature is large, load is small or  $v_0$  extremely small, because our theory does not account for activated processes which become more important in these limits. If we accumulate the correct P(v)'s in the simulation and use Eq. (5.13) to predict  $F_k$ , the agreement between predicted and observed kinetic friction is almost perfect, also when  $v_0$  is small. At very small  $v_0$  the evaluation of  $F_k$  from the PDs of the simulation is however hampered by very bad statistics and shows strong fluctuations.

Fig. 5.10 shows the degree of agreement for one particular model system. One can see that the kinetic friction coefficients  $\mu_k$  as obtained from the full velocity PD, see Eqs. (5.13) and (5.14) agree very well with the directly measured  $\mu_k$ . Neglecting the contribution of the motion normal to the surface results in an  $\mathcal{O}(20\%)$  underestimation of the friction force. Estimating  $\mu_k$  indirectly with the help of Eqs. (5.16) and (5.18) leads to an underestimation of about 25%.

#### 5.4.3.7 Effect of Temperature

It was shown by HE and ROBBINS [40] that a model system similar to ours yields logarithmic velocity corrections to the friction force  $F_k$  for *incommensurate* surfaces, provided the temperature is positive and the sliding velocity is not too small, see also the discussion in Ref. [154]. Our simulation results of the  $v_0$  dependence of  $\mu_k$  for incommensurate, cf. Fig. 5.10, are compatible to an ansatz  $\mu_k(v_0) = \mu_k(v_0 = v_{ref}) + C(T) \ln(v_0/v_{ref})$ , with  $v_{ref}$  denoting a reference velocity.



Figure 5.11:  $\mu_k$  of the commensurate standard system versus pulling velocity  $v_0$  at different normal loads L and temperatures T. Note the logarithmic scale for the y-axis. In all cases  $\mu_k$  vanishes with a power law  $v^\beta$  as  $v \to 0$ , except for  $T = 10^{-3}$ , L = 30 where a constant, small value seems to be reached.

The prefactor C(T) depended irregularly on the system parameters and the quality of the data was not sufficient to rule out other functional dependencies, however.

The basic reason for a logarithmic-type correction had already been recognized by PRANDTL [140]. Due to thermal fluctuations, the embedded atoms can jump over local energy barriers and the instabilities will be ignited prematurely. This reduces the necessary external force to maintain sliding, because it does not need to move the embedded atom all the way to the top of the energy barrier. It is conceivable, but difficult due to the two-dimensionality of the potential landscape to calculate them in our model using KRAMERS-theory [160].

For commensurate surfaces, discontinuous instabilities are absent and therefore the effect of thermal fluctuations must be different. This issue is investigated in Fig. 5.11. Due to the large loads and the small temperatures employed, the linearresponse regime is not necessarily reached at the sliding velocities  $v_0$  accessible to the simulations, i.e.,  $v_0 \approx 10^{-5}$ . Therefore, we obtain kinetic friction coefficients  $\mu_k$ that apparently vanish according to

$$\mu_k \stackrel{v_0 \to 0}{\propto} v_0^\beta , \qquad (5.23)$$

with exponents  $0.25 \leq \beta \leq 1$ .

It is remarkable that a small change in temperature has a rather strong effect on  $F_{\rm k}$ . For the small load L = 1, the exponent  $\beta$  is approximately unity at temperature  $T = 10^{-2}$  and one may argue that the corresponding  $F_{\rm k}(v_0)$  reflects a linear response curve. As T is lowered to  $T = 10^{-3}$ , a different exponent  $\beta$  is obtained, reflecting non-equilibrium behavior. When the load is now increased by a factor of ten, the energy barriers also increase approximately by a factor of ten. Therefore the  $F_{\rm k}(v_0)$  curves belonging to masses  $L \gtrsim 10$  should be considered far from equilibrium, i.e athermal. This would favor exponents  $\beta$  less than unity. However, this expectation



Figure 5.12: Distribution of the fluid particles velocity in plane,  $(P(v_{\parallel}))$ , and perpendicular to it,  $(P(v_{\perp}))$ , for an incommensurate system with two monolayers coverage at sliding velocities  $v_0 = 10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ , and  $10^{-2}$ . The central MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN parts are shifted because of the normalization  $1/2\pi v_{\parallel}$  of  $P(v_{\parallel})$ .

is not true. Instead a STOKES-type friction is observed. The almost linear relation of  $F_k$  and  $v_0$  for these largest loads (L = 100) may thus be an effect due to higher harmonics in the lubricant-wall potential. The friction-velocity relationship can change qualitatively at certain critical values of the higher-order harmonics as reported for 1-d systems in Ref. [154].

# 5.5 Beyond the Impurity Limit

So far, we have neglected the *direct* interactions between the impurities or the coverages were small enough in order to render the direct interactions negligible. This approximation is reasonable when the coverage is small and when the lubricant particles are simple spherical units without inner degrees of freedom. When either condition is violated, the energy landscape and hence the detailed characteristics of the instabilities will change. This in turn might lead to a qualitative change in the tribological behavior of the junction. In this section, we will study the applicability, the limitations and corrections of the impurity limit model that are due to the interactions between lubricant atoms.

# 5.5.1 Coverage Effects

When the lubricant coverage is close to or greater than one monolayer and the junction is sheared, particles will have to move in a correlated fashion. In order for one atom to jump to another mechanically stable site, its neighbor has to jump as well, etc. A detailed description of the dynamics will be very complicated, i.e., it may involve sliding of correlated blocks along grain boundaries and the formation of dislocation-type structures [44]. Yet, the argument persists that instabilities and



Figure 5.13: Coverage dependence of the dynamic friction coefficient  $\mu_k$  of a system containing 0.25...2.5 monolayers of simple liquid at  $T = 10^{-2}$  and L = 30. Commensurate systems (c) are denoted with open symbols, incommensurate walls (ic) with full symbols.

sliding induced deviations from the equilibrium velocity distribution function lead to friction.

Besides the correlated motion, some more details change when the coverage is increased. For example, pops also occur in the direction normal to the interface with a similar magnitude as parallel to the interface. This is reflected in the probability distributions P(v) for the in-plane velocity  $v_{\parallel} = \sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2}$  and the normal component  $v_{\perp} = v_z$  of the fluid particles, see Fig. 5.12. The system under consideration is incommensurate, the walls are separated by a double layer and the externally imposed load per wall atom is L = 30. Although the detailed dynamics of the lubricant atoms must be very different from those in the impurity limit, Eq. (5.16) provides again a reasonable description for respectively  $P(v_{\perp})$  and  $P(v_{\parallel})$ , i.e., a central MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN peak and a non-equilibrium exponential tail. Similar curves, which are not shown explicitly, were obtained for a coverage up to 5 monolayers.

As before, the kinetic friction force  $F_k$  could be obtained the integral over the deviation of the P(v)'s from the MAXWELL-BOLTZMANN distribution, as stated in Eq. (5.13).  $F_k$  from simulations is shown for various coverages and sliding velocities in Fig. 5.13. Both commensurate and incommensurate systems are investigated and again their behavior is strikingly different.

We start our discussion with the commensurate system. At a coverage of C = 0.25, results are very close to the impurity limit.  $F_{\rm k}$  decays to zero with a power-law  $v^{\beta}$  where the exponent  $\beta$  is less than one. As the coverage is increased to C = 0.5 or even C = 0.75,  $F_{\rm k}$  decreases considerably less quickly with decreasing  $v_0$  than in the impurity limit. The behavior remains strikingly different from COULOMB friction. This changes when the coverage reaches and exceeds one full monolayer. For coverages beyond double layers, the kinetic friction force even exceeds that of

incommensurate systems. The prediction in Ref. [154] that commensurate systems should show smaller kinetic friction than incommensurate system must thus be limited to extreme boundary lubrication. Above one monolayer lubrication, this trends seemingly turns around. Experiments suggest that commensurability leads to enhanced friction between mica surfaces lubricated by a double layer or more [161]. Unfortunately, no study is known to us in which a monolayer of lubrication or less was used between two (smoothly) sliding *commensurate* walls.

At the smallest velocity investigated,  $\mu_k$  increases by a factor greater than 200 for the *commensurate* case, when we increase the coverage from C = 0.5 to C = 2. The same change in coverage for incommensurate surfaces only yields a factor of two. Hence, incommensurate surfaces show much weaker coverage dependence than commensurate interfaces. Overall, there is relatively little change of  $F_k$  with coverage for incommensurate walls with the exception of C = 1.5. Due to the large load employed, the 1.5 monolayers are squeezed into a single, crystalline layer, which then essentially acts like a solid. This situation would not occur – or at least occur only for a short period of time – if the lubricant could flow out of the junction. We conclude that the coverage dependence is weak for incommensurate walls.

# 5.5.2 Effects due to Molecular Bonds

Most lubricant particles possess an inner structure. Here we will focus on the most simple generalization of the spherical molecules considered so far, namely dimers, and hexamers (6-mers). Dimers would represent small linear molecules such as  $C_2H_6$ , while hexamers are representative of short, linear alkane chains. The dynamics of the lubricant particles will change due to the additional internal degrees of freedom. Alternatively, one may argue that the dynamics of monomers is restricted because every monomer is constraint by at least one chemically bonded neighbor.

While monomers only have translational degrees of freedom, dimers also have *rotational* degrees of freedom. It is tempting to speculate that 'rotational' instabilities can occur in addition to the 'translational' instabilities. Therefore, one might expect  $F_k$  to be larger for dimers than for monomers. However, the rotational and translation motion will not be independent of each other and the coupling between them might reduce the effect of a 'translational' instability. The question which effect dominates can only be answered by analytical calculations or by molecular dynamics simulations. Simulation results for the kinetic friction force in a boundary-lubricated interface are shown in Fig. 5.14.

In Fig. 5.14, one can learn that the ratio  $d_{nn}/d_{mol}$  of the next neighbor spacing  $d_{nn}$  in the walls and the intra-molecular bond length  $d_{mol}$  plays an important role, particularly for commensurate surfaces. When the intra-molecular bond length is close to the next-neighbor distance of wall atoms  $d_{nn}$ ,  $F_k$  disappears as a power law with sliding velocity  $v_0$ . This means that the 'interference' effects between commensurate walls persist and that no instabilities occur. Surprisingly, this is even observed for hexamers. However, if  $d_{mol}$  differs from  $d_{nn}$ , instabilities also occur in boundary-lubricated systems, even for commensurate walls. These instabilities are invoked through the rotational degrees of freedom. While the misfit between  $d_{mol}$  and  $d_{nn}$  leads to COULOMB friction between commensurate walls, its value of  $F_k$  remains small as compared to the incommensurate case.



Figure 5.14: Dependence of the friction coefficient  $\mu_{\rm k}$  on the wall lattice constant  $d_{\rm nn}$  for a quarter monolayer of a dimer and a 6-mer with bond-length  $d_{\rm mol} = 0.967$  for commensurate (c, open symbols) and incommensurate (ic, full symbols) orientation at small temperatures  $T = 10^{-2}$  and large loads  $L \approx 30$  (adjusted to yield identical pressures).

We now turn to the incommensurate walls. Interestingly, the smoother walls with  $d_{nn} = 1.0$  produce higher kinetic friction than the walls with  $d_{nn} = 1.2$ , while the opposite is true in the case of static friction  $F_s$ , as the potential wells are deeper in a system with greater  $d_{nn}$ . The reason for the effect in  $F_k$  is that the reduced nearest neighbor spacing leads to a higher rate of popping processes, as more atoms sliding past each other at a given  $v_0$  while the energy gain in the pops is only slightly decreasing. This effect can be verified by comparing the distributions of the particle velocities. It remains stable for all degrees of polymerization. The high friction of a dimer is caused by the contribution of their fast rotations. This is revealed by the distribution of the bonds' angular velocities. Despite these trends, incommensurate systems prove again to be less qualitatively susceptible to quantitative changes in the parameters that determine the details of the model than commensurate systems.

The quantitative effect of the chain length on friction in the generic case of incommensurate systems with different bond-length and  $d_{nn}$  needs more consideration. In Fig. 5.14 the hexamers exhibit the lowest friction. On the contrary, at lower loads and otherwise unchanged conditions we find that chain molecules show higher friction. Further studies are needed to clarify the interplay of surface corrugation, temperature, load and the different degrees of freedom.



Figure 5.15: Mean square displacement (MSD) of the fluid atoms in a quarter layer of simple lubricant (dashed lines) and for the top wall (full lines) for different system sizes (increasing with line thickness), measured in wall cells (each containing two atoms), at T = 0.6 and L = 3. The MSD's of the relative wall position are multiplied by the number of wall atoms divided by the number of wall atoms of the smallest system to compare effects not due to the trivial mass dependence. The dotted line indicates free diffusion (MSD  $\propto t$ ).

# 5.6 Wall Diffusion with Molecular Boundary Lubricant

While we have so far concentrated on kinetic friction with constant externally imposed sliding speed, this section presents results on the wall diffusion. A large diffusion constant for the wall motion indicates low static friction. Constant velocity kinetic friction is a non-equilibrium process, whereas diffusion is an equilibrium process. Thus, kinetic friction cannot be related immediately with diffusion and static friction. However, in a model similar to ours,  $F_k$  was found to be constantly  $20\% \pm 5\%$  lower than  $F_s$  for incommensurate systems [40]. As our discussion in sections 5.4.3.2 and 5.4.3.3 shows,  $F_s$  is larger for commensurate systems, while  $F_k$  vanishes for sub-monolayer coverings (unless bonds introduce incommensurability, in which case  $F_k$  is still much smaller). Hence, we can only connect diffusion and kinetic friction for incommensurate systems.

Moreover, previous work [39] suggested that the coupling of the fluid atoms to the walls might lead to walls which are pinned to each other although the fluid atoms are still highly mobile. If such a feedback mechanism due to the walls was present, we expect to see a non-trivial dependence on system size of the wall diffusion as measured by the mean square displacement (MSD) while the fluid MSD is unaffected. A pinning would lead to a constant MSD which was reported in Fig. 11 of Ref. [39] for a quarter-layer of hexamers. As Fig. 5.15 shows for a simple liquid, we could not find such a behavior in our simulations. Although this figure was produced at higher load, lower temperature and for bigger systems, no wall pinning was observed.



Figure 5.16: Mean square displacement for walls confining a quarter layer of hexamers at T = 0.8 and L = 2 (wall size  $62 \times 36$  unit cells). If two chains cross (inset) the MSD of the walls reaches a constant, indicating pinning of the walls (solid line). If no crossed chains are present, the MSD changes from ballistic (MSD  $\propto t^2$ ) to free diffusion (MSD  $\propto t$ ) at  $t \approx 10$ .

Each of the aforementioned parameter changes lowers diffusion and should therefore increase the tendency to pin. The two smallest systems show finite size effects, but the curves seem to converge for increasing system size. In all cases free diffusion is reached at the latest times. Similar results were obtained for all investigated temperature-load combinations, regardless of the degree of polymerization of the lubricant. This suggests that non-trivial coupling effects leading to wall pinning and high static friction are not present. Consequently, we expect that kinetic friction is not enhanced by such effects if we assume  $F_k \propto F_s$ .

The apparent pinning for the system studied in Ref. [39] could instead be linked to crossed chains present in the simulated configuration but absent in our simulations, cf. section 2.6. We show in Fig. 5.16 a comparison of a system with just one occurrence of crossed chains (inset, view normal to wall plane) and of a system without such crossings using the same system parameters as in Ref. [39], T = 0.8, L = 2, and walls of size  $62 \times 36$  unit cells. One clearly sees that the crossing leads to pinning and consequently to high static friction which is in turn an indication for high kinetic friction. These crossings are very stable because they represent a thick 'knot' carrying a huge load. The presence of such crossed chains is not an equilibrium configuration, but is metastable.

For molecules without crossings in the wall plane, the diffusive behavior is very similar to simple fluids as we can see in Fig. 5.17 where we plotted the same quantities as in Fig. 5.15, but for 10-mers. A comparison of the absolute magnitudes of the MSD's shows that for 10-mers both monomers and wall diffuse more slowly indicating a higher friction for molecules for this coverage, T, and L combination.

If two surfaces with surfactants consisting of molecules are brought in contact, it is indeed likely that two chains residing on facing surfaces will cross in the resulting



Figure 5.17: Mean square displacement (MSD) of the monomers of a quarter lubricant layer of 10-mers (dashed lines) and for the top wall (full lines) for different system sizes (increasing with line thickness) as in Fig. 5.15. The MSD's of the relative wall position are normalized to the wall size. The dotted line indicates free diffusion (MSD  $\propto t$ ) with the same prefactor as in Fig. 5.15 for comparison.

contact leading to high friction. However, it is conceivable that the chemical bonds would break due to the high load they have to bear, or that the chain crossing is removed by the sliding motion. This emphasizes that the frictional behavior strongly depends on boundary lubricants and their structure.

# 5.7 Summary and Conclusions

Kinetic friction requires the prevailance of instabilities (mechanical hysteresis) in a system. In this study, we have focused on instabilities in the trajectories of particles confined between two walls, which are sheared against each other. When an instability is reached, the particle does not find a local potential energy minimum in its vicinity anymore and is thus forced to 'pop' into the next local minimum it sees. At small sliding velocity  $v_0$ , this will lead to a high velocity, which depends solely on the energy landscape. The kinetic energy is gradually dissipated, resulting in a frictional force. We derived a relationship between the (non-equilibrium) velocity distribution function P(v) and the friction force  $F_k$ . The characteristics of P(v) and thus  $F_k$  depend only weakly on coverage, sliding velocity, load and other parameters for incommensurate surfaces.

In a generic setup, we first used two STEELE potentials reflecting two twodimensional, triangular walls, which could be rotated with respect to each other to achieve an incommensurate system. We then computed numerically the adiabatic trajectory of a test particle. It was found that instabilities were a robust feature of the incommensurate system. Different off-symmetry wall rotations and inclusion of higher-order contributions to the STEELE potential as well asymmetric interaction strengths of the walls did not alter the occurrence of the instabilities, but only affected their frequency.

Including interactions between lubricant atoms does not change the existence of instabilities and hence the presence of COULOMB friction either. In contrast, the commensurability of the walls allowed for especially smooth trajectories of impurity atoms. The trajectories remain smooth when interactions between lubricant atoms are included up to a coverage of one monolayer. Above one monolayer, the lubricant atoms do not move coherently any longer and instabilities are starting to occur within the film. Kinetic friction rises dramatically as a consequence.

We speculate that coherent motion similar to the one just described also lead to the behavior observed in a pioneering quartz crystal oscillator study by KRIM and CHIARELLO [162, 163]. They found that the friction between a *solid* monolayer and a smooth surface was much smaller than the friction between a fluid monolayer and the same surface. The reverse was reported for a rough surface, in which case collective motion of the film can no longer occur. While KRIM and CHIARELLO could not make a final statement concerning the commensurability between the adsorbed Krypton film and the smooth gold surface, their results clearly support the following picture: Coherent motion of a layer, be it adsorbed or "between-sorbed", suppresses instabilities. This in turn reduces kinetic friction, irrespective of commensurability. Static friction, on the other hand, would certainly be increased for commensurate alignments.

We turn back to the discussion of the non-equilibrium velocity distributions. For incommensurate walls, the distribution consists of a central peak which is essentially identical to the equilibrium velocity distribution and additional non-equilibrium tails. These tails fall off only exponentially with v, which is slower than the exponential decay with  $v^2$  in equilibrium systems. This observation is rather generic for incommensurate systems and independent of the lubricant coverage. The load dependence of the non-equilibrium tails provides a microscopic explanation for AMON-TONS' law.

As the real velocity distribution function is qualitatively different from GAUSSians, it seems futile to describe the interface in terms of an effective temperature. We argued that given a specific kinetic energy associated with a lubricant (which could be used to define an effective temperature), the non-equilibrium system would be more likely to invoke chemical bond breaking or other chemical reactions.

Overall, the impurity model provides a good description of the typical characteristics of a boundary-lubricated system. However, it is essential to study twodimensional interfaces and incommensurate surfaces. One-dimensional and/or commensurate surfaces lead to untypical behavior, i.e. rather large sensitivity of the friction force with respect to small changes in the model (details of interaction potential) or in the external parameters (sliding velocity, load, temperature, etc.). This is unfortunate, because incommensurate walls are much more common than commensurate walls, which leaves us with fewer possibilities to control friction.

A surprising result of our study for incommensurate walls is that increasing the atomic scale roughness of the walls may actually sometimes reduce the kinetic friction force.

Chains that lie over each other in a confined molecular film lead to very stable crossed bond geometries carrying a high load resulting in high friction. If these crossings are absent, the quantitative influence of the chain length depends on external parameters.

It would be interesting to compare our predictions concerning the velocity distributions to experimental data. While scattering data from small, confined volumes is certainly notoriously difficult to obtain, recent advances have been made. Using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy, MUKHOPADHYAY et al. [164] measured translational diffusion in molecularly thin liquids confined within a surface forces apparatus. In the future, it might be possible to extend these studies to sliding situations where velocity distributions can be measured.

Further promising studies could be done on the dynamics of boundary lubricants, like the role of surface corrugation on relaxation processes such as spatially heterogeneous diffusion as reported in Ref. [164]. The transition from the 'instability picture' to the viscous friction regime in thick films would be another interesting topic.

# Appendix A

# **Two-Dimensional Polymer Films**

The efficient construction of chains, or more general, self-avoiding random walks (SARW) in two dimensions is a challenging problem itself as discussed in section 2.6.1. We investigate the construction of polymers with fixed bond-length  $r_{\text{bond}}$  and purely repulsive LENNARD-JONES interaction in the KREMER-GREST model as defined in section 2.3. All simulations were carried out at a reduced temperature of T = 1.0.

To our knowledge this is the first time the "Recoil-Growth" (RG) algorithm we introduced in section 2.6.1 was employed for a study of 2-d polymers. We will therefore investigate the properties of the RG-algorithm. For the single chain we demonstrate a strong dependence of the acceptance rate on the parameter choice. Results for the static structure of single chains are compared with theoretical predictions.

Two-dimensional polymer systems are furthermore interesting themselves as they provide the limit for (3-d) thin films. We give a table with optimal parameter choices for the RG-algorithm when discussing multi-polymer systems. To close we propose some possible investigations for these dense 2-d films.

# A.1 Single Chain Results

We first concentrate on single chains. Each accepted MC-move of the RG-algorithm thus creates a completely new configuration. In Fig. A.1 we show an example of a chain of length N = 2048, which is the biggest length we investigated. One sees that the structure displays self-similarity. In addition we see that the chain folds back several times. These are events where configurational bias monte carlo (CBMC) is likely to fail because it gets 'trapped' in a 'dead-end' (cf. section 2.6.1).

The RG-algorithm has two adjustable parameters,  $N_{\text{choice}}$  which defines the number of possible 'branches' at each monomer where new monomers can be grown and  $N_{\text{recoil}}$ , being the limit of steps the RG-algorithm can go back when has entered a dead-end. Note that  $N_{\text{choice}}$  does not need to be an integer, but can denote the *average* number of branches (e.g.  $N_{\text{choice}} = 2.5$  means that there are alternatingly 2 and 3 branches). The dependence of  $N_{\text{recoil}}$  was seen to be moderate, the dependence on  $N_{\text{choice}}$  however becomes dramatic for long chains. As we learn from Fig. A.2, a pronounced maximum of the acceptance probability for chain moves  $P_{\text{acc}}$  develops for long chains. For  $N \leq 64$  it suffices to roughly adjust  $N_{\text{choice}} \approx 1.9$ . For the longest chains simulated, we get an optimal  $N_{\text{choice}} = 1.838$ . As 1/(2 - 1.838) = 6.173



Figure A.1: Example for a single chain of length N = 2048 in two dimensions. The structure is self-similar.



Figure A.2: Acceptance rate  $P_{\rm acc}$  dependence of the RG-algorithm on  $N_{\rm choice}$ , with fixed  $N_{\rm recoil} = N/2$  for various chain-lengths N. The sharp peak in  $P_{\rm acc}$  lies at  $N_{\rm choice} = 1.838$  for N = 2048.

this means, that there are 5 (or 6) monomers with two possible branches for new bonds, interrupted by a monomer where only one direction for a new bond is tried out. One may speculate that 6.173 is a special length for our polymer model. We also deduce from the figure that the acceptance rate falls linearly with the chainlength,  $P_{\rm acc} \propto 1/N$  for optimal parameter choices. The energy computation of each monomer constructed scales with  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  (monomer pairs in a chain) in our imple-



Figure A.3:  $R_{\rm e}^2$  and  $R_{\rm g}^2$  versus N - 1 (inset) and the ratio of both quantities. The predicted universal scaling laws for the radii with an exponent 3/2 are reached quite early. The dashed line in the main figure is the predicted large N limit for the ratio  $R_{\rm e}^2/R_{\rm g}^2$  from Ref. [165].

mentation. This has to be done for  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  monomers in the chain, so that we arrive at a complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$  for the construction of a completely new chain which is accepted. This is only an upper bound, as some chains are rejected earlier and operations are saved and there might be further corrections due the tree structure of the algorithm. Nonetheless, this shows that it becomes difficult to reach much higher N with the RG-algorithm. We note that the so-called "Pivot"-algorithm has a complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  for SARW (a single chain) [56]. The RG-algorithm however works also in dense systems and outperforms conventional CBMC by far even in 3-d [34].

There are many theoretical predictions for 2-d self-avoiding random walks [56]. First, the end-to-end distance  $R_{\rm e}$  and the radius of gyration  $R_{\rm g}$  follow in leading order

$$R_{\rm g} \propto (N-1)^{\nu}$$
,  $R_{\rm e} \propto (N-1)^{\nu}$ ,  $N \to \infty$  (A.1)

with an (exact) exponent  $\nu = 3/4$  for 2-d. Note that the "N-1" arises from our convention to number monomers from 1 to N. In the theory of random walks one considers steps from the origin, i.e. N - 1 steps from the fixed first monomer. Furthermore, the ratio of the quantities is [165]

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{R_{\rm g}^2}{R_{\rm e}^2} = 0.14026 \pm 0.00011 .$$
 (A.2)

Figure A.3 shows us that both predictions are beautifully borne out in our data. The large N scaling of  $R_e$  and  $R_e$  with  $\nu$  is reached surprisingly early (on this log-log plot). Deviations are only visible when investigating the ratio of both. The data for



Figure A.4: Distribution of the end-to-end distance at various chainlengths N (symbols) compared with the REDNER-DES CLOIZEAUX (RdC) formula  $q(r/R_{\rm e})$  (see text). The largest chains fulfill the RdC prediction.

 $R_{\rm e}^2/R_{\rm g}^2$  seems to reach the large N value for  $N \gtrsim 1024$ . When performing averages over configurations it is important to weigh the configurations with the number of MC steps during which they rested unchanged (in other words, to always use the configuration at the present MC step, regardless of acceptance). Otherwise small systematic deviations are introduced in the data, cf. Ref. [36, Sect. 3.4].

Furthermore, there is a renormalization group prediction for the end-to-end distance distribution by REDNER and DES CLOIZEAUX (RdC), namely [166]

$$q(\tilde{r} = r/R_{\rm e}) = K_1 \tilde{r}^\theta \exp\left[-(K_2 \tilde{r})^t\right] , \qquad (A.3)$$

where the constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are given by the following expressions

$$K_1 = t \frac{\Gamma^{(\theta+d)/2}[(\theta+d+2)/t]}{\Gamma^{(\theta+d+2)/2}[(\theta+d)/t]} , \quad K_2^2 = \frac{\Gamma[(\theta+d+2)/t]}{\Gamma[(\theta+d)/t]} , \quad (A.4)$$

with d = 2, t(d = 2) = 4,  $\theta(d = 2) = 11/24$ , and  $\Gamma$  denoting the gamma-function.

We observe in Fig. A.4 that the RdC prediction is reached for our longest chains. A GAUSSian distribution would have a maximum at distance zero, and the RdC formula states that on the contrary, the probability for distance zero vanishes and the maximum is located at a value in the vicinity of the averaged end-to-end distance  $R_{\rm e}$ .

These findings can be regarded as a test for the theoretical predictions and vice versa validate our implementation of the algorithm.

$ ho_{ m m}$	0	1/8	2/8	3/8	4/8
	$N_{\rm recoil}, N_{\rm choice}$				
N = 32	16, 1.95	20, 1.94	20, 2.08	12, 2.51	14, 3.4
N = 64	32, 1.94	32, 1.96	26, 2.08	20, 2.44	8, 5.5
N = 128	64, 1.86	64, 1.93	64, 2.04	40, 3.3	—
N = 256	128, 1.86	128, 1.90	—	—	—
N = 512	256, 1.845	—	—	—	—
N = 1024	512, 1.841	—	—	—	—
N = 2048	1024, 1.838	—	—	-	—

Table A.1: Optimal parameters for the RG-algorithm for the KREMER-GREST model maximizing the acceptance rate.  $\rho_{\rm m} = 0$  is used to denote the single chain.

# A.2 Dense Systems

The RG-algorithm was successfully used to initialize configurations up to a number density  $nN/L^2$  of  $\approx 1/2$  in equilibrium (*L* being the linear dimension of the square simulation box). For high densities and long chains the acceptance rate was becoming to be so low that the LJ-radius of the monomers were shrunk to achieve a lower effective density and equilibration was done using molecular dynamics (MD) simulation methods as described in section 2.6. The optimal parameters for optimizing  $P_{\rm acc}$  are listed in Table A.2. The CPU-time corrected optimum  $P_{\rm acc}/t_{\rm CPU}$  is very close to the optimum  $P_{\rm acc}$ , and is thus not listed additionally.

With this combination of RG and MD we were able to initialize and equilibrate systems with polymers of length N = 512 up to very high number densities  $\rho_{\rm m}$ approaching unity. As an example of such a system we present in Fig. A.5 a system with N = 256, n = 24, and  $\rho_{\rm m} = 7/8$  which was set up using RG at reduced LJ $-\sigma$ and subsequently equilibrated by MD runs. Some chains are highlighted and one identifies a variety of different shapes.

Investigations of the statics and dynamics of the 2-d films are currently underway. Note that in the MD-simulation the bond-length fluctuates around  $r_{\text{bond}}$  at finite temperature, but is fixed in the MC simulations for efficiency. This has to be taken into account by rescaling the data for fixed bonds by the BOLTZMANN-weight averaged bond-length to match the simulation data. Because the relaxation times grows with N and  $\rho_{\rm m}$  the equilibration of the systems becomes very CPU-intensive for long chains and high densities.

A variety of interesting questions can be discussed once simulation data is ready. To name some concerning the statics which are immediately accessible:

- Shape of the polymers by analyzing the gyration tensor [167].
- Exponents for  $R_{\rm g}$  and  $R_{\rm e}$  as a function of density  $\rho_{\rm m}$  and N.
- Pressure as a function of N and  $\rho_{\rm m}$ .
- Static structure analysis like in section 3.9.

For the dynamics it would be very interesting to investigate deviations from the ROUSE model [20] which assumes that chains move in a viscous heat bath.



Figure A.5: Example for a dense polymer melt in two dimensions. N = 256, number density  $\rho_{\rm m} = 7/8$  at T = 1.0. The simulated system is comprised of n = 24 chains in a simulation box of area  $83.8^2$  leading to a pressure of 4.15. Four chains are highlighted.

Since in 2-d chains cannot interpenetrate as easily as in 3-d, especially for dense systems, deviations should become visible. Such questions were already raised in the pioneering work by CARMESIN and KREMER [168] some years ago using the "bond fluctuation model" [2] for shorter chains at lower densities. Other investigations of the dynamics and its temperature dependence could follow.

# Appendix B

# Clustering in Boundary Lubricated Systems with Long-Range Elastic Wall Interaction

In this chapter we want to demonstrate the effect of including long-range elastic interactions in the walls which confine a sub-monolayer of lubricant. It can be summarized as follows. Due to long range elastic coupling, a wall atom which is shifted upwards by a fluid atom will push its neighbors upwards, too. This will create more room and a pocket forms which becomes gradually filled by additional fluid atoms. This can be seen in Fig. B.1, where a half monolayer is confined between two incommensurate walls. While at the start of the simulation the fluid atoms are almost evenly distributed, they collect in one large cluster at the end of the simulation. The TOMLINSON spring constant is  $k_{\rm T} = 50$  (fixing the wall atoms to their equilibrium sites) and the FRENKEL-KONTOROVA stiffness  $k_{\rm FK} = 58.3$ (coupling the relative neighbor distance to the equilibrium distance) as defined in section 2.4.2. The interactions are purely repulsive ( $r_{\rm cut} = 2^{1/6}$ ) between all particle species, temperature T = 0.7, and load per wall atom L = 10 in reduced LJ-units. As all particle interactions are repulsive, the clustering must be a pure elasticity effect.

This clustering does not happen for walls with fixed wall atoms, neither for walls without FRENKEL-KONTOROVA coupling. Our model shows effects similar to the ones discussed in Refs. [43, 44] using a similar, more detailed wall model. In Fig. B.1 the cluster spans the whole simulation box. For lower covering, we observed small pockets of trapped liquid, as observed in experiments [169].

We additionally found

- Shear facilitates clustering, as it helps to sweep fluid particles into the pockets.
- Clustering is also present for commensurate systems where clusters have a crystalline structure.
- Short chain molecules  $N \leq 10$  show very similar behavior.



Figure B.1: Clustering of a half-layer of simple liquid confined between two incommensurate walls (defining the xy-plane) with long-range elastic coupling and purely repulsive interaction. T = 0.7, L = 10 in reduced units. Periodic boundary conditions are used in x and y. Light circles denote particle positions in the start configuration, the bold circles in a configuration in steady state while shearing with a velocity  $v_0 = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ .

It would be very interesting to extend these simulations to longer chains to see if a network is formed by chains with ends in two different clusters or if the behavior remains simple liquid like.

# Appendix C Simulation Code

In this chapter we list the code for the complete MD-simulation program used for the simulation of friction between interfaces. The analysis routines for the computation of the fluid particle velocity distribution is also listed.

We first print the parameter files for the simulation. params\_rheosim controls the length of the simulation, system parameters and the simulation mode. The file params\_mc\_fluid is only needed when the recoil-growth algorithm is used. Most other system parameters like particle numbers and the order of the integration algorithm are set at compile time in the file holding the global variables. The Makefile explains the dependencies of the different program modules. We list the code in a very tiny font (so, for an intensive study of a particular routine we recommend a magnification).

#### params\_rheosim

```
0 initial integer starting time
             0 number of relaxation steps
        100000 number of observation steps
      10000000 number of steps between full configuration backup
          200 time constant for filtering output
         50000 linear position saving timestep
            T switch for writing particle positions
            F switch for writing particle velocities
            F save at times given in list
sample_list
  0.500000E-02 time step increment
  0.500000E+01 time to reach final temperature
  0.100000E+01 initial temperature
  0.100000E+01 final temperature
 0.500000E+02 time to reach real potential
  0.900000E+00 squared initial minimum effective distance between particles
 0.100000E+01 mass
                                    (type 1)
 0.100000E+01 Lenard Jones epsilon (type 1)
 0.100000E+01 Lenard Jones sigma (type 1)
 0.500000E+00 friction constant
                                    (type 1)
 0.100000E+01 mass
                                    (type 2)
 0.100000E+01 Lenard Jones epsilon (type 2)
 0.100000E+01 Lenard Jones sigma (type 2)
  0.500000E+00 friction constant
                                    (type 2)
```

20030409 seed for random number generator

0.600000E+00 skin thickness

```
6.4000000E+01 spacing between wall units in x direction
            F switch: walls are commensurate(T) / incommensurate(F)
 2.000000E+00 initial inter-wall spacing
  0.400000E+03 Tomlinson spring constant to wall equililibrium sites
 0.000000E+00 Linear Frenkel-Kontorova spring constant between wall particles
 0.000000E+00 Vector Frenkel-Kontorova spring constant between wall particles
            1 flag for constraining wall atoms
            0 cut-off flag: (0) short (1) long range potential
            7 flag for thermostat mode
            O flag to relax to next minimum (will reset friction constants)
            O flag for turning on friction force on top wall
 0.050000E+00 friction constant for friction on top wall
 flags and variables controlling boundary conditions:
  1-direction 2-direction 3-direction
            2
                         2
                                       2
 0.000000E+00 0.000000E+00 0.000000E+00
  0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00
            F switch l_compute_fluid_distribution
            F switch l_compute_fluid_vel_dist
```

T switch l\_compute\_press\_tens

#### params\_mc\_fluid

```
0 initial MC step
            0 number of MC relaxation steps
         1000 number of MC observation steps
     10000000 number of MC steps between full configuration storage
          1000 linear position saving timestep
            T switch for writing particle positions
            F switch for saving at times given in list
sample_list_mc
        10000 number of tries to build a complete chain
           64 depth of recoiling
      5000000 maximal tree search depth
 1.950000E+00 number of trial directions at first monomer
 1.950000E+00 number of trial directions at last monomer
 1.800000E+02 opening angle for bonds (degrees)
 0.000000E{+}00 minimum initial wall distance in z
 1.000000E+00 prefactor for rescaling bond-length
 1.000000E+00 initial LJ-sigma of fluid
            T switch for writing energy
            T switch for writing gyration tensor and end-to-end distance
            T switch for writing positions of the chain ends
```

T switch for writing bond angle information

### Makefile

#LINKOPS=-v -V
# -m0: lots of warnings,, -m4: no warnings WARNOPS=-m1
ifeq (\$(DEBUGGING),YES) DEBUG-g endif
enali Hinning (190 (on Alphas)
<pre># use the gnumake command instead of make o nthe ZDV Alpha cluster # otherwise errors will result</pre>
ifeq (\$(IDENT),Compaq) FC=f90
# the linker LINKER=ld
<pre># linker options #LINKOPS=-v -V</pre>
# -std: warn about non-standard F90 WARNOPS=-warn general -warn unused -std
#CHECKS=-check bounds -check overflow -check underflow
#FLAGS=-math_library accurate # accurate math FLAGS=-math_library fast # fast math
#OPTOPS=-00 #OPTOPS=-02 #OPTOPS=-03

# linker options

OPTOPS=-04 -inline speed # Compaq f90	# linker options	
ifeq (\$(DEBUGGING),YES)	#LINKOPS-v -V	
endif	DPS=-ffree-form	
endif	ifeg (\$(DERHGGING) YES)	
######################################	DEBUG=-g	
	endif	
ifeq (\$(IDENT), Intel)	endif	
rc=11C # the linker	\ ####################################	
LINKER=1d	# Options for compiler	
# -e90: issue warnings for non F90 standard compliant code	ifeq (\$(DEBUGGING),YES)	
WARNUPS=-e90	OPS=\$(WARNOPS) \$(CHECKS) \$(FLAGS) \$(DEBUG)	
# some of the checks could still be somewhat broken (check documentation) #CHECKS=-C - d1 #CHECKS=-WB -CB -d1	OPS=\$(WARNOPS) \$(CHECKS) \$(FLAGS) \$(OPTOPS) endif	
<pre># CHECKS=-WB # warn about overrun array bounds at compile time</pre>		
# -nbs: treat backslash as character #FLAGS=-nbs -opt report -opt report levelmin	all · rheorim	
FLAGS=-nbs	.phony : clean	
# -ip: interprocedural optimization	versionmove : globals\$(OLDVER).f90 interaction\$(OLDVER).f90 \	
# -preletch: cache data preletching # -xK: code for Pentium III and higher	mdroutines\$(OLDVER).f90 initialization\$(OLDVER).f90 \	
# -axX: code optimized for processor type X and higher, but runs on every i386	rheosim\$(OLDVER).f90 ftdensity\$(OLDVER).f90 \	
# -rcd: fast float to int conversion (does not make code faster)	Velautocorr\$(ULDVER).190 Iluid_density\$(ULDVER).190 \ popping\$(ULDVER).f90 mcfluid\$(ULDVER).f90	
# -tpp6: code for Pentium 6 family #OPTOPS=-00	cp -i globals\$(OLDVER).f90 globals\$(VER).f90	
#OPTOPS=-02	cp -i interaction\$(OLDVER).f90 interaction\$(VER).f90	
# seems to give the fastest code on PIII	cp -i initialization\$(OLDVER).f90 initialization\$(VER).f90	
# IIC V6.0 With -U3 gives wrong results # ICS ifc V6.0	cp -1 mdroutines\$(ULDVER).f90 mdroutines\$(VER).f90	
OPTOPS=-02 -tpp6 -axK -ipo	cp -i ftdensity\$(OLDVER).f90 ftdensity\$(VER).f90	
# KOMA, ifc V7.0	cp -i velautocorr\$(OLDVER).f90 velautocorr\$(VER).f90	
<pre># using -(a)xM causes incorrect results on Athlons # using -axK runs okay, but is slightly slower on Athlons</pre>	cp -i fluid_density\$(OLDVER).f90 fluid_density\$(VER).f90	
# -prefetch requires -03 and does not improve performance	cp -i popping\$(OLDVER).f90 popping\$(VER).f90	
#OPTOPS=-02 -tpp6 -ipo	cp -i mcfluid\$(OLDVER).190 mcfluid\$(VERMCF).190	
#OPTOPS=-prof_gen -01	cp -i polymer\$(OLDVER).f90 polymer\$(VER).f90	
# Acon F4 #	luxury.o : luxury.f90	
#UPTUPS=-U2 -tpp7 -axW -ipo	<pre>\$(FC) \$(OPS) -c luxury.f90 -o luxury.o</pre>	
#OPTOPS=-02 -in	globals.o : globals\$(VER).190	
# linker options	\$(PREPRO) globals\$(VER).f90 -o \$(PP_GLOBALS); \	
#LINKOPS=-V -V	\$(FC) \$(OPS) -c \$(PP_GLOBALS) -o globals.o	
ifeg (\$(DEBUGGING) VES)	interaction.o : globals.o utilities.o interaction\$(VER).f90	
DEBUG=-g	\$(FC) \$(OPS) -c interaction\$(VER).f90 -o interaction.o	
endif	polymer.o : globals.o polymer\$(VER).190 \$(FC) \$(OPS) -c polymer\$(VER) 190 -c polymer c	
	mcfluid.o : globals.o luxurv.o utilities.o polymer.o mcfluid\$(VERMCF).f90	
# NAG 195	\$(FC) \$(OPS) -c mcfluid\$(VERMCF).f90 -o mcfluid.o	
ifeq (\$(IDENT),NAG)	init.o : globals.o luxury.o mdroutines.o mcfluid.o utilities.o \	
FC=f95	initialization\$(VER).f90	
# the linker	wtilities of globals o luvury o utilities (VER) foo	
# linker options	\$(FC) \$(OPS) -c utilities\$(VER).f90 -o utilities.o	
#LINKOPS=-v -V	mdroutines.o : globals.o luxury.o utilities.o mdroutines\$(VER).f90	
CHECKS=-C=all	\$(FC) \$(OPS) -c mdroutines\$(VER).f90 -o mdroutines.o	
# -kind=byte: kind numbering is done by bytes # -nan: initialize variables to NaN to cause run-time error if these variables	# there is a problem with this module used for big systems, as	
<pre># name initialize variables to may to cause functime error if these variables # are used before initializing</pre>	# it allocates huge amounts of memory	
# -float-store: (Gnu C based systems only) Do not store floating-point	<pre>stty.o : globals.o Itdensity\$(VER).190 \$(EC) \$(OPS) =c ftdensity\$(VER) f90 =c ftdensity c</pre>	
# variables in registers on machines with reating-point # registers wider than 64 bits. This can avoid problems with	vacf.o : globals.o luxurv.o velautocorr\$(VER).f90	
# excess precision.	\$(FC) \$(DPS) -c velautocorr\$(VER).f90 -o vacf.o	
# My experience is that this option makes the code slower on IA32! ELACS=_bind=bute _man #=float=store	fluiddens.o : globals.o fluid_density\$(VER).f90	
# -gc: garbage collection	<pre>\$(FC) \$(OPS) -c fluid_density\$(VER).f90 -o fluiddens.o</pre>	
#OPTOPS=-00	popping.o : globals.o popping\$(VER).f90	
#UFIUFS=-01 OPTOPS=-03 # fastest	<pre>@(ro) @(uro) = c popping@(vrn).isu = o popping.0 rheogim 0 : globals o popping o rheogim\$(VFR) f00</pre>	
#OPTOPS=-03 -gc #OPTOPS=-04 # not factor than -02	\$(FC) \$(DPS) -c rheosim\$(VER).f90 -o rheosim.o	
ifor (\$(DERHCGING) VES)	<pre># include ftdensity.o if needed only.</pre>	
DEBUG=-gline #show traceback upon run-time error	rheosim : luxury.o globals.o interaction.o mdroutines.o \	
endif	init.o vacf.o fluiddens.o utilities.o popping.o mcfluid.o \	
enaii	\$(FC) \$(LINKOPS) luxurv.o globals.o \	
######################################	interaction.o mdroutines.o init.o vacf.o fluiddens.o \	
	utilities.o popping.o mcfluid.o polymer.o \	
11eq (\$(1DENT),G95) FC=#95	rneosim.o -o rneosim\$(VER).exe	
# the linker	rm -f \$(PP_GLOBALS) *.o *.vo *.mod *.d *.bif V*.inc *.il \	
LINKER=1d	V*.f work.p* a.out core ifc?????	

I

# Main program rheosimV1.9.f90

OP

! use the variables declared in globals in this scope use globals use grouns ! routines for initialization of the simulation use initialization ! routines for particle interactions use interaction ! the core md routines use mdroutines ! module for the velocity autocorrelation function use vacf 

! get the CPU-time at the start if(l\_cpu\_timing) call cpu\_time(cpu\_time\_start) write(unit\*\*, fmt\*'(2a)') "MESSAGE: This is ", program\_name write(unit\*\*, fmt\*'(a,i1,a)') "MESSAGE: We are simulating a ", n\_dim, & "-d system" ! initialize global arrays call init\_arrays call init\_arrays call init\_arrays
! if a parameters
! if a parameter file exists, read it
! else use default parameters
call init\_parameters("params\_rheosim")
! initialize random number generator which might be needed in case a
! configuration has to be generated, not only for the thermostat.
call rluxgo(rngQualityLevel, iseed, 0, 0) ! restart the random number generator where it stopped ! write out parameters call write\_parameters("params\_rheosim\_new") ! Read configuration if it exists, otherwise create configuration ! The random number generator is restarted from an old configuration call init\_config("conf.old"//version) ! initialize observation variables call init\_variables call init\_variables
! read sample list (the times are needed to initialize the next time to write
! and to check if the simulation is long enough)
if(l\_read\_sample\_list) call read\_sample\_list(file\_sample\_list, sample\_times,&
 s\_time, n\_relax, n\_obser)
! initialize energy logging
if(l\_write\_energy\_interv\_mds) &
 call init\_energy\_file("energy\_log"/version//".dat")
if(l\_compute\_fluid\_distribution) then

123

## APPENDIX C. SIMULATION CODE

! initialize fluid density histograms if(n\_mon\_tot .gt. 0) call init\_density\_histogram(5, 5) end if end 11 ! this is the step before the main loop. It is included to write out the ! starting configuration of the simulation like at other times. \_\_time = s\_time call predict
! if there is at least one particle which has moved farther then half the
! skin we have to create new neighborhood lists
if(.not.theck.skin()) call binning3d
! set temperature and effective minimum distance, reinitialize forces
call thermostat
! compute new forces with predicted positions and derivatives
if() fluid bind intervention) call bind fluid if if(l\_intra\_molec\_interaction) call intra\_molec if(l\_intra\_wall\_interaction) call intra\_wall ! correct positions and derivatives proportional to deviation between ! predicted and real acceleration call corrector progagation complete stop end if end if end if ! low pass filtering of output and control of force ramp call control ! compute energies (and write out every MDS if l\_write\_energy\_every\_mds is ! set to .TRUE.) call energy\_("energy\_log"//version//".dat") ! HACK ! HACK if(.FALSE. .and. (mod(i\_time, 100) .eq. 0)) then ! write x,y position of first particle write(unit=60, fmt='(es12.6, 2f11.3)') r\_time, r0\_unfolded(1, 1:2) end if write(unit=60, fmt='(es12.6, 2f11.3)') r\_time, r0\_unfolded(1, 1:2) end if if(1\_compute\_vacf) call velocity\_autocorr if(1\_compute\_vacf) call velocity\_autocorr if(1\_compute\_fluid\_distribution) & call write\_dens\_histogram("f1\_dens\_histogram"//version//".dat", & n\_time\_ave, "var\_only") if(1\_compute\_fluid\_displ\_dist) & call fluid\_vel\_p\_dist("f1\_displ\_pdist\_"//version//".dat", & n\_time\_ave, "aveenests\_only") if(1\_compute\_fluid\_vel\_dist) then call fluid\_vel\_y\_lotif"f1\_vel\_pdist\_t\_"//version//".dat", & n\_time\_ave, "ave\_prob\_dist") call fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist("f1\_vel\_x\_pl\_pdist\_t\_"//version//".dat", & n\_time\_ave, "ave\_prob\_dist") call fluid\_vel\_xy\_p\_l\_dist("f1\_vel\_x\_pl\_pdist\_t\_"//version//".dat", & "f1\_vel\_x\_pdist\_t\_"//version//".dat", n\_time\_ave, "ave\_prob\_dist") if(n\_mon\_gt. 1) then call bond\_ang\_vel\_p\_dist("bond\_ang\_vel\_pdist\_t\_"//version//".dat", & n\_time\_ave, "ave\_prob\_dist") end if close(51) close(52)

if(l\_compute\_fluid\_accel\_dist) &
 call fluid\_accel\_p\_dist("fl\_accel\_pdist\_t\_"//version//".dat", &
 n\_time\_ave, "moments\_only")
end if !(i\_time .ge. n\_relax) end if '(1\_time .ge .\_\_teta)'
if ((1\_time.gt.s\_time) .and. (mod(i\_time, n\_save) .eq. 0)) then
if (l\_use\_config.rmg) then
 call store\_configuration\_rmg("last\_save\_file", .TRUE.)
else
 call\_store\_configuration("last\_save\_file") "call store\_configuration("last\_save\_file")
end if
end if
! check if CPU time limit reached. If so, exit MD loop
if(1\_cpu\_timing) then
if(mod(i\_time, n\_call\_cpu\_time) .eq. 0) then
call cpu\_time(pu\_time\_now)
if((cpu\_time\_now - cpu\_time\_start) .ge. cpu\_time\_available) then
write(unit\*\*, fire"(a, fi6.1, 2a, ii2)') &
 "MESSAGE: CPU time limit\*", cpu\_time\_available, &
 "sec reached at", " i\_time\*', i\_time
 l\_cpu\_time\_limit\_reached = .TRUE.
 \_\_\_\_\_\_.exit \_\_\_\_\_ n\_relax + n\_obser n\_mds\_after\_relax = n\_obser - s\_time end if end ir
! write out final configuration
if(l\_use\_config\_rng) then
 call store\_configuration\_rng("conf\_new"//version, .TRUE.) call store\_configuration("conf\_new"//version)
end if ! write out observables at the end of the simulation if(n\_mds\_after\_relax.gt.0) then .u\_wawa\_atter\_tera.gc.0/ then
call simulation\_log\_out("simulation\_log"//version//".dat")
if(l\_compute\_vacf) call write\_vacf("vacf"//version//".dat")
if(l\_compute\_fluid\_vel\_dist) then sail similation\_log\_out "simulation\_log\_'/version/".dat")
if(l\_compute\_fluid\_vel\_dist) then
' [Note: it is necessary to pass the histogram with the (:), otherwise
' the program crashes. (Compiler bug7)
call p.dist\_urite\_out("fl\_vel\_pdist\_"//version/".dat", &
 "bin-center | probability' fluid\_vel\_pdist\_ave(:), &
 fluid\_vel\_bin\_start, fluid\_vel\_bin\_end, &
 fluid\_vel\_tim\_vitch)
call p.dist\_urite\_out("fl\_vel\_pdist\_"//version/".dat", &
 "fluid velocity probability' fluid\_vel\_pdist\_ave(:), &
 fluid\_vel\_tim\_vitch)
call p.dist\_urite\_out("fl\_vel\_pdist\_"//version/".dat", &
 "fluid\_vel\_tim\_vitch)
call p.dist\_urite\_out("fl\_vel\_splist\_"//version/".dat", &
 "fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_start, fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist\_ave(:), &
 fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_start, fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_end, &
 fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_vitch)
call p.dist\_urite\_out("fl\_vel\_x\_pdist\_"//version/".dat", &
 "fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_start, fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_dist\_ave(:), &
 fluid\_vel\_x\_pin\_start, fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_dist\_ave(:), &
 fluid\_vel\_x\_pin\_start, fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_dist\_ave(:), &
 fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_witch)
call p.dist\_urite\_out("fl\_vel\_y\_pdist\_"//version/".dat", &
 "fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_witch)
call p.dist\_urite\_out("fl\_vel\_y\_pdist\_"//version/".dat", &
 "fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_witch)
call p.dist\_urite\_out("fl\_vel\_z\_pdist\_"//version/".dat", &
 "fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_witch)
call p.dist\_urite\_out("fl\_vel\_z\_pdist\_"//version/".dat", &
 "fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_start, fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_end, &
 fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_start, bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_t", dat", &
 wbon-anguvel\_bolbility", bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_end, &
 bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_start, bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_end, &
 bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_s bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_width) end if end if else write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i12, a)') & "MESSAGE: n\_mds\_after\_relax=", n\_mds\_after\_relax, & ".le. 0, so simulation log not written" enu II ! close output channels used (it seems that this reduces the occurence of ! incompletely written last records) close(41) close(42) close(50) close(50) Free memory. The freeing functions check if the memory was really ! allocated before deallocating call free\_bin\_memory call free\_dens\_bin\_memory

write(unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') "MESSAGE: ", program\_name, " says goodbye."

# globalsV1.9.f90

global variables for rheosimVx.y

- Rheosim is a simulation program for doing molecular dynamics simulations of simple or polymeric liquids confined between to walls in i-d and 2-d. Walls can be totally rigid, coupled harmonically to their equililibrium sites (Tomlinson walls) and neighboring wall atoms can be coupled harmonically to introduce long range elastic coupling in the walls (Frenkel-Kontorova-Tomlinson walls). The program is based on the program mfa.f by Dr. Martin H. Mueser. Developed by Martin Aichele, starting June 2000.

- most program parameters which are not read from a file should be changed here Martin Aichele,  $2000\!-\!11\!-\!27$  last changed  $2003\!-\!02\!-\!25$

- references are given as
- M/D : M/D : Michael Metcalf and John Reid, Fortran 90/95 explained, 2nd edition Oxford University Press, 1999
- Uniord University Frees, 1999 \* E/P/L: T. M. R. Ellis, Ivor R. Phillips, Thomas M. Lahey, Fortran 90 Programming Addison-Wesley, 1994 (reprint 1998)
- \* F90 does not initialize variables to anything by default for efficiency \* a word for the C programmer: initializing a variable in the declaration gives the variable the SAVE attribute, which might not be what you want, because the variable is \*not\* re-initialized upon each call. Note on initialization: Initializing large arrays during compiling needs a lot of memory and is very slow. These initializations are done in SR init\_arrays.

Because C preprocessing is not standard in Fortran, we call the C-preprocessor before compiling. (See makefile) dule globals	<pre>! bw_fl_ia_adjust if l_bottom_wall_fluid_ia_differ == .TRUE. ! Not fully implemented: just changes the interactions SR fluid_wall ! It would be better to introduce different particle types for both walls logical, parameter : l_bottom_wall_fluid_ia_differ = .FALSE.</pre>
conventions about variables:	real(kind=dp), parameter :: bw_fl_ia_adjust = 1.0_dp
<pre>! # no variables with just one letter ! (hard to find in editor and hard to replace as well) ! an identifier can have 31 characters in F90</pre>	<pre>! if there is a lot of fluid between the walls or the walls are very far away ! then the wall-wall interaction is negligible. The creation of neighbor ! lists of wall-wall pairs is also switched off in this case. ! With this switch we can switch off the wall-wall interaction ! unconditionally (if set to FAIRS). The checks for wall-wall interaction</pre>
! # variables starting with f_ : ! flags	! are not carried out.
! # variables starting with 1_ :	! this switch is reset in SR BINNING3D if there is possible interaction
! logical values (mainly used as on/off switches)	logical :: 1_wall_wall_interaction = .TRUE.
dimensions of arrays and lengths of loops	<pre>iogical, parameter :: 1_intra_wall_interaction = .FALSE.     if n mon .eq. 1 then there are no bonds and no intramolecular interaction</pre>
! # variables ending with: _1 _2 _3 _4	logical, parameter :: 1_intra_molec_interaction = .TRUE.
! no implicit declaration of variables	
implicit none	fundamental program parameters
! not necessary, see E/P/L, p. 363 (but it won't hurt and is good style)	! the quality level for the random number generator. 0: bad but fast,
save I	! 4: very good quality but slower. 1 or 2 seem to be a good choices.
Version numbering, program name, dimensions	! By going from level 1 to 2 the program runs about 3% slower.
program version	! the order of the algorithm which is actually used
character(len=*), parameter :: version = "V1.9"	! n_order = 2 is the velocity-Verlet algorithm
! I love the C-preprocessor :) )	! maximal order of predictor - corrector algorithm (the program has
character(len=*), parameter :: date =DATE ! program name	! structures for using order 5 at most)
character(len=*), parameter :: &	! switch for the method of the minimum image convention calculation in
program_name="rheosim"//version//" ("//date//")"	! routines calculating the distance of particles.
navimal number of dimensions (for allocation of arrays) ! never change from 3.	! On Alpha-systems the difference is only small, but .FALSE. is still faster.
integer, parameter :: n_dim_max = 3	! The results are slightly different, because there are other operations ! performed.
integer, parameter :: n_dim = 2	logical, parameter :: 1_mic_use_int_cast = .FALSE.
! number of dimensions with periodic boundary conditions	
	time variables
!	integer :: i_time = -1 ! time in MDS used throughout the program
! on Intel 32 bit processors (Pentiums) the default real has only 6 digit	real(kind=dp) :: dt  ! timestep real(kind=dp) :: dt 2. dt inv ! square of timestep and inverse
PRECISION, which is not enough. That's why we inquire the KIND of real	real(kind=dp) :: r_time ! r_time = i_time * dt
! floating point number and corresponds to DOUBLE PRECISION	integer :: n_relax ! number of relaxation steps
integer, parameter :: dp = SELECTED_REAL_KIND(15, 150)	integer :: n_obser ! number of observation steps integer :: n_save ! number of steps between safety storages
the suffix "_dp", otherwise the constant is converted to default real and	integer :: n_linear_out ! number of steps between writing of positions
only after that assigned to the variable, thus precision is lost. Some compilers provide a switch to do that, but it is not standart behavior	! MD steps completed in the observation time range integer :: n mds after relax
the random number generator requires special KINDs	! switch for CPU-timing
<pre>! make sure this KIND is the same as in the random number generator module integer, parameter :: rngRealKind = 4</pre>	logical, parameter :: 1_cpu_timing = .FALSE.
	integer, parameter :: n_call_cpu_time = 100000
	! total allowed CPU time in seconds ! 241920 secs are 4 weeks
! constants (it is permitted to give more digits than are actually used, cf.	<pre>! real, parameter :: cpu_time_available = 241920.0 real, parameter :: cpu_time_available = 120.0 ! for testing</pre>
real(kind=dp), parameter :: sqrt2 = 1.41421356237309504880_dp	! switch for noting when program is stopped due to CPU time limit
real(kind=dp), parameter :: sqrt3 = 1.73205080756887729352_dp real(kind=dp) parameter :: pi = 3.14159265358979323846 dp	logical :: 1_cpu_time_limit_reached = .FALSE.
real(kind=dp), parameter :: twopi = 6.28318530717958647692_dp	! MD step
!	real :: cpu_time_start, cpu_time_now !
!	
! time significantly.	logical :: 1_read_sample_list = .FALSE.
logical, parameter :: l_predict_check_pbc = .FALSE.	<pre>character(len=400) :: file_sample_list = 'file_name_of_sample_list' !</pre>
logical, parameter :: 1_binning3d_check_bin_overrun = .TRUE.	
logical, parameter :: 1_binning3d_check_humber = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: 1_binning3d_check_list_overrun = .TRUE.	! flags !
logical, parameter :: 1_check_wal1_penetration = .FALSE. !	! f_wall_fix: wall atoms constrained (1) or vibrating (0)
l	integer :: f_wall_fix = huge(1)
! switches for analysis computations	! sets forces to 0 and chooses a special friction constant
velocity autocorrelation function	integer :: f_minimize = huge(1)
Pourier transforms of the particle density	<pre>! find for selecting the range of the LS potentials. ! f_cut_off = 0: range = 2^(1/6) \sigma (minimum of LJ-potential)</pre>
logical, parameter :: 1_compute_ft_density = .FALSE.	! f_cut_off = 1: range = 2.2 \sigma integer :: f_cut_off = huge(1)
! histograms of fluid particle density logical :: l_compute_fluid_distribution = .FALSE.	<pre>integer :: 1_cut_off = huge(1)     ! thermostatting flag:</pre>
wall - wall quantities (potential, correlations,)	! ! the initial value is changed to 1 if we freeze the system.
<pre>logical, parameter :: 1_compute_wall_wall_quantities = .FALSE. ! the probability distribution of the fluid particles' displacements</pre>	<pre>integer :: f_thermostat_mode = huge(1)</pre>
logical, parameter :: 1_compute_fluid_displ_dist = .FALSE.	<pre>! number of thermostat modes (no thermostatting at all is mode 0) integer, parameter :: n thermostat modes = 8</pre>
the probability distribution of the fluid particles' velocities logical :: 1_compute fluid vel dist = .FALSE.	character(len=*), dimension(0:n_thermostat_modes-1), parameter :: &
the probability distribution of the fluid particles' accelerations	thermostat_mode_strings = & (//no thermostatting at all
.ogical, parameter :: l_compute_fluid_accel_dist = .FALSE. ! the pressure tensor	'only apply friction on all unfixed particles ', &
logical :: 1_compute_press_tens = .TRUE.	'thermostatting on all unfixed particles in inertial (cm) system ', & 'thermostatting on wall particles in inertial (cm) system ', '
if the wallwall and intra-wall contributions to the pressure tensor should be included	'thermostatting on all unfixed particles in directions w/o strain', &
.ogical, parameter :: 1_compute_press_tens_wall_contr = .FALSE.	'thermostatting on wall particles in inertial (rx_twall) system ', & 'thermostatting on wall particles in local inertial system '. &
logical, parameter :: 1_compute_cm_fluid = .FALSE.	'thermostatting on fluid particles in cm system of walls '/)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<pre>: riag for turning on iffection force on top wall integer :: f_friction_on_twall = huge(1)</pre>
file writing and file format switches	! friction constant for friction on top wall
switch for writing energies to disk at every MDS	<pre>real(kinu=ap) :: Iriction_constant_twall = 1.0E100_dp !</pre>
.ugrcar, parameter :: 1_write_energy_every_mds = .FALSE. ! switch for deciding if the laboratory system is to be used for all	
kinetic energy calculations. Use this to check energy conservation.	: System and System Size parameters
<pre>.vgivar, parameter i_use_iaD_system_ior_energies = .FALSE. switch for writing the particle positions</pre>	! switch for the desired wall geometry
logical :: 1_write_particle_positions = .FALSE.	: what it dasically does is choosing a different setup for the bottom wall by ! (x-y) mirroring the top wall with respect to the bottom wall
<pre>switch for writing the particle velocities logical :: l_write_particle_velocities = .FALSE.</pre>	logical :: 1_walls_are_commensurate = .FALSE.
switch for deciding which format the configuration i/o routines should use	! ONEDIM ! for one-dimensional walls the setup is different: a cell is just a particle
(if the state of the random number generator is saved or not) The format witout random numbers is the format used by M. H. Mueser.	! the switch l_walls_are_commensurate has no meaning anymore.
ogical, parameter :: l_use_config_rng = .TRUE.	<pre>: Ho the top wall is set up with x_space, and ! y_space = x_space * n_cell_w_x/n_cell_w_y, we have to choose</pre>
11 we restart the random number generator from the configuration. If set to .FALSE. the seed in the parameter file is used.	! n_cell_w_x smaller than n_cell_w_y ! spiral mean: 0.755
(meaningless if l_use_config_rng == .FALSE.)	A REPORT OF A R
ogical, parameter :: i_read_conf_rng_reinit = .TRUE.	! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=52, n_cell_w_y=68
	! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=52, n_cell_w_y=68 ! 517 = 11*47, 683 is a prime number ! integer, parameter :: n_cell w x=517. n_cell w v=683
	<pre>! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=52, n_cell_w_y=68 ! 517 = 11*47, 683 is a prime number ! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=517, n_cell_w_y=683 ! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=60, n_cell_w_y=60 istered = number to action = actio</pre>
switches for deciding which interaction is turned on.	<pre>! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=52, n_cell_w_y=68 ! 517 = 11*47, 683 is a prime number ! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=517, n_cell_w_y=683 ! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=450, n_cell_w_y=600 !integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=600, n_cell_w_y=600</pre>
switches for deciding which interaction is turned on. ogical, parameter :: l_fluid_fluid_interaction = .TRUE. ogical, parameter :: l_fluid wall interaction = .FAISE.	<pre>! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=52, n_cell_w_y=68 ! 517 = 11*47, 683 is a prime number ! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=517, n_cell_w_y=683 ! integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=60, n_cell_w_y=600 !integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=600, n_cell_w_y=600 !integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_x=600, n_cell_w_y=600 !integer, parameter :: n_cell_w_w=600, n_cell_w_y=600 !integer, parameter :: n_cell_w=000 !integer, parameter :: n_cell_w=0000 !integer, parameter :: n_cell_w=00000 !integer, parameter :: n_cell_w=00000000000000000000000000000000000</pre>

## APPENDIX C. SIMULATION CODE

f or simulations in the xy plane without wall interaction, we set in\_coll\_w\_xr1, n\_coll\_w\_yr1. incger, parameter :: n\_coll\_w\_xr1, n\_coll\_w\_yr0 integer, parameter :: n\_coll\_w\_xr2, n\_coll\_w\_yr0 integer, parameter :: n\_coll\_w\_xr2, n\_coll\_w\_yr0 integer, parameter :: n\_coll\_w\_xr3, n\_coll\_w\_yr0 integer, parameter :: n\_coll\_w\_xr3, n\_coll\_w\_yr0 integer, parameter :: n\_coll\_w\_xr3, n\_coll\_w\_yr0 integer, parameter :: n\_coll\_w\_xr4, n\_coll\_w\_yr0 integer, parameter :: n\_coll\_w\_xr2, ! for simulations in the xy plane without wall interaction, we set ! n\_cell\_w\_x=1, n\_cell\_w\_y=1. ! predictor corrector coefficients ! predictor corrector coefficients real(kind=dp) :: predict\_coef(0:n\_order-1, 0:n\_order) = 1.0E100\_dp real(kind=dp) :: correct\_coef(0:n\_order) = 1.0E100\_dp ! the potential contribution of the pressure tensor ! (averaged over the system) real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_dim) :: press\_tens\_pot = 0.0\_dp ! wall-wall and intra-wall contribution real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_dim) :: press\_tens\_pot\_walls = 0.0\_dp real(kind=dp); dimension(n\_dim, n\_dim) :: press\_tens\_pot\_walls = 0.0\_dp
! x-y dimensions of vall
real(kind=dp) :: x\_space = 1.0E100\_dp, y\_space = 1.0E100\_dp
! initial inter-wall spacing
real(kind=dp) :: z\_space\_vall = 1.0E100\_dp
! boundaries; half boundaries
real(kind=dp) :: boundary(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp, half\_bound(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp
! volume in periodic boundaries
real(kind=dp) :: bounder = 1.0E100\_dp
! upper and lower bound of the fluid in z-direction shared in different
! routines ( faceaus of dependency problems these variables are global) ! routines. (Because of dependency problems, these variables are global) real(kind=dp) :: highest\_fluid\_z=1.0E100\_dp, lowest\_fluid\_z=1.0E100\_dp ! maximally encountered correction of coordinates real(kind=dp) :: max\_encountered\_correction = 0.0\_dp -----definitions of particle parameters !-!FENE polymer backbone potential parameters real(kind=dp), parameter :: r\_chain = 1.5\_dp, k\_chain = 30.0\_dp, & r\_chain\_2 = r\_chain \* r\_chain integer, parameter :: n\_type = 2 ! number of particle t ! number of particle types real(kind=dp) :: friction(n\_type)
real(kind=dp) :: mass\_type(n\_type) ! friction constant of each type ! mass of each type real(kind=dp) :: mass\_type(m\_type) ! mass of each type real(kind=dp) :: epsilf(n\_type, n\_type) ! LJ-epsilon of pairs real(kind=dp) :: e\_shift(n\_type, n\_type) ! LJ-energy shift of pairs real(kind=dp) :: sigma\_n(type, n\_type) ! 4 \* LJ-epsilon of pairs real(kind=dp) :: sigma\_n(type, n\_type) ! LJ-sigma of pairs real(kind=dp) :: sigma\_n(type, n\_type) ! LJ-sigma squared real(kind=qp) :: sigma\_2(n\_type, n\_type) ! LJ=sigma squared ! prefactors of FEEE-force real(kind=qp) :: epsil,k\_chain\_over\_sigma\_2(n\_type, n\_type) real(kind=qp) :: range\_1(n\_type, n\_type) ! LJ-range of pairs real(kind=qp) :: range\_2(n\_type, n\_type) ! LJ-range squared of pairs ! neighbor list ranges squared real(kind=qp) :: neigh\_list\_rad\_2(n\_type, n\_type) real(kind=qp) :: neigh\_list\_rad\_2(n\_type, n\_type) real(kind=qp) :: neigh\_compt) ! random force distribution istence. ! random force distribution width
! type of each particle
! mass of each particle real(kind=dp) :: r1\_width(n\_type) ! rr
integer :: type(n\_part) ! ty
real(kind=dp) :: mass(n\_part) ! m
real(kind=dp) :: k\_spring\_wall\_tom ! Tc
! Frenkel-Kontorova spring constants
real(kind=dp) :: k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_linear
real(kind=dp) :: k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_vector
t the maximum of the interaction pares ! Tomlinson spring co ! the maximum of the interaction ranges
real(kind=dp) :: max\_interaction\_range = 0.0\_dp ! bond-lengths. Depend on the FENE parameters and on LJ sigmas real(kind=dp) :: bond\_length(n\_type, n\_type) variables for external side conditions, including external spring initial i integer, parameter :: n\_mon = 120
! number of chains in the fluid between the walls (unit: layers)
! example: n\_chain = n\_wall/(4\*2\*n\_mon) is a quarter layer
! integer, parameter :: n\_chain = 0, wall)/(2\*n\_mon)
integer, parameter :: n\_chain = 32
! switch for deciding if we use biased monte carlo for
! the setup of the fluid particles (for chains lengths greater 50 this is
! basically a must, because a simple random walk is not self avoiding enough)
logical, parameter :: l\_use\_mc\_fluid\_setup = .TRUE.
! if a 2-d interface should be set up exactly in the n\_dim\_pbc if there are
! walls (otherwise 1.2d\_flat\_setup = .FALSE.
! if bod crossings in the x-y plane should be forbidden
! (requires n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 2)
logical, parameter :: l\_forbid\_xy\_bond\_crossings = .TRUE.
! total number of monomers in the fluid
integer, parameter :: n\_part = n\_mon\_tch + n\_wall
! upper loop boundary, n\_moving = n\_mon\_tct v\_spring\_twall: velocity with which the upper wall is moved k\_spring\_twall has no meaning in this case (think k = \infty) f\_twall = 2 = force\_mode ext\_force\_twall: externally applied force that each individual atom in upper wall experiences ramp\_force\_twall: change of ext\_force\_twall per time unit f\_twall = 3 = spring\_mode (external spring pulling top wall) positions, derivatives, forces, predictor-corrector coefficients v\_spring\_twall: velocity with which the spring is moved k\_spring\_twall: spring constant of spring with which upper wall is moved (normalized to number of atoms in upper wall) ! positions, derivatives, forces, predictor-corrector coefficients ! It seems that initializing the arrays here causes slow compiles with some ! compilers, thus only some arrays are initialized ! actual positions of particles, folded back in simulation box ! (present MD step) ! actual positions of particles, not folded back in simulation box ! (present MD step), used for analysis ! r0\_unfolded(:, :) is defined after SR corrector real(kind=dp) :: r0\_unfolded(n\_part, n\_dim) ! positions before last binning, folded back in simulation box real(kind=dp) :: r0\_unfolded(n\_part, n\_dim) ! time averaged positions of particles ! number of atoms in upper Vall) real(kind=dp) :: extforce\_twall(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp real(kind=dp) :: ramp\_force\_tvall(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp real(kind=dp) :: k\_spring\_tvall(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp real(kind=dp) :: k\_spring\_tvall(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp ! total force acting on top vall (from fluid, bottom vall and external real(kind=dp) :: k\_spring\_twall(n\_dim) = 1.05100\_dp
! total force acting on top wall (from fluid, bottom wall and external
! spring)
! (re)initialized in subroutine thermostat
real(kind=dp) :: total\_force\_twall(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp
! position of the top wall's center of mass
real(kind=dp) :: no\_twall's\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp
! position of the bottom wall's center of mass
! (currently the initial values are not changed)
real(kind=dp) :: no\_twall (n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp ! mass of the top wall
real(kind=dp) :: mass\_twall = 1.0E100\_dp ! mass of the bottom vall
real(kind=dp) :: mass\_twall = 1.0E100\_dp ! mass of the bottom vall
real(kind=dp) :: mass\_twall = 1.0E100\_dp ! mass of the bottom vall
real(kind=dp) :: mass\_twall\_part = 1.0E100\_dp ! mass of the bottom vall atoms
real(kind=dp) :: mass\_twall\_part = 1.0E100\_dp ! mass of the bottom vall atoms
real(kind=dp) :: mass\_twall\_part = 1.0E100\_dp ! mass of the bottom vall
! currently not used)
integer :: pbc\_tvall(n\_dim) = 0, pbc\_bvall(n\_dim) = 0
! counters for periodic boundary conditions (how many times the boundaries
! have been added or substracted)
integer :: pbc\_count(n\_part, n\_dim) ! botton late
position of spring pulling top vall (i applicable) time averaged positions of particles real(kind=dp) :: r0\_ave(n\_part, n\_dim) forces acting on the particles, re-initialized in subroutine thermostat at each MD step p :: force\_determ(n\_part, n\_dim) real(kind=dp) the random force has to be initialized, because subroutine predict is ! called before thermostat
real(kind=dp) :: force\_random(n\_part, n\_dim) !equilibrium sites of wall atoms (both top and bottom wall) real(kind=dp) :: r\_wall\_equi(n\_wall, n\_dim) ! number of neighbors for the Frenkel-Kontorova interaction integer :: n\_fk\_neighbors equilibrium vectors between nearest neighbors for Frenkel-Kontorova model ! top wall real(kind=dp), dimension(:, :), allocatable :: fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw real(kind=dp), dimension(:, :), allocatable :: fk\_neigh\_vec\_tv
! bottom val,
ibottom val, dimension(:, :), allocatable :: fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv
! equilibrium distances between nearest neighbors for Frenkel-Kontorova model
real(kind=dp), dimension(:), allocatable :: fk\_neigh\_eq\_dist\_tw
! neighbor lists of valls for Frenkel-Kontorova model
integer, dimension(:), allocatable :: fk\_neighbors
! velocities, accelerations and higher derivatives of the positions of the
! particles integer :: poc\_count\_oid(n\_part, n\_dim) : Getore last binning
! position of spring pulling top wall (if applicable)
real(kind=dp) :: r0\_spring\_twall(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp
! position of top wall where present and previous pinning occured
real(kind=dp) :: r0\_pinned\_twall(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp
real(kind=dp) :: r0\_last\_pinned(n\_dim) = 1.0E100\_dp
! array for deciding if force ramp is going up or down and with which ! particles
real(kind=dp) :: rx(n\_part, n\_dim, n\_order)

! multiplier	! allocation is done with indices 0:n_bin_XX, so 2^n-1 are good values for
integer :: s_force_grad(n_dim) = 0	! integer, parameter :: n_bin_wa = 15, n_bin_fl = 31
<pre>! energy contributions at each MD step ! the initialization is important, because not all interactions might be ! turned on, so that these variables are not initialized at all other than ! here real(kind=dp) :: v_intra_molec = 0.0_dp ! potential energy of the bonds</pre>	<pre>! for thin films integer, parameter :: n_bin_wa = 15, n_bin_f1 = 15 ! with these variables we keep track of the maximal use of bins. ! n_bin_(wa/f1)_max &gt; n_bin_(wa/f1) is fatal! integer :: n_bin_wamax = 0, n_bin_f1_max = 0</pre>
real(kind=dp) :: v_wall_wall = 0.0_dp ! potential energy between walls	! memory for the bins
! potential energy of Tomlinson springs	integer, dimension(:, :, :, :), allocatable :: Din_Iluid
<pre>! potential energies of Frenkel-Kontorova springs</pre>	integer, dimension(:, :, :), allocatable :: bin_twall, bin_bwall
real(kind=dp) :: v_wall_harm_fre_kon_linear = 0.0_dp	! skin thickness. skin = 0.4 is pretty good for most situations.
<pre>real(kind=dp) :: v_wall_harm_fre_kon_vector = 0.0_dp</pre>	real(kind=dp) :: skin = 1.0E100_dp
<pre>real(kind=dp) :: v_fluid_wall = 0.0_dp ! potential energy wall-fluid real(kind=dp) :: v_fluid_fluid = 0.0_dp ! potential energy of fluid pairs real(kind=dp) :: t_fluid = 0.0_dp ! kinetic energy of fluid atoms</pre>	variables for neighbor lists
real(kind=dp) :: t_wall = 0.0_dp ! kinetic energy of wall atoms	! maximal number of neighbors in the neighbor lists (due to the counter)
<pre>! sum of the different contributions (wall wall, fluid fluid,) ! here the initialization is merely used to catch bugs. real(kind=dp) :: y tota=1.0F100 dp. t tota=1.0F100 dp.</pre>	<pre>! For special cases, the numbers below can be decreased to save memory. ! integer, parameter :: n_neigh_ff=47, n_neigh_fw=47, n_neigh_ww=31</pre>
! fileunit for logging energies	! this only works for short range interaction and thin films
<pre>integer, parameter :: energy_log_file_unit = 9</pre>	the maximal number of entries in the neighbor lists
! ! observation variables	integer :: n_neigh_ff_max = 0, n_neigh_fw_max = 0, n_neigh_ww_max = 0
! ! variables for summing up the values of each MD step	! neighbor lists
real(kind=dp) :: v_wall_wall_1 = 0.0_dp, v_wall_wall_2 = 0.0_dp	! the max() is there to guarantee allocation if no fluid is present. ! fluid - fluid
real(kind=dp) :: t_wall_1 = 0.0_dp, t_wall_2 = 0.0_dp	<pre>integer, dimension(:, :), allocatable :: ff_list</pre>
real(kind=dp) :: e_wall_wall_1 = 0.0_dp, e_wall_wall_2 = 0.0_dp	! fluid - wall integer, dimension(:, :), allocatable :: fw list
real(kind=dp) :: v_fluid_wall_1 = 0.0_dp, v_fluid_wall_2 = 0.0_dp	! top wall - bottom wall
! variables for summing up the values of each MD step	<pre>integer, dimension(:, :), allocatable :: ww_list</pre>
real(kind=dp) :: v_fluid_fluid_1 = 0.0_dp, v_fluid_fluid_2 = 0.0_dp	! counts how many times the neighbor lists have been updated
real(kind=dp) :: t_fluid_1 = 0.0_ap, t_fluid_2 = 0.0_ap real(kind=dp) :: e fluid fluid 1 = 0.0 dp, e fluid fluid 2 = 0.0 dp	Integer counter_IISt_updates = 0
! sum over all MD steps (resp. the average)	variables for ramping interaction and temperature
real(kind=dp) :: v_total_1 = 0.0_dp, v_total_2 = 0.0_dp	r 2 min is the squared effective minimum distances of two particles
<pre>real(kind=dp) :: t_total_1 = 0.0_dp, t_total_2 = 0.0_dp real(kind=dp) :: a total_1 = 0.0_dp a total_2 = 0.0_dp</pre>	! It is ramped down from r_2_min_init to 0.0 linearly in r_2_min_time
! observation variables, will be low pass filtered with a time constant of	! if a new configuration is generated
! n_time_ave MD steps	real(Kind=ap) :: r_2_min, r_2_min_init, r_2_min_time
integer :: n_time_ave = 0 real(kind=dn) :: r0 twall 1(n dim) = 1 0F100 dn	logical :: 1_r_2_min_finite
real(kind=dp) :: velocity_twall_1(n_dim) = 1.0E100_dp	! temperature variables
<pre>real(kind=dp) :: ext_force_twall_1(n_dim) = 1.0E100_dp</pre>	! not equal to the final temperature temp_final, then the final temperature
real(kind=dp) :: total_force_twall_1(n_dim) = 1.0E100_dp	! is reached in temp_time
<pre>rear(xina=dp) :: simulated_wall_wall_potentiat_1 = 1.05100_dp !</pre>	real(kind=dp) :: temp = 1.0E100_dp, temp_time = 1.0E100_dp, & temp_init = 1.0E100_dp, temp_final = 1.0E100_dp
variables for binning	real(kind=dp) :: temp inv = 1.0E100 dp
! number of fluid bins in x, y, z direction (we always need n_dim_max=3	! random number variables
: values, because the bin arrays have 4 dimensions; integer, dimension(n_dim_max) :: n_bin, n_bin_used	! array for holding random numbers (only 32 bit pseudo random numbers are
! selectors for the numbers of bins to look at (depending on number of bins	! generated)
! in x, y direction)	! CRECK ALSO THE RANDOM NUMBER MODULE LUXURY.190 for more information real(kind=rngRealKind) :: random(n part)
integer, dimension(n_dim_max) :: delta_bin	! seed for the random number generator
: widths of offis in X, Y, Z direction real(kind=dp), dimension(n dim) :: r bin	integer :: iseed = 0
! the maximal number of bin entries of each (x,y,z)-bin	end module globals

## initializationV1.9.f90

<pre>! module for initialization of the simulation: ! reading and writing of parameter files and configurations, ! mitialization of binning ! Martin Aichele, 2001-02-07 ! V1.9 real dimension switch ! Martin Aichele, 2003-02-24 ! last modified 2003-02-28</pre>
! this module only exists to make the chosen KINDs visible in INTERFACE blocks module aykinds use globals, only : dp
end module mykinds
module initialization
! module containing global variables use globals
<pre>! module for the interaction of the particles ! (needed for creating a default configuration if no configuration file ! exists) use interaction</pre>
! module containing the core MD-routines use mdroutines
! module for setting up chains using monte carlo methods use mcfluid
use utilities
implicit none
! 31 characters
logical, parameter :: l.debug_init_parameters = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l.debug_init_binning = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l.debug_conf_default = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l.debug_rvc_3d = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_rvc_2d = .FALSE.
<pre>! the mode parameters read from the parameter file which will be assigned ! to the appropriate variables real(kind=dp), dimension(1:m_dim_max), save :: &amp;</pre>
contains
contains 
I initializing them during compilation is slow and takes a lot of memory. subroutine init_arrays
! periodic boundary condition counters
$pbc_count(:, :) = 0$
pbc_count_old(:, :) = 0
<pre>! the random force has to be initialized, because subroutine predict is ! called before thermostat force_random(:, :) = 0.0_dp</pre>
! arrays here are initialized only for bug catching
<pre>force_determ(:, :) = 1.0E100_dp</pre>
r0(:, :) = 1.0E100_dp
$r_{0}$ unicidea(:, :) = 1.0E100_dp
$r_{0,01a(:, :)} = 1.0E100_{ap}$ r wall equi(:. :) = 1.0E100 dp

dt = 0.005\_dp ! time step increment temp\_time = 50.0\_dp ! time to reach final temperature temp\_init = 0.5\_dp ! initial temperature temp\_final = 0.5\_dp ! final temperature initial inter-wall spacing (= z\_space\_wall). For more fluid layers we ! Initial Inter-wait spacing (= Z\_space\_wait). For more ! have to leave more room Z\_space\_wall = 2.0 dp \* (real(2\*n\_mon\_tot, kind=dp) & / real(n\_wall, kind=dp)) + 1.25\_dp ! Tomlinson spring constant to wall equililibrium sites k\_spring\_wall\_tom = 50\_dp ! Frenkel-Kontorova spring constants k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_linear = 0.0\_dp k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_vector = 58.333333\_dp meas tyme(1) = 1.0\_dh / meases A.spring\_vall\_fre\_kon\_restor = 56.333333.dp mass\_type(1) = 1.0.dp ! masses mass\_type(2) = 1.0.dp epsil(2,2) = 1.0.dp epsil(2,2) = 1.0.dp espil(2,2) = 1.0.dp sigma(1,1) = 1.0.dp ! LJ-Espilons epsil(2,2) = 1.0.dp friction(1) = 0.5.dp ! for production runs use 0.5 -- 0.1 iseed = 1000000 ! seed for random number generator skim=0.5.dp ! skin thickness. For sliding simulations this a ! good value, for equilibrium simulations 0.25. f.uel.fix = 0 ! flag for constraining wall atoms: 0: FALSE, 1: TRUE f.cut.off = 0 ! cut-off flag f.minimize = 0 ! flag to relax to meat minimum ! flag for turning on friction force on top wall in direction of pulling f\_frictiom\_constant for friction on top wall : riag for turning on interior force on top wait in the friction constant for friction on top walt in the friction\_constant\_tual = 0.05\_dp ! flags and variables controlling boundary conditions f\_tual(1) = spring\_mode ! = 3 f\_tual(2) = force\_mode ! = 2 mode\_parameter\_one(2) = 0.0\_dp mode\_parameter\_one(3) = -5.0\_dp mode\_parameter\_tuc(1) = 5.0\_dp mode\_parameter\_tuc(3) = -5.0\_dp mode\_parameter\_tuc(3) = -5.0\_dp mode\_parameter\_tuc(3) = 0.0\_dp mode\_parameter\_tuc(3) = 0.0\_dp end if
if vall\_fix.eq.1) then
n\_moving = n\_mon\_tt
else n\_moving = n\_part end if ! Now we have to initialize the parameters defining the boundary ! conditions. That is, the values read to mode\_parameter\_one(:) and ! mode\_parameter\_two(:) have to be assigned to the approbriate arrays do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim select case(f\_twall(i\_dim)) case(velocity\_mode) v\_spring\_twall(i\_dim) = mode\_parameter\_one(i\_dim) end if ! however, it makes a good test to compare velocity mode and spring ! mode, because spring mode becomes velocity mode for infinite spring ! stiffness. However, putting 10°99 as spring stiffness causes the ! program to crash due to numerical accuracy problems. One has to set ! the spring stiffness to something like 10°9 (depending on the ! supported accuracy), then one sees the system behaves like in ! velocity mode. Hopefully ;) se(force\_mode) stop end select end do ! loop over all directions ! loop over dimensions not simulated do i\_dim = n\_dim=1, n\_dim\_max if(f\_tyuall(i\_dim), ne. 0) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i1, a, i1, a)') & "ERROR (SR init\_parameters): mode flag f\_twall(", i\_dim, ") not 0" ston end if end do ! write out the modes and values in each direction do  $i_dim = 1$ ,  $n_dim$ ) \_\_qim = 1, n\_qim write (unit\*\*, fmt='(a,i1,3a,2e12.4)') & "MESSAGE: In ", i\_dim, "-direction we are in ", & mode\_strings(f\_twall(i\_dim)), "with values", & mode\_parameter\_one(i\_dim), mode\_parameter\_two(i\_dim)) data end do

! write the thermostatting mode to screen write(unit=\*, fmt='(2a)') "MESSAGE: We do ", & thermostat\_mode\_strings(f\_thermostat\_mode) intervention in the intervention of the i ", i\_dim end if
if (k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_linear .ne. 0.0\_dp) &
..., (k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_linear .ne. 0.0\_dp) k
..., (k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_vector .ne. 0.0\_dp)) then
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a, g13.6)') k
"MESSAGE: Approximate equivalent Tomlinson spring stiffness=", k
k\_spring\_wall\_tom + (8.0\_dpt/3.0\_dp)\*k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_linear k
+ 6.0\_dp \* k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_vector ! initialize temperature if(temp\_init.ne.temp\_final) then if(temp\_inte.st.comp\_inter) then
if(temp\_time.gt.n.relax\*dt) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a)') &
"WARNING: Temperature ramp might take too long." and if initialize variables that immediately follow from input variables ! squared timestep dt 2 = dt \* dt ! squared timestep dt\_2 = dt \* dt ! inverse timestep dt\_inv = 1.0.dp / dt ! total mass of the fluid particles ! fluid particle have type 1 mass\_fluid\_part = real(n\_mon\_tot, kind=dp) \* mass\_type(1) ! total mass of the top vall particles. ! Wall particles always have type n\_type mass\_tvall\_part = real(n\_top\_wall, kind=dp) \* mass\_type(n\_type) ! total mass of the bottom wall particles. mass\_tvall\_part = real(n\_top\_wall, kind=dp) \* mass\_type(n\_type) ! mass of the walls thought as rigid lattices. These can be thought of ! particles which are propagated. These masses need not be the same. If ! mass\_tvall is 2\*mass\_tvall\_part then this corresponds to two layers of ! particles, only one of which is treated explicitly. For the propagation ! of the walls mass\_tvall\_part mass\_tvall = mass\_tvall\_part mass\_tvall = mass\_tvall\_part mass\_tvall = mass\_tvall\_part ! energy calculation. # mass\_tvall = mass\_tvall\_part ! apply standard sum rules to Lennard Jones parameters do i tore i \_ n tured. mass\_bvall = mass\_bvall\_part 1 apply standard sum rules to Lennard Jones parameters 10 i,type = i, n\_type-1 do j\_type = i\_type+1, n\_type epsil(i\_type,j\_type) & = sqrt(epsil(i\_type,i\_type)\*epsil(j\_type,j\_type)) epsil(j\_type,j\_type) & = (sigma(i\_type,i\_type)\*sigma(j\_type,j\_type))/2.0\_dp sigma(j\_type,i\_type) = sigma(i\_type,j\_type) end do nd do
initialize sigma\_2
initialize sigma\_2
initialize prefactors for bond-potentials
absorb prefactor 4 in LJ-epsilon
to i\_type = 1,n\_type
do j\_type = 1,n\_type
sigma\_2(i\_type,j\_type) = sigma(i\_type,j\_type)\*\*2
epsil\_k\_chain\_over\_sigma\_2(i\_type, j\_type) = &
 (epsil(i\_type,j\_type) \* k\_chain)/sigma\_2(i\_type,j\_type)
four\_epsil(i\_type,j\_type) = 4.0\_dp \* epsil(i\_type,j\_type)
end do md do define cutoffs and shifts in energy, find maximal interaction range do j\_type = 1, n\_type do j\_type = 1, n\_type select case(f\_cut\_off) case(0) ! purely repulsive potential ! 2\*\*(1/6) = 1.122462 range\_2(j\_type,i\_type) = (2.0\_dp\*\*(1.0\_dp/6.0\_dp) & \* sigma(j\_type,i\_type))\*\*2 case(1) intermed\_interme stop end select r\_dummy = (sigma(j\_type,i\_type)\*\*2/range\_2(j\_type,i\_type))\*\*3
! Epsilon does not need to be attached at this point. Epsilor
! in the interaction routines.
e\_shift(j\_type, i\_type) = r\_dummy\*(r\_dummy-1.0\_dp) Epsilon enters

end do end do
i\_type = 1, n\_type
do i\_type = 1, n\_type
range\_1(i\_type, j\_type) = sqrt(range\_2(j\_type, i\_type))
end do end do i define maximal interaction range. Needed for finding the minimal and ! optimal bin width. max\_interaction\_range = sqrt(maxval(range\_2(:, :))) ! A particle is put in the neighbor list, if ! r\_i]^2 <\* r\_cutoff^2 + 2\*r\_cutoff\*skin + skin^2, ! the right hand side is put in an array. do \_i\_type = 1, n\_type neigh\_list\_rad\_2(j\_type, i\_type) = & range 2(i type, i\_type) & range\_2(j\_type, i\_type) &
+ 2.0\_dp\*sqrt(range\_2(j\_type, i\_type))\*skin + skin\*\*2 end do end do end dow
! find bond-lengths of all pairs
call bisection(bond\_potential\_derivative, 0.75\_dp, 0.75\_dp\*r\_chain, &
 10.0\_dp\*\*(-precision(1.0\_dp)+3), r\_dummy)
do \_type = 1, n\_type
do \_type = 1, n\_type
. ! the result is in units of sigma, so multiply with sigma
 bond\_length(j\_type, i\_type) = sigma(j\_type, i\_type) \* r\_dummy
 write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, 12, a, 12, a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') &
 "MESSAGE: bond\_length(', type, i\_type), i\_type)
 r\_dummy, " sigma =", bond\_length(j\_type, i\_type) end do end do define coefficients for the predictor-corrector algorithm define coefficients for the predictor-corrector algorithm the predictor coefficients are just the Taylor expansion coefficients multiplied by prefactors stemming from the scaling of rx(:, :, :) with (dt\*\*n)/n!: predict\_coeff(i, j) = j! / (i! \* (j-i)!) The corrector coefficients were chosen by Gear to make the local trunction error of O(dt\*(n\_order())) for linear differential equations. We follow J. M. Haile, "Molecular Dynamics Simulation: Elementary methods", WileP Professional Paperback Series, 1997, pp. 160 ! for the coefficients. ! initialize predictor coefficients (write to helpers, as this is easier)
do i\_order = 0,n\_order\_max-1
do j\_order = 0,n\_order\_max
pred\_coef(i\_order,j\_order) = 0.0\_dp
end do pred\_coef(i\_order,j\_order) = 0.0\_dp end do pred\_coef(0, i\_order+1) = 1.0\_dp if(i\_order.ge.1) pred\_coef(1, i\_order+1) = i\_order+1 pred\_coef(i\_order, i\_order) = 1.0\_dp end do end do
pred\_coef(2,3) = 3.0\_dp
pred\_coef(2,4) = 6.0\_dp
pred\_coef(2,5) = 10.0\_dp
pred\_coef(3,4) = 4.0\_dp
pred\_coef(3,5) = 10.0\_dp
pred\_coef(4,5) = 5.0\_dp
! now write to the matrix holding the predictor coefficients for
! computation : now write to the matrix mining the predi-! computation do i\_order = 0, n\_order-1 do j\_order = 0, n\_order predict\_coef(i\_order,j\_order) = 0.0\_dp and definition predict\_coef(i\_order,j\_order) = 0.0\_dp end do do j\_order = i\_order, n\_order predict\_coef(i\_order, j\_order) = pred\_coef(i\_order, j\_order) end do end do ! initialize corrector do i\_order = 1, n\_order corr\_coef(i\_order) = 0.0\_dp end do if(n\_order.eq.2) then ! velocity Verlet algorithm corr\_coef(0) = 0.0\_dp corr\_coef(1) = 1.0\_dp corr\_coef(2) = 1.0\_dp ! this is true in any order else if (n\_order.eq.3) then corr\_coef(1) = 5.0\_dp/6.0\_dp corr\_coef(2) = 1.0\_dp/6.0\_dp corr\_coef(2) = 1.0\_dp/3.0\_dp else if (n\_order.eq.4) then corr\_coef(0) = 19./120.0\_dp ! corr\_coef(0) = 19./120.0\_dp ! corr\_coef(0) = 19./120.0\_dp ! corr\_coef(0) = 19./120.0\_dp ! corr\_coef(1) = 3.0\_dp/4.0\_dp corr\_coef(2) = 1.0\_dp/2.0\_dp corr\_coef(4) = 1.0\_dp/12.0\_dp else if (n\_order.eq.5) then if(n\_order.eq.2) then ! velocity Verlet algorithm
 corr coef(0) = 0.0 dp else -write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, i3, a)') & "ERROR (SR init\_params): Order ", n\_order, & " for predictor corrector unavailable" ---stop end if end do
end do
if (.FALSE.) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a)') &
 "MESSAGE (SR init\_params): Printing predictor-corrector coefficients:"
do i\_order = 0, n\_order-1
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, i1, a)') &
 "MESSAGE (SR init\_params): predict\_coef(", i\_order, ")="
do i\_order = 0. n\_order " ", predict\_coef(i\_order, j\_order) end do do i\_order = 0, n\_order write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i1, a, f10.3)') & "MESSAGE (SR init, params): correct\_coef(", i\_order, ")=", & correct\_coef(i\_order) end do end if ! if we print the predictor-corrector coefficients initialization of the counters for the minimum image convention is done ! dofine y\_space depending on walls ! because y\_space depending on walls ! because y\_space follows from x\_space it is not read from the parameter ! file, but computed here.

! force pressure conversion factor due to the size of the wall ! unit cells is 1/2 \* \sqrt(3) x\_space^2 ! (convert from Load/particle to Load/area, and one particle occupies an ! area of 1/2 \* x\_space \* y\_space) ! define y\_space depending on wall type and dimension if(1 walls,are,commensurate .and. n\_dim .eq. 3 .and. n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 2) then ! undistorted lattice y\_space = x\_space\*sqrt3 else if((.not.1\_valls, are,commensurate) .and. & n\_dim .eq. 3 .and. n\_dim.pbc .eq. 2) then ! one tries to have a wall cell ratio close to sqrt(3) to get nearly ! quadratic walls without distorting the lattice too much. y\_space = & (real(n\_cell\_w\_x, kind=dp)/real(n\_cell\_w\_v. kind=dp))\*x space (real(n\_cell\_w\_x, kind=dp)/real(n\_cell\_w\_y, kind=dp))\*x\_space (real(n\_cell\_w\_x, kina=qp)/real(n\_cell\_w\_y, kina=qp)/\*x\_space else if(n\_dim .eq. 2. and. n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 1) then ! for one-dimensional walls there is no lattice and y\_space is always ! defined like this. y\_space = & stop end if write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, f16.8, a, f16.8)') &
 "MESSAGE (SR init\_parameters): x\_space=",x\_space,", y\_space=",y\_space") if(n\_dim eq. 3 .and n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 2) then
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a, f16.8)\*) &
"MESSAGE (SR init\_parameters): Load--pressure conversion factor=", &
0.5\_dp \* x\_space \* y\_space -! call checking routine call checks if(1\_debug\_init\_parameters) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR init\_parameters"
end if and subroutine init\_parameters initializes the Frenkel-Kontorova wall model ubroutine init\_fk\_model integer :: i\_neigh intoge: .. 1\_uespi if(n\_dim .ne. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR init\_fk\_model): & &n\_dim .ne. n\_dim\_pbc+1" stop end if stop end select stop end select ! allocate allocate(fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(n\_dim, 1:n\_fk\_neighbors)) allocate(fk\_neigh\_quec\_tw(n\_in, 1:n\_fk\_neighbors)) allocate(fk\_neigh\_quedist\_tw(1:n\_fk\_neighbors)) allocate(fk\_neigh\_quedist\_tw(1:n\_fk\_neighbors)) ! neighbor lists of walls for Frenkel-Kontorova model. Each wall particle ! is connected with its six/two neighbors. (2-dim or 1-dim walls) ! These neighbors do not change during the simulation, but we have to take ! care of the periodic boundary conditions and select the right periodic ! image. (A particle is just a representative for an equivalence class of ! particles) ! The n\_fk\_neighbors neighbors are saved as particle indices, whereas the ! second enumeration index uses wall particle indexing. allocate(fk\_neighbors(n\_fk\_neighbors, n\_wall)) fk\_neighbors(:, :) = huge(1) if(n\_dim.eq. 3. and. n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 2) then ! the walls for the Frenkel-Kontorova model ! layout: layout: top wall x-y mirrore 1 2 4 3 6 -center- 3 5 -center- 2 5 4 6 1 x-y mirrored (incommensurate bottom wall) distances should be quite close to x\_space top wall fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(1, 1) = -0.5\_dp \* x\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(2, 1) = 0.5\_dp \* y\_space 
$$\begin{split} fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 1) &= 0.5\_dp * y\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 2) &= 0.5\_dp * x\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 2) &= 0.5\_dp * y\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 3) &= x\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 3) &= 0.0\_dp \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 4) &= 0.5\_dp * x\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(1, 4) &= 0.5\_dp * x\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 4) &= 0.5\_dp * x\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 5) &= -0.5\_dp * x\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 6) &= -x\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 6) &= -x\_space \\ fk\_neigh\_vec\_tr(2, 6) &= 0.0\_dp \\ \end{split}$$
bottom wall ! continue is a set of the s fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(1, 2) = 0.5\_dp \* x\_space fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(2, 2) = 0.5\_dp \* y\_space fk\_meigh\_vec\_bv(2, 2) = 0.5\_dp \* y\_space fk\_meigh\_vec\_bv(1, 3) = x\_space fk\_meigh\_vec\_bv(2, 3) = 0.0\_dp fk\_meigh\_vec\_bv(2, 4) = 0.5\_dp \* x\_space fk\_meigh\_vec\_bv(2, 4) = -0.5\_dp \* x\_space fk\_meigh\_vec\_bv(2, 5) = -0.5\_dp \* y\_space fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(1, 6) = -x\_spac fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(2, 6) = 0.0\_dp

if(log(real(huge(1))) .gt. 27.63) then n\_mds\_steps\_compat\_r\_time\_prec = 10\*\*12-1 else n\_mds\_steps\_compat\_r\_time\_prec = huge(1)

else
fk.neigh\_vec\_bv(1, 1) = 0.5.dp \* y\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(2, 1) = -0.5.dp \* y\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(1, 2) = 0.5.dp \* y\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(1, 2) = 0.5.dp \* y\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(2, 3) = 0.0.dp
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(2, 3) = 0.0.dp
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(2, 4) = 0.5.dp \* y\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(1, 4) = -0.5.dp \* y\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(1, 6) = -0.5.dp \* x\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(1, 6) = -0.5.dp \* x\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_bv(2, 6) = -0.5.dp \* x\_space
end if ix\_heigh\_vec\_bw(x, b) = r\_z\_space end if ! walls are flat, so difference in z-component is always 0 fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(a\_dim, :) = 0.0.dp fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(a\_dim, :) = 0.0.dp ! the vectors above are from the center to the neighbors ! we switch signs for getting the vectors from the neighbors to the ! center, this is just a convention chosen in the interaction routin fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, :) = -1.0.dp \* fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, :) fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, :) = -1.0.dp \* fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, :) ! equilibrium neighbor distances (no distinction between top and ! bottom wall necessary here) do i\_neigh = 1, a\_fk\_neighbors = & agrt(dot\_productfk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, i\_neigh), & fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, i\_neigh))) end do. utines. end do fk\_neigh\_eq\_dist\_bw(:) = fk\_neigh\_eq\_dist\_tw(:) print \*, fk\_neigh\_eq\_dist\_tw
print \*, "x\_space=", x\_space else if(n\_dim .eq. 2 .and. n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 1) then ! one-dimensional walls ONEDIM layout: (commensurate and incommensurate) 1 - center - 2 !
fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(1, 1) = -x\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(2, 1) = 0.0\_dp
fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(1, 2) = x\_space
fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(2, 2) = 0.0\_dp in\_\_usign.vec\_tw(2, 2) = 0.0\_dp ! bottom wall fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(2, 2) = 0.0\_dp ! bottom wall fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(1, 1) = -y\_space fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(2, 2) = y\_space fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(2, 2) = 0.0\_dp ! the vectors above are from the center to the neighbors to the ! ensurt, this is just a convention chosen in the interaction routines. fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, :) = -1.0\_dp \* fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, :) fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, :) = -1.0\_dp \* fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(:, :) ! equilibrium neighbor distances do i\_neigh = 1, n\_fk\_neighbors fk\_neigh\_eq\_dist\_tw(i\_neigh) = x\_space fk\_neigh\_eq\_dist\_bw(i\_neigh) = y\_space end do nd if set up neighbor lists for Frenkel-Kontorova walls if needed set up neighbor lists for Frenkel-Kontorova walls if needed set up heightor fists for select case(n\_dim) case(3) call create\_fk\_neigh\_list cas create\_fk\_neigh\_list\_1d call call treats\_in\_mono\_\_\_\_\_ case default write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i1, a)') "ERROR (SR init\_fk\_model): & &n\_dim=", n\_dim, " for FK-walls not implemented" stop end select end Source ! routine that checks that actual positions and inter-particle-differences ! according to the Frenkel-Kontorova neighbor-lists match call compare\_fR\_positions end subroutine init\_fR\_model !-------I - checks validity and consistency of flags and performs some sanity tests ! Because we have so many choices of what system to simulate and so many ! switches and parameters, this subroutine is quite important. subroutine checks stop end if if(n\_dim .lt. n\_dim\_pbc) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR checks): &
&n\_dim .lt. n\_dim\_pbc" stop end if nted" stop end if check sensibility of time constants stop end if stop end if if(n\_linear\_out .le. 0) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i12, a)') & "ERROR (SR checks): n\_linear\_out=", n\_linear\_out, " .le. 0" stop end if end if
! check if precision for writing r\_time is sufficient
! (Assuming that the timestep dt is of order 0.001)
! note: huge(1)= 2147483647 on 32-bit machines
! ln(10\*+12) = 27.63
if(mod(n\_time\_ave, 1000) .eq. 0) then

n\_mds\_steps\_compat\_r\_time\_prec = nuge(1) end if lse if(mod(n\_time\_ave, 100) .eq. 0) then if(log(real(huge(1))) .gt. 25.328) then n\_mds\_steps\_compat\_r\_time\_prec = 10\*\*11-1 else se n\_mds\_steps\_compat\_r\_time\_prec = huge(1) n\_mus\_sour\_\_ end if else if(mod(n\_time\_ave, 10) .eq. 0) then n\_mds\_steps\_compat\_r\_time\_prec = 10\*\*10 - 1 - - 10\*\*9 - 1 n\_mds\_steps\_compat\_r\_time\_prec = 10\*\*10 else
n\_mds\_steps\_compat\_r\_time\_prec = 10\*\*9 - 1
end if end lr
! check if there's something to simulate
if(n\_moving .le. 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, 112, a)') &
 "ERROR (SR checks): n\_moving=", n\_moving, " .le. 0"
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') " That would be a boring simulation."
stop stop nd if ena if
if((f\_minimize .ne. 1) .and. (f\_thermostat\_mode .eq. 1)) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &
 "ERROR (SR checks): f\_minimize .ne. 1 and f\_thermostat\_mod&
 stop
 stop
end if stop end if stop end if end if
if((n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0) .and. (f\_thermostat\_mode .eq. 2)) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &
 "CAUTION (SR checks): (n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0) .and. (f\_thermost&
 &at\_mode .eq. 2)."
 write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &
 " f\_thermostat\_mode = 3 is clearer." end if check validity of flags stop end if if(.not.((f\_minimize .eq. 0) .or. (f\_minimize .eq. 1))) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i12, a)') &
 "ERROR (SR checks): Case for f\_minimize=", f\_minimize, &
 " not recognized."
ston stop end if if((f\_thermostat\_mode .lt. 0) &
 .or. (f\_thermostat\_mode gt. n\_thermostat\_modes-1)) then
wriet(unitw\*, fmt='(a, i12, a)') &
 "ERROR (SR checks): Case for f\_thermostat\_mode=", &
 f\_thermostat\_mode, " not recognized." stop nd if if(.not.((f\_friction\_on\_twall.eq.0).or.(f\_friction\_on\_twall.eq.1))) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i12, a)') &
 ""EROR (SR checks): Case for f\_friction\_on\_twall=", &
 f\_friction\_on\_twall, " not recognized." stop end if ! sanity and consistency checks stop end if check for the matching of presence of fluid and fluid interaction ! check for the matching of presence of last \_\_\_\_ ! switches if( (n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0) .and. l\_fluid\_fluid\_interaction) then wrie(unit\*, fmt='(a)') & "ERROR (SR checks): (n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0) .and. & &(l\_fluid\_fluid\_interaction .eq. .TRUE.)" COD stop nd if if( (n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0) .and. l\_fluid\_wall\_interaction) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &

130

"ERROR (SR checks): (n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0) .and. & & & (1\_fluid\_wall\_interaction .eq. .TRUE.)" stop end if check for calls to analysis routines if( stop end if if( (m\_mon\_tot .eq. 0) .and. l\_compute\_fluid\_accel\_dist) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt=\*(a)') &
 "ERROR( SR checks): (m\_mon\_tot .eq. 0) .and. &
 &(l\_compute\_fluid\_accel\_dist .eq. .TRUE.)" stop end if end if stop end if end if end do
if(counter.gt. 1) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &
"ERROR (SR checks): There's a force ramp in more than one &
kdirection,"
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &
" The output routines in SR control have to be modified."
ston stop end if ma ir f(\_\_dim\_pbc .ne. 2 .and. l\_compute\_ft\_density) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a)') & "ERROK (SR checks): n\_dim\_pbc .ne. 2 .and. l\_compute\_ft\_density" write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a)') & " Fourier transformation of particle densities does not work & &for 1-d presently" if(n\_dim end ir if((n\_mon .eq. 0) .and. l\_compute\_press\_tens) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR checks): & &(n\_mon .eq. 0) .and. l\_compute\_press\_tens):" write(unit\*, fmt='(a)') & " Can't compute pressure tensor of non-existing fluid" "ton stop end if if(.not. l\_compute\_press\_tens .and. l\_compute\_press\_tens\_wall\_contr) then
write(unit\*\* fmt='(a)') "ERNOR (SR checks): &
 &.not. l\_compute\_press\_tens .and. l\_compute\_press\_tens\_wall\_contr" stop if(l\_compute\_cm\_fluid .and. (n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0)) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR checks): &
 &l\_compute\_cm\_fluid .and. (n\_mon\_tot .eq. )" stop end if stop end if stop end if stop end if stop nd if ! checks for MD simulation without walls
if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc) then 

write(unit=\*, fmt='(2(a,i12))') "
 ", n\_cell\_w\_y=", n\_cell\_w\_y n\_cell\_w\_x=", n\_cell\_w\_x, & stop end if if(f\_wall\_fix .ne. 1) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR checks): & &(a(a(im .eq. a(a(im\_pbc), but f\_wall\_fix.ne.1 (as our convention)" stop nd if stop end if stop nd if stop nd if stop end if ! we don't want the top wall to be expected to be moved if(maxval(abb(mode\_parameter\_one(i:n\_dim))) .gt. 0.0\_dp) then write(unit+, fart='(a)') "ERROR (SR checks): & &(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc) .and. mode\_parameters\_one(:) not 0" stop stop if(maxval(abs(mode\_parameter\_two(1:n\_dim))) .gt. 0.0\_dp) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR checks): &
 &(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc) .and. mode\_parameters\_two(:) not 0" stop end if end if stop end if end subroutine checks \_\_\_\_\_ initializes the binning: checks if skin thickness is compatible with interaction ranges, computes optimal number of bins and allocates memory ubroutine init\_binning(1\_call\_before\_conf) ! if this SR vas called before reading a configuration from disk logical, intent(in) :: 1\_call\_before\_conf integer :: i\_dim
! loops over particle types
integer :: i\_type, j\_type if(l\_debug\_init\_binning) then
print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine init\_binning"
end if print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine init\_binning" end if ! if there are walls if(n\_dim.eq.n\_dim\_pbc+1) then ! obtain film thickness from configuration ! the fluid film might be quite thick, so we try to find the optimal ! number of binning boxes for the fluid in z-direction. ! The valls are "flat" anyays and fit in one layer of binning boxes ! In case there is no fluid at all, we don't allocate fluid bins. ! The valls are "flat" anyays and fit in one layer of binning boxes ! In case there is no fluid at all, we don't allocate fluid bins. ! find the range of z-positions of the fluid particles if(n\_mon\_tot.gt. 0) then highest\_fluid\_z = maxval(r0(1:n\_mon\_tot, n\_dim)) lowest\_fluid\_z = minval(r0(1:n\_mon\_tot, n\_dim)) lowest\_fluid\_z = minval(r0(1:n\_mon\_tot, n\_dim)) ! sanity check ! for one fluid atom the equality is okay. if (highest\_fluid\_z . 1t. lowest\_fluid\_z) then write(mit\*\*, fm\*\*(a)) & " "RRDR(SR init\_binning): highest\_fluid\_z < lowest\_fluid\_z:" " " highest\_fluid\_z =", highest\_fluid\_z, & " lowest\_fluid\_z =", highest\_fluid\_z, & stop end if stop end if ema if
ema if
take initial values from parameter file
highest\_fluid\_z = z\_space\_wall
lowest\_fluid\_z = 0.0\_dp
end if end if ! boundary(n\_dim) is in this case the film thickness boundary(n\_dim) = highest\_fluid\_z - lowest\_fluid\_z end if ! there are walls find number of bins in directions with periodic boundary conditions ! find number of bins in directions with periodic boundary conditions do l\_dim = 1, \_\_dim\_pbc n\_bin(i\_dim) = int(boundary(i\_dim)/(max\_interaction\_range + skin)) if(n\_bin(i\_dim) = 1 r\_bin(i\_dim) = max\_interaction\_range + skin else ! everything is normal ! widths of bin can be computed from number of bins r\_bin(i\_dim) = boundary(i\_dim)/real(n\_bin(i\_dim), kind=dp) end if end if 1d do end do ! volume in periodic boundaries pbc\_volume = 1.0\_dp do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc pbc\_volume = pbc\_volume \* boundary(i\_dim) end do if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then

if(n\_mon\_tot .gt. 0) then
! in "z"-direction there are no periodic boundary conditions so we can
! always use the smallest possible bin width
! (max\_interaction\_range + skin). If we prefer more evenly filled bins
! (see SR binning3d) the extra allocated bin layer in "z" won't hurt.
n\_bin(n\_dim) = int boundary(n\_dim)/(max\_interaction\_range + skin)) + 1
r\_bin(n\_dim) = max\_interaction\_range + skin r\_our\_\_\_\_else n\_bin(n\_dim) = 1 r\_bin(n\_dim) = 0.0\_dp end if end if ! we need at least one bin in each dimension n\_bin(n\_dim+1:n\_dim\_max) = 1 ! half boundaries half\_bound(:) = 0.5\_dp \* boundary(:) half\_bound(:) = 0.5\_dp \* boundary(:)
escleaf lags to decide which binning boxes to look at
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_max
if(n\_bin(.dim),eg.3) then
delta\_bin(i\_dim) = 1
else if (n\_bin(i\_dim),eg.2) then
delta\_bin(i\_dim) = 0
else if (n\_bin(i\_dim),eg.1) then
delta\_bin(i\_dim) = -1
else do stop end if end do end if end do write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, g22.15)') & "MESSAGE (SR init\_binning): max\_interaction\_range + skin=", & max\_interaction\_range + skin write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') & "MESSAGE (SR init\_binning): Number of binning boxes" write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, 315)') & " ", n\_bin(1: n\_dim\_max) " ", n\_bin(1: n\_dim\_max)
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &
 "MESSAGE (SR init\_binning): boundary(:):"
write(unit=\*, advance="no", fmt='(a)') "
do i\_dim=1, n\_dim
write(unit=\*, advance="no", fmt='(g13.6)') boundary(i\_dim)
ord do mn do mrite(unit=\*, fmt=\*) "" ! check if interaction range plus skin are not bigger than width of bin ! if everything works fine this test should never complain do l\_type = l, n\_type do l\_tipe = i\_type, n\_type do l\_dim=1, n\_dim if(unigList\_rad\_2(j\_type, i\_type).gt.r\_bin(i\_dim)\*\*2 & + 10.0\_dp\*\*(-precision(1.0\_dp)+1))then if((l\_dim .le. 2) .or. (n\_uon\_tot.gt. 0)) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i1, a, i1, a)') & "WARHING (SK init\_binning): For particle pairs of type (", & j\_type, ", ", i\_type, ") binning problematic:" write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, 12, a, 12, a, g22.15, a, 12, a, g22.15)')& "neigh\_list\_rad\_2(", j\_type, ", ", i\_type, ")=", & neigh\_list\_rad\_2(", j\_type, ", ", t\_type, ",", i\_type, ",", i\_dim, ")\*\*2=", r\_bin(i\_dim)\*\*2" endif do end if end if end do end do end do ! allocate memory for bins enu lI if(\_\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc +1) then ! only one bin layer in z-direction for wall binning allocate(bin\_twall(0:n\_bin(1)-1, 0:n\_bin(2)-1, 0:n\_bin\_wa)) allocate(bin\_bwall(0:n\_bin(1)-1, 0:n\_bin(2)-1, 0:n\_bin\_wa)) end if ! bins are initialized in subroutine binning or in SR conf\_default ! if(1\_debug\_init\_binning) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine init\_binning"
end if end subroutine init\_binning . frees the memory taken by the bins and the neighbor lists subroutine free\_bin\_memory ubroutine free\_oin\_memory if(allocated(bin\_twall)) deallocate(bin\_twall) if(allocated(bin\_bwall)) deallocate(bin\_bwall) if(allocated(bin\_fluid)) deallocate(bin\_fluid) if(allocated(ff\_list)) deallocate(ff\_list) if(allocated(fw\_list)) deallocate(fw\_list) if(allocated(ww\_list)) deallocate(ww\_list) end subroutine free\_bin\_memory reads a configuration if it exists or calls a subroutine to create a con-! figuration subroutine init\_config(old\_configuration\_file) character(len=\*), intent(in) :: old\_configuration\_file character(lem=\*), intent(in) :: old\_configuration\_fi: integer :: i\_dim integer, parameter :: in\_file = 10 integer :: io\_status ! loops integer :: i\_type, i\_part, i\_order ! try to open file containing configuration data open(unit=in\_file, file=old\_configuration\_file, & iostat=io\_status, action="read", status="old"); ! close file ! close file close(in\_file) initialize ramp for effective minimum distance for creating a new configuration. If we read a configuration we assume that the stored ! configuration doesn't need a  $r_2_{min} > 0$  if ( $r_2_{min}_{time}$  .gt. n\_relax \* dt) then

write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') & "CAUTION (SR init\_config): Ramp for effective minimum distance & &longer than relaxation time:" write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, e13.6, a, e13.6)') & " ".gt. n\_relax \* dt=", n\_relax \* dt dif end if r\_2\_min = r\_2\_min\_init 1\_r\_2\_min\_finite = .TRUE. l\_r\_2\_min\_finite = .TRUE. ! create default configuration write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)) "MESSAGE (SR init\_config): & &&Creating default configuration" ! initialize binning call init\_binning(.TRUE.) ! create new configuration from scratch call conf\_default else ! there's a configuration ise : there's a configuration if(l\_use\_config\_rng) then ! use read\_configuration\_rng\_2d or 3d for compatibility call read\_configuration\_rng(old\_configuration\_file) else call read\_configuration(old\_configuration\_file) end if ! if we start a new simulation with an old configuration, we shift the ! wall atomes back in the simulation box and also the chains centers of ! mass by changing the pbc counters if(s\_time .eq. 0) call shift\_chains\_in\_box ! check if chains were ripped by folding call chain\_sens\_fold call chain\_sens\_fold "Fries, thereas, thereas, ! initialize binning call init\_binning(FALSE.) ! create neighbor lists so that the interaction routines work properly call binning3d ! reset ramp for r\_2\_min, because we just read a configuration r\_2\_min in = 0.0\_dp r\_2\_min = 0.0\_dp l\_r\_2\_min\_finite = .FALSE. L chark for processors of hard crustings in yurnlane end if end if end if ! old configuration not readable ! print we nave a configuration
! print effective potential ramping status (could have been changed by the
! MC fluid setup routines)
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, 12)') &
"MESAGE (SR init\_config): MD-potential ramp switch &
 &&l\_r\_2\_min\_finite
" neintialize derivatives of top wall position if in velocity mode
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim
if(f\_twall(i\_dim).eq.velocity\_mode) then
rx\_twall(i\_dim).evelocity\_mode) then
rx\_twall(i\_dim, i\_order) = 0.0\_dp
end do
end do
! store masses in arror; at this point we have a configuration ! store masses in array
do i\_part = 1, n\_part
mass(i\_part) = mass\_type(type(i\_part)) if(f\_minimize.eq.1) then ! freeze the system write(units, fmter(a)) & "MESSAGE (SR init\_config): Freezing the system, maybe overriding & &parameters." &parameters."
! set all derivatives to 0.0
rx(:, :, :) = 0.0\_dp
rx\_twall(:, :) = 0.0\_dp «PartICleS)"
! switch to thermostat mode for this case
f\_thermostat\_mode = 1
nd if na ao check if top wall is released, if not do so o i\_dim = 1, n\_dim select case (f\_twall(i\_dim)) case(velocity\_mode) end if
! a force in x or y direction would prevent equilibrium
if((i\_dim .ne. n\_dim).and.(ext\_force\_twall(i\_dim) .ne. 0.0\_dp)) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i1, a, el4.6, a)') &
 "CAUTION (SR init.config): ext\_force\_twall(", i\_dim, &
 ") =", ext\_force\_twall(i\_dim), &
 " not 0.0, so set to 0.0 for f\_minimize=1"
ext\_force\_twall(i\_dim) = 0.0\_dp
end if

end if case(spring\_mode)
if(v\_spring\_tvall(i\_dim) .ne. 0.0\_dp) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt\*'(a, i1, a, el4.6, a)') &
 "GAUTION (SR init.config): v\_spring\_tvall(", i\_dim, &
 ") =", v\_spring\_tvall(i\_dim), &
 " not 0.0, so set to 0.0 for f\_minimize=1"
 v\_spring\_tvall(i\_dim) = 0.0\_dp
 def end if '... Case defuit, fmt='(a, i1, a, i2, a)') & write(umit=\*, fmt='(a, i1, a, i2, a)') & "ERROR (SR init\_config): case f\_twall(", i\_dim, ")=", & f\_twall(i\_dim), " not recognized." stop end select end do end if ! (f\_minimize.eq.1) "
rx\_twall(:, :) = 0.0\_dp
end if
end if end subroutine init\_config ! creates default configuration. Calls a lot of other functions... subroutine conf\_default uprotute con\_getault
! loop variables
integer :: i\_chain, i\_cell\_w\_x, i\_cell\_w\_y, i\_wall, i\_part
integer :: i\_dim
! accounting of chains
logical :: l\_new\_chain\_inserted
integer :: call\_chain\_insert\_counter ! maximal number of calls to CBMC insertion of chain before giving up integer, parameter :: n\_max\_insert\_calls = 10000 if(1\_debug\_conf\_default) then
print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine conf\_default" ....., , weave: intering subroutine conf\_defau: end if ! initialize particle and wall positions r0(:, :) = 1.0E100\_dp ! initialize lower left corner of top wall r0\_twall(:) = 0.0\_dp ! initialize position of spring r0\_spring\_twall(:) = 0.0\_dp if(n\_dim = q. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then ! top wall has initial distance of z\_space\_wall r0\_twall(n\_dim) = z\_space\_wall end if prin end if end if
! initialize force ramp gradient
s\_force\_grad(:) = 1
! define which particle belongs to which species
type(1:n\_mon\_tot) = 1 ! fluid is type 1
! define wall atoms always to be of type "n\_type"
type(n\_mon\_tot+in\_part) = n\_type ! set all derivatives to 0.0
rx(:, :, :) = 0.0\_dp
rx\_twall(:, :) = 0.0\_dp define equilibrium and start up sites of wall atoms else if(n\_dim .eq. 2 .and. n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 1) then
 call wall\_setup\_1d call wall\_setup\_id else ! set all wall particles at the origin r0(n\_mon\_tot+1:n\_part, :) = 0.0\_dp r\_wall\_equi(1:n\_wall, :) = 0.0\_dp end if if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then
! bin the wall particles to speed up interaction computations
 call bin\_wall\_particles end if define start up sites of monomers ! maybe we don't have fluid particles (and fluid bins are not allocated) ! so we can't initialize bin\_fluid here if(l\_use\_mc\_fluid\_setup) then
 call mc\_fluid\_setup uncomment for using the V1.7 CBMC fluid setup without MC equilibration call mc\_fluid\_setup\_cbmc ! Call mc\_inur\_secup\_come else !create each chain as a simple random walk do i\_chain = 1, n\_chain select case(n\_dim) case(3) call random\_walk\_chain\_3d(type(1+(i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon:i\_chain\*n\_mon), & r0((1+(i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon:i\_chain\*n\_mon, :)) ('C) case(2)
call random\_walk\_chain\_2d(type(1+(i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon:i\_chain\*n\_mon), &
 r0(1+(i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon:i\_chain\*n\_mon, :))
case default
write(uni\*\*, fmt='(a,i1,a)') "ERROR (SR conf\_default): &
 &&Random walk chain setup for n\_dim=", n\_dim, " not implemented"
 stop stop d select end solect | apply periodic boundary conditions if necessary for the whole chain. ! If we applied the pbc during creation of the chain, and particle i ! gets folded in the simulation box, particle i+1 would not be folded. ! This seems a bit artificial, although the simulation runs identically ! with either method, as we are working with equivalence classes of ! with either method, as we are working with equivalence cla ! particles anyways. do i\_part = 1 + (i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon, i\_chain\*n\_mon do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc if(ro(i\_part, i\_dim) = ro(i\_part, i\_dim) + boundary(i\_dim) pbc\_count(i\_part, i\_dim) = pbc\_count(i\_part, i\_dim) + 1 else if(ro(i\_part, i\_dim) = ro(0.4, part, i\_dim) + 1 else if(ro(i\_part, i\_dim) = ro(0.4, part, i\_dim) + 1 model = ro(i\_part, i\_dim) = ro(i\_part, i\_dim) + 1 else if(ro(i\_part, i\_dim) = ro(i\_part, i\_dim) + 1 model = ro(i\_part, i\_dim) = ro(i\_part, i\_dim) + 1 end do end do end do r0\_unfolded((i\_chain -1) \* n\_mon +1:i\_chain\*n\_mon, n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim) & = r0((i\_chain -1) \* n\_mon +1:i\_chain\*n\_mon, n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim)

stop else write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') & "MESSAGE (SR conf\_default): No xy-plane bond crossings detected." end if end if thermal velocities will not be attributed to monomers here. The thermostat will do that later. initialize algorithm call init\_fk\_model nd if call binning3d call thermostat compute forces ! compute forces ! compute forces if(1,fluid,fluid,interaction) call fluid,vall if(1,fluid,vall.interaction).call fluid,vall if(1,allow,vall.vall.interaction).and.l\_vall\_vall\_interaction) & if(1,intra\_wall\_interaction) call intra\_wall ! convert (deterministic) forces into accelerations do i\_dim = 1, n.dim do i\_part = 1, n\_moving rx(i\_part, i\_dim, 2) = & (force\_determ(i\_part,i\_dim)/mass\_type(type(i\_part))) & \* (dt 2/2.0 dn) \* (dt\_2/2.0\_dp) end do rx\_twall(i\_dim, 2) = & (total\_force\_twall(i\_dim)/mass\_twall) \* (dt\_2/2.0\_dp) and do if(1\_debug\_conf\_default) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine conf\_default" print \*, end if contains subroutine wall\_setup\_2d stop end if ! each cell contains two particles i\_wall = i\_wall + 2 end do end do end do
if().valls\_are\_commensurate) then
i\_uall = n.top\_vall+1
do i\_cell\_w\_x = 0, n\_cell\_w\_x-1
do i\_cell\_w\_y = 0, n\_cell\_w\_y-1
r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall, 1) = real(i\_cell\_w\_x, kind=dp) \* x\_space
r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall+1,1) = r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall,1) + x\_space/2.0\_dp
r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall, 2) = real(i\_cell\_w\_y, kind=dp) \* y\_space
r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall+2) = r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall,2) + y\_space/2.0\_dp
i each\_cell\_contains two netricles r\_wall\_equi(:\_wall+1,2) = r\_wall\_equi(:\_wall,2) + y\_\_space/2.0\_dp ! each cell contains two particles i\_wall = i\_wall + 2 end do end do else ! incommensurate surfaces ! i.e. identical surfaces, but he bottom wall is x-y mirrored. ! This is why we want n\_cell\_w\_x / n\_cell\_w\_y close to sqrt(3) to get ! nearly quadratic surfaces. i\_wall = n\_top\_wall+1 do i\_cell\_w\_x = 0, n\_cell\_w\_x-1 do i\_cell\_w\_x = 0, n\_cell\_w\_y-1 ! mirror x-y for incommensurate bottom wall r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall, 1) = r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall, 1) + y\_space/2.0\_dp r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall+1,2) = r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall, 2) + x\_space/2.0\_dp i\_wall = i\_wall + 2 end do end if ! define z-components ! define z-components
do i\_wall = 1, n\_top\_wall ! top wall
 r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall, n\_dim) = z\_space\_wall md do
write equilibrium positions onto actual positions
to i\_vall = 1, n\_vall
i\_part = i\_vall + n\_mon\_tot
r(0(\_part, :) = r\_vall\_equi(i\_vall, :)
md do
write roll\_actual 04 and subroutine wall\_setup\_2d end do d i\_vall = n\_top\_wall +1, n\_wall ! x coordinates r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall, 1) = real(i\_wall - n\_top\_wall-1, kind=dp) \* y\_space end do ! define "z"-components 

#### 134

### APPENDIX C. SIMULATION CODE

end subroutine conf\_default integer :: i\_dim
! random walk jump steps in each direction
real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: rw\_step
! angles describing direction of random walk step and step length
real(kind=dp) :: rw\_phi, rw\_theta, rw\_step\_length
! monomer position in chain
integer :: i\_mon\_pos integer :: i\_mon\_pos
! unimal absolute distance from the wall atoms we enforce when setting
! up configuration
real(kind=dp) :: min\_initial\_wall\_distance
! measure minimal initial wall distance in units of sigma
min\_initial\_wall\_distance = 0.8\_dp \* minval(sigma(:, :))
if(n\_dim\_eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then
! sanity check
if(0\_0 de sin initial wall distance ne boundary(n\_dim)) then ! sanity check if(2.0\_dp \* min\_initial\_wall\_distance .ge. boundary(n\_dim)) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') & "ERROR (SR random\_walk\_chain): 2\*min\_initial\_wall\_distance=", & 2.0\_dp \* min\_initial\_wall\_distance, & " .le. boundary(n\_dim)=", boundary(n\_dim) stop stop end if if(l\_debug\_rwc\_3d) then ena ii ! specify position of first monomer in chain i\_mon\_pos = 1 call ranlux(random, n\_dim) ! boundary(n\_dim) is initialized with the initial wall separation chain\_positions(i\_mon\_pos, n\_dim) = min\_initial\_wall\_distance &
 + (boundary(n\_dim) - 2.0\_dp \* min\_initial\_wall\_distance) & + (Doundar) \* random(n\_dim) generate random walk for next monomers on chain lo i\_mon\_pos = 2, n\_mon do o i\_mon\_pos = 2, n\_mon if(l\_debug\_rwc\_3d) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(2(a, i5), a, 3g10.3)') & "DEBUG: Starting randow walk step from i\_mon\_pos=", i\_mon\_pos-1, & " to i\_mon\_pos=", i\_mon\_pos, " starting from: ", & chain\_positions(i\_mon\_pos-1, :) do ! try random walk steps unless z-coordinate is in right range o ! try random walk steps unless ! define random walk direction call ranlux(random, n\_dim-1) rw\_phi = twopi \* random(1) rw\_theta = pi \* random(2) ! define the random walk step rw\_step\_length = bond\_length(m monomer\_types(i\_mon\_pos)) mer\_types(i\_mon\_pos-1), & rw\_step(n\_aim) = rw\_step\_length \* cos(rw\_theta) if(l\_debug\_rvc\_3d) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, 3g12.3, a, g10.3, a, g10.3)') & "DEBUG: Trying step with:", rw\_step(:), ", length=", & sqrt(dot\_product(rw\_step(:), rw\_step(:))), ", bod=", & bond\_length(monomer\_types(i\_mon\_pos-1), & monomer\_types(i\_mon\_pos)) end if end if " and 1\_mon\_pos=", 1
end if
end do ! i\_mon\_pos = 2, n\_mon end subroutine random\_walk\_chain\_3d ! subroutine random\_walk\_chain\_2d(monomer\_types, chain\_positions) integer, dimension(n\_mon), intent(in) :: monomer\_types real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_mon, n\_dim), intent(out) :: chain\_positions real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: rw\_step real(kind=dp) :: rw\_phi, rw\_step\_length integer :: i\_mon\_pos 'f(n\_dim = o\_n\_ndim mbc+1) then integer .. 1\_mon\_pos if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim.pbc+1) then ! measure minimal initial wall distace in units of sigma min\_initial\_wall\_distance = 0.8\_dp \* maxval(sigma(:, :)) i = origin these min\_initial\_wall\_distance = 0.8\_dp \* maxval(sigma(:, :))
! sanity check
if(2.0\_dp \* min\_initial\_wall\_distance .ge. boundary(n\_dim)) then
write(unit+\*, fmt=?(2(s, g13.6))') &
 "ERROR (SR random\_walk\_chain\_2d): 2\*min\_initial\_wall\_distance=", &
 2.0\_dp \* min\_initial\_wall\_distance, &
 te .boundary(n\_dim)=", boundary(n\_dim)
 te .boundary(n\_dim)=", boundary(n\_dim)" min\_initial\_wall\_distance
else
min\_initial\_wall\_distance = 0.0\_dp
end if call ranlux(random, n\_dim) ! specify position of first monomer in chain
! x coordinate
i\_mon\_pos = 1
chain\_positions(i\_mon\_pos, 1) = random(1)\*boundary(1) chain\_positions(i\_mon\_pos, 1) = random(1)\*boundary(1) ! boundary(n,dim) is initialized with the initial vall separation chain\_positions(i\_mon\_pos, n\_dim) = min\_initial\_vall\_distance & + (boundary(n\_dim) - 2.0\_dp \* min\_initial\_vall\_distance) & \* random(n\_dim) ! we don't need to check periodic boundary conditions here, as the

end if
 ! define the new position
 chain\_positions(i\_mon\_pos, :) = chain\_positions(i\_mon\_pos-1, :) &
 + rw\_step(:)
 theck whether new z coordinates is alright. If so, exit loop
 if(((chain\_positions(i\_mon\_pos, n\_dim) &
 .et, mi\_\_initial\_vall\_distance)) and. &
 (chain\_positions(i\_mon\_pos, n\_dim) .lt. (boundary(n\_dim) &
 - min\_initial\_vall\_distance))) .or. (n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 2)) exit
 nd do ! end loop over random walk tries
 if() dobus var 2d) them if(l\_debug\_rwc\_2d) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,i5)') &
 "DEBUG: Ended random walk step for i\_mon\_pos=", i\_mon\_pos end if end II end do ! i\_mon = 1, n\_mon-1 end subroutine random\_walk\_chain\_2d test the actual particle positions against the predicted particle positions from the Frenkel-Kontorova neighbor lists ! from the Frenkel-Kontorova neighbor lists subrottime compare.ft.positions ! tolerance when comparing theoretical and actual Frenkel-Kontorova ! neighbor positions. If the program spits out a lot of errors in this ! subroutine although the positions seem right, then there might be a ! precision proble and ft.tolerance should be increased. This can happen ! for large systems because substracting two numbers is numerically ! unfavourable. real(kind=dp), parameter :: fk\_tolerance = 10.0\_dp\*\*(-precision(1.0\_dp)+3) intercore i def integer :: i\_dim
real(kind=dp) :: r\_dummy
real(kind=dp), dimension(3) :: delta\_r
integer :: i\_wall, i\_part, i\_neigh integer :: i\_vall, i\_part, i\_n( ! checking counter integer :: error\_counter ! loop over top vall particles error\_counter = 0 do i\_vall = 1, n\_top\_vall i\_part = i\_vall + n\_mon\_tot ! loop over all neighbors do i\_neigh=1, n\_fk\_neighbors loop over all meighnors call col\_distance(i\_part, fk\_neighbors(i\_neigh, i\_wall), delta\_r, & r\_dummy) do i\_dim=1, n\_dim if(abs(delta\_r(i\_dim) - fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(i\_dim, i\_neigh)).gt. & fk\_tolerance) then error\_counter = error\_counter + 1 write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i6, a, i2, a, i2, a)') & "ERROR (SR conf\_default). Wall particle", i\_wall, & ", problem with fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(", i\_dim, ",", i\_neigh, ")" write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i2, a, 3(g13.6))') & fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, i\_neigh) " = ", & fk\_neigh\_vec\_tv(:, i\_neigh)) & write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i2, a, 3(g13.6))') & "Computed vector to neighbor", i\_neigh, ":", delta\_r(:) erd dif "Computed vector to neighbor", i\_neigh, ":", delta\_r(:) end do end do end do ! end loop over neighbors end do ! end loop over wall particles ! loop over bottom wall particles do i\_wall = n\_top\_wall + 1, n\_wall i\_part = i\_wall + n\_mon\_tot ! loop over all neighbors do i\_neigh=1, n\_fK\_neighbors call calc\_distance(i\_part, fk\_neighbors(i\_neigh, i\_wall), delta\_r, & r dummy) r\_dummy) do i\_dim=1, n\_dim o i\_dim=1, n\_dim if(abs(dalta\_r(i\_dim) - fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(i\_dim, i\_neigh)) .gt. & fk\_tolerance) then error\_counter = error\_counter + 1 write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i6, a, i2, a, i2, a)') & "ERROR (SR conf\_default): Wall particle\*, i\_wall, & ", problem with fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(", i\_dim, ",", i\_neigh, " write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i2, a, 3(g22.15)')) & "fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(:,", i\_neigh, ") = ", & fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(:, i\_neigh) write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i2, a, 3(g22.15)')) & "Computed vector to neighbor", i\_neigh, ":", delta\_r(:) end if i\_neigh, ")" vrite(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a, i2, a, 3(g22.15))') &
 "Computed vector to neighbor", i\_neigh, ":", delta\_r(:)
 end do ! end loop over neighbors
end do ! end loop over vall particles
if(error\_counter .gt. 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a, i6, a)') "ERROR (SR conf\_default): Found", &
 error\_counter, " errors in neighbor positions. Exiting."
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a)') &
 "Vectors to nearest neighbors for Frenkel-Kontorova interaction"
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a)') no vall:"
do i\_neigh=1, n\_fk\_neighbors
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a, i2, a, 3(g22.15))') &
 "fk\_neigh\_vec\_tv(:, ", i\_neigh, ") = ", &
 (fk\_neigh\_vec\_tv(i\_dim, i\_neigh), i\_dim = 1, n\_dim)
! first vall particle sits at (0,0,0)
call calc\_distance(n\_mon\_tot + 1, fk\_neighbors(i\_neigh, 1), &
 dolta\_r, r\_dummy)
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a, i2, a, 3(g22.15))') &
 "(delta\_r(i\_dim), i\_dim = 1, n\_dim)
urite(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a, i2, a, 3(g22.15))') &
 "ite(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a, i2, a, 3(g22.15))') &
 "(delta\_r(i\_dim), i\_dim = 1, n\_dim)
urite(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a, i2, a, 3(g22.15))') &
 "(delta\_r(i\_dim), i\_dim = 1, n\_dim) write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') ""
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "bottom wall:"

do i\_neigh=1, n\_fk\_neighbors
 write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i2, a, 3(g22.15))') &

"fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(:,", i\_neigh, ") = ", &
 (fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(i\_dim, i\_neigh), i\_dim = 1, n\_dim)
! first wall particle sits at (0,0,0)
call calc\_distance(n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall + 1, &
 fk\_neighbors(i\_neigh, n\_top\_wall + 1), delta\_r, &
 r\_dmmwl> ...\_initial initial initi stop end if ! errors encountered end subroutine compare\_fk\_positions reads the parameters of the simulation subroutine read\_parameters(in\_file) integer, intent(in) :: in\_file integer :: io\_status, counter character(len=65) :: string integer :: i dim ! loops over particle types integer :: i\_type ! initialize counter = 0 read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') s\_time, string  $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{counter } + & 1 \\ \mbox{if}(io\_status /= 0) \mbox{ then } \\ \mbox{print } *, \mbox{"ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."} \end{array}$ stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", s\_time, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') n\_relax, string else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_relax, string end read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') n\_obser, string read(in\_life, lostat=lo\_status, iml= (lif, a)') n\_obser, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_obser, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') n\_save, string counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR. Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_time\_ave, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') n\_linear\_out, string counter = counter + 1
if(a\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop top else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_linear\_out, string end if \_\_write\_particle\_positions, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else write(\*, '(a, 114, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", & l\_write\_particle\_velocities, string read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(l14, a)') l\_read\_sample\_list, string counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop scop else write(\*, '(a, 114, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", l\_read\_sample\_list, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') file\_sample\_list counter = counter + 1 if(o\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", trim(file\_sample\_list) end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string reading\_ine, ioster\_\_\_\_\_\_ counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." prin stop else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') dt, string counter = counter = 1-2-cetus; im(- (crt.), a) / ct, sing counter = counter = 1 if(i\_5tatus /= 0) then print +, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." sp write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", dt, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string pr... stop else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if

end i1
read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') temp\_time, string
counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then

print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", temp\_time, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') temp\_init, string counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop
else els else
write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", temp\_init, string
end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') temp\_final, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print +, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." prin. stop stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", temp\_final, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string
counter = counter + 1 counter if(i^ ter = counter + 1  $_{0,\rm status}$  /= 0) then int +, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') r\_2\_min\_time, string counter = cointer + 1
if(a\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", r\_2\_min\_time, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') r\_2\_min\_init, string counter = counter + 1 if (io\_status  $\neq$  0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", r\_2\_min\_init, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string Jeau counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if
do i\_type=1, n\_type
read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)')&
 mass\_type(i\_type), string
counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
print \*\_, UFRROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop
else else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", mass\_type(i\_type), string end if end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)')& epsil(i\_type,i\_type), string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ",& epsil(i\_type,i\_type), string end if end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)')& sigma(i\_type,i\_type), string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ",& sigma(i\_type,i\_type), string end if end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)')& friction(i\_type), string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else els else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ",& friction(i\_type), string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." prim. stop else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') iseed, string counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", iseed, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') skin, string reading\_lies issue-re\_contert = 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
 print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." prin stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", skin, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') x\_space, string counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop

else
write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", x\_space, string else write(\*, '(a, 114, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", & l\_walls\_are\_commensurate, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') z\_space\_wall, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", z\_space\_wall, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') k\_spring\_wall\_tom, string counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", k\_spring\_wall\_tom, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') &
 k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_linear, string
counter = counter + 1 end 1f read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') & k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_vector, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else end if read(in\_file, iostat#io\_status, fmt='(a)') string counter = counter = 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') f\_wall\_fix, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print +, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", f\_wall\_fix, string read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') f\_cut\_off, string reading\_inc, iost \_\_\_\_\_, counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." prin stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", f\_cut\_off, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') f\_thermostat\_mode, string
counter = counter + 1 counter = counter + 1
if(a\_status /= 0) then
print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", f\_thermostat\_mode, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') f\_minimize, string counter = (ounter + 1) if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else ' write(\*, '(a, i14,a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", f\_minimize, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') f\_friction\_on\_twall, string leasting\_is\_\_\_\_\_counter = counter = counter = counter = counter = counter = if(is\_status = 0) if(is\_status = 0) print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop stop else write(\*, '(a, i14,a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", f\_friction\_on\_twall, string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') &
 friction\_constant\_twall, string friction\_constant\_twall, string counter = counter + 1 if(lo\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." prın stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6,a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", friction\_constant\_twall,string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string rount\_\_rount= \* 1
if (int tatus \* 0) then
stop
else
write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string
end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if end if
! read the mode flags in each direction
! depending on the mode flag, the values in mode\_parameter\_one(i\_dim)
! and mode\_parameter\_tvo(i\_dim) are interpreted in subroutine init\_params
read(in\_file, iostatrio\_status, fmt='(Si14)') &
 (f\_twall(i\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim)
 counter + i
 if(io\_status /+ 0) then
 print +, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."

stop stop else write (unit=\*, fmt='(a, 3i12)') "MESSAGE: Read ", f\_twall(1:n\_dim) end if stop else write (unit=\*, fmt='(a, 3e12.4)') & "MESSAGE: Read ", mode\_parameter\_one(1:n\_dim) end 1f read(in\_file, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(3e14.6)') & (mode\_parameter\_two(1\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim) counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop els lse write (unit=\*, fmt='(a, 3e12.4)') & "MESSAGE: Read ", mode\_parameter\_two(1:n\_dim) end if end if
! switches for computation
call read\_computation\_switch(l\_compute\_fluid\_distribution)
call read\_computation\_switch(l\_compute\_fluid\_vel\_dist)
call read\_computation\_switch(l\_compute\_press\_tens) write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') & "MESSAGE: Successfully read parameters." end subroutine read\_parameters writes out the parameters of the current simulation subroutine write\_parameters(param\_out\_file) character(len=\*), intent(in) :: param\_out\_file integer, parameter :: out\_file = 20 integer :: io\_status alrea close(unit=out\_file) end if if(io\_status .ne. 0) then
write (unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') &
 "ERROR: Couldn't open file >>", param\_out\_file, &
 "<< for writing new parameters."
ston</pre> 

end do
write(unit=out\_file, fmt='(a)') ""

! iseed + 1 is here to get new random numbers the next time write(unit=out\_file, fmt='(14, a)') iseed + 1, & "seed for random number generator" write(unit=out\_file, fmt='(a)') " "kin thickness" "skin thickness spring constant between wall particles" "stick(unit=out\_file, fmt='(64.6, a)') & spring\_sking "list(unit=out\_file, fmt='(64.6, a)') & spring\_sking "stick(unit=out\_file, fmt='(64.6, a)') & spring, spring mode !f\_txall: % spring\_tsking kond sking kond ski f\_twall = 1 = velocity\_mode v\_spring\_twall: velocity with which the upper wall is moved k\_spring\_twall has no meaning in this case f\_twall = 2 = force\_mode close(unit=out file) end if end subroutine write\_parameters !-----! initialize observation variables subroutine init\_variables integer :: i\_dim
! initialize low pass filtered top wall observation variables
r0\_twall\_1(:) = r0\_twall(:) ! position of top wall
velocity\_twall\_1(:) = rr\_twall(:, 1) ! velocity of top wall (\*dt)
if(l\_compute\_cm\_fluid) then
! center of mass fluid position (normalize later)
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim
cm\_fluid\_1(i\_dim) = sum(r0\_unfolded(1:n\_mon\_tot, i\_dim))
end do
l moter the action ! note: the center of mass of the fluid will be close to the middle of ! the walls, but r0\_twall is the lower left corner. ! When comparing positions 0.5\*boundary has to be added to the top wall ! position end if end if
! r0\_ave(:, :) = r0(:, :)
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim
select case(f\_twall(i\_dim))
case(velocity\_mode)
ext\_force\_twall\_1(i\_dim) = 0.0\_dp ! positions of particles case(spring\_mode)
ext\_force\_twall\_1(i\_dim) = 0.0\_dp case(force\_wall\_i(\_dim) = oto\_up case(force\_mode) ext\_force\_twall\_1(i\_dim) = ext\_force\_twall(i\_dim) end select nd do end do
! Total force on the top wall.
! Additional forces (from particles) will be added later.
! Additional force\_tvall(:) is the force per particle, but
! total\_force\_tvall is the sum of all forces.
total\_force\_tvall\_1(:) = real(n\_top\_vall, kind=dp) \* ext\_force\_tvall\_1(:)
r0\_last\_pinned(:) = r0\_tvall(:) ! last pinning position
.... r0\_last\_pinned(:) end subroutine init\_variables ! subroutine for setting up the energy log file subroutine init\_energy\_file(energy\_log\_file) character(len=\*), intent(in) :: energy\_log\_file integer :: iostatus open(unit=energy\_log\_file\_unit, file=energy\_log\_file, & status="unknown", action="write", & iostat=iostatus) if(iostatus.ne. 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') &
 "ERROR: Couldn't open file >>", energy\_log\_file, "<< for writing."</pre> stop stop else write(unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') & "MESSAGE: Writing energies to >>", energy\_log\_file, "<<"</pre>

```
Ise
vrite (unit=energy_log_file_unit, fmt='(a)') &
    "# time | sum_all_energies | static_energy_top_wall | &
    &t_cms | t_wall | v_wall_wall | &
    &t_wall_harm_for | v_wall_harm_fre_kon_linear | &
    &t_v_wall_harm_for | v_wall_harm_for | &
    dif
   &v_wall_harm_fre_kon,
end if
close(energy_log_file_unit)
end if
 end subroutine init_energy_file
stop
end if
    if(distance .ge. r_chain) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') &
    "ERROR (function bond_potential_derivative): distance=", distance, &
    " > r_chain=", r_chain
    " > r_chain=", r_chain
    stop
end if
    bond potential derivative = &
 given a function func, find the root of a function known to lie between a
and b using the bisection method.
The root is refined until a certain accuracy has been reached.
The number of function evaluations is recorded on n_func_evals
biroutine bisection(func, start_a, start_b, accuracy, bsroot, n_func_evals)
implicit none
    implicit none
interface
function func(x)
use mykinds
implicit none
real(kind=dp), intent(in) :: x
real(kind=dp) :: func
end function func
end interface
   stop
end if
    ! reset counter
if(present(n_func_evals)) n_func_evals = 0
    ! get first values
a = start_a
b = start_b
    fa = func(a)
fb = func(b)
    if(present(n_func_evals)) n_func_evals = n_func_evals + 2
    if(present(n_tunc_evals) n_tunc_evals = n_runc_evals
! check if a and b are bracketing
if((fa < 0.0_dp .and. fb < 0.0_dp) &
    .or. (fa > 0.0_dp .and. fb > 0.0_dp)) then
    write(unit*, fmt='a() b &
    "ERROR (SR bisection): Root must be bracketed"
    ton
     stop
end if
    ! orient values so that fa < fb
if(fa < 0.0_dp) then</pre>
       bsroot = a
dx = b - a
    dx = b - a
else
bsroot = b
dx = a - b
end if
     md if
bisection loop
to i_loop = 1, n_max_iterations
dx = 0.5_dp * dx
a = b! a is just the last value of b
b = bsroot + dx
fa = fb
fb = func(b)
if(present(n_func_evals)) n_func_evals = n_func_evals + 1
! find out to which half the root belongs
if(fb .le. 0.0_dp) bsroot = b
! test if we are done
if((abs(dx) < accuracy) .or. (fb .eq. 0.0_dp)) return
end do
nd module initialization
```

mdroutinesV1.9.f90

! this module contains the core routines for the simulation: ! subroutine binning3d: binning and neighbor lists ! subroutine thermostat: Langevin thermostat, force initialization ! subroutine predict: the predictor of the predictor-corrector algorithm ! subroutine correct: the corrector of the predictor-corrector algorithm ! In addition there are some helper routines which are not so important. ! Martin Aichele, 2001-02-07 ! last changed 2002-04-19 ! V1.9 with real dimension switch ! Martin Aichele, 2003-02-24 ! last modified 2003-02-25 module mdroutines ! module containing global variables ! module containing global variables use globals ! random number module use luxury use lakury ! interaction module, used for the Frenkel-Kontorova neighbor lists use interaction, only: fk\_neighbors ! miscelaneous helper functions use utilities implicit none |----- 31 characters -----| debug switches 
 ! dobug switches
 ------31 charact

 logical, parameter :: 1\_debug\_binning3d

 logical, parameter :: 1\_debug\_corrector

 logical, parameter :: 1\_debug\_corrector

 logical, parameter :: 1\_debug\_check\_skin

 logical, parameter :: 1\_debug\_check\_skin

 logical, parameter :: 1\_debug\_check\_skin

 logical, parameter :: 1\_debug\_check\_skin

 logical, parameter :: 1\_debug\_check\_skin
 .FALSE. .FALSE .FALSE = .FALSE = .FALSE = .FALSE contains calculate the squared distance of particles j\_part and i\_part. this function is called very often, so speed is important here. this function is identical to calc\_distance concerning the return value. real(kind=dp) function distance\_squared(i\_part, j\_part) integer, intent(in) :: i\_part, j\_part integer :: i\_dim
! test if speed is gained if the local variables have the SAVE attribute. ! test if speed is gained if the local variables have the SAVE attri real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) : delta\_r\_vec delta\_r\_vec(:) = rO(i\_part,:) - rO(j\_part,:) | minium image convention in n\_dim\_pbc dimensions only ! here one can save a lot of cpu time if the right method is chosen if(l\_mic\_ue\_inic\_ast) hen ! folded coordinates. do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc delta\_r\_vec(i\_dim) = delta\_r\_vec(i\_dim) = boundary(i\_dim) & \* aint(delta\_r\_vec(i\_dim)/half\_bound(i\_dim)) end do end de int(delua\_i... end et é faster on Pentiums do i.d.im = 1, n.d.im.pbc if(delta\_r\_vec(i\_dim) > half\_bound(i\_dim)) then delta\_r\_vec(i\_dim) = delta\_r\_vec(i\_dim) - boundary(i\_dim) else if(delta\_r\_vec(i\_dim) < -half\_bound(i\_dim)) then delta\_r\_vec(i\_dim) = delta\_r\_vec(i\_dim) + boundary(i\_dim) ord if distance\_squared = dot\_product(delta\_r\_vec(:), delta\_r\_vec(:)) end function distance\_squared stop end if end do end if end do ! check the z-range of fluid particles only if(a\_dim\_eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then if(\_ipart.le. n\_mon\_tot) then ! z-direction if(ro(i\_part, n\_dim).tt.lowest\_fluid\_z) & ...(ro(i\_part, n\_dim).gt.highest\_fluid\_z)) then write (unit\*\*, fmt+'(a\_i8,a,11,a,e12,5)') & "ERROR (SR binning3d\_check\_range): ro(", i\_part, ",", n\_dim, & ")=", ro(i\_part, n\_dim) write (unit\*\*, fmt+'(a\_ie12,5,a,e12.5,a,112)') & " out of range lowest\_fluid\_z=", lowest\_fluid\_z, & " at MD step ", i\_time stop stop end if end if end if end subroutine binning3d\_check\_range ugucal runction cneck\_skin()
real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r\_vec
integer :: i\_part
if(l\_debug\_check\_skin) then
print \*, "DEBUG: Entering function check\_skin()"
end if end if do i\_part = 1, n\_part ! calculate how far the particle has moved after last binning delta\_r\_vec(1:n\_dim\_pbc) = & r0\_old(i\_part, 1:n\_dim\_pbc) + r0(i\_part, 1:n\_dim\_pbc) & + real(pbc\_count\_old(i\_part, 1:n\_dim\_pbc) & - pbc\_count(i\_part, 1:n\_dim\_pbc),kind=dp) & \* boundary(1:n\_dim\_pbc) \*>to \* wee(n dim pbc+1:n\_dim) & \* boundary(1:n\_dim\_pbc) delta\_r\_vec(n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim) & = r0\_old(1\_part, n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim) - r0(1\_part, n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim) if (dot\_product(delta\_r\_vec, delta\_r\_vec) .ge. 0.25\_dp \* skin\*\*2) then check\_skin = .FALSE. if(1\_debug\_check\_skin) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, g13.6, a)') & "PEBUG: At r\_time=", r\_time, & " leaving function check\_skin() with .FALSE."

--r ! once we have found one particle which has moved this far, we can ! leave the function. return end if end do end function check\_skin bin the particles into boxes and create neighbor lists
bins routime bins in three dimensions
subroutime binning3d ubroutine binningsa integer :: i.dim ! fine grained bin usage integer, dimension(n.dim\_max) :: bin\_lowest\_fl\_tw, bin\_highest\_fl\_bw ! number of fluid bins in z-direction in use (might change when walls are ! moved) integer :: n\_bin\_z\_used ! movea) integer :: n\_bin\_z\_used ! bin looping variables integer, dimension(\_dim\_max) :: i\_bin ! note: do loop variables can't have subscripts integer :: i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_x, j\_bin\_x, j\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, k\_bin\_z ! n(1/2)\_bin\_part: for looping over particles in bin ! n(1/2)\_bin\_part: for looping over particles in bin ! n(1/2)\_bin\_part; for looping over vall particles integer :: i\_vall ! loop over vall particles ! loops over particle types ! loops over particle types ! lowes over particle types ! lowest and highest vall coordinates of top and bottom vall real(kind=dp) :: lowest\_tvall\_z, highest\_bvall\_z ! lowest and highest vall\_cost for and bottom vall z-bin layer interacting ! uit the fluid ! lowest and highest vall\_distance integer :: bin\_z\_lowest\_fl\_tvall, bin\_z\_highest\_fl\_bvall ! minimal distance of vall particles real(kind=dp) ::: minimal\_vall\_distance !(1/2,debug\_bining30] them if(l\_debug\_binning3d) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "DEBUG: Entering subroutine binning3d" writ end if zero all binning entries, at places for particle indices, write  ${\rm huge}\,(1)$  which will lead to segmentation faults if there's a bug ! if no fluid is present, this array is not allocated if(n\_mon\_tot gt. 0) then bin\_fluid(:, :, :, 0) = 0 bin\_fluid(:, :, :, 1:) = huge(1) end if end if if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then bin\_twall(:, :, 0) = 0 bin\_bwall(:, :, 0) = 0 bin\_twall(:, :, 1) = huge(1) bin\_bwall(:, :, 1:) = huge(1) end if ! reset neighbor lists if(\_fluid\_fluid\_interaction) then ff\_list(:, 0) = 0 end if end if end if if(l\_fluid\_wall\_interaction) then
 fw\_list(:, 0) = 0
 fw\_list(:, 1:) = huge(1)
end if end if if(1\_allow\_wall\_wall\_interaction) then ww\_list(:, 0) = 0 ww\_list(:, 1:) = huge(1) end if end if
 !find the range of z-positions of the fluid particles
 !find the range of z-positions of the fluid particles
 if((n\_mon\_tot .gt. 0) .and. (n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1)) then
 highest\_fluid\_z = maxval(r0(1:n\_mon\_tot, n\_dim))
 lowest\_fluid\_z = mixval(r0(1:n\_mon\_tot, n\_dim))
 if(1\_debug\_binning3d) then
 vrie(unit\*\*, fn='(2(a,g13.6))') &
 "MESSAGE (SR binning3d): highest\_fluid\_z=", highest\_fluid\_z, &
 end if" lowest\_fluid\_z=", lowest\_fluid\_z" na 11 if the walls moved farther apart, the width of the bins in z-direction must be increased if (max\_interaction\_range+skin)\*n\_bin(3) & < highest\_fluid\_z-lowest\_fluid\_z < highest\_fluid\_z-lowest\_fluid\_z
if the walls move closer, then the bin width can only be decreased down
! to max\_interaction\_range + skin. The number of bins allocated is
! fixed, but not all of them might be filled.
if(highest\_fluid\_z = lowest\_fluid\_z &
 .ge. (max\_interaction\_range + skin)\*real(n\_bin(n\_dim),kind=dp)) then
n\_bin\_z\_used = n\_bin(n\_dim) ! all bins are used
! this is the optimal bin width
r\_bin(n\_dim) = &
 (highest\_fluid\_z-lowest\_fluid\_z)/real(n\_bin(n\_dim),kind=dp)
if(l\_debug\_binning3d) then
write(unit+\*, fmt='(ag13.6,ag13.6,ag)') &
 "MESSAGE (SR binning3d): r\_bin(n\_dim) \*, r\_bin(n\_dim), &
 """
end if</pre> end if else we try to fill the bins evenly and not to have a only slighly filled one (if we have a fluid film thicker than the minimal allowed bin thickness). Find out how many bins are actually used, we only loop only over ! Find out how many bins are actually used, we only loop only integrated in the set of the set

&n\_bin\_z\_used set to 1 (n\_bin(n\_dim)=",n\_bin(n\_dim),")"
else ise
it his is the thickness bigger than max\_interaction\_range + skin
leading to completely filled bins
r\_bin(n\_dim) = (highest\_fluid\_z - lowest\_fluid\_z) &
 /real(n\_bin\_z\_used, kind=dp) if(l\_debug\_binning3d) then (t\_\_ueoug\_Dimingd) fuan write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a,g13.6,a,i4,a,i4,a)') & "MESSAGE (SR binning3d): r\_bin(n\_dim)=", r\_bin(n\_dim), & ", n\_bin.z\_ueod", n\_bin.z\_ueod, ", n\_bin(n\_dim),")" cif "(n\_bin(n\_dim)=",n\_bin(n\_dim),")"
end if
end if !((n\_bin,z\_used.eq. 0)
end if !(in\_bin,z\_used.eq. 0)
end if !(in\_ax\_interaction\_range + skin) \* real(n\_bin(n\_dim), kind=dp))
end if !((n\_mon\_tot.gt. 0) .and. (n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1))
! get extremal coordinates of walls in z
if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then
lowest\_twall\_z = minval(r0(n\_mon\_tot+1:n\_mon\_tot+n\_top\_wall, n\_dim))
highest\_bwall\_z = maxval(r0(n\_mon\_tot+n\_top\_wall+1:n\_part, n\_dim)) end if if(l\_allow\_wall\_wall\_interaction) then
 ! check if there is possible interaction between the two walls ! this is rather a lower bound, which might be negative minimal\_wall\_distance = lowest\_twall\_z - highest\_bwall\_z if(minimal\_wall\_distance .gt. (max\_interaction\_range + skin)) then l\_wall\_wall\_interaction = .FALSE. l\_wall\_wall\_interaction = .TRUSE
l\_wall\_wall\_interaction = .TRUE.
end if und In if(l\_debug\_binning3d) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') & "MESSAGE (SR binning3d): minimal\_wall\_distance=", & minimal\_wall\_distance, " max\_interaction\_range + skin=", & max\_interaction\_range + skin write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, 12)') & " so l\_wall\_wall\_interaction=", l\_wall\_wall\_interaction end if end if
end if !(l\_allow\_wall\_wall\_interaction) if(l\_debug\_binning3d) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(2(a, id))')&
"#ESSAGE (SR binning3d): bin\_z\_lowest\_fl\_twall=", &
bin\_z\_lowest\_fl\_twall, " bin\_z\_highest\_fl\_bwall=", &
d if in\_z\_highest\_fl\_bwall end if '(n\_mon\_tot .gt. 0) .and. (n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) end if !(n\_mon\_tot .gt. 0) .and. (n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1)
! which bins are actually used
n\_bin\_used(i:n\_dim\_pbc) = n\_bin(i:n\_dim\_pbc)
if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) n\_bin\_used(n\_dim) = n\_bin\_z\_used
n\_bin\_used(n\_dim+1:n\_dim\_mbc) = 0
if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) bin\_lowest\_fl\_tw(n\_dim) = bin\_z\_lowest\_fl\_twall
bin\_lowest\_fl\_tw(i:n\_dim+1:n\_dim\_max) = 0
bin\_highest\_fl\_bw(i:n\_dim+1:n\_dim\_max) = 0
if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) bin\_highest\_fl\_bw(n\_dim)=bin\_z\_highest\_fl\_bwall
bin\_lighest\_fl\_bw(n\_dim+1:n\_dim\_max) = 0
! check bin the particles into the boxes As r\_bin(1) = boundary(1)/n\_bin(1) one could write i\_bin(1) = int(r0(i\_part,1)/r\_bin(1)) if 0 <= position < L and if we had infinite precision. But because of finite precision int(r0(i\_part,1)/r\_bin(1)) could become n\_bin(1) (if r\_bin(1) was rounded towards zero or position == L) outside the valid range. To prevent this we use the if() statements after the int cast. In every MD-step the positions are folded back, so we know 0 <= position <= L, (but not < L) for sure.</pre> ! 0 to posterior
! fluid
do i\_part = 1, n\_mon\_tot
! bins in dimensions which are not in use get i\_bin = 0
i\_bin(\_dim+1:n\_dim\_max) = 0
. thet proce of coordinates ! check range of coordinates
if(l\_binning3d\_check\_range) call binning3d\_check\_range(i\_part) .\_\_\_\_\_unvs\_\_unvs\_\_iauge/ call Dinning3d\_check\_range(i\_part)
! find indices of bins
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc
i\_bin(i\_dim) = int(rO(i\_part, i\_dim)/r\_bin(i\_dim))
if(i\_bin(i\_dim) .eq. n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1
end do sad uco
if(n\_dim eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then
i\_dim = n\_dim
i\_bin(i\_dim) = int((r0(i\_part, i\_dim) - lowest\_fluid\_z) /r\_bin(i\_dim))
if(i\_bin(i\_dim) eq. n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1
end if

! increment counter bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), 0) = & bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), 0) + 1 " > n\_bin\_fl=", n\_bin\_ii stop end if end if !(l\_binning3d\_check\_bin\_overrun) ! put particle into bin bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), & bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), 0)) = i\_part end do ! end loop over fluid particles ind not read room over finit particles if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then ! bins in dimensions which are not in use get i\_bin = 0 i\_bin(n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim\_max) = 0 i\_tourread i top wall do i\_part = 1+n\_mon\_tot,n\_mon\_tot+n\_top\_wall ! check range of coordinates if(l\_binning3d\_check\_range) call binning3d\_check\_range(i\_part) ! find indices of bins do 1\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc i\_bin(i\_dim) = int(ro(i\_part, i\_dim)/r\_bin(i\_dim))) if(i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1 end do. increment counter bin\_twall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max-1), 0) = & bin\_twall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max-1), 0) + 1 " > n\_bin\_wa=", n\_bin\_wa stop end if end if !(1\_binning3d\_check\_bin\_overrun) ! bottom wall do i\_part = 1+n\_mon\_tot+n\_top\_wall, n\_part ! check range of coordinates if(1\_binning3d\_check\_range) call binning3d\_check\_range(i\_part) ! find indices of bins do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc i\_bbin(i\_dim) = int(rO(i\_part, i\_dim)/r\_bin(i\_dim)) if(i\_bin(i\_dim) eq. n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1 end do i\_i\_i\_\_\_\_\_ increment counter bin\_bwall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max-1), 0) = & bin\_bwall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max-1), 0) + 1 ! check overrun if(1\_binning3d\_check\_bin\_overrun) then if(1\_binning3d\_check\_bin\_overrun) then "> "\_bin\_wa=", n\_bin\_wa stop end if end if !(l\_binning3d\_check\_bin\_overrun) ! put particle into bin bin\_bwall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), & bin\_bwall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), 0)) = i\_part end do !loop over bottom wall n\_bin\_wamax = max(maxval(bin\_twall(:, :, 0)), & maxval(bin\_bwall(:, :, 0)), n\_bin\_wa\_max) end if ! (n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) end if ! (n\_dim.eq. n\_dim.pbct1)
! record the maximum number of entries to optimize memory usage
if(n\_mon\_tot .gt. 0) then
n\_bin\_fl\_max = max(maxval(bin\_fluid(:, :, :, 0)), n\_bin\_fl\_max)
end if
! check if we have all particles
if(l\_bining8d\_check, number) then
if(a\_mon\_tot .gt. 0) then
if(a\_mon\_tot .gt. 0) then
if(sum(bin\_fluid(:, :, :, 0)) .ne. n\_mon\_tot) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR binning8d): 7
&lncorret number of particles in bin\_fluid:"
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,')) "ERROR (SR binning8d): 7
\*
", but n\_mon\_tot=", n\_mon\_tot", sum(bin\_fluid(:, :, :, 0)), &
stop stop end if nd if stop end if ema ii if(sum(bin\_bvall(:, :, 0)) .ne. n\_bottom\_vall) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR binning3d): & & The state of particles in bin\_bvall:" write(unit=\*, fmt='(2(a, ii1))') & "fourd", sum(bin\_bvall(:, :, 0)), & "top stop stop." ", but n\_bottom\_wair- , stop end if end if if (1\_binning3d\_check\_number) | create neighbor lists ! rearranging the bin data structure to
! rearranging the bin data structure to
! bin(particles, z-index, y-index, x-index) does not improve performance
! For taking periodic boundary conditions into account we prefer if()
! statements over mod() operations, which are slower.
if(n\_fnuid, fluid, interaction) then
! fluid fluid list
do i\_bin\_x = 0, n\_bin\_used(1)-1 ! loop over all bins in x-direction
do i\_bin\_y = 0, n\_bin\_used(2)-1 ! loop over all bins in y-direction
do i\_bin\_z = 0, n\_bin\_used(2)-1 ! loop over all bins in y-direction
do i\_bin\_z = 0, n\_bin\_used(2)-1 ! loop over all bins in y-direction
do i\_bin\_z = 0, n\_bin\_used(2)-1 ! loop over all bins in y-direction
i ! foof porticles in bin
n1\_bin\_part = bin\_fluid(i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, i\_bin\_z, 0)
do i\_bin\_part = bin\_fluid(i\_bin\_part th particle in the bin

i\_part = bin\_fluid(i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, i\_bin\_z, i1\_bin\_part)
i\_type = type(i\_part) ! get particle type
! loop over approbriate neighbor bins as set by delta\_bin(1)
do j\_bin\_x = i\_bin\_xr1, i\_bin\_x + delta\_bin(1)
if(n\_dim\_pbc .ge. 1) then
! do not forget periodic boundary conditions
if(j\_bin\_x .lt. 0) then
k\_bin\_x = n\_bin(1) - 1
else if(j\_bin\_x. eq. n\_bin(1)) then
k\_bin\_x = 0
else
k\_bin\_x = j\_bin\_x
end if
else if(n\_dim\_ge. 1) then
! no pbc no pbc : no poc if(j\_bin\_x.lt.0 .or. j\_bin\_x.gt.n\_bin\_used(1)-1) cycle k\_bin\_x = j\_bin\_x k\_bin\_x = j\_bin\_x
else
! direction not used
k\_bin\_x = 0
end if end if  $o_1 \downarrow bin, y = i\_bin\_y-1, i\_bin\_y + delta\_bin(2)$ if(n\\_dim\\_pbc .ge. 2) then if(j\\_bin\\_y .lt. 0) then  $k\_bin\_y = n\_bin(2) - 1$ else if(j\\_bin\\_y .eq. n\\_bin(2)) then  $k\_bin\_y = 0$ d٥ else k\_bin\_y = j\_bin\_y k\_bin\_y = j\_uin\_j end if lse if(n\_dim .ge. 2) then if(j\_bin\_y.lt.0 .or. j\_bin\_y.gt.n\_bin\_used(2)-1) cycle k\_bin\_y = j\_bin\_y lse else k\_bin\_y = 0 end if ena ir do j\_bin\_z = i\_bin\_z-1, i\_bin\_z + delta\_bin(n\_dim\_max) if(n\_dim\_pbc.eq. n\_dim\_max) then if(j\_bin\_z.lt.0) then k\_bin\_z = n\_bin(n\_dim\_max) - 1 else if(j\_bin\_z eq. n\_bin(n\_dim\_max)) then k\_bin\_z = 0 else k\_bin\_z = j\_bin\_z end if else k\_bin\_z = 0 else k\_bin\_z = 0 end if !# of particles in bin n2\_bin\_part = bin\_fluid(k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, k\_bin\_z, 0) ! loop over all possible neighbors do i2\_bin\_part = 1, n2\_bin\_part ! got the particle index j\_part = bin\_fluid(k\_bin\_x,k\_bin\_y,k\_bin\_z,i2\_bin\_part) ! look at every pair only once if(i\_part .lt. j\_part) then j\_type = type(j\_part) ! check whether it is worth making list entry if(distance\_squared(i\_part, j\_part) & .lt. neigh\_list.rad\_2(i\_type, j\_type)) then if(f\_list(i\_part,0) = f\_list(j\_part,0) + 1 f\_list(i\_part,f\_list(i\_part,0) + 1 .ff\_list(j\_part,0) = ff\_list(j\_part,0) + 1 ff\_list(i\_part,ff\_list(i\_part,0)) = j\_part
else
ff\_list(j\_part,0) = ff\_list(j\_part,0) + 1
ff\_list(j\_part,0)) = i\_part
end if
end if
end if
end do
end d ema do end if !(l\_fluid\_fluid\_interaction) if(l\_fluid\_vall\_interaction) then ! fluid to p wall list entries j\_type = n\_type ! vall particles are of type n\_type do i\_bin\_x = n\_bin\_used(1)-1, bin\_lowest\_fl\_tw(1), -1 do i\_bin\_x = n\_bin\_used(2)-1, bin\_lowest\_fl\_tw(2), -1 do i\_bin\_x = n\_bin\_used(2)-1, bin\_lowest\_fl\_tw(2, -1 do i\_bin\_x = n\_bin\_used(1)-1, bin\_max)-,1bin\_lowest\_fl\_tw(n\_dim\_max),-1 n1, bin\_part = bin\_fluid(i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, i\_bin\_z, 0) do i1\_bin\_part = 1, n\_bin\_part i\_part = bin\_fluid(i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, i\_bin\_z, i1\_bin\_part) ! loop over approbriate neighbor bins as set by delta\_bin(X) do j\_bin\_x = 1, bin\_x + i\_bin\_x + delta\_bin(1) if(n\_dim\_pbc .ge. 1) then ! do not forget periodic boundary conditions if(j\_bin\_x .it. 0) then k\_bin\_x = n\_bin(1) - 1 else if(j\_bin\_x .eq. n\_bin(1)) then k\_bin\_x = 0 else k\_bin\_x = i\_bin\_x.  $\begin{array}{ll} k\_bin\_x = 0 \\ else \\ k\_bin\_x = j\_bin\_x \\ end if \\ else ! note: the wall has only directions with pbc \\ ! direction not used \\ k\_bin\_x = 0 \\ end if \end{array}$ do j\_bin\_y = i\_bin\_y-1, i\_bin\_y + delta\_bin(2) o ]\_oln\_Y = 1\_oln\_Y-1, 1\_oln\_Y + delta\_ if(n\_dim\_pbc.ge. 2) then if(j\_bin\_y .lt. 0) then k\_bin\_y = n\_bin(2) - 1 else if(j\_bin\_y .eq. n\_bin(2)) then k\_bin\_y = 0 else k\_bin\_y = j\_bin\_y end if -...y = 0 end if n2\_bin\_part = bin\_twall(k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, 0) do i2\_bin\_part = 1, n2\_bin\_part j\_part = bin\_twall(k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, i2\_bin\_part) if(distance\_squared(i\_part, j\_part) & .lt. neigh\_list\_rad\_2(i\_type, j\_type)) then fw\_list(i\_part, 0) = fw\_list(i\_part, 0) + 1 fw\_list(i\_part, 0) = fw\_list(i\_part, 0) + 1 fw\_list(i\_part, fw\_list(i\_part, 0)) = j\_part end do end ao
if l luid - bottom wall list entries
j\_type = n\_type ! wall particles are of type n\_type
do i\_bin\_x = 0, bin\_highest\_fl\_bv(1)
do i\_bin\_y = 0, bin\_highest\_fl\_bv(2)
do i\_bin\_z = 0, bin\_highest\_fl\_bv(2)
ni\_bin\_part = bin\_fluid(i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, i\_bin\_z, 0)

do i1\_bin\_part = 1, n1\_bin\_part i\_part = bin\_fluid(i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, i\_bin\_z, i1\_bin\_part) ! loop over approbriate neighbor bins as set by delta\_bin(X) do j\_bin\_x = i\_bin\_x-1, i\_bin\_x+ delta\_bin(1) if(n\_din\_pbc.go. 1) then ! do not forget periodic boundary conditions if(j\_bin\_x - 1t. 0) then k\_bin\_x = 0 else k\_bin\_x = 0 else if (= inte: the wall has only directions with pbc ! direction not used k\_bin\_x = 0 end if do j\_bin\_y = i\_bin\_y-1, i\_bin\_y + delta\_bin(2) ema ii do j\_bin\_y = i\_bin\_y-1, i\_bin\_y + delta\_bin(2) if(n\_dim\_pbc.ge. 2) then if(j\_biny.lt. 0) then k\_bin\_y = n\_bin(2) - 1 else if(j\_bin\_y.eq. n\_bin(2)) then k\_bin\_y = 0 k\_Din\_y else k\_bin\_y = j\_bin\_y end if else k\_bin\_y = 0 --d if else k bin,y = 0 ed lise lin,part = bin,bwall(k\_bin,x, k\_bin,y, 0) do 2,bin,part = 1, n2,bin,part j\_part = bin\_bwall(k\_bin,x, k\_bin,y, i2\_bin\_part) if(distance\_squared(i,part, j\_part) & .1t. neigh,list\_rad\_2(i\_type, j\_type)) then fw\_list(i,part,0) = fw\_list(i,part,0) + 1 fw\_list(i,part,fw\_list(i,part,0)) = j\_part end if end do end do end do end do end do end if !(1\_fluid\_wall\_interaction) end if !(n\_mo\_tot.gt. 0) ! generate top-wall bottom-wall list only if interaction between the if else k\_bin\_x = j\_bin\_x and if the set of the end if
do j\_bin,y = i\_bin\_y-1, i\_bin\_y + delta\_bin(2)
if(n\_dim\_pbc .ge. 2) then
if(j\_bin\_y .lt. 0) then
k\_bin\_y = n\_bin(2) - 1
else if(j\_bin\_y .eq. n\_bin(2)) then
k\_bin\_y = 0
else end if end do ! loop over fluid 

```
end do
    unu uv
if(lallow_vall_vall_interaction .and. l_vall_vall_interaction) then
do i_vall = 1, n_top_vall
    n_neigh_vw_max = max(n_neigh_vw_max, ww_list(i_vall, 0))
end do
end if
    if(l_debug_binning3d) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(a)') "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine binning3d"
end if
 end subroutine binning3d
! signal error and exit flag
logical :: l_exit
    logical :: __exit
    ! highest z-coordinate of top and lowest z-coordinate of bottom wall
    real(kind=dp) :: highest_twall_z, lowest_twall_z, &
        highest_bwall_z, lowest_bwall_z
   l_exit = .FALSE.
    lett = reads.
highest_twall_z = maxval(r0(n_mon_tot+1:n_mon_tot+n_top_wall, n_dim))
lowest_twall_z = minval(r0(n_mon_tot+1:n_mon_tot+n_top_wall, n_dim))
highest_bwall_z = marval(r0(n_mon_tot+n_top_wall+1:n_part, n_dim))
  highest_bwall_z = maxwal(r0(n_mon_tot+n_top_wall+1:n_part, n_dim))
lowest_bwall_z = maxwal(r0(n_mon_tot+n_top_wall+1:n_part, n_dim))
if(n_mon_tot .gt. 0) then
if(highest_fluid_z, gt. highest_twall_z) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') &
    "ERROR (SR wall_penetration): highest_fluid_z= ", &
    highest_fluid_z, " > highest_twall_z) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') &
    "ERROR (SR wall_penetration): lowest_fluid_z= ", &
    lowest_fluid_z, " < lowest_bwall_z) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') &
    "ERROR (SR wall_penetration): lowest_fluid_z= ", &
    lowest_fluid_z, " < lowest_bwall_z = ", lowest_bwall_z
else 'f nfluid
if(highest_bwall_z, gt. highest_twall_z) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') &
    "ERROR (SR wall_penetration): highest_bwall_z= ", &
    highest_bwall_z, " > highest_twall_z = ", highest_twall_z
l_exit = .TRUE.
else if lowest_twall_z, " > lowest_bwall_z] then
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') &
    "ERROR (SR wall_penetration): lowest_twall_z= ", &
    lowest_twall_z, " > lowest_bwall_z] then
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') &
    "ERROR (SR wall_penetration): lowest_twall_z= ", &
    lowest_twall_z, " > lowest_bwall_z] then
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') &
    "ERROR (SR wall_penetration): lowest_twall_z= ", &
    lowest_twall_z, " > lowest_bwall_z = ", lowest_bwall_z
end if (n_mon_tot.gt. 0)
   l_crit = .TRUE.
end if
end if !(n_mon_tot .gt. 0)
! print some hopefully useful information on exit
if(l_crit) then
write(unit**, fmt='(a)') &
    " Penetration of walls is likely."
write(unit**, fmt='(a)') &
    "MSSAGE: In .qim-direction we are in ", &
    mode_strings(f_tvall(n_dim)), "with values"
select case(f_tvall(n_dim)), "with values"
select case(f_tvall(n_dim)), "with values"
select case(f_tvall(n_dim)), "with values"
select case(f_tvall(n_dim)), "with values"
case(valocity_mode)
write(unit**, fmt='(a, g13.6)') &
    "    v_spring_tvall(n_dim)=", v_spring_tvall(n_dim)
case(force_mode)
     end
end if
          ! dump configuration
call particle_positions_out("wall_penetration_", i_time)
    stop
end if ! penetration
end subroutine wall_penetration
   the predictor routine of the predictor corrector algorithm

ubroutine predict

integer :: i.vall ! loop over wall particles

integer :: i.part ! loop over particles

integer :: i.part ! loop over particles
    ! loops over predictor-corrector coefficients
integer :: i_order, j_order
     ! helper vector
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim) :: delta_r
    if(l_debug_predict) then
    print *, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine predict"
    print
end if
   end if
! calculate the spring position if in spring mode
do i_dim = 1, n_dim
if(f_twall(i_dim).eq.spring_mode) then
r0_spring_twall(i_dim) = r0_spring_twall(i_dim) & k
+ v_spring_twall(i_dim) * dt
end if
     end if
end do
   end do
! predict coordinates
do j_order = 1, n_order
do i_part = 1, n_moving
r0(i_part, :) = r0(i_part, :) &
        + predict_coef(0, j_order) * rx(i_part, :, j_order)
end do
    end do
end do
```

```
predict derivatives
 ! predict derivatives
do i_order = 1, n_order-1
do j_order = 1, n_order + 1, n_order
do i_parte = 1, n_moving
rx(i_part, :, i_order) = rx(i_part, :, i_order) &
+ predict_coef(i_order, j_order) * rx(i_part, :, j_order)
end do
         end do
d do
end do
! predict equilibrium position of top wall
To_twall(:) = r0_twall(:) + delta_r(:)
! predict equilibrium particle positions of top wall
do i_wall = 1, n_top_wall
i_part = n_mon_tot + i_wall
r_wall_equi(i_wall, :) = r_wall_equi(i_wall, :) + delta_r(:)
end do
      ena do
! predict derivatives
do i_order = 1, n_order-1
    do j_order = i_order + 1, n_order
            end do
end do
         if the wall particles are fixed, then the actual positions are the
      if (f_wall_fix.eq.1) then
r0(n_mon_tot+1:n_mon_tot+n_top_wall, :) = r_wall_equi(1:n_top_wall, :)
end if
        add contribution from random forces from thermostat
 ! convert random force into acceleration times (dt_2/2.0_dp)
          force_random(i_part, :) = &
                    (force_random(i_part, :) / mass(i_part)) * (dt_2/2.0_dp)
    Note that after applying the periodic boundary conditions the coordinates
lie in the closed interval [0, L], L being the box-length in this
 lie in the closed incoset (r, _, ,
Suppose there is a coordinate r = -10^-30 and we wanted to enforce
! r\in [0, L[ (halfopen):
! Clearly, r. lt. 0.0.dp is.TRUE., so L gets added to r, but the result is
! seen as L (if L is finite), so r.eq. L is true afterwards, if we only
! have 15 digits precision.
! We can distuinguish tny() = 10^-308 from 0.0, but only epsilon() from L.
! The problem would disappear if r \in [a, b[ and epsilon(a)==epsilon(b).
! If one tested r \in [0, L[ (halfopen) one would get an error message.
! That's why we allow the closed interval. Both borders of the interval
! are thus equivalent.
do i_dim = 1, n_dim_pbc
do i_part = 1, n_mon_tot
if(ro(i_part, i_dim) = ro(i_part, i_dim) - boundary(i_dim)
pbc_count(i_part, i_dim) = ro(i_part, i_dim) + 1
else if(ro(i_part, i_dim)) = ro(i_part, i_dim) + boundary(i_dim)
pbc_count(i_part, i_dim) = pbc_count(i_part, i_dim) - 1
end d
end do
end do
! impose periodic boundary conditions for wall particles in x, y
do i dim = 1, n_dim_pbc
     direction. Suppose there is a coordinate r = -10^-30 and we wanted to enforce
    impose periodic boundary conditions for wall particles in x, y
o i_dim = 1, n_dim_pbc
do i_wall = 1, n_wall
i_part = i_wall + n_mon_tot
 i_part = i_vall + n_mon_tot
if(ro(i_part,i_dim) =gt.boundary(i_dim)) then
r0(i_part,i_dim) = r0(i_part,i_dim) - boundary(i_dim)
r_vall_equi(i_vall,i_dim) - boundary(i_dim)
pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) = pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) + 1
else if(r0(i_part,i_dim).to.dp) then
r0(i_part,i_dim) = r0(i_part,i_dim) + boundary(i_dim)
r_vall_equi(i_vall,i_dim) = &
r_vall_equi(i_vall,i_dim) = boundary(i_dim)
pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) = pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) - 1
end if
end do
end do
 end ao
! check if the coordinates really are in the proper range. If that's not
! true, then a particle has moved farther than one boundary, which is bad.
if(l_predict_check_pbc) then
   Doundary.g_unc.,
stop
else if(r0(i_part,i_dim).lt.0.0_dp) then
write(unit**, fmt='(a, i5, a, i2, a, g14.6, a, a, i11)') &
    "ERROR (SR predict): Fluid position r0(", i_part, ",", &
    i_dim, ")=", r0(i_part,i_dim), " < 0.0", &
    " at MD step ", i_time
    top
    stop
end if
end do
end do
'wa''
```

boundary(i\_dim), " at MD step ", i\_time boundary(i\_u.m., \_ \_ stop else if(rO(i\_part,i\_dim).lt.0.0\_dp) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i5, a, i2, a, g14.6, a, a, i11)') & "ERROR (SR predict): Wall position rO(", i\_part, ",", & i\_dim, ")=", rO(i\_part,i\_dim), " < 0.0", & " at MD step ", i\_time top stop end if end do end do d if end if !(1\_predict\_check\_pbc) if(1\_debug\_predict) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine predict"
end subroutine predict thermostatting subroutine. Sets the current temperature and effective minimum distance. Uses the Langevin thermostat for thermostatting. ubroutine thermostat integer :: i.part, i.vall ! loops over particles integer :: i.neigh ! loop over nearest neighbors integer :: i.type ! loop over particle types integer :: i.dim : center of mass velocities
real(kind=dp), dimension(i:n\_dim) :: velocity\_cm\_tvall, velocity\_cm\_bvall,&
velocity\_cm\_fluid, vel\_cm\_t\_b\_vall ! average velocity of nearest neighbors
real(kind=dp) :: velocity\_local\_neighbors if(l\_debug\_thermostat) then
print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine thermostat"
end if ! initialize for safety velocity\_cm\_twall(:) velocity\_cm\_bwall(:) velocity\_cm\_fluid(:) ! initialize for safety velocity\_cm\_twall(:) = 1.0E100\_dp velocity\_cm\_bwall(:) = 1.0E100\_dp velocity\_ccm\_fluid(:) = 1.0E100\_dp velocity\_lccal\_neighbors(:) = 1.0E100\_dp vel\_cm\_t\_b\_vall(:) = 1.0E100\_dp vel\_um\_t\_um\_try = 1.00.00\_up ! define temperature if((r\_time.lt.temp\_time).and.(temp\_init.ne.temp\_final)) then temp = temp\_init + r\_time\*(temp\_final-temp\_init)/temp\_time else else temp = temp\_final end if define effective minimium distance (to be used in the interaction subrouif(1\_r\_2\_min\_finite) then if(1,r,2,min\_finite) then if(r,time.lt.r,2\_min\_time) then r\_2\_min = (1.0\_dp-r\_time/r\_2\_min\_time)\*r\_2\_min\_init else l\_r\_2\_min\_finite = .FALSE. r\_2\_min = 0.0\_dp end if end if ! get distribution width of random force (not random acceleration) ! as temp is constant most of the time, it would be nicer not to compute ! the width every step do i\_type = 1, n\_type ! Gaussian distribution of random variable rf\_width(i\_type) = gar(2.0\_dp \* temp \* mass\_type(i\_type) & \* friction(i\_type)/dt) initial(i) = is its initial initiani initial initial initial initial initial init end do end if ! we have different thermostatting modes select case (f\_thermostat\_mode) case(0) ! no thermostatting at all case(2)end if else \_\_\_\_\_\_velocity\_cm\_twall(i\_dim) = rx\_twall(i\_dim, 1) ! bottom wall doesn't move anyways velocity\_cm\_bwall(i\_dim) = 0.0\_dp end if ! fluid do i\_part = 1, n\_mon\_tot end do ena ao
! if the wall particles are not fixed, thermostat them
if(f\_wall\_fix .ne. 1) then
! top wall

end do end if !(f\_wall\_fix .ne. 1) end do ! loop over spacial dimensions (makes sense only if wall atoms are not filed) o i.dim = 1, n\_dim ! draw random numbers for this direction call ranku(random, n\_wall) ! find out averaged velocity of the top and bottom wall particles, ! that is the velocity of the centers of mass velocity.cm\_twall(i\_dim) = sum(rx(n\_mon\_tot+1:n\_mon\_tot+n\_top\_wall, & i\_dim, i)) / (dt \* real(n\_top\_wall, kind=dp)) velocity.cm\_bwall(i\_dim) = & sum(rx(n\_mon\_tot+n\_top\_wall+in\_mon\_tot+n\_wall, & i\_dim, i)) / (dt \* real(n\_top\_wall, kind=dp)) ! top wall do i\_wall = 1, n\_top\_wall ! particle index i\_part = i\_wall + n\_mon\_tot ! random force force\_random(i\_part, i\_dim) = & (2.0.dp+random(i\_wall)-1.0.dp) \* rf\_width(type(i\_part))) ! friction force (as one part of the deterministic force acting (2.0\_dp+random(1\_wall)-1.0\_dp) \* rf\_uidth(type(1\_part)) friction force (as one part of the deterministic force acting upon a particle) force\_determ(i\_part, i\_dim) = & -mass(i\_part) \* friction(type(i\_part)) & \* (rx(i\_part,i\_dim ,1)/dt - velocity\_cm\_twall(i\_dim)) do end do end do end do ! loop over spacial directions end do end do ! loop over spacial directions case(4) ! thermostat in directions with no strain in the laboratory system. ! The problem with this method is that the thermostat is not effective ! enough at "high" shear rates. do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim if(& ! velocity\_mode and velocity is zero ((f\_tuall(i\_dim).eq.velocity\_mode) & .and.(v.spring\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp))& .or. & ! just a force, no force ramp ! or negative force\_mode) & .and.(ramp\_force\_twall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp) .or. & ((ramp\_force\_twall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp & .or. ((ramp\_force\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp & .or. ((ramp\_force\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp & .or. ((ramp\_force\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp & .or. & ! spring mode when spring is not pulled ((f\_turall(i\_dim).eq.spring\_mode) & .and.(v.spring\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp)) & .and.( \_spring\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp)) & .and.( \_spring\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp)) & .and (v\_spring\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp)) & .and (v\_spring\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp)) & .and(v\_spring\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.0.dp)) & .and(v\_spring\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.dp) & .and(v\_spring\_turall(i\_dim).eq.0.dp) & .and(v\_spring\_turall( call ranlux(random, n\_moving) call ranlux(random, m\_moving)
do i\_part = 1, n\_moving)
i random force
force\_random(i\_part, i\_dim) = &
 (2.0.d\*random(i\_part)-1.0.dp) \* rf\_width(type(i\_part))
! friction force (as one part of the deterministic force
! acting upon a particle)
force\_determ(i\_part, i\_dim) = - mass(i\_part) &
 \* friction(type(i\_part)) \* (rx(i\_part,i\_dim,1)/dt)
end do \* friction(type(i\_part)) \* (rx(i\_part,i\_dim,1)/dt) end do end di f !(thermostat in this direction) end do ! loop over spacial directions ase(5) ! thermostat wall particles in inertial system, as defined by the ! motion of the lattice equilibrium positions, in all directions. ! (makes sense only if wall atoms are not fixed) do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim ! draw random numbers for this direction call ranlux(random, n\_wall) ! ton wall 

end do end do ! end loop over spacial dimensions ! draw random numbers for this direction call ranlux(random, n\_wall) ! top wall do i\_wall = 1, n\_wall ! particle index i\_part = i\_wall + n\_mon\_tot 'find out averaged velocity of the six nearest neighbors velocity\_local\_neighbors = 0.0\_dp velocity\_local\_meightors = 0.0\_up do i\_neigh = 1, 6 velocity\_local\_neighbors = velocity\_local\_neighbors & + rx(fk\_neighbors(i\_neigh, i\_wall), i\_dim, 1) end do velocity\_local\_neighbors = velocity\_local\_neighbors / (6.0\_dp \* dt) velocity\_local\_mergnors - velocity\_local\_mergnoors / (6.0\_mi ! random force force\_random(1\_part, i\_dim) = & (2.0\_dp\*random(1\_wall)-1.0\_dp) \* rf\_width(type(i\_part)) force\_determ(1\_part, i\_dim) = & -mass(1\_part) \* friction(type(i\_part)) & \* (rx(1\_part, i\_dim, 1)/dt - velocity\_local\_merghors) end do ! loop over all wall particles if() emu do : case default\*, fmt='(a,i2,a)') &
 write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a,i2,a)') &
 "ERROR (SR thermostat): Mode f\_thermostat\_mode=", &
 f\_thermostat\_mode, "not recognized" stop nd select i\_dim, 1)) / (dt \* real(n\_top\_wall, kind=dp))
end do
write(69, '(7e14.6)') r\_time, (velocity\_cm\_twall(i\_dim),i\_dim=1,n\_dim), &
 (rx\_twall(i\_dim, 1)/dt, i\_dim=1, n\_dim) if(l\_debug\_thermostat) then
print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine thermostat"
end if end subroutine thermostat the corrector routine of the predictor corrector algorithm ubroutine corrector integer :: i\_vall ! loop over wall particles integer :: i\_part ! loop over particles integer :: i\_dim ! loop over predictor-corrector coefficients
integer :: i\_order integer :: 1\_order
! helper vector
real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r
! helper variable holding the difference of the predicted and real
! acceleration of the top wall. This is done to preserve total\_force\_twall
' = force. ! as force.
real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: diff\_pred\_real\_acc\_tw real(kind=ap), dimension(n\_dim) :: dif\_pred\_real\_acc\_tw
! helper variable holding the difference of the predicted and real
! acceleration of the particles.
! This is done to preserve force\_determ(:, :) as force.
real(kind=dp), dimension(1:n\_moving, 1:n\_dim) :: diff\_pred\_real\_acc
real(kind=dp) :: max\_correction
if(l\_debug\_corrector) then
print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine corrector"
end if print end if end if
 ! field diff\_pred\_real\_acc(:, :) gets meaning of
 ! predicted acceleration - real acceleration (times dt\_2 / 2.0\_dp)
 do i\_part = 1, n\_moving
 diff\_pred\_real\_acc(i\_part, :) = - rx(i\_part, :, 2) &
 + (force\_determ(i\_part, :) / mass(i\_part)) \* (dt\_2 / 2.0\_dp)
 end do end do
! get maximal value of the particle position corrections
if(i\_time\_gt.n\_relax) then
max\_correction = &
maxval(abs(diff\_pred\_real\_acc(1:n\_moving, :))) \* correct\_coef(0)
max\_encountered\_correction = max(max\_encountered\_correction, &
if(max\_correction.gt.max\_allowed\_correction) then
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a)\*) &
 "wANNING (SR corrector): Maximal correction of particle position"
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(2(a, gl3.6, a, il2))\*) &
 " max\_allowed\_correction, " > max\_allowed\_correction=", &
 max\_allowed\_correction, " at MDS", i\_time
end if
end if
end if end do id a final fi end do end do end do if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then
 ! do the same for the top wall

diff\_pred\_real\_acc\_tw(:) = - rx\_tvall(:, 2) &
 + (total\_force\_tvall(:) / mass\_tvall) \* (dt\_2 / 2.0\_dp)
do i\_order = 1, n\_order
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim rx\_twall(i\_dim, i\_order) = rx\_twall(i\_dim, i\_order) &
+ correct\_coef(i\_order) \* diff\_pred\_real\_acc\_tw(i\_dim) end if end do and do nd do
delta\_r is the correction of the (differential) displacement of the
top wall.
If we are in velocity mode, the top wall is pulled with a constant
velocity anyways, and derivatives are not changed.
to i\_dim = 1, n\_dim
if(f\_twall(i\_dim) .ne. velocity\_mode) then
delta\_r(i\_dim) = correct\_coef(0) \* diff\_pred\_real\_acc\_tw(i\_dim)
else delta\_r(i\_dim) = 0.0\_dp
end if
end do end do
! correct equilibrium positions of top wall
r0\_twall(:) = r0\_twall(:) + delta\_r(:)
do i\_wall = 1, n\_top\_wall
r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall, :) = r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall, :) + delta\_r(:)
end do end do
! if the wall particles are fixed, then the actual positions are the
! equilibrium positions. The "else" part is treated implicitely in
! the correction of the n\_moving particles. If the wall atoms are
! fixed, we have to correct the equilibrium positions of the top wall
! particles here.
if(f\_wall\_fix.eq.1) then
r0(n\_mon\_tot+1:n\_mon\_tot+n\_top\_wall, :) = r\_wall\_equi(1:n\_top\_wall, :)
end if
nd if ! walls
define are indefined. idefine unfolded coordinates
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc
r0\_unfolded(:, i\_dim) = r0(:, i\_dim) &
+ real(pbc\_count(:, i\_dim), kind=dp) \* boundary(i\_dim) end do r0\_unfolded(:, n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim) = r0(:, n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim) if(1\_debug\_corrector) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine corrector"
end if end subroutine corrector ! computes the minimal distance a particle has from any neighbor, writes ! out the distance, the particle index, and the kind of particle subroutine min\_distance bbroutine min\_distance real(kind=dp):: minium, average, r\_dummy integer :: i\_part, j\_part, min\_index\_i, min\_index\_j, counter character(len=16) :: string ! switch to do the same calculation without the neighbor lists. ! can be used as a test for the neighbor lists (but takes a lot of time) logical, parameter :: 1\_no\_lists = .FALSE. if(l\_debug\_min\_distance) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "DEBUG: Entering subroutine min\_distance" end if return end if ! initialize minimum = 1.0E100\_dp minimum = 1.0E10
average = 0.0\_dp
counter = 0
min\_index\_i = -1
min\_index\_j = -1 ! loop over fluid particles
do i\_part = 1, n\_mon\_tot lo i\_part = 1, n\_mon\_tot if(1\_fluid\_fluid\_interaction) then ! neighbors in the fluid counter = counter + ff\_list(i\_part, 0) do j\_part = 1, ff\_list(i\_part, 0) r\_dummy = sqrt(distance\_squared(i\_part, ff\_list(i\_part, j\_part))) average = average + r\_dummy if(r\_dummy.lt\_minimum) then minimum = r\_dummy min\_index\_i = 1\_part min\_index\_i = ff\_list(i\_part, j\_part) end if end do end if if(1\_fluid\_wall\_interaction) then if(counter .gt. 0) then
 average = average / real(counter, kind=dp) string = "fluid" else if((n\_mon\_tot .lt. min\_index\_i) & .and. (min\_index\_i .le. n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall)) then string = 'top wall" else if((n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall .lt. min\_index\_i) & .and. (min\_index\_i .le. n\_part)) then string = 'bottom wall" else else string = "forbidden range" end if .and. (min\_index\_j .le. n\_mon\_tot) ) then string = "fluid" else if((n\_mon\_tot .lt. min\_index\_j) & .and. (min\_index\_j .le. n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall)) then string = "top wall" else if((n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall .lt. min\_index\_j) &

.and. (min\_index\_j .le. n\_part)) then string = "bottom wall" else string = "forbidden range" end if iii\_no\_lists) then
! do a check without using neighbor lists
! initialize.
minimum = 1.0E100\_dp
min\_index\_i = -1
min\_index\_j = -1
do i\_part = 1, n\_part
do i\_part = 1, n\_part
if(i\_part .ne. j\_part) then
r\_dummy = sqrt(distance\_squared(i\_part, j\_part))
average = average + r\_dummy
if(r\_dummy.lt.minimum) then
minimum = r\_dummy
min\_index\_i = i\_part
min\_index\_j = j\_part
end if
and do
end do
! it makes no sense to compute an average. as non inter if(l\_no\_lists) then
 ! do a check without using neighbor lists if(min\_index\_i .le. 0) then string = "nowhere" else if((0.lt.min\_index\_i) & .and. (min\_index\_i .le. n\_mon\_tot) ) then string = "fluid" string = "fluid" else if((n\_mon\_tot , lt. min\_index\_i) & .and (min\_index\_i , le. n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall)) then string = "top wall" else if((n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall .lt. min\_index\_i) & .and. (min\_index\_i .le. n\_part)) then string = "bottom wall" else . else string = "forbidden range" end if " (part. index 1"
if(min\_index\_j .le. 0) then
string = "nowhere"
else if((0 .lt. min\_index\_j) & else if((0 .lt. min\_index\_j) &
 .else if((0 .lt. min\_index\_j .le. n\_mon\_tot) ) then
string = "fluid"
else if((n\_mon\_tot .lt. min\_index\_j) &
 .and. (min\_index\_j .le. n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall)) then
string = "top wall"
else if((n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall .lt. min\_index\_j) &
 .and. (min\_index\_j .le. n\_part)) then
string = "bottom wall"
else else string = "forbidden range" end if if(l\_debug\_min\_distance) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine min\_distance"
end if end subroutine min\_distance ! computes the maximal distance a particle has travelled, writes out the ! distance, the particle index, and the kind of particle subroutine max\_distance real(kind=dp): dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r\_vec real(kind=dp): dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r\_vec integer :: i\_part, max\_index character(len=16) :: string if(l\_debug\_max\_distance) then if(1\_debug\_max\_distance) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine max\_distance"
end if ! initialize distance = 0.0\_dp distance = 0.0\_dp maximum = 0.0\_dp average = 0.0\_dp max\_index = -1 ! loop only over particles which are moving.

if(distance.gt.maximum) then
 maximum = distance
 max\_index = i\_part
end if
end do average = average / real(n\_moving, kind=dp) average = average / real(n\_moving, kind=dp) if(max\_indax l.e. 0) then string = "nowhere" else if((0 .lt. max\_index) & .and. (max\_index .le. n\_mon\_tot) ) then string = "fluid" else if((n\_mon\_tot .lt. max\_index) & .and. (max\_index .le. n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall)) then string = "top wall" else if(((n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall .lt. max\_index) & .and. (max\_index .le. n\_part)) then string = "bottom wall" else string = "forbidden range" end if string = "forbidden range" end if print \*, "HESSAGE: In subroutine max\_distance:" print \*, " max. dist.=", maximum, ", average ", average print \*, " (part. index ", max\_index, " in ", string, ")" if(l\_debug\_max\_distance) then print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine max\_distance" end if end 11 end subroutine max\_distance computes the maximal force a particle experiences, writes out the force, the particle index, and the kind of particle subroutine max\_force ubroutine max\_force real(kind=dp):: modulus\_force, maximum, average integer :: i\_part, max\_index character(len=16) :: string logical, parameter :: l\_debug\_max\_force = .FALSE. if(l\_debug\_max\_force) then print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine max\_force" end if end 11 ! initialize modulus\_force = 0.0\_dp maximum = 0.0\_dp average = 0.0\_dp max\_index = -1 max\_index = -1 ! loop only over particles which are moving. do i\_part = 1, n\_moving modulus\_force = sqrt(dot\_product(force\_determ(i\_part, :), & force\_determ(i\_part, :))) average = average + modulus\_force if(modulus\_force.gt.maximum) then maximum = modulus\_force max\_index = i\_part end if end do emmin if end do average = average / n\_moving if(max\_index .le. 0) then string = "nowhere" else if((0. 1t. max\_index) & .and. (max\_index .le. n\_mon\_tot) ) then string = "fluid" else if((n\_mon\_tot .lt. max\_index) & .and. (max\_index .le. n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall)) then string = "top wall" else if((n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall .lt. max\_index) & .and. (max\_index .le. n\_part)) then string = "bottom wall" else string = "forbidden range" end if end if
print \*, "MESSAGE: In subroutine max\_force:"
print \*, " max. force =", maximum, ", average= ", average
print \*, " (part. index ", max\_index, " in ", string, ")" if(l\_debug\_max\_force) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine max\_force"
end if nd subroutine max\_force . end module mdroutines

# interactionV1.9.f90

! module containing the subroutines for interactions ! Martin Aichele, 2000-11-27 ! last changed: 2003-02-17 module interaction	
! module containing global variables use globals	
use utilities	
implicit none	
I	
! debug switches   31 characters	
logical, parameter :: l_debug_calc_distance = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_fluid_fluid = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_fluid_vall = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_vall_vall = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_intra_molec = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_intra_vall = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_intra_vall = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_create_fk_neigh_list = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_create_fk_neigh_list_i = .FALSE.	
<pre>!</pre>	
<pre>integer, intent(in) :: i_part, j_part real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: delta_r(n_dim) real(kind=dp), intent(out), optional :: r_2</pre>	er !- !-

integer :: i\_dim if(i\_debug\_calc\_distance) then if((i\_part.gt.n\_part).or. (j\_part.gt.n\_part)) then print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine calc\_distance with indices ", & i\_part, j\_part, " > n\_part=", n\_part end if end if do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim delta\_r(i\_dim) = r0(i\_part, i\_dim) - r0(j\_part, i\_dim) end do ! minimum image convention in xy plane only ! here one can save a lot of cpu time if the right method is chosen if(1\_mic\_use\_int\_cast) then do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc delta\_r(i\_dim) = delta\_r(i\_dim) - boundary(i\_dim) & \* aint(delta\_r(i\_dim)/half\_bound(i\_dim)) end do idim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc if faster on Pentiums and Alphas do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc if (delta\_r(i\_dim) > half\_bound(i\_dim)) then delta\_r(i\_dim) > half\_bound(i\_dim)) then delta\_r(i\_dim) > half\_bound(i\_dim)) then delta\_r(i\_dim) > half\_bound(i\_dim)) then delta\_r(i\_dim) > half\_bound(i\_dim)) end if end do end if ! calculate squared distance only if needed if(present(r\_2)) r\_2 = dot\_product(delta\_r(:), delta\_r(:)) end subroutine calc\_distance

! the interaction between two fluid particles subroutine fluid\_fluid nacodolme initialitiu real(kind=dp) :: r\_dummy, r\_2, r\_6, r\_12, pot\_loc real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r, force\_loc integer :: i\_dim ! loop variables integer :: i\_neighbor, i\_part, j\_part runcger ...\_rungmoor, l\_part, j\_part
! loops over particle types
integer :: i\_type, j\_type
if(l\_debug\_fluid\_fluid) then
print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine fluid\_fluid"
end if ema ii initialize v.fluid\_fluid = 0.0\_dp do i\_part = 1, n\_mon\_tot ! loop over all particles in the fluid i\_type = type(i\_part) do i\_nedghbor = 1, ff\_list(i\_part,0) ! loop over all neighbors of i\_part j\_part = ff\_list(i\_part,i\_neighbor) j\_type = type(i\_part) = loop is intervent i = ref\_list(i\_part, i\_part i = ref\_list(i\_part,i\_part)) call calc\_distance(i\_part, j\_part, delta\_r, r\_2) end if
! check whether interaction takes place. This is necessary because
! the lists include particles in the skin which don't interact
if(r\_2.lt.range\_2(i\_type, j\_type)) then
! restrict the distance to an effective interaction distance.
! An if switch is faster than the comparison of two doubles.
! If we start with a configuration read from a file, we could
! set this variable as PARAMETER = .FALSE. to speed up the code
if(x = 0 in fainto) then if(1\_r\_2\_min\_finite) then
r\_2 = max(r\_2, r\_2\_min)
end if then r 2 if(l\_compute\_press\_tens) &
 call add\_to\_press\_tensor(force\_loc, delta\_r, press\_tens\_pot) call add\_to\_press\_tensor(force\_loc, delta\_ if(l\_debug\_fluid\_fluid) then print \*, "force\_loc=", force\_loc(:) print \*, "force(i)=", force\_determ(i\_part, :) print \*, "force(j)=", force\_determ(j\_part, :) end if end if end do end do if(l\_debug\_fluid\_fluid) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine fluid\_fluid"
end if end subroutine fluid\_fluid !-----! the interaction between a fluid and a wall particle subroutine fluid\_wall ubroutine fluid\_wall real(kind=dp) :: r\_dummy, r\_2, r\_6, r\_12, pot\_loc real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r, force\_loc integer :: i\_dim ! loop variables integer :: i\_neighbor, i\_part, j\_part ! loop variables integer :: i\_type, j\_type if(l\_debug\_fluid\_wall) then print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine fluid\_wall" end if v\_fluid\_wall = 0.0\_dp v\_fluid\_vall = 0.0\_dp j\_type = n\_type do i\_part = 1, n\_mon\_tot i\_type = type(i\_part) do i\_negibor = 1, fw\_list(i\_part, 0) j\_part = fw\_list(i\_part, i\_neightor) call calc\_distance(i\_part, j\_part, delta\_r, r\_2) ! check whether interaction takes place if(r\_2.1t.range\_2(i\_type\_), type)) then if(l\_r\_2\_min\_finite) then r\_2 = max(r\_2, r\_2\_min) end if r\_6 f\_\_(i\_ma\_2(i\_t\_ma\_i tyme)/r\_2)\*\*3 ena if
r\_6 = (sigma\_2(i\_type,j\_type)/r\_2)\*\*3
r\_12 = r\_6\*\*2
pot\_loc = (r\_12 - r\_6) - e\_shift(i\_type,j\_type) pot\_loc = (r\_l2 - r\_6) - e\_shift(i\_type,j\_type)
if(l\_bottom,wall\_fluid\_ia\_differ .and. &
 (j\_part.gt.n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall)) then
! fluid -- bottom wall interaction is modified
v\_fluid wall = v\_fluid\_wall &
 + four\_epsil(i\_type,j\_type) \* bw\_fl\_ia\_adjust \* pot\_loc
r\_dunmay = four\_epsil(i\_type,j\_type) \* bw\_fl\_ia\_adjust &
 \* (-12.0\_dp\*r\_12+6.0\_dp\*r\_6)/r\_2 \* (-12.0\_qpyr\_12+0.0\_qp\*r\_0)/r\_2 else ! add up potential v\_fluid\_wall = v\_fluid\_wall + four\_epsil(i\_type,j\_type)\*pot\_loc ! force\_loc is force acting on wall atoms r\_dummy = four\_epsil(i\_type,j\_type)\*(-12.0\_dp\*r\_12+6.0\_dp\*r\_6)/r\_2 end if oh hre if(l\_compute\_press\_tens) &
 call add\_to\_press\_tensor(force\_loc, delta\_r, press\_tens\_pot) end if end do end do if' if(1\_debug\_fluid\_wall) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine fluid\_wall"
end if end subroutine fluid\_wall ! the interaction of wall particles subroutine wall\_wall real(kind=dp) :: r\_dummy, r\_2, r\_6, r\_12, pot\_loc real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r, force\_loc integer :: i\_dim ! loop variables integer :: i\_neighbor, i\_wall, i\_part, j\_part

```
! particle types (two wall particles)
integer, parameter :: i_type = n_type, j_type = n_type
if(1_debug_wall_wall) then
print *, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine wall_wall"
             prin
end if
             v wall wall = 0.0 dr
                     wall_wall = 0.0_dp
o i_wall = 1. n_top_wall
i_part = i_wall + n_mon_tot
do i_neighbor = 1,ww_list(i_wall,0)
j_part = ww_list(i_wall,i_neighbor)
call calc_distance(i_part, j_part, delta_r, r_2)
                                   ! check whether interaction takes place
if(r_2.lt.range_2(i_type,j_type)) then
if(l_r.2.min_finite) then
r_2 = max(r_2, r_2_min)
                                             if(l_r_2_min_finite) then
r_2 = mar(r_2, r_2_min)
end if
r_5 = (sigma_2(i_type,j_type)/r_2)**3
r_12 = r_6**2
pot_loc = (r_12 - r_6) - e_shift(i_type,j_type)
v_wall_wall = v_wall_wall + four_epsil(i_type,j_type)*pot_loc
r_dummy = four_epsil(i_type,j_type)*(-12.0.dp*r_12*6.0.dp*r_6)/r_2
do i_dim = 1,n_dim
force_loc(i_dim) = r_dummy*delta_r(i_dim)
force_loc(i_dim) = force_determ(i_part,i_dim) &
force_loc(i_dim) = force_determ(j_part,i_dim) &
force_loc(i_dim)
force_loc(i_dim) = force_determ(j_part,i_dim) &
force_loc(j_dim) = force_determ(j_part,j_dim) &
force_loc(j_dim) = force_determ(j_part,j_dim)
          if(1_debug_wall_wall) then
    print *, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine wall_wall"
end if
 end subroutine wall_wall
the interaction in the polymer
subroutine intra_molec
                    routine intra_molec
the maximal value of |r_i - r_j|^2 / r_chain^2 (the FENE - potential
diverges for |r_i - r_j|^2 / r_chain^2 = 1) we accept without issuing
a warning. In this case the potential is set to the value at
                     diverges for |r_i - r_j|^2 / r_chain^2 = 1) we accept without issu a warning. In this case the potential is set to the value at max bond extend.
This is done because high forces can cause the algorithm to become
                ! unstable.
real(kind=dp), parameter :: max_bond_extend = 0.98_dp
           real(kind=dp), parameter :: max_bond_extend = 0.98_dp
integer :: i_dim
real(kind=dp) :: r_dummy, r_2
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim) :: delta_r, force_loc !
loops over particle types
integer :: i_type, j_type
integer :: i_mon, i_chain ! loop variables
integer :: i_part, j_part ! loop variables
int[d ehvm intra malec] then
           if(l_debug_intra_molec) then
    print *, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine intra_molec"
end if
             ! reset potential
v_intra_molec = 0.0_dp
           v.intra_molec = 0.0_dp
do i_chain = 1, n_chain ! loop over all chains
do i_mon = 1, n_chain ! loop over all monomer pairs in this polymer
i_part = (i_chain-1) * n_mon + i_mon ! particle index
i_type = type(i_part)
j_type = type(i_part)
call calc_distance(i_part, j_part, delta_r, r_2)
                                   car carc_ustance(1_part, ]_part, deita_r, r_2)
! bonded pairs always interact, so we don't test the distance
! we don't want to stretch the polymer bond too far, as very strong
! forces would result, crashing the integration algorithm. This
! cutting off of the FENE potential happens only if strong external
! forces are applied or we create a new configuration.
r_dummy = r_2/(r_chain_2 * sigma_2(i_type, j_type))
iff dummy.ee. 10.00 then
                                   [f(r_dummy ref(r_dummy ref)] f(r_dummy ref)] f(r_dummy ref) f
                                             ' bond or stop
write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i1, a, i2, a, g13.6, a)') &
    "WANNUG (SR intra_molec): r_2/(r_chain_2*sigma_2(", i_type, &
    ",", j_type, ")=", r_dummy, "ge. 1.0"
    write(unit=*, fmt='(a, g13.6, a, f7.4, a, f7.4, a)') &
        " distance=", sqrt(r_2), ", bond-length=", &
        bond_length(i_type, j_type), "(FEME pot. diverges at", &
        sqrt(r_chain_2 * sigma_2(i_type, j_type), ")"
    write(unit=*, fmt='(2a, i7), a, i12)') &
        " for i_part=", i_part=", i_part=", j_part, &
        ", at MDS", i_time
                                                ", at MDS", i_time
! repair bonds in the relaxation phase
if(1_r_2_min_finite) then
call repair_bond(i_part, j_part)
r_dummy = max_bond_extend
                                                          r_cummy = max_bond_extend
if(l_debug_intra_molec) then
call calc_distance(i_part, j_part, delta_r, r_2)
r_dummy = r_2/(r_chain_2 * sigma_2(i_type, j_type))
if(abs(r_dummy - max_bond_extend) .gt. 10.0_dp**(-10)) then
print *, "DEBUG: r_dummy =", r_dummy, &
"should be max_bond_extend"
                                           stop
end if
else
                                                            se

write(unit=*, fmt='(a)') &

"ERROR (SR intra_molec): Not repairing bond. Giving up."

call particle_positions_out("bond_overstretch_", i_time)

error
                              call particle_positions_out("bond_overstreth_", i_time)
stop
else tfr_dummy .gt. max_bond_extend) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i1, a, i2, a, g13.6, a, f6.4)') &
    "WARNING (SR intra_molec): r_2/(r_chain_2*sigma_2(", i_type, &
    ",", j_type, ")=", r_dummy, ",gt. max_bond_extend=", &
    max_bond_extend
write(unit=*, fmt='(a, g13.6, a, f6.4)') &
    "    distance sqrt(r_2)=", sqrt(r_2), ", bond-length=", &
    bond_length(i_type, j_type)
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, i7), a, i12)') &
    "    for i_part=", i_part, ", j_part", j_part, &
    ", at MDS", i_time
    write(unit=*, fmt='(2)) &
    "    softing r_dummy = max_bond_extend and continue..."
    r_dummy = max_bond_extend
end if ! (r_dummy .gt. 1.0_dp)
! add up bonential
v_intra_molec = v_intra_molec &
    + epsi(i_type, j_type) * log(1.0_dp - r_dummy)
r_dummy = &
    social b comp sigma 2(i type, i type) / (1.0_dp = r_dummy)

                                                                 my = &
epsil_k_chain_over_sigma_2(i_type, j_type) / (1.0_dp - r_dummy)
                                    force_loc(:) = r_dummy * delta_r(:)
force_determ(i_part, :) = force_determ(i_part, :) - force_loc(:)
```

contains subroutine repair\_bond(i\_part, j\_part) ubroutime repair\_bond(i\_part, j\_part) integer, intent(in) :: i\_part, j\_part integer :: i\_dim real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r, pbc\_shift real(kind=dp) :: prefactor write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i7, a)') & "WANNING (SR repair\_bond): Moving particle", j\_part, & " to reduce bond-length to max\_bond\_extend" ! initialize ! initialize
pbc\_shift(:) = 0.0\_dp pbc\_shift(:) = 0.0\_dp delta\_r(:) = r0(i\_part, :) - r0(j\_part, :) do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc if(delta\_r(i\_dim) > half\_bound(i\_dim)) then delta\_r(i\_dim) = delta\_r(i\_dim) - boundary(i\_dim) pbc\_shift(i\_dim) = boundary(i\_dim) (then delta\_r(i\_dim) < half\_bound(i\_dim)) then delta\_r(i\_dim) + boundary(i\_dim) pbc\_shift(i\_dim) = -boundary(i\_dim) + boundary(i\_dim) pbc\_shift(i\_dim) = -boundary(i\_dim) end do ir rescale delta\_r so that the bond length corresponds to max\_bond\_extent ! roscale delta\_r so that the bond length corresponds to max\_bond\_extent ! refactor = sqrt(max\_bond\_extend \* r\_chain\_2 \* sigma\_2(i,type, j\_type) & / r 2) ' +\_\_\_'
r0(j\_part, :) = r0(i\_part, :) - prefactor \* delta\_r(:) + pbc\_shift(:)
end subroutine repair\_bond
end subroutine intra\_molec
'------'This subroutine deals with the forces on the wall particles and walls: 'This subroutine deals with the forces on the wall particles and walls: '(Tomlinson wall model) '(Tomlinson wall model) '2) interaction between nearest neighbors (attached to each other with springs, Frenklin-Kontorova model) '3) the external forces on the top wall 'bops over top and bottom wall particles are separate in case different 'walls are introduced. subroutine intra\_wall real(kind=dp) :: r\_dummy, r\_2 real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r, force\_loc integer :: i\_part, j\_part, i\_vall. i neiøh integer :: i\_part, j\_part, i\_wall, i\_neigh integer : . \_\_part, J\_\_part, \_\_\_\_\_ if(1\_debug\_intra\_wall) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering subroutine intra\_wall" prin end if initialize sum of contributions of Tomlinson springs to potential energy v\_wall\_harm\_tom = 0.0\_dp . do introduct during the state of the state ! V
force\_determ(i\_part, :) = force\_determ(i\_part, :) - force\_loc(:) v\_wall\_harm\_tom = v\_wall\_harm\_tom & + dot\_product(delta\_r(:), delta\_r(:)) if(l\_compute\_press\_tens\_wall\_contr) then
 call add\_to\_press\_tensor(force\_loc,delta\_r,press\_tens\_pot\_walls)
 end if
 end do
 end if !(k\_spring\_wall\_tom .ne. 0.0\_dp) The first the "linear" one, i.e. the force is exerted along a spring connecting the neighbors second the "vector" one, i.e. a force is exerted proportional to the difference vector of the neighbors. : universe vector of the neighbors. if(k.spring-wall\_fre.kon\_linear.ne. 0.0\_dp) then ! loop over top wall particles do i\_wall = 1, n\_top\_wall i\_part = i\_wall + n\_mon\_tot ! loop over all nearest neighbors do i\_neigh = 1, n\_fk\_neighbors j\_part = fk\_neighbors(i\_neigh, i\_wall) \_\_\_\_\_\_ ]\_part = rk\_neighnors(l\_neigh, l\_wall) ! sum up each pair only once if(j\_part .gt. i\_part) then ! periodic boundary contitions are taken into account by ! SR call\_distance call call\_distance(i\_part, j\_part, delta\_r, r\_2) r dumew = scrt(r 2) chir dut\_intentity[phit, jphit, derdit, i\_2/ r\_dummy sqrt(r\_2) force\_loc(:) = k.spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_linear & \* (fk.neigh.eq.dist\_tw(i\_neigh)/r\_dummy-1.0\_dp) \* delta\_r(:) force\_determ(i\_part, :) = force\_determ(i\_part, :) + force\_loc(:) v\_wall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_linear = v\_wall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_linear & + (r\_dummy - fk\_neigh.eq\_dist\_tw(i\_neigh))\*\*2 if(l\_compute\_press\_tensor(-force\_loc, & delta\_r, press\_tens\_pot\_walls) end if ! if there is also vector FK interaction, we can compute it ! almost for free (no call to SR calc\_distance necessary) if(k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_vector .ne. 0.0\_dp) then  $r_dummy = sqrt(r_2)$ 

! loop over all nearest neighbors
do i\_neigh = 1, n\_fk\_neighbors j\_part = fk\_neighbors(i\_neigh, i\_wall) ! loop over all nearest neighbors
do i\_neigh = 1, n\_fk\_neighbors
j\_part = fk\_neighbors(i\_neigh, i\_wall) if(1\_compute\_press\_tens\_wall\_contr) then
 call add\_to\_press\_tensor(-force\_loc, &
 delta\_r, press\_tens\_pot\_walls) end do end if !(f\_wall.eq.0) end if !(f\_vall.eq.0)
! get correct prefactors of the potential energies
if(k\_spring\_vall\_tom .ne. 0.0\_dp) &
 v\_vall\_harm\_tom = &
 (k\_spring\_vall\_tom/2.0\_dp) \* v\_vall\_harm\_tom
 if(k\_spring\_vall\_fre\_kon\_linear .ne. 0.0\_dp) &
 v\_vall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_linear = &
 (k\_spring\_vall\_fre\_kon\_linear/2.0\_dp) \*v\_vall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_linear
 if(k\_spring\_vall\_fre\_kon\_vector .ne. 0.0\_dp) &

! now delta\_r gets meaning of the difference between ! equilibrium and actual vector between neighbors delta\_r(:) = fk\_neigh\_vec\_tv(:, i\_neigh) - delta\_r(:) force\_loc(:) = k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_vector \* delta\_r(:) end if
if liftere is also vector FK interaction, we can compute it
l almost for free (no call to SR calc\_distance necessary)
if(k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_vector .ne. 0.0\_dp) then
l now delta\_r gets meaning of the difference between
l equilibrium and actual vector between neighbors
delta\_r(:) = fk\_neigh\_vec\_bw(:, i\_neigh) - delta\_r(:)
force\_loc(:) = k\_spring\_wall\_fre\_kon\_vector \* delta\_r(:) delta\_r, press\_tens\_pot\_valls) end if end if !(k\_spring\_vall\_fre\_kon\_vector .ne. 0.0\_dp) end do ! !oop over neighbors end do ! loop over neighbors end do ! loop over bottom wall particles els if(k\_spring\_vall\_fre\_kon\_vector .ne. 0.0\_dp) then i o linear FK interaction where vector FK-interaction is included ! but pure vector interaction ! loce owar top wall particles j\_part = fk\_neighbors(i\_neigh, i\_vall) ! sum up each pair only once if(j\_part .gt. i\_part) then ! compute only the difference of the positions, not the distance call calc\_distance(i\_part, j\_part, delta\_r) ! now delta\_r gets meaning of the difference between equilibrium ! and actual vector between neighbors delta\_r(:) = fk\_neigh\_vec\_tw(:, i\_neigh) - delta\_r(:) force\_loc(:) = k\_spring\_vall\_fre\_kon\_vector \* delta\_r(:) force\_determ(i\_part, :) = force\_determ(i\_part, :) + force\_loc(:) v\_vall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_vector = v\_vall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_vector & + dot\_product(delta\_r(:), delta\_r(:)) if(l\_compute\_press\_tens\_vall\_contr) then delta\_r, press\_tens\_pot\_walls)
end if !(\_part .gt. i\_part)
end do ! loop over neighbors
end do ! loop over top wall particles
! loop over bottom wall particles
do i\_wall = 1 + n\_top\_wall, n\_bottom\_wall + n\_top\_wall
i\_part = i\_wall + n\_mon\_tot delta\_r, press\_tens\_pot\_walls)
end if
end if (j\_part gt. i\_part)
end do ! loop over neighbors
end do ! loop over neighbors
end do ! kospromy aull\_fre\_kon\_linear .ne. 0.0\_dp)
else ! f\_wall\_fix = 1
! add up forces acting on top wall particles to the total force on the
! top wall, as springs are totally stiff
do i\_part = n\_mon\_torti, n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall
total\_force\_twall(:) = total\_force\_twall(:) + force\_determ(i\_part, :)
end do

```
v_wall_harm_fre_kon_vector = &
  (k_spring_wall_fre_kon_vector/2.0_dp)*v_wall_harm_fre_kon_vector
   ! coupling to external spring or force
do i_dim = 1, n_dim
       slower
s_force_grad(i_dim) = s_force_grad(i_dim)/4.0_dp
       ! add force from external spring
ext_force_twall(i_dim) = &
    k_spring_twall(i_dim)*(r0_spring_twall(i_dim)-r0_twall(i_dim))
total_force_twall(i_dim) = total_force_twall(i_dim) &
    + real(n_top_wall, kind=dp) * ext_force_tvall(i_dim)
       + real(m_top_wall, kind=dp) * ext_iorce_twall(1_d
case default
write(unit=*, fmt=*) &
    "ERROR (SR intra_wall): mode flag not recognized"
   "ER
stop
end select
end do
   if(1_debug_intra_wall) then
    print *, "DEBUG: Leaving subroutine intra_wall"
   print
end if
end subroutine intra_wall
   subroutines for the implementation of the Frenkel-Kontorova wall model
   creates the neighbor list of wall particles for the Frenkel-Kontorova model
for 1-dimensional walls
ubroutime create f_K.neigh_list_1d
! the neighbors of a wall particle
integer :: a_neigh, b_neigh
! loop over wall indices
integer :: i_wall
   integer :: __wail
if(l_debug_create_fk_neigh_list_1d) then
print *, "DEBUG: Entering SR create_fk_neigh_list_1d"
end if
      top wall
    ! first particle has periodic neighbor n_top_wall. Because the neighbor
! lists are so simple, we code everything explicitely here.
   ! lists are so simple, we code everything explicitely here.
i_vall = 1
a_neigh = n_top_vall
b_neigh = 2
! the first index is a particle index, so add offset n_mon_tot
fk_neighbors(1, i_vall) = a_neigh + n_mon_tot
fk_neighbors(2, i_vall) = b_neigh + n_mon_tot
   ! middle of the wall
do i_wall = 2, n_top_wall-1
    a_neigh = i_wall -1
    b_neigh = i_wall +1
        fk_neighbors(1, i_wall) = a_neigh + n_mon_tot
fk_neighbors(2, i_wall) = b_neigh + n_mon_tot
   end do
i_vall = n_top_vall
a_neigh = n_top_vall -1
b_neigh = 1
fk_neighbors(1, i_vall) = a_neigh + n_mon_tot
fk_neighbors(2, i_vall) = b_neigh + n_mon_tot
    end do
    bottom wall
   intervention
ivall = n.top.vall + 1
a.neigh = n.top.vall + n_bottom_vall
b.neigh = n_top.vall + 2
! the first index is a particle index
fk_neighbors(1, i_vall) = a_neigh + n_mon_tot
fk_neighbors(2, i_vall) = b_neigh + n_mon_tot
do i_vall = n_top.vall + 2, n_top.vall + n_bottom_vall-1
a_neigh = i_vall -1
b_neigh = i_vall +1
fk neighbors(1, i_vall) = a_neigh + n_mon_tot
   b_neigh = 1_wall +1
fk_neighbors(1, i_wall) = a_neigh + n_mon_tot
fk_neighbors(2, i_wall) = b_neigh + n_mon_tot
end do
   end do
i,vall = n_top_wall + n_bottom_wall
a_neigh = n_top_wall + n_bottom_wall-1
b_neigh = n_top_wall + 1
K_neighbors(1, i_wall) = a_neigh + n_mon_tot
fk_neighbors(2, i_wall) = b_neigh + n_mon_tot
if(2, b) i = b_neigh + n_mon_tot
if(2, b) i = b_neigh + n_mon_tot
   if(l_debug_create_fk_neigh_list_1d) then
print *, "DEBUG: Leaving SR create_fk_neigh_list_1d"
end if
end subroutine create_fk_neigh_list_1d
    creates the neighbor list of wall particles for the Frenkel-Kontorova model
   creates the neighbor list of wall particles for the Frenkel-Kont
for 2-dimensional walls
ubroutime create_fk_neigh_list
! the neighbors around a wall particle
integer :: a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, d_neigh, e_neigh, f_neigh
! loop over wall indices
integer :: i_wall
   if(1_debug_create_fk_neigh_list) then
    print *, "DEBUG: Entering SR create_fk_neigh_list"
   prin
end if
      top wall
   ! first row of cells
do i_wall = 1, 2*n_cell_w_y
       o __uali = 1, 2*n_cell_v_y
call assign_neighbors(n_cell_v_y, i_vall, &
    a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, d_neigh, e_neigh, f_neigh)
ifmod(i_vall, 2) .eq. 1) then
    a_neigh = a_neigh = 2*n_cell_v_x*n_cell_v_y
    f_neigh = f_neigh = 2*n_cell_v_x*n_cell_v_y
    f_neigh = f_neigh = 2*n_cell_v_x*n_cell_v_y

       else
f_neigh = f_neigh + 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_w_y
end if
       end do
    do i_wall = 2*n_cell_w_y + 1, 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_w_y - 2*n_cell_w_y
       call assign_neighbors(n_cell_v_y, i_wall, &
    __neigh, b.neigh, c.neigh, d.neigh, e.neigh, f_neigh)
call apply.pbc(2m.cell_v_y, i_wall, a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, d_neigh, &
       e_neigh, f_neigh
call write_fk_neighbors(i_vall, n_mon_tot, a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, &
d_neigh, e_neigh, f_neigh)
```

```
and do
   if(mod(i_wall, 2).ee(a, 0) then
b_neigh = b_neigh - 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_wy
c_neigh = c_neigh - 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_wy
d_neigh = d_neigh - 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_wy
      else _
c_neigh = c_neigh - 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_w_y
      endif
      end do
     bottom wall
   .

;

;

first row of cells

do i_wall = n_top_wall + 1, n_top_wall + 2*n_cell_w_y

call assign_neighbors(n_cell_w_y, i_wall, &

a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, d_neigh, e_neigh, f_neigh)
      if(mod(i_wall, 2).eq. 1) then
a_neigh = a_neigh + 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_w_y
e_neigh = e_neigh + 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_w_y
f_neigh = f_neigh + 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_w_y
      else
f_neigh = f_neigh + 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_w_y
      call apply_pbc(2*n_cell_w_y, i_wall, a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, d_neigh,&
      e_neigh, f_neigh) ______
call write_fk_neighbors(i_wall, n_mon_tot, a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, &
     d_neigh, e_neigh, f_neigh)
nd do
   end do
   c_neigh = c_neigh = 2*n_cell_w_x*n_cell_w_y
end if
     - -rr+r-y_PUCL2*n_cell_w_y, i_wall, a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, d_neigh,
e_neigh, f_neigh)
call write_fk_neighbors(i_wall, n_mon_tot, a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, &
d_neigh, e_neigh, f_neigh)
nd do
      call apply_pbc(2*n_cell_w_y, i_wall, a_neigh, b_neigh, c_neigh, d_neigh,&
   if(l_debug_create_fk_neigh_list) then
do i_wall=1, n_wall
call print_neighbors(i_wall, fk_neighbors(:, i_wall))
     end do
print *, "DEBUG: Leaving SR create_fk_neigh_list"
nd if
end subroutine create_fk_neigh_list
   writes the neighbor indices to the list. The offset converts to the particle index used for enumerating the particles.
end subroutine write_fk_neighbors
 þ
     f -center- c
     it helps to make a drawing showing how the particle index runs...
  ! it helps to make a drawing showing how the
if(mod(center, 2) .eq. 1) then ! center odd
a = center - two_n_cell + 1
c = center + two_n_cell
d = center - two_n_cell
d = center - two_n_cell
else ! center even
a = center + two_n_cell
d = center + two_n_cell + 1
c = center + two_n_cell
d = center + two_n_cell
d = center - two_n_cell
   end if
  and subroutine assign_neighbors
  apply periodic boundary conditions, that is, take into account wrapping
of wall particle indices after two_n_cell particles. To understand the
code, draw a picture!
ubroutine apply_pbc(two_n_cell, center, a, b, c, d, e, f)
integer, intent(in) :: two_n_cell, center
integer, intent(inout) :: a, b, c, d, e, f
if(mod(center, two_n_cell) .eq. 0) then
a = a - two_n_cell
b = b - two_n_cell
else if(mod(center, two_n_cell) .eq. 1) then
d = d + two_n_cell
   d = d + two_n_cell
e = e + two_n_cell
end if
```

nd subroutine apply_pbc	<pre>write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i5, a, i5)') &amp;     "DEBUG: ", neighbors(1), " ", neighbors(2) write(unit=*, fmt='(a)') &amp;     "" </pre>
<pre>prints the neighbor indices around center Compiling note: Some compilers (PGI, Intel) understand the backslash as an escape sequence and will complain about the "\" below. ubroutine print_neighbors(center, neighbors) integer, intent(in) :: center integer, immension(n_fk_neighbors) :: neighbors ! the output should look like this (for 6 neighbors): a aa bbb</pre>	<pre>ubout display="background-color: blue" blue" blue" blue: write(unit=*, fmt='(a', i5', a, i6, a, i5)') &amp; "DEBUG: ", neighbors(5), "-", center, "-", neighbors(3) write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i5', a, i5)') &amp; "DEBUG: ", neighbors(5), " ", neighbors(4) end if else if(n_fk_neighbors.eq. 2) then write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i3, a, i4, a, i3)') &amp; "DEBUG: ", neighbors(1), "-", center, " -", neighbors(2) end if end subroutine print_neighbors</pre>
eee ddd	
<pre>i aaat UUUU i fffff-catur-cccc i eeee ddddd write(unit**, fnt*(a, i5, a, i5, 6i5)') &amp; """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""</pre>	<sup>1</sup> add up potential contributions to pressure tensor subroutine add_to_press_tensor(force, delta_r, press_tensor) real(kind=dp), dimension(a,dim, n,dim), intent(inout) :: press_tensor real(kind=dp), dimension(a,dim), intent(in) :: force real(kind=dp), dimension(a,dim), intent(in) :: delta_r
"DLBUG: Particle", center, " has neighbors", neighbors if(n_fL_neighbors.ec. 6) then if(maxval(neighbors(:)).1t. 1000) then write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i3, a, i3)') & "DEBUG: ", neighbors(1), " ", neighbors(2) write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i3, a, i4, a, i3)') & "DEBUG: \ /" write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i3, a, i4, a, i3)') & "DEBUG: ", neighbors(6), "- ", center, "-", neighbors(3) write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i3, a, i3)') & "DEBUG: / \" write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i3, a, i3)') &	<pre>integer :: index_a, index_b do index_a = 1,,dim do index_b = index_a,dim press_tensor(index_a, index_b) = press_tensor(index_a, index_b) &amp;</pre>
"DEBUG: ", neighbors(5), " ", neighbors(4) else ! large indices	end module interaction

1

## mcfluidV1.91.f90

real(kind=dp) :: scale\_bond\_length = 1.0\_dp
! bond-length, natural bond-length
real(kind=dp) :: bond\_len = 0.0\_dp, bond\_length\_natural = 0.0\_dp ! mcfluidVx.y.f90 ! Recoil Growth algorithm implementation based on F77 code by Thijs J.H. Vlugt ! Martin Aichele, 2002-01-16 ! last changed 2002-12-12 ! V1.62 implements a faster algorithm for the calculation of the intra-chain ! potential, which is especially much faster for long chains and still faster ! for small chains. ! (by a factor of 5 at N=1024 as compared to the simple loop over all monomers) ! Martin Aichele, 2002-12-12 ! V1.9 real n\_dim systems code ! Martin Aichele, 2003-02-24 ! last modified 2003-03-11 module mcfluid use globals real(kind=dp) :: bond\_len = 0.0\_dp, bond\_length\_natural = 0.0\_dp ! initial sigma for the fluid (which is ramped during the relaxation) real(kind=dp) :: sigma\_fluid\_init = 0.0\_dp ! original value for the rest of the simulation real(kind=dp) :: sigma\_fluid\_natural = 0.0\_dp ! number of tries to build a complete chain integer :: n\_max\_chain\_grow\_tries = 10000 ! i/o units integer, parameter :: mc\_isim\_log\_file\_unit = 80 integer, parameter :: mc\_energy\_log\_unit = 81 integer, parameter :: mc\_energy\_log\_unit = 83 integer, parameter :: mc\_end\_pagle\_unit = 83 integer, parameter :: mc\_bond\_angle\_unit = 84 ! switches for writing use globals use luxury use utilities use polymer se polymer
for debugging only
use mdroutines, only: binning3d\_check\_range implicit none es |------ 31 characters ------| debug switches FALSE.
 FALSE. character(len=400) :: file\_sample\_list\_mc = 'file\_name\_of\_sample\_list\_mc'
! Configurational Bias Monte Carlo algorithm parameters .FALSE. .FALSE. .FALSE. .FALSE. .FALSE. For 2-d and highly constraint geometries, the Recoil Growth algorithm ! For 2-d and nighly constraint geometries, the Recoil Growth algorithm ! works much better. ! works much better. ! number of trial directions for MC step ! ! na highly constrained situation and 3-d trial vectors it is advantageous ! to sample more points (say 128 -- 1024) ! If n\_trial vectors is made smaller, then n\_max\_trial\_vector\_draws should ! be set to a bigger value. integer, parameter :: n\_trial\_vectors = 256 ! number of trial vectors to be assumed to be "free" for calculation of the ! old rosenbluth factor. The fever free vectors you assume for a "good" ! chain, the higher the acceptance rate, but also the worse the quality of ! the acceptance if ree vectors you assume for a "good" ! chain, the higher the acceptance rate, but also the worse the quality of ! the accepted chains. Try to match the fraction to ! 0.5 (z\_space\_wall - 2\*range)/range, i.e. the fraction of the surface of ! a ball lying in the middle between the walls. 1/8 corresponds to about ! z\_space\_wall=2.6 and a purely repulsive system. integer, parameter :: n\_free\_trial\_vectors = n\_trial\_vectors/8 ! switch for trying to resolve bond-crossings in the x-y plane by swapping ! positions logical, parameter :: n\_resolve\_bond\_crossings = .FAISE. ! the creation of thin layers with trial\_vectors draw from a sphere is .FALSE ' switches for making the subroutines more talkative logical, parameter :: l\_check\_xy\_bond\_xings\_self\_verb = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l\_inser\_chain\_verb = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l\_mc\_moves\_verbose = .TRUE. !------! if a initial configuration is to be created logical :: l\_create\_initial\_mc\_conf !------= .TRUE Ogitai, parameter :: 1\_resolvey\_oond\_crossings - .rALSE. the creation of thin layers with trial vectors drawn from a sphere is difficult, as a lot of the weights will be 0 due to wall interaction. Use a large n\_trial\_vectors and a small n\_free\_trial\_vectors (1, e.g.) to get a reasonable acceptance rate. Recoil Growth algorithm ! array for holding the parent node of a tree node integer, dimension(:), allocatable :: Parent integer, dimension(), dilocatole :. Intent
i number of childs of a node
i the number of childs must not exceed huge(1\_kind\_number)
integer, dimension(:), allocatable :: Nchild ! maximal tree search depth
! 2^31-1 = 2147483647 = huge(1) on 32 bit systems
integer :: Maxtree = 2\*\*24 ! 1 Mega ! depth of recoiling integer :: Nrecoil = 12 integer:: Nrecoil = 12
integer:: Nrecoil = 12
integer, immediate ontains initializes the MC fluid setup routines subroutine init\_mc\_fluid(mc\_fluid\_params\_file) character(len=\*), intent(in) :: mc\_fluid\_params\_file integer, parameter :: fileunit = 10 integer :: io\_status, counter, i\_mon character(len=65) :: string real(detable) = file a real real(kind=dp) :: slope, rest counter = 0 

stop else .se write(unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') "MESSAGE (SR init\_mc\_fluid): & &Reading MC parameter file >>", mc\_fluid\_params\_file, "<<" end if read line by line read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') s\_time\_mc, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,i3,a)') "ERROR (SR init\_mc\_fluid): & &Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", s\_time\_mc, string end if read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') n\_relax\_mc, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then write(unite\*, fmt='(a,i3,a)') "ERROR (SR init\_mc\_fluid): & &Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_relax\_mc, string end if stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_obser\_mc, string end if stop write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_save\_mc, string end if read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') &
 n\_linear\_out\_mc, string else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_linear\_out\_mc, string stop else else te(\*, '(a, 114, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", l\_write\_positions\_mc, string end if stop else write(\*, '(a, 114, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", l\_read\_sample\_list\_mc, string end if read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') file\_sample\_list\_mc read(unit\*fileunic, location\_\_\_\_\_\_ counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", trim(file\_sample\_list\_mc) read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then print \*, "ERROR: Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop els else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if enu in read(uni=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') & \_\_max\_chain\_grow\_tries, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_max\_chain\_grow\_tries, string end if end if
read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') Nrecoil, string
counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,i3,a)') "ERROR (SR init\_mc\_fluid): &
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &&
 &
 &
 &&
 &&
 & stop stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", Nrecoil, string end if end if
read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(i14, a)') Maxtree, string
counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,i3,a)') "ERROR (SR init\_mc\_fluid): &
 &&wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
ston &Wrong tormat when reading , counter, on \_\_\_\_\_\_ stop else write(\*, '(a, i14, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", Maxtree, string end if read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') n\_choice\_first, & scop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_choice\_first, string end if read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') n choice last. & read(unit=Tileunit, ... string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,i3,a)') "ERROR (SR init\_mc\_fluid): & &&vrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", n\_choice\_last, string end if

read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(e14.6, a)') open\_angle, & stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", open\_angle, string end if ema in read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(el4.6, a)') & mi\_initial\_wall\_distance, string counter = counter + 1 if(io\_status /= 0) then write(unit+\* fmt='(a,13,a)') "ERROR (SR init\_mc\_fluid): & &Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format." stop else stup else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", & min\_initial\_wall\_distance, string mm\_intritu\_vail\_distance, string
end if
if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then
! define minimal initial distance to the walls in z-direction.
! The RG-algo will automatically exclude high wall-fluid potential
! configurations, but the acceptance rate is higher with
! minintial\_wall\_distance > 0 at the price of not truly being in
! equilibrium. However, for fluctuating walls in MD constant-pressure
! simulations this is not important
min\_initial\_wall\_distance = nin\_initial\_wall\_distance &
 \* minval(sigma(:, :))
! check if there's room for a particle
if(.not. 1\_2d\_flat\_setup) then
if(boundary(n\_dim) .le. 2.0.dp \* min\_initial\_wall\_distance) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') &
 "ERROR (SR init\_m\_fluid): boundary(n\_dim)=", &
 boundary(n\_dim), " .le. 2.min\_initial\_wall\_distance=", &
 2.0.dp \* min\_initial\_wall\_distance=", &
 2.0.dp \* min\_initial\_wall\_distance", &
 2.0.dp \* min\_initial\_wall\_distance nd if stop end if end if end if 'ead' stop else write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", scale\_bond\_length, string end if stop else -write(\*, '(a, e14.6, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", sigma\_fluid\_init, string end if end if
read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(a)') string
counter = counter + 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,i3,a)') "ERROR (SR init\_mc\_fluid): &
 &wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop stop else write(\*, '(a, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", string end if stop else write(\*, '(a, 114, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", l\_write\_mc\_energy, string
end if stop stop else write(\*, '(a, 114, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", l\_write\_mc\_gyr\_tens, string end if end iI
read(unit=fileunit, iostat=io\_status, fmt='(114, a)') &
 \_\_\_write\_mc\_end\_pos, string
 counter = 1
if(io\_status /= 0) then
 write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,i3,a)') "ERROR (SR init\_mc\_fluid): &
 &Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
 stop stop else '
write(\*, '(a, 114, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", l\_write\_mc\_end\_pos, string
end if &Wrong format when reading ", counter, "th format."
stop
else
write(\*, '(a, 114, a)') "MESSAGE: Read ", 1\_write\_mc\_bond\_angle, string
end if close(fileunit) else l\_open\_angle\_eq\_pi = .FALSE. end if end if ! check angle if(open\_angle .lt. 0.0\_dp .or. open\_angle .gt. pi) then write(unit\*, fmt='(a,g13.6,a)') "ERROR (SR init\_mc\_fluid): & & copen\_angle=", open\_angle, " not in [0, pi]" ston stop end if end ii : check radius of gyration writing for simple liquid if(<u>l</u>write\_mc\_gyr\_tens .and. n\_mon .eq. 1) then write(unite\*, fmt='(a)') "CAUTION (SR nin\_mc\_fluid): & &Calculation of gyration tensor for simple liquid makes no sense" l\_write\_mc\_gyr\_tens = .FALSE.

end if ena ii f(l\_write\_mc\_bond\_angle .and. n\_mon .le. 2) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, i6, a)') "CAUTION (SR init\_mc\_fluid): & &&Calculation of bond-angles ", n\_mon, "-mers makes no ser l\_write\_mc\_bond\_angle = .FALSE. end if
 read file containing the ist of times at which configurations are written
 if(l\_read\_sample\_list\_mc) call read\_sample\_list(file\_sample\_list\_mc, &
 sample\_times\_mc, s\_time\_mc, n\_relax\_mc, n\_obser\_mc)
 ! allocate tree search bookkeeping arrays
 allocate(Parent(Maxtree))
 allocate(hild(Maxtree)) stop nd if ! number of choices a each generation i\_mon
! we can use different numbers for each i\_mon
Nchoi(1) = 1 end do bond-length in the polymer We assume a homopolymer with fixed bond-length of type (1,1) for all We assume a howportmax from the second secon ! save original values bond\_length\_natural = bond\_length(1, 1) sigma\_fluid\_natural = sigma(1, 1) stop end if First the global fluid sigma and rescale the bond-length ! if we do relaxation if(n\_relax\_mc.gt. 0) then sigma(1, 1) = sigma\_fluid\_init change consistently all other values depending on sigma(1,1)
call reinit\_lj\_params bond\_len = scale\_bond\_length \* bond\_length(1, 1) else nd\_len = bond\_length(1, 1) end if vrite(unit\*\*, fmt='(a,f8.6,a,f8.6,a)') "MESSAGE (SR init\_mc\_fluid): & &bond-length=", bond\_len, ", (natural: ", bond\_length\_natural, ")" vrite(unit\*\*, fmt='(a,f8.6,a,f8.6,a)') "MESSAGE (SR init\_mc\_fluid): & &ksigma(1,1)=", sigma(1, 1), ", (natural: ", sigma\_fluid\_natural, ")" ! open file-units for vriting call open\_c\_fluid\_ounits ! Read old configuration if it exists, if not set flag to create one ! from scretch call init\_mc\_config("mc\_conf\_old") nd subroutine init\_mc\_fluid ! close file close(in\_file) l\_create\_initial\_mc\_conf = .TRUE. else if(l\_use\_config\_rng) then call read\_configuration\_rng(old\_mc\_configuration\_file) else call read\_configuration(old\_mc\_configuration\_file) end if ! check if chains were ripped by folding call chain\_sens\_fold !-! frees the memory taken by the large arrays for tree search bookkeeping subroutine free\_rg\_memory if(allocated(Parent)) deallocate(Parent) if(allocated(Nchild)) deallocate(Nchild) end subroutine free\_rg\_memory !-----! initialize fluid by means of MC routines subroutine mc\_fluid\_setup 

integer :: node\_count, node\_count\_min, node\_count\_max
real(kind=dp) :: node\_count\_avg, node\_count\_sigma
integer :: i\_chain\_grow\_tries, i\_mc\_moves
real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_mon) :: chain\_new, chain\_old real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_mon) :: chain\_new, chain\_old real(kind=dp) :: h\_naw.real ! variables for putting together filenames integer :: length character(len=240) :: filename character(len=21) :: string logical :: l\_calc\_bond\_en = .FALSE. integer :: l\_loop, chain\_moved\_counter integer, dimension(n\_chain) :: chain\_moved if(l\_debug.mc\_fluid\_setup) print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR mc\_fluid\_setup" ! sanity.chocks stop end if call init\_mc\_fluid("params\_mc\_fluid") ! set position writing switch to .false.
1\_store = .FALSE. ! set position writing switch to .false. l.store = .FALSE. ! initialize fluid bins bin\_fluid(:, :, :, 0) = 0 bin\_fluid(:, :, :, 0) = 0 en\_total = 0.0\_dp ! for catching numerical infinities in the calculation of accceptance ! probabilities ln\_max\_real = log(huge(1.0\_dp)) if(l\_create\_initial\_mc\_conf) then write(unit=\*, fmt=('(a)'))& "MESSAGE (SR mc\_fluid\_setup): Setting up initial configuration" ! loop over all chains do i\_chain = 1, n\_chain i\_chain\_grow\_tries = 1 node\_count\_min = huge(1) node\_count\_mis = 0 node\_count\_sigma = 0.0\_dp ! loop over tries to set up a complete chain do ! loop over tries to set up a complete chain o
 try to insert a chain without overlap
 call Grow\_Recoil(.false., loverlap, weight\_new, node\_count, &
 chain\_new, i\_chain)
 node\_count\_min = min(node\_count, node\_count\_min)
 node\_count\_max = max(node\_count, node\_count\_max)
 node\_count\_avg = node\_count\_avg + real(node\_count, kind=dp)
 node\_count\_sigma = node\_count\_sigma + real(node\_count, kind=dp)\*\*2
 vice this is a state to be a state node\_count\_avg = node\_count\_avg / real(i\_chain\_grow\_tries, kind=dp) / real(1\_chain\_grow\_tries, kind=dp)
node\_count\_sigma = sqrt(node\_count\_sigma - node\_count\_avg\*\*2)
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i8, a, i8, a)') &
 "WESSAGE: chain', i, chain, "; i\_chain\_grow\_tries, &
 "calls to SR Grow\_Recoll' ", i\_chain\_grow\_tries, &
 "WESSAGE: node count: nin=", node\_count\_min, ", max=", &
 node\_count\_asigma
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this chain (SE a chain much be used nor
 text total energy of this energy of this text total energy of this text total nooe\_count\_sigma
l get total energy of this chain (SR e\_chain must be used, not
! SR e\_chain\_old, as chain\_new(:) is not folded)
call e\_chain(i\_chain, chain\_new, en\_new)
en\_total = en\_total + en\_new en\_total = en\_total + en\_new if(FALSE.) then ! integer to string conversion write(string, '(i12)') i\_chain string = adjust1(string) ! put together the filename filename = "chain."//trim(string) length = len(trim(adjust1(filename))) filename = adjust1(filename(:length)) call filuid providence out(filename) ! start to work on next chain exit وام stop else i\_chain\_grow\_tries = i\_chain\_grow\_tries +1 end do end do else ! bin all fluid particles \_\_\_\_ ...uuu particles call bin\_fluid\_particles(1, n\_mon\_tot) nd if equilibrate by MC moves if(l\_write\_positions\_mc) then if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc) then
 call fluid\_positions\_out("mc\_fl\_pos\_t", 0)
else
 call particle\_positions\_out("mc\_pos\_t", 0)
end if end if nd if end if if(l\_write\_mc\_energy) & write(unit=mc\_energy\_log\_unit, fmt='(i12, g13.5)') 0, en\_total if(l\_write\_mc\_eng\_ros) call mc\_gyr\_tens(0) if(l\_write\_mc\_end\_nos) call mc\_end\_pos\_out(0) if(l\_write\_mc\_bond\_angle) call mc\_bond\_angle(0) . ! main Monte Carlo loop !

! count MC moves i\_mc\_moves = 0 ! keep track of chain moves for each chain
chain\_moved(:) = 0 node\_count\_min = huge(1)
node\_count\_max = 0
node\_count\_avg = 0.0\_dp
node\_count\_sigma = 0.0\_dp call reinit\_lj\_params
if(i\_time\_mc.eq, n\_relax\_mc) then
write(unit=\*, fnt='(a)') "MESSAGE (SR mc\_fluid\_setup): &
 & &Relaxation done" ..., / CLEDAGUE (SR mc\_fluid\_setup): & &Relaxation done" ! we still have to calculate the bond-energies until all chains ! have the same bonds end if ! select a chain at random
i\_chain = int(ran\_uniform() \* real(n\_chain, kind=dp)) +1 do i\_mon = 1, n\_mon i\_part = (i\_chain -1) \* n\_mon + i chain\_old(:, i\_mon) = r0(i\_part, end do end do
! get weight for old chain
call Grow.Recoil(.true., loverlap, weight\_old, node\_count, &
chain\_old, i\_chain
if(loverlap) then
write(unit=\*, fnt=\*(a, i12, a)') &
"ERROR (SR mc\_fluid\_setup): Old chain ", i\_chain, " has overlap"
ston stop end if accept\_prob = 1.0\_dp else ! while the energy computed for a chain is always the same for the ! same chain, the weight is \*not\*, because we draw different random ! trial directions each time (but the average weight reaches a limit ! for an infinite number of weight calculations) accept\_prob = (weight\_new / weight\_calculations) accept\_prob = (weight\_new / weight\_calculations) end if end if
if (l\_debug\_mc\_fluid\_setup) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(2(a,g13.6))') "DEBUG (SR mc\_fluid\_setup): &
 &weight\_new", weight\_new, ", weight\_old=", weight\_old", write(unit=\*, fmt='(3(a,g13.6))') " \_\_\_\_new=", en\_new", en\_new, &
 ", en\_old=", en\_old, ", accept\_prob=", accept\_prob
end if end if if(ran\_uniform() .lt. accept\_prob) then
l\_accept\_new\_chain = .TRUE.
else
l\_accept\_new\_chain = .FALSE.
end if decide if new chain is accepted if(l\_accept\_new\_chain) then if(1\_debug\_mc\_fluid\_setup) print \*, "DEBUG: Accepted MC-move" stop end if nd if ifd\_inc\_moves\_verbose) &
 write(unit\*\*, fmt\*'(5(a,g10.4))') "MESSAGE: &
 &Move: Nw", weight\_new, ", Wo=", weight\_old, &
 ", En\*", en\_new, ", Eo\*", en\_old, ", Pacce", accept\_prob
 inc\_moves +1 em\_total = em\_total - em\_old + em\_new if(1\_write\_mc\_energy) write(unit=mc\_energy\_log\_unit, & fmt='(il2, gi3.5)') i\_time\_mc, em\_total if(1\_write\_mc\_gyr\_tens) call mc\_gyr\_tens(i\_time\_mc) if(1\_write\_mc\_end\_pos) call mc\_bond\_angle(i\_time\_mc) end if ! move accepted end if ! (.not. loverlap) for new chain ! MC sten completed MC step completed ! write out safety copy every n\_save\_mc MCS if((i\_time\_mc.gt.s\_time\_mc) .and. (mod(i\_time\_mc, n\_save\_mc).eq.0)) then if(l\_use\_config\_rng) then call store\_configuration\_rng("mc\_last\_save\_file", .TRUE.) call store\_configuration\_rmg("mc\_last\_save\_file")
end if
end if if(n\_relax\_mc + n\_obser\_mc - s\_time\_mc .gt. 10 .and. & mod(i\_time\_mc, (n\_relax\_mc + n\_obser\_mc - s\_time\_mc) / 10) .eq. 0) &

write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i12)') "MESSAGE: Finished MCS", i\_time\_mc if(l\_write\_positions\_mc) then if(mod(i\_time\_mc, n\_linear\_out\_mc) .eq. 0) l\_store = .TRUE. if(l\_read\_sample\_list\_mc .and. &
 (i\_time\_mc .eq. next\_sample\_time\_mc)) then
 call get\_next\_sample\_time(sample\_times\_mc, next\_sample\_index\_mc, &
 next\_sample\_time\_mc) if if we don't want to write so far, change that if(.not. l\_store) l\_store = .TRUE. end if end if if(l\_store) then
 if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc) then
 call fluid\_positions\_out("mc\_fl\_pos\_t", i\_time\_mc)
 call fluid\_positions\_out("mc\_fl\_pos\_t", i\_time\_mc) call fluid\_positions\_out("mc\_fi\_pos\_t", i\_time\_mc)
else
 call particle\_positions\_out("mc\_pos\_t", i\_time\_mc)
end if ! reset switch l\_store = .FALSE. nd if end do ! loop over MC steps ena ao ! loop over MC steps
if(l\_write\_positions\_mc) then
! the loop index is incremented by one after the loop and we reset it
i\_time\_mc = n\_relax\_mc + n\_obser\_mc
if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc) then
 call fluid\_positions\_out("mc\_fl\_pos\_final\_t", i\_time\_mc)
else
 call particle\_positions\_out("mc\_pos\_final\_t", i\_time\_mc)
end if end if nd if end if
! if no simulation steps have been done, set bond-length and sigma
! to natural values
if(s\_time\_mc.eq. n\_relax\_mc + n\_obser\_mc) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a)') "MESSAGE (SR mc\_fluid\_setup): &
 &No MC-steps done, set sigma(1,1) and bond\_len to natural value"
 bond\_len = bond\_length\_natural
 sigma(1,1) = sigma\_fluid\_natural
 call reinit\_lj\_params
end if stop end if stop end if ema in if(n\_obser\_mc .gt. s\_time\_mc) then ! store new configuration call store\_configuration\_rng("mc\_conf\_new", .TRUE.) cont\_cont\_gatactual\_ing( wo\_cont\_ave , index, )
node\_cont\_avg = node\_count\_avg / real(n\_obser\_mc - s\_time\_mc, kind\*dp)
node\_count\_sigma = node\_count\_sigma &
 / real(n\_obser\_mc - s\_time\_mc, kind\*dp)
node\_count\_sigma = sqrt(node\_count\_sigma - node\_count\_avg\*\*2) write(unit=\*, fmt='(4(a,g11.4))') & "MESSAGE: node count: min=", node\_count\_min, ", max=", & node\_count\_max, ", avg=", node\_count\_avg, ", sigma=", & node\_count\_sigma" node\_count\_signa' write(unit\*, fmt='(a,g13.6,a,f8.4,a)') & "MESSAGE (SR mc\_fluid\_setup): acceptance rate=", & real(imc\_noves, kind=dp) / real(n\_obser\_mc - s\_time\_mc, kind=dp), & "(", 100.\_dpt=real(imc\_noves, kind=dp), "%)" write(unitmc.sim.log\_file\_unit, fmt='(3(a, 130)') & "n\_mone", n\_mon, ", n\_chain=", n\_chain, ", n\_mon\_tot=", n\_mon\_tot if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then write(unit=mc\_sim\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(a, 12, a)') & "l\_2d\_filat\_setup", l\_2d\_filat\_setup, " for system with walls" else else write(unit=mc\_sim\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(a, 12, a)') & "1\_2d\_flat\_setup ignored for system without walls" nd if n\_ioroid\_xy\_bond\_crossings ignored as n\_dim\_pot .ne. 2" end if write(unit=mc\_sim\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(a)') & "Data observed during MC simulation:" write(unit=mc\_sim\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(4,gf1.4))') & "node\_count\_sima", node\_count\_ini, ", max=", & node\_count\_signa write(unit=mc\_sim\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(a,gf3.6,a,f8.4,a)') & "acceptance rate=", & real(i\_mc\_moves, kind=dp) / real(n\_obser\_mc - s\_time\_mc, kind=dp), & " (", 100.0\_dp\*real(i\_mc\_moves,kind=dp) & / real(n\_obser\_mc - s\_time\_mc,kind=dp), %)" ! find out how many chains were moved and print statistics chain\_moved(c\_loop) .gt. 0) & end if ond do was moved", chai\_moved(i\_loop), "MESSAG was moved", chai\_moved(i\_loop), " time write(unit=mc\_sim\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(2i8)') & i\_loop, chain\_moved(i\_loop) end do end if if(l\_write\_mc\_energy) close(mc\_energy\_log\_unit) if(l\_write\_mc\_engyr\_tens) close(mc\_engyr\_tens\_log\_unit) if(l\_write\_mc\_end\_pos) close(mc\_end\_pos\_unit) if(l\_write\_mc\_bond\_angle) close(mc\_bond\_angle\_unit) close(mc\_sim\_log\_file\_unit) vector call free\_rg\_memory ! if we already are in equilibrium, i.e. we used the natural sigma(1,1) ! and the natural bond length we don't have to ramp the potential in the

! MD-simulation, else we have to (as set in SR init\_config) if(sigma\_fluid\_init eq. sigma\_fluid\_natural .and. & scale\_bond\_length .eq. 1.0\_dp) then r\_2\_min\_init = 0.0\_dp r\_2\_min\_inite = 0.0\_dp l\_r\_2\_min\_finite = .FALSE. write(unit\*\*, fmt\*'(a,12)') & "MESSAGE (SR ac\_fluid\_secup): MD-potential ramp switch & &l\_r\_2\_min\_finite =", l\_r\_2\_min\_finite write(unit\*\*, fmt\*'(a') & " as MC-simulation was done with correct potentials" end if Calc\_mean\_moutencutsec, / route. if(1\_debug\_mc\_gyr\_tens) & print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR mc\_gyr\_tens" and subroutine mc\_gyr\_tens end if \_\_\_\_\_ opens outout units ubroutine open\_mc\_fluid\_io\_units integer :: io\_status integer, intent(in) :: i\_time\_mo integer, intent(in) :: l\_time\_mc character(len=40) :: format\_string integer :: i\_chain ! helper array real(kind=dp), dimension(2\*n\_dim, n\_chain) :: end\_pos ! on the fly generation of the format string write(format\_string, fmt=\*(a,i6,a)\*) "(i12,", 2\*n\_chain\*n\_dim, & "(g14.6))" stop end if if(l\_write\_mc\_energy) then if(io\_status .ne. 0) then
write(nit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR open\_mc\_fluid\_io\_units): &
 &Could not open output file for energy" write(unit=mc\_end\_pos\_unit, fmt=format\_string) i\_time\_mc, end\_pos stop end if nd if end subroutine mc\_end\_pos\_out if(l\_write\_mc\_gyr\_tens) then lostat\*io\_status, action="write", status="unknown")
if(io\_status.ne. 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR open\_mc\_fluid\_io\_units): &
 & &Could not open output file for gyration tensor and &
 & &end if-to-end distance"
 end if
end if if(l\_write\_mc\_end\_pos) then open(unit=mc\_end\_pos\_unit, file="mc\_end\_pos.dat", & iostat=io\_status, action="write", status="unknown") : subroutine bond\_angle\_stats(1\_norm, min\_cos\_angle, mean\_cos\_angle, & if(io\_status .ne. 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR open\_mc\_fluid\_io\_units): &
 &Could not open output file for end positions of chains" var\_cos\_angle) var\_cos\_angle)
! if we have to normalize by a bond-length /= 1
logical, intent(in) :: l\_norm
real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: min\_cos\_angle, mean\_cos\_angle, var\_cos\_angle
integer :: i\_mon, i\_chain, i\_part
real(kind=dp) :: cos\_angle
min\_cos\_angle = 0.0\_dp
var\_cos\_angle = 1.0\_dp stop end if end if if(l\_write\_mc\_bond\_angle) then if(io\_status .ne. 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR open\_mc\_fluid\_io\_units): &
 &Could not open output file for bond angles" do i\_chain = 1, n\_chain do i\_mon = 2, n\_mon stop end if end if i\_part = (i\_chain -1) \* n\_mon + i\_mon 1\_part = (1\_chain -1) \* n\_mon + 1\_mon cos\_angle = dot\_product( & r0\_unfolded(i\_part +1, :) - r0\_unfolded(i\_part, :), & r0\_unfolded(i\_part, :) - r0\_unfolded(i\_part -1, :)) end subroutine open\_mc\_fluid\_io\_units rv\_unroaded(1\_part, :) - rv\_unroaded(1\_part -1, :))
if(1\_norm) cos\_angle = cos\_angle / (sqrt(dot\_product( &
 rv\_unrolded(i\_part +1, :) - rv\_unrolded(i\_part, :)) &
 ro\_unrolded(i\_part +1, :) - rv\_unrolded(i\_part, :)) &
 ro\_unrolded(i\_part, :) - rv\_unrolded(i\_part-1, :), &
 ro\_unrolded(i\_part, :) - ro\_unrolded(i\_part-1, :)))) write the chain on the global folded coordinates r0 and re-initializes ! fluid bins subroutine put\_chain\_in\_bins(i\_chain, chain\_pos, l\_full\_config) ubroutine put\_chain\_in\_oinsit\_chain, chain\_pos, l\_iui\_conigy integer, intent(in) :: i\_chain real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_mon), intent(in) :: chain\_pos logical, intent(in) :: l\_full\_config integer :: i\_dim, i\_mon, i\_part if(l\_dabug\_put\_chain\_in\_bins) then print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR put\_chain\_in\_bins" print \*, " i\_chain=", i\_chain, ", l\_full\_config=", l\_full\_config end if min\_cos\_angle = min(cos\_angle, min\_cos\_angle)
mean\_cos\_angle = mean\_cos\_angle + cos\_angle
var\_cos\_angle = var\_cos\_angle + cos\_angle\*\*2 end do end do mean\_cos\_angle = mean\_cos\_angle / real(n\_chain \* (n\_mon -2), kind=dp) war\_cos\_angle = var\_cos\_angle / real(n\_chain \* (n\_mon -2), kind=dp) var\_cos\_angle = var\_cos\_angle - mean\_cos\_angle\*\*2 return end subroutine bond\_angle\_stats Recoil growth for one chain ubroutine Grow\_Recoil(Lold, Lovrlap, Weight, node\_count, chain\_pos, Ichain) ! Lold: If an old chain is retraced or a new one is build logical, intent(in): Lold ! Lovrlap: Chain hits another particle and is stopped logical, intent(out) :: Lovrlap ! Weight: Weight of the chain (for deciding on acceptance) real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: Weight ! count how many tree nodes were visited r0(i\_part, n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim) = chain\_pos(n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim, i\_mon) end do ! count how many tree nodes were visited integer, intent(out) :: node\_count end do
! put new chain in bins
if(1\_full\_config) then
call bin\_fluid\_particles(1, n\_mon\_tot)
else
call bin\_fluid\_particles(1, i\_chain \* n\_mon)
--4 : f integra, intest(cost) :: heat\_count
! Ichain: Chain index
integer, intent(in) :: Ichain
! chain\_oos: Coordinates of the "new" chain, was: Xn,Yn,Zn
real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_mon) :: chain\_pos ! Lfixed: Bead has been fixed logical, dimension(n\_mon) :: Lfixed end if ! Lopen: Direction is open logical :: Lopen logical :: Lopen
! flag for signalling infinite interaction energy (particle in a wall)
logical :: l\_infinity
integer :: Ifix, i\_mon, i\_part, Ip, Ipoint
! Iparent: index of parent of a node
! Parent(i): array holding the index of the parent of a node
! Nchild(i): number of childs must not exceed huge(1\_kind\_number)
integer :: Iparent
! Mdir: number of free directions in tree generation i end do integer :: Iparent ! Ndir: number of free directions in tree generation i ! Ndir: number of free directions in tree generation i ! Wmani: ludex of node that constitutes monomer i\_mon in the main chain integer, dimension(n\_mon) :: Ndir, Whmain ! variables for finding free feelers from each fixed bead logical :: Lready1, Lready2 integer :: mybead, maxbead ! Bond was: Bx,By,Bz; Trial was: Xt,Yt,Zt real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: Bond, Trial ! chain\_pos\_copy was: Xnn, Ynn, Znn real(kind=dp). ...En pal(kind=dp). ...En end subroutine put\_chain\_in\_bins integer, intent(in) :: i\_time\_mc integer :: i\_dim, j\_dim real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_dim) :: gyr\_ten real(kind=dp) :: En if(l\_debug\_mc\_gyr\_tens) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR mc\_gyr\_tens" ! probability for a position to be open real(kind=dp) :: P\_Open  $_{\rm sust}({\rm Anno-up}, :: r_upwn ! {\rm array for storing the probabilities for being open for the main chain real(kind=dp), dimension(n_mon) :: Pmain$ call calc\_gyration\_tensor(gyr\_ten)
write(unit=mc\_gyr\_tens\_log\_unit, advance="no", fmt='(i12)') i\_time\_mc

if(l\_debug\_grow\_recoil) print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR grow\_recoil" ! initialize temp\_inv = 1.0\_dp / temp temp\_inv = 1.0\_dp / temp chain\_pos(:, :) = 0.0\_dp ! reset probability Pmain(:) = 0.0\_dp ! so far we have not fix Lfixed(:) = .false. Lovrlap = .false. fixed anything ---- - .raise. ! in an existing chain we always have a direction Ndir(:) = 1 if the chain already exists, then copy it on chain\_pos which we use in the branch we we retrace an old chain. This is done to emphasize the similarity of the branches ! the similarity of the branches if(Lold) then do i\_mon = 1, n\_mon i\_part = (Ichain -1) \* n\_mon + i\_mon chain\_pos(:, i\_mon) = rO(i\_part, :) end do end if if(.not.Lold) then call Upen\_Dir(En, 1\_ if(.not.Lopen) then Weight = 0.0\_dp Lovrlap = .true. node\_count = 0 return end if ! first monomer is always fixed Lfixed(1) = .true. ! first node has no parent
Parent(1) = 0 ! note probability
Pmain(1) = P\_Open Pmain() = P\_Open if(l\_debug\_grow\_recoil) & write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,l1,a,i6,a,i6,a,g10.3,a,l1)') & "DEBUG (SR grow\_recoil): Lold=", Lold, ", Ichain=\*, Ichain, & ", i\_mon=", 1, ", "main=", Pmain(1), ", Lopen=", Lopen", ! we have not tried any new trial directions (childs) Nebild(1) = 0 ! the first point (node) is the parent of the rest of the tree Ipoint  $\ = 1$  Iparent  $\ = 1$ ! go to next monomer i\_mon = 2 do while(i\_mon.le.n\_mon) i recoil back by one, get the parent i\_mon = i\_mon - 1 Iparent = Parent(Iparent) \_parent = Parent(Iparent)
! if this monomer is already fixed, return
if(fixed(imon)) then
Weight = 0.0\_dp
Lovrlap = .true.
node\_count = Ipoint
return return end if else ! if not all trial directions are exhausted end if
! define new trial position and decide if it is open
chain\_pos(:, i\_mon) = chain\_pos(:, i\_mon-1) + Bond(:)
call e\_monomer(Ichain, i\_mon, chain\_pos, En, l\_infinity)
call Open\_Dir(En, l\_infinity, Lopen, P\_Open)
Ipoint = Ipoint + 1 if(Ipoint gt.Maxtree) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a)') &
 "ERROR (SR Grow\_Recoil): Tree node count overflow" stop end if ena ii ! parent (Iparent) has another child (Ipoint), which in turn has ! no childs so far Nchild(Iparent) = Nchild(Iparent) + 1 Parent(Ipoint) = Iparent Nchild(Ipoint) = 0 Nchild(Ipoint) = 0
if(Lopen) then
! we only assign P\_Open to Pmain when we have found an
! open direction (otherwise the value would be overwritten later,
! because we use the last P\_Open with Lopen == .TRUE. at i\_mon)
Pmain(i\_mon) = P\_Open
if(l\_dbug\_grow\_recoil) &
 write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,11,a,i6,a,i6,a,g10,3,a,11)') &
 "DEBUG (SR grow\_recoil): Lold=", Lold, ", Ichain=", Ichain, &
 ", i\_mon=\*, i\_mon, ", Pmain", Pmain(i\_mon), ", Lopen", Lopen
! go to next monomer, the present monomer will become parent
i\_mon = i\_mon + 1
Iparent = Ipoint
! Ifix = 1\_mon - Nrecoll if(Ifix.gt.0) then Lfixed(Ifix) = .true. end if end if !(Lopen) end if !(Nchild(Iparent).Eq.Nchoi(i\_mon)) end of ! loop over all monomers else ! Lold == .TRUE. 

1\_mon = 1
call e\_monomer(Ichain, i\_mon, chain\_pos, En, l\_infinity)
call Open\_Dir(En, l\_infinity, Lopen, P\_Open) Pmain(i\_mon) = P\_Open rmain(i\_mon) = r\_open if (l\_debug\_grov\_recoil) & "DEBUG (SR grov\_recoil): Lold=", Lold, ", Ichain=", Ichain, & "DEBUG (SR grov\_recoil): Lold=", Lold, ", Ichain=", Ichain, & ", i\_mons", i\_mon, ", Pmain=", Pmain(i\_mon) just run along the chain, record the P\_Open values and the parent indices o i\_mon = 2, n\_mon call e\_monomer(Ichain, i\_mon, chain\_pos, En, l\_infinity)
call Open\_Dir(En, l\_infinity, Lopen, P\_Open) Ipoint = Ipoint + 1 lpoint = ipoint - i if(Ipoint.gt.Maxtree) then write(unit\*\* fmt='(a)') & "ERROR (SR Grow\_Recoil): Tree node count overflow" stop nd if Nchild(Ipoint) = 0 Parent(Ipoint) = Ipoint - 1 Nchild(Ipoint-1) = 1 Nchild(Ipoint-1) = 1
Pmain(i\_mon) = P\_Open
if(l\_debug\_grow\_recoil) &
write(unit\*\*, fmt\*'(a,11,a,i6,a,i6,a,g10.3,a,11)') &
"DEBUG (SR grow\_recoil): Lold=", Lold, ", Ichain=", Ichain, &
", i\_mon=", i\_mon, ", Pmain=", Pmain(i\_mon)
d a end do end if!(Lold) 1\_mon = n\_mon
! retrace the chain
do while(Ip.ne.0)
Whmain(i\_mon) = Ip
Ip = Parent(Ip)
i\_mon = i\_mon - 1
end do ! loop over the monomers in the chain do Mybead = 2, n\_mon Lready1 Lready2 = .false. = .false. ! we already found an open direction at Mybead, namely the one ! where the chain continues Ndir(Mybead) = 1 ! make a copy of the coordinates chain\_pos\_copy(:, :) = chain\_pos(:, :) coccocccccccccccccccccccccc This is the tricky part of the algorithm: Given a complete chain, look at every monomer and find out how many feelers of length Nrecoil can be grown there There are to exit flags: 1) Lready1: when we are back to where we started the search for one directions (thus we are done with the forward part of the tready1. i tree)
! tree)
! tree)
! Lready2: When we found a (just one!) feeler that has length
! Nrecoil.ready1)
do while(.not.Lready1) ! start at the current bead i\_mon = Mybead 1\_mon = mybead ! we maximally need to grow to Maxbead Maxbead = min(n\_mon, i\_mon -1 + Nrecoil) Iparent = Parent(Whmain(i\_mon)) Lready2 = .false. do while(.not.Lready2) if(Nchild(Iparent).eq.Nchoi(i\_mon)) then f(Wchild(Iparent).eq.Nchoi(i\_mon)) then
! recoil
i\_mon = i\_mon - 1
Iparent = Parent(Iparent)
! if we went back behing the starting point Mybead, we are done
if(i\_mon.it.Mybead) then
Lready1 = .true.
end if end if else else !generate new trial direction if(l\_open\_angle\_eq\_pi .or. (i\_mon .eq. 2)) then call draw\_2d\_3d\_bond(bond\_len, Bond, & call draw\_2d\_3d\_bond(bond\_len, Bond chain\_pos\_copy(:, i\_mon) = chain\_pos\_copy(:, i\_mon-1) + Bond(:)
call e\_monomer(Ichain, i\_mon, chain\_pos\_copy, En, l\_infinity) stop end if Nchild(Iparent) = Nchild(Iparent) + 1 Parent(Ipoint) = Iparent Nchild(Ipoint) = 0 if(Lopen) then i\_mon = i\_mon + 1

Iparent = Ipoint stop end if end do end subroutine check\_pbc\_fluid if(i\_mon.gt.Maxbead) then
 ! we have grown past Maxbead, so we found a free feeler.
 ! Set signal flag and increment counter for free feelers
 Lready2 = .true.
 Ndir(Mybead) = Ndir(Mybead) + 1
 end if
 end do i loop over Lready2
end do ! loop over Lready1
end do ! loop over Lready1
end do ! loop over Lready1
end do ! loop over Lready2
end do ! loop over Lready2
end do ! loop over Lready1
end do ! loop over Lready2
end do ! loop over Lready2
end do ! loop over Lready1
end do ! loo energy of chain i\_chain broutine e\_chain(i\_chain, chain\_positions, en\_chain, l\_calc\_bond\_en) integer, intent(in) :: i\_chain
real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_mon), intent(in) :: chain\_positions
real(kind=dp), intent(cut) :: en\_chain
logical, intent(in), optional :: l\_calc\_bond\_en logical: liminity integer :: l\_imfinity integer :: i\_mon real(kind=dp) :: en, bond\_en real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: bond\_vec en\_chain = 0.0\_dp do i\_mon = 1, n\_mon
 call e\_monomer(i\_chain, i\_mon, chain\_positions, en, l\_infinity) call e\_monomer(i\_chain, i\_mon, chain\_positions, en, l\_infinity)
if(l\_infinity) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, i7, a, i7, a)') &
 "FRRMR (SR e\_chain): l\_infinity for i\_chain=", i\_chain, &
 ", i\_mon=", i\_mon," encountered"
call fluid\_positions\_out("e\_chain\_infinite.dat", i\_time\_mc, &
 i, i\_chain \* n\_mon)
end if stop end if end do end if Weigh ic Weight = 1.0\_dp / Pmain(1) weight = 1.0\_up / immin() do i\_mon = 2, n\_mon Weight = Weight \* real(Ndir(i\_mon), kind=dp) / Pmain(i\_mon) end do en\_chain = en\_chain + en end do onde\_count = Ipoint
if(l\_debug\_grow\_recoil) print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR grow\_recoil" if(present(l\_calc\_bond\_en) .and. l\_calc\_bond\_en .and. n\_mon .gt. 1) then ve assume that all bonds are identical in the chain bond yee(:) = chain\_positions(:, 1) - chain\_positions(:, 2) ! coordinates are not folded, so we don't need to apply the ! minimum image convention return end subroutine Grow\_Recoil draws a random first position of a chain subroutine draw\_random\_pos(pos) nsoutine utaw\_ianuom\_pos(pos) real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim), intent(out) :: pos integer :: i\_dim if(l\_debug\_draw\_random\_pos) print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR draw\_random\_pos" end subroutine e\_chain do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc
 pos(i\_dim) = ran\_uniform() \* boundary(i\_dim) pos( end do end do
end of
if there are walls define postion perpendicular to walls
if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then
if(1\_2d\_flat\_setup) then
if (1\_2d\_flat\_setup) in the x-y plane set particle in the middle
i between the walls
pos(n\_dim) = 0.5\_dp+boundary(n\_dim)
else
boundary(n\_dim) is initialized with the initial wall separation
i if this monmer is too close to the wall, the move is likely to be
rejected.
pos(n\_dim) = (boundary(n\_dim)-min\_initial\_wall\_distance) &
end if
if(1\_down draw randem nee) print \* "DEENG: Leaving SE draw randem nee" do i\_mon = 1, n\_mon if(l\_debug\_draw\_random\_pos) print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR draw\_random\_pos" end subroutine draw\_random\_pos !-----if(1 integer :: i dim 1, i\_chain \* n\_mon)
stop
end if
en\_chain = en\_chain + potential\_wall end if end subroutine impose\_pbc impose periodic boundary conditions in xy on position point(:)
subroutine pbc\_fold(point)
real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim), intent(inout) :: point
integer :: i\_dim
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc
if(point(i\_dim) = point(i\_dim)) then
point(i\_dim) = point(i\_dim) - boundary(i\_dim)
else if(point(i\_dim).lt.0.0\_dp) then
point(i\_dim) = point(i\_dim) + boundary(i\_dim)
end if
end do
if() pbc fold check) :: ena ao
if(l\_pbc\_fold\_check) then
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc
if(point(i\_dim), cit.boundary(i\_dim)) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, 11, a, g13.6, a, g13.6, a)') &
 "ERROR (SR pbc\_fold): point(", i\_dim, ")=", point(i\_dim), &
 "to ' boundarys'.poundary(1\_dim), " after folding. Box too small."
 "to '' boundarys'.point('', dim), " after folding. en\_chain = en\_chain + potential\_fluid end do ! loop over monomers if(present(l\_calc\_bond\_en) .and. l\_calc\_bond\_en .and. n\_mon .gt. 1) then ! we assume that all bonds are identical in the chain " / Dummary, ,-stop else if(point(i\_dim).tt.0.0\_dp) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, ii, a, g13.6, a)') & "ERROR (SR pbc\_fold): point(", i\_dim, ")=", point(i\_dim), & " < 0.0 after folding. Box too small."</pre> !----bond\_vec(:) = chain\_positions(:, 1) - chain\_positions(:, 2) ! coordinates are folded call apply\_mic(bond\_vec) call e\_bond(bond\_vec, bond\_en)
en\_chain = en\_chain + real(n\_mon -1, kind=dp) \* bond\_en
! print \*, "DEBUG (e\_chain\_old): bond\_en=", bond\_en stop end if end do end if d sub end if end subroutine e\_chain\_old end subroutine pbc\_fold !--! calculates the bond energy of a given bond-vector (LJ and FENE) subroutine e\_bond(bond\_vec, bond\_en) real(kind=dp), dimension(n.dim), intent(in) :: bond\_vec real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: bond\_en inder) integer; intent(in) :: 1\_part\_index, u\_part\_index integer:: i\_dim, i\_part do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc do i\_part = 1\_part\_index, u\_part\_index if(rO(i\_part,i\_dim).gt.boundary(i\_dim)) then write(unit=\*, fnt='(a,54,a,12,a,e14.6,a,i1,a,g14.6)') & "ERROR (SR check\_pbc\_fluid): Fluid position rO(",i\_part,",", & i\_dim, ")=", rO(i\_part,i\_dim), " > boundary(", i\_dim, ")=", & boundary(i\_dim) stop reat(kind=dp), intent(out) :: bond\_en real(kind=dp) :: r\_dummy, r\_2 ! the types of the fluid particles ! we assume homopolymers of type 1 (see SR conf\_default) integer, parameter :: i\_type = 1, j\_type = 1 r\_2 = dot\_product(bond\_wec(:), bond\_wec(:)) ! calculate LJ potential bond\_en = lj\_pot(i\_type, j\_type, r\_2) ! calculate FENE potential r\_dummy = r\_2/(r\_chain\_2 \* sigma\_2(i\_type, j\_type)) if(r\_dummy.ge. 10. dv) then boundary(1\_41m, stop lse if(rO(i\_part,i\_dim).lt.0.0\_dp) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, i5, a, i2, a, g14.6, a)') & "ERROR (SR check,pbc\_fluid) :Fluid position rO(",i\_part,",", & i\_dim, ")=", rO(i\_part,i\_dim), " < 0.0"</pre> els if(r\_dummy .ge. 1.0\_dp) then
 ! the FENE-potential diverges at r\_chain\_2 \* sigma\_2(i\_type, j\_type).

stop end if !-! energy of monomer i\_mon in chain Ichain subroutine e\_monomer(Ichain, i\_mon, chain\_positions, En, l\_infinity) uuroutine e\_monomer(Ichain, i\_mon, chain\_positions, En, l\_infinity)
integer, intent(in) :: Ichain
integer, intent(in) :: i\_mon
real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_mon), intent(in) :: chain\_positions
real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: En
logical, intent(out) :: l\_infinity
labe types of the fivid read :: ? logical, inten(vout) :: 1\_inity ! the types of the fluid particles ! we assume homopolymers of type 1 (see SR conf\_default) ! (for different types use i\_type = type((i\_chain -1) \* n\_mon + i\_mon) ) integer, parameter :: i\_type = 1 real(kind=qh) :: potential\_wall, potential\_fluid, & potential\_intra\_chain if(l\_debug\_e\_monomer) print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR e\_monomer, Ichain=", & Ichain, ", i\_mon=", i\_mon ichain, ", i\_mon=", i\_mon En = 0.0\_dp potential\_vall = 0.0\_dp potential\_fluid = 0.0\_dp potential\_intra\_chain = 0.0\_dp l\_infinity = .FALSE. point(:) = chain\_positions(:, i\_mon) i fold conditions(:, i\_mon) fold coordinates back call pbc\_fold(point) call pbc\_fold(point)
! interaction energy is calculated in several steps
if(l\_fluid,vall\_interaction) then
! interaction with the walls
! if a particle is "behind" the wall, l\_infinity is set to .TRUE.
! meaning that the potential is infinite
call pot\_walls(point, i\_type, potential\_wall, l\_infinity)
if(l\_debug.e\_monomer) &
 print \*, "DEBUG (SR 0\_monomer): E\_wall=", potential\_wall, &
 ", l\_infinity", l\_infinity
if(l\_infinity) return
end if ! interaction with all chains which already exist (and are put in the if(l\_debug\_e\_monomer) & print \*, "DEBUG (SR e\_monome ", l\_infinity=", l\_infinity if(l\_infinity) return \_monomer): E\_fluid=", potential\_fluid, & if(l\_debug\_e\_monomer) &
print \*, "DEBUG (SR e\_monomer): E\_intra\_chain=", &
potential\_intra\_chain, ", l\_infinity=", l\_infinity if(l\_infinity) return end if ! compute intra-chain interaction En = potential\_vall + potential\_fluid + potential\_intra\_chain if(l\_debug\_e\_monomer) print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR e\_monomer" return end subroutine e\_monomer intra chain potential subroutine pot\_intra\_chain(i\_mon, chain\_positions, potential, l\_infinity) integer, intent(in) :: i\_mon real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_mon), intent(in) :: chain\_positions real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: potential logical, intent(out) :: l\_infinity real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r
! we assume homopolymers of type 1 (see SR conf\_default)
integer, parameter :: i\_type = 1, j\_type = 1
if(l\_debug\_pot\_intra\_chain) print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR pot\_intra\_chain"
! constants for deciding where the next interaction site can be
one\_bond\_away = (range\_1(i\_type, j\_type) + bond\_len)\*\*2
two\_bonds\_away = (range\_1(i\_type, j\_type) + 2.0\_dp \* bond\_len)\*\*2
potential = 0.0\_dp
i mon loop = 1 i\_mon\_loop = 1 l\_mon\_loop = 1 do while(i\_mon\_loop .le. i\_mon -2) delta\_r(:) = chain\_positions(:, i\_mon\_loop) - chain\_positions(:, i\_mon) ! for a very stretched chain in a small box the chain ends could see ! each other (this is undesirable, though) call apply\_mic(delta\_r) r\_2 = dot\_product(delta\_r(:), delta\_r(:)) 

if(l\_debug\_pot\_intra\_chain) & II(\_\_ueeoug\_poc\_inita\_cnain) & write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,i6,a,i6,a,g10.3)') & "DEBUG (SR pot\_initra\_chain): interaction between monomer", & i\_mon, " and", imon.loop, " lj\_pot", lj\_pot(i\_type, j\_type, r\_2) potential = potential + lj\_pot(i\_type, j\_type, r\_2) potential = potential + lj\_pot(i\_type, j\_type, r\_2)
! go to next monomer
i\_mon\_loop = i\_mon\_loop +1
else if(r\_2 .lt. one\_bond\_avay) then
! for the small values the if statements are probably faster than
! the calculation involving square roots
i\_mon\_loop = i\_mon\_loop +1
else if(r\_2 .lt. two\_bonds\_avay) then
i\_mon\_loop = i\_mon\_loop +2
else if(r\_2 .lt. three\_bonds\_avay) then
i\_mon\_loop = i\_mon\_loop +3
else els end if end do !loop over all monomers before i\_mon -1 end up intop over all monomits before 1\_mon -1 ! we can check if the above method gives the same results as the simple ! loop over all i\_mon\_loop = 1 ... i\_mon - 2. if(l\_debug\_pot\_intrac\_chain) then classing\_pop\_initia\_classing inem potential\_check = 0.0\_dp do i\_mon\_loop = 1, i\_mon - 2 delta\_r(:) = chain\_positions(:, i\_mon\_loop) - chain\_positions(:, i\_mon) ! for a very stretched chain in a small box the chain ends could see ! each other (this is undesirable, though) call apply\_mic(delta\_r) return end if end iT
i(1\_debug\_pot\_intra\_chain) write(unit=\*, fmt='(a,i6,a,i6,a,g10.3)')&
 "DEBUG (SR pot\_intra\_chain): In intra-chain&
 & interaction between monomer", i\_mon, " and", i\_mon\_loop, &
 " lj\_pot=", lj\_pot(i\_type, j\_type, r\_2)
potential\_check = potential\_check + lj\_pot(i\_type, j\_type, r\_2)
end if
end do stop end if end if if(l\_dbug\_pot\_intra\_chain) print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR pot\_intra\_chain" and subroutine pot\_intra\_chain . decides is an direction is open subroutine Open\_Dir(etot, 1\_infinity, 1\_open, p\_open) real(kind=dp), intent(in) :: etot logical, intent(in) :: l\_infinity logical, intent(out) :: l\_open real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: p\_open ! we have to initialize 1\_ope: 1\_open = .false. Loyen - laise. : : if we encountered an infinite energy, this direction is surely closed if (1\_infinity) then  $p_{-}open = 0.0_dp$  return end if for high energies we can get a numerical 0 for p\_open. But the random numbers are stricity greater than 0, so we have to initialize 1\_open = .false. to get 1\_open = .false. in this case. \_open = min(1.0\_dp, exp(-temp\_inv \* etot)) p\_open = min(1.0\_dp, exp(-temp\_inv \* etot))
if(ran\_uniform() .1t. p\_open) l\_open = .true.
if(1\_debug\_open\_dir) then
if(p\_open .eq. 0.0\_dp .and. l\_open) then
print \*, "ERROR (SR Open\_Dir): p\_open .eq. 0.0\_dp .and. l\_open"
stop
end if
end if
end if return ad subroutine Open\_Dir \_\_\_\_\_ ! hold some random numbers available, so that function calls can be avoided integer, parameter :: rn\_buff\_size = 256 real(kind=rngRealKind), dimension(rn\_buff\_size), save :: rn\_buff integer, save :: used = rn\_buff\_size if(1\_debug\_ran\_uniform) print \*, "DEBUG: Entering FCT ran\_uniform" return else ! fill the buffer again call ranlux(rn\_buff, rn\_buff\_size) ....iform) & call rankuK(TE\_DUIT, NE\_DUIT\_SiZe)
if(l\_debug\_ran\_uniform) &
 print \*, "DEBUG (FCT ran\_uniform): Filled random number buffer" used = 1
ran\_uniform = real(rn\_buff(used), kind=dp) return end if end function ran\_uniform ! draw a bond in the approbriate dimensions. The case open\_angle .eq. pi ! is dealt with before. subroutine draw\_2d\_3d\_bond(bond\_len, bond, direction) unroutine draw\_ca\_od\_bond(bond\_iem, bond, direction/ real(kind=dp), intent(in) :: bond real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim), intent(out) :: bond real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim), intent(in), optional :: direction select case(n\_dim) case(3) ase(s: if(n (()) (n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 3) then if(present(direction)) then

```
call draw_unit_sphere_vec(bond, direction)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                else
return
end if
                  end do
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  call draw_unit_sphere_vec(bond)
else
call draw_unit_sphere_vec(bond)
else
if (present(direction)) then
call draw_unit_circle_vec(bond, direction)
else

                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       sets up an initial configuration using conventional configurational bias
monte carlo. Does not equilibrate the configuration.
ubroutine mc_fluid_setup_cbmc
integer :: i_chain, i_part, i_dim
! accounting of chains
logical :: l_new_chain_inserted
integer :: call_chain_insert_counter
! maximal number of calls to CBWC insertion of chain before giving up
integer, parameter :: n_max_insert_calls = 10000
do i_chain = 1, n_chain
call_chain_insert_counter = 0
! use configurational bias monte carlo for chain setup
! (works also for simple liquid)
do
all_chain_insert_counter = call_chain incert_counter if
            se
write(unit=*, fmt='(a,i1,a,i1,a)') "ERROR (SR draw_2d_3d_bond): &
    &n_dim=", n_dim, " and n_dim_pbc=", n_dim_pbc, " not implemented"
   WIN-
En_dim
stop
end if
case(2)
if(n_dim_pbc .eq. 2) then
if(present(direction)) then
call draw_unit_circle_vec(bond, direction)
'***_w_unit_circle_vec(bond)
' then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          call_chain_insert_counter = call_chain_insert_counter +1
          else
    call draw_unit_circle_vec(DDMAy)
    end if
    else if(__dim_pbc .eq. 1) then
    if(_rost.l_2d_flat_setup) then
    if(present(direction)) then
    call draw_unit_circle_vec(bond, direction)
    else
        call draw_unit_circle_vec(bond)
    end if
    ellet is is a rather simple bond
    if(_ram_uniform().lt. 0.5_dp) then
    bond(1) = 1.0_dp
    else
        // = -1.0_db
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        call_chain_insert_counter = call_chain_insert_counter +1
! try to insert a chain until we successfully inserted one
call insert_chain(type(1 + (i_chain-1)*n_mon : i_chain*n_mon), &
    (i_chain-1) * n_mon, l_new_chain_inserted)
if(l_new_chain_inserted) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i6, a, i6)') &
    "MESSAGE (SR m_cfluid_setup_chec): needed", &
    call_chain_insert_counter, " calls for inserting chain", &
    i obein
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  i_chain
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         exit
end if
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        stop
end if
end do
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      emm ii
md do
apply periodic boundary conditions if necessary for the whole chain.
If we applied the pbc during creation of the chain, and particle i
gets folded in the simulation box, particle i+1 would not be folded.
This seems a bit artificial, although the simulation runs identically
with either method, as we are working with equivalence classes of
particles anyways.
to i_part = 1 + (i_chain-1)*n_mon, i_chain*n_mon
do i_dim = 1, n_dim_pbc
if(r0(i_part,i_dim) = r0(i_part,i_dim) + boundary(i_dim)
pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) = pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) + 1
else if(r0(i_part,i_dim) = pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) + 1
else if(r0(i_part,i_dim) = pbc_count(i_part,i_dim)
pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) = pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) = 1
end do
md do
 stop
end if
end select
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          end 11
end do
end do
end do ! i_chain = 1, n_chain
        ! rescale with bond-length
bond(:) = bond_len * bond(:)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          end do 'l_cnain = ', n_cnain
' now that we have a configuration it is not necessary anymore to ramp
' the effective potential
'r_2_min in = 0.0.dp
'r_2_min = 0.0.dp
'r_2_min, time = 0.0_dp
'l_r_2_min, finite = .FALSE.
return
end subroutine draw_2d_3d_bond
! draws a vector on the unit circle with opening angle open_angle if an
! ddutional direction vector is specified.
subroutine draw_unit_circle_vec(vec, direction)
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim), intent(out) :: vec
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim), intent(in), optional :: direction
real(kind=dp) :: phi
if(present(direction)) then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   end subroutine mc_fluid_setup_cbmc
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  if(present(direction)) then
             [{present(direction) / them
! we draw the angle phi and compute the components
! then the angle from the direction vector is added
phi = 2.0_dp * open_angle * (ran_uniform() - 0.5_dp)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          __new_cnain_inserted)
integer, dimension(n_mon), intent(in) :: monomer_types
integer, intent(in) :: n_particles_before
logical, intent(out) :: l_new_chain_inserted
      logical, intent(out) :: 1_new_chain_inserted
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim, n_mon) :: chain_positions
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim, n_mon) :: chain_positions_full
! arrays holding random numbers
! if one knows the number of random numbers needed, it is more efficient
! to draw them at once thus saving calls.
real(kind=rgRealKind), dimension(n_mon) :: random_mon
! Boltzman weights of trial vectors for fixed monomer in chain
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_trial_vectors) :: weight
! sum of the weigths for each monomer
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_mon) :: cumulated_weights
       ! we have at least two dimensions, otherwise we couldn't draw a circle if(present(direction)) phi = phi + atan(direction(2)/direction(1))
      vec(1) = cos(phi)
vec(2) = sin(phi)
       vector = singhi/
! if we do a flat setup in a 3d system, the 3rd coordinate is set to 0
if(n_dim .eq. 3 .and. n_dim_pbc .eq. 2) vec(n_dim) = 0.0_dp
 return
end subroutine draw_unit_circle_vec
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          trai_cumd =_r, _ =
t rial vectors
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim, n_trial_vectors) :: trial_vectors
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim) :: point
! draws a vector on the unit sphere with opening angle open_angle if an
! additional direction vector (3-d) is specified.
subroutine draw_unit_sphere_vec(vec, direction)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            ! which trial vectors were taken
integer, dimension(n_mon) :: chosen_trial_vectors
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        ! which this vectors were taken
integer, disension(a_mon) :: chosen_trial_vectors
! counter
integer disension(a_mon) :: chosen_trial_vectors
! counter first_position_draws, counter_trial_vector_draws, &
! length of the trial vectors
real(kind=dp) :: step_length
! potential energies
real(kind=dp) :: step_length
! flag if potential winfinite". This can happen for the vall and also
! for the fluid interaction in case of backfolding.
logical :: linfinity
! monomer positions in chain
integer :: ison_pos, ison_pos_2
! maximal length of chainreached so far
integer :: max_reached_chain_length
! sum of reached chain-length for getting average
real(kind=dp) :: sum_reached_chain_lengths
! trial vector_index
    ubroutine draw_unit_sphere_vec(vec, direction) :: vec
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim), intent(out) :: vec
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim), intent(in), optional :: direction
real(kind=dp) :: cos_phi, sin_phi, z_component, angle
integer, parameter :: n_max_iter = 25
integer :: iter
! ve draw the sines and cosines instead of drawing the angles and
! computing them, because trigonometric operations are relatively
! expensive
cos phi = 2.0 dp * ran uniform() = 1.0 dp
              ! expensive
cos_phi = 2.0_dp * ran_uniform() - 1.0_dp
sin_phi = 2.0_dp * ran_uniform() - 1.0_dp
z_component = cos_phi**2 + sin_phi**2
            z_component = cos_phi**2 + sin_phi**2
' z_component must be in (0, 1) (open interval), that is, must be inside
! the unit circle (without 0). Just rescaling cos_phi and sin_phi
! would overly prefer the corners of the circle.
do while (z_component .ge. 1.0.dp or. z_component .eq. 0.0.dp)
cos_phi = 2.0.dp * ran_uniform() - 1.0.dp
z_component = cos_phi**2 + sin_phi**2
end do

                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          rear(xind=qp) :: sum_reached_chain_lengths
integer :: l_trial
': counter for chain bond crossings in x-y plane
integer :: n_xy_bond_crossings
'e weights
real(kind=dp) :: select_cum_weight, cumulated
            end_do
! z_component is distributed between 0 and 1, so &
! 1.0.dp - 2.0_dp * z_component
! is distributed between -1 and 1. Because cos_phi and sin_phi were
! evenly distributed and z_component was defined as the sums of the
! squares, z_component will have the distribution of the z-component
! of points on the unit sphere
vec(n_dim)= 1.0_dp - 2.0_dp * z_component
! we have to rescale cos_phi and sin_phi to have a length of 1
! just write down the sum of the squares of the components to verify
z_component = 2.0_dp * sqrt(1.0_dp - z_component)
vec(1) = z_component * cos_phi
vec(2) = z_component * sin_phi
if(present(direction)) then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         real(kind=dp) :: select_cum_veignt, cumulatea
! Rosenbluth factors of chains
real(kind=dp) :: rosenbluth_factor_new
real(kind=dp) :: rosenbluth_rescale
! energy of a bond
real(kind=dp) :: bond_energy
! total potential of the chains.
real(kind=dp), save :: total_potential_chains = 0.0_dp
real(kind=dp), save :: total_potential_chains = 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           integer :: n_chains_before
              if(present(direction)) then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           if(l_debug_insert_chain) print *, "DEBUG: Entering SR insert_chain"
                     ! initialize
temp_inv = 1.0_dp / temp
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          n_chains_before = n_particles_before / n_mon
if(l_debug_insert_chain) write(unit=*, fmt=*) &
```

```
"DEBUG: n_chains_before = ", n_chains_before
 ! reset counter
counter_chain_build_tries = 0
 max_reached_chain_length = 0
sum_reached_chain_lengths = 0.0_dp
the Rosenbluth factor of an ideal chain, which does not interact with a wall and where non-bonded neighbor interactions don't happen
stop
end if
 stop
end if
 ! this is a loop over tries to build a complete chain
 do
    counter chain build tries = counter chain build tries +1
    veight(:) = 0.0_dp
potential_mon(:, :) = 0.0_dp
chosen_trial_vectors(:) = 0
       specify position of first monomer in chain
_mon_pos = 1
    counter_first_position_draws = 0
     Uniter_list_point/on_number = 0 for
call bin_fluid_particles(1, n_particles_before)
! create first monomer at random position.
! one step is always self avoiding.______
       it can happen that the position of the first monomer is such that its
potential is very high, leading to a numerical 0 in the weight. In
this case we try another position for the first monomer.
        call draw_random_pos(chain_positions(:, i_mon_pos))
        inf(.not.l.infinity) then
call pot_fluid(chain_positions(:,i_mon_pos), &
    monomer_types(i_mon_pos), potential_fluid, l_infinity)
          eise
weight(1) = 0.0_dp
end if
else
weight(1) = 0.0_dp
end if
           els
        if(weight(1) .gt. 0.0_dp) then
    ! we have found a position with finite energy
            : we
exit
       eXit
else
if(l_debug_insert_chain) then
    print *, "DEBUG: weight(1) = 0.0_dp, new first position"
    end if
           end if
counter_first_position_draws = counter_first_position_draws +1
if(counter_first_position_draws gt. n_max_first_position_draws) then
write(unit**, fmt='(a, i7, a)') &
"ERBROR (SR insert, chain): wwight(1) = 0.0_dp for the last", &
n_max_first_position_draws, " first positions."
write(unit**, fmt='(a, i8, a)') &
" (number of fluid particles already in system &
& n_particles_before=", n_particles_before, ")"
stop
    &n_particles_before=", n_particles_before, ")"
stop
end if
end if ! (weight(1). gt. 0.0_dp)
end do ! end loop over first position draws
! redefine weight (follow the notation in Frenkel/Smit with the prefactor
    ! n_trial_vectors)
chosen_trial_vectors(i_mon_pos) = 1
```

```
stop
end if
end if
         it can happen that all the weights for this step become 0,
in this case we retry
          > 1_trial = 1, n_trial_vectors
! get step length. Either the preferred bond length or a length
! drawn according to its Boltzmann weight
step_length = choose_length(type(monomer_types(i_mon_pos-1)), &
type(monomer_types(i_mon_pos)))
call draw_2d_3d_bond(step_length, trial_vectors(:, i_trial))
! get interaction potential
!--------
                     add position of previous monomer to get the position of the
                ! add position on performance
! trial monomer
point(:) = r0(n_particles_before + i_mon_pos -1, :) &
 + trial_vectors(, i_trial)
! unless the length of the trial vectors gets bigger than the
! binning box width, three should be no difference, because of
! the int() cast in finding the bin-indices. However, when
! bonds can be long, this can happen and we have to fold the
! coordinates.
                   coordinates.
all pbc_fold(point)
               . ________, pointe", p
call get_bin_indices
stop
end if
else if
weight(i_trial) = 0.0_dp
end if
else ______
            else
weight(i_trial) = 0.0_dp
end if
end do
            cumulated_weights(i_mon_pos) = sum(weight(:))
          if(cumulated_weights(i_mon_pos) .gt. 0.0_dp) then
exit
else
                se
if(l_debug_insert_chain) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i7, a)') &
    "DEBUG: cumulated_weights(", i_mon_pos, ") = 0.0_dp"
              "DEBUG: cumulated_weights(", i_mon_pos, ") = 0.0_dp"
end if
counter_trial_vector_draws = counter_trial_vector_draws +1
if(counter_trial_vector_draws.gt. n_max_trial_vector_draws) then
max_reached_chain_lengths = & 
max(max_reached_chain_length, i_mon_pos-1)
sum_reached_chain_lengths = sum_reached_chain_lengths &
 + real(i_mon_pos-1, kindedp)
vrito(unit**, fmt='(a, i7, a, i4, a)') &
 "MESSAGE (SR insert_chain): cumulated_weights(", &
 i_mon_pos, ") = 0.0 for last", &
 n_max_trial_vector_draws, " trial sets"
! write(unit**, fmt='(a, i7), a, i3(3.6)') &
! " center at: r0(", n_particles_before+i_mon_pos-1, &
 ! ", :)=", r0(n_particles_before + i_mon_pos -1, :)
write(unit**, fmt='(a') &
 m Kerying with new startpoint..."
goto 100
                 and if
                     goto 100
     end if
end if
end do ! draws of trial vectors
! choose a trial vector according to its Boltzmann weight
'-------
      i lind the index where select_cum_weight reaches the cumilated_weight
i there is the possibility that cumulated_weights(i_mon_pos) is just
i the minimal number that can be described by a real. Multiplying this
! number with random in (0, 1) can leave the result unchanged (!), so
! select.cum_weight = cumulated_weights(i_mon_pos).
! So, the selection has to be done with .LE. to catch the case
! select.cum_weight = cumulated_weights(i_mon_pos).
! Besides, cumulated_weights(i_mon_pos) is always .GT. 0, so every
! selection uses a half open interval.
cumulated = 0.0_dp
do i_trial = 1, n_trial_vectors
cumulated = cumulated + weight(i_trial)
if(select_cum_weight .le. cumulated) then
```

chosen\_trial\_vectors(i\_mon\_pos) = i\_trial exit end if end do if' Ti(chosen\_trial\_vectors(i\_mon\_pos) .eq. 0) then write(unit\*\*,  $fmt=^{*}(a)^{*}$ , k"ERROW (SR insert\_chain): Fallthrough when searching for trial kvrlte(uni: "ERROR (SR insert\_cnain... & vector"
 "ERROR (SR insert\_cnain... & vector"
 " vie(unit=\*, fmt=\*) & "
 " vie(unit=\*, fmt=\*) & "
 " cumulated\_veights=", cumulated\_weights(i\_mon\_pos)
 write(unit=\*, fmt=\*) & "
 " random number= ", & 
 real(random\_mon(i\_mon\_pos -1), kind=dp)
 write(unit=\*, fmt=\*) & "
 " select\_cum\_weight=", select\_cum\_weight
 write(unit=\*, fmt=\*) & "
 " cumulated= ", cumulated
 stop end if to control provide the provide end do ! loop over all monomers build up full chain positions (not folded) \_mon\_pos\_2 = 1 end if " Retrying with new startpoint..." if(l\_resolve\_bond\_crossings) then write(unit\*\*, fmt\*'(a, 17, a)') & "ERROR (SR insert\_chain): Detected", n\_xy\_bond\_crossings, & " self xy-bond crossings in chain \*after\* resolving them" ! dump chain projection on xy-plane call write\_chain\_in\_xy\_plane(chain\_positions\_full, & "chain\_pos\_dump.dat") ston stop end if ! retry with new startpoint goto 100 end if end if end if
! if we are here, then we have successfully build a chain (which has not
! been accepted yet)
max\_reached\_chain\_length = &
 max(max\_reached\_chain\_length, n\_mon)
sum\_reached\_chain\_lengths = sum\_reached\_chain\_lengths &
 + real(n\_mon, kind=dp)
write(unit\*\*, fmf\*'(a, f11.3)') &
 "MESSAGE (SR insert\_chain): Completed chain, average length of &
 &builds\*', sum\_reached\_chain\_lengths &
 / real(counter\_chain\_build\_tries, kind=dp)
! get out of loop
exit
! we retry . ! we retry continue 100 contine '
if(counter\_chain\_build\_tries .eq. n\_max\_chain\_build\_tries) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, i7)') &
 "ERROR (SR insert\_chain): counter\_chain\_build\_tries .eq. &
 & m\_max\_chain\_build\_tries=", n\_max\_chain\_build\_tries
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, i8, a, f11.3)') &
 "WESSAGE (SR insert\_chain): max\_reached\_chain\_length\*, &
 max\_reached\_chain\_length, " average length of builds=", &
 sum\_reached\_chain\_lengths &
 // real(counter\_chain\_build\_tries, kind=dp)
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a)') " Giving up. System to small?"
stop write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "
stop end if end do ! chain build tries ! DEBUG if(l\_debug\_insert\_chain) then

do i\_mon\_pos = 1, n\_mon
write(unit=\*, fmt =\*) &
 "DEEDUG: cumulated\_weights(", i\_mon\_pos, ")=", &
 cumulated\_weights(i\_mon\_pos)
 ... end do end if end iI ! rescale weights to avoid numerical underflow cumulated\_weights(:) = rosenbluth\_rescale \* cumulated\_weights(:) ! calculate Rosenbluth factor of nevly grown chain rosenbluth\_factor\_new = product(cumulated\_weights(:)) -----rosenouum\_torn\_ ! DEBUG if(l\_debug\_insert\_chain) then wrie(unit\*\*, fmt=\*) & "DEBUG: rosenbluth\_factor\_new=", rosenbluth\_factor\_new write(unit\*\*, fmt=\*) & "DEBUG: rosenbluth\_factor\_old=", rosenbluth\_factor\_old "defactor\_new" end if
! insert the chain according to its Rosenbluth factor
if(random\_mon(n\_mon) \* rosenbluth\_factor\_old &
 .lt. rosenbluth\_factor\_new) then ..t. rosenouucn\_factor\_new) then l\_new\_chain\_inserted = .TRUE. if(l\_debug\_insert\_chain) then write(unit=\*, fmt=\*(a)') & und if "DEBUG: accepted chain for insertion" and if = end if end do
! If we applied the pbc during creation of the chain, and particle i
! gets folded in the simulation box, particle i+1 would not be folded.
! this seems a bit artificial, although the simulation runs identically
! with either method, as we are working with equivalence classes of
! particles anyways.
! apply periodic boundary conditions if neccessary for the whole chain in
! the calling program unit.
do i\_mon\_pos = 1, n\_mon
r0(n\_particles\_before + i\_mon\_pos, :) = &
 chain\_positions\_full(:, i\_mon\_pos) chain\_positions\_full(:, end do else l\_new\_chain\_inserted = .FALSE. if(l\_debug\_insert\_chain) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &
 "DEBUG: did not accept chain for insertion" end if end if
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, g10.3, a, 12, a)') &
 "MESSAGE (SR insert\_chain): R\_new/R\_old =", &
 rosenbluth\_factor\_new / rosenbluth\_factor\_old, &
 " (chain accepted:", l\_new\_chain\_inserted, ")"
if(l\_debug\_insert\_chain) print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR insert\_chain" end subroutine insert\_chain finds bin indices subroutine get\_bin\_indices(point) real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim), intent(in) :: point integer, dimension(n\_dim\_max) :: i\_bin integer :: i\_dim i\_bin(n\_dim+1:n\_dim\_max) = 0 find indices of bins do l\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc i\_bini(i\_dim) = int(point(i\_dim)/r\_bin(i\_dim)) if(i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1 urd to: end do if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then
 i\_bin(n\_dim) = int((point(n\_dim) - lowest\_fluid\_z)/r\_bin(n\_dim))
 if(i\_bin(n\_dim) .eq. n\_bin(n\_dim)) i\_bin(n\_dim) = n\_bin(n\_dim) - 1
end if enu ii write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, 3(i3, a))') & "Is in bin(", i\_bin(1), ",", i\_bin(2), ",", i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), "):" write(unit=\*, fmt=\*) & bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), :) end subroutine get\_bin\_indices !-----subroutine apply\_mic(delta\_r) real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim), intent(inout) :: delta\_r integer :: i\_dim nteger :: 1\_uim minimum image convention in xy plane only here one can save a lot of cpu time if the right method is chosen end do else ! faster on Pentiums do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc \_\_\_\_\_ stop end if puts fluid particles in index range l\_part\_index, u\_part\_index into bins. If only l\_part\_index is present, the we update the bins with this index. ubroutine bin\_fluid\_particles(l\_part\_index, u\_part\_index) integer, intent(in): l\_part\_index integer; intent(in), optional :: u\_part\_index integer :: i\_dim, i\_part, part\_range ! bin looping variables integer, dimension(n\_dim\_max) :: i\_bin the bin geometry was defined in SR init\_binning reset bins if we bin a range of particles, one single particle is just

! added
if(present(u\_part\_index)) then int(present(u\_part\_index)) then bin\_fluid(:, :, :, 0) = 0 bin\_fluid(:, :, :, 1) = huge(1) part\_range = u\_part\_index - l\_part\_index else part\_range = 0 end if bin the particles ! As r\_bin(1) = boundary(1)/n\_bin(1) one could write
! i\_bin\_x = int(r0(i\_part,1)/r\_bin(1)) if 0 <= position < L if we had
! infinite precision.</pre> ! infinite precision. ! But because of finite precision int(r0(i\_part,1)/r\_bin(1)) could become ! n\_bin(1) (if r\_bin(1) was rounded towards zero) outside the valid range. ! To prevent this we use the if() statements after the int cast. ! bins in dimensions which are not in use get i\_bin = 0 i\_bin(n\_dim+1:n\_dim\_max) = 0 do i\_part = l\_part\_index, l\_part\_index + part\_range \_ beckr same of coordinates check range of coordinates if(1\_binning3d\_check\_range) call binning3d\_check\_range(i\_part) ifind indices of bins do l\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc i\_bini(\_dim) = int(rO(i\_part, i\_dim)/r\_bin(i\_dim)) if(i\_bin(i\_dim) = oq. n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1 cod do end do lowest fluid z is defined in SR binning3d : lowes\_litud\_z is defined in Sk Dimingod if(n\_dim eg. n\_dim\_pbc+l) then i\_dim = n\_dim i\_bin(i\_dim) = int((ro(i\_part, i\_dim) - lowest\_fluid\_z) /r\_bin(i\_dim)) if(i\_bin(i\_dim) eq. n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1 end if increment counter bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), 0) = & bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), 0) + 1 bin\_fluid(i\_bln(1), i\_van(., .) (check overum if(l\_binning3d\_check\_bin\_overum) then if(bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), 0).gt.n\_bin\_fl) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a,i4, a, i4, a, i3, a, i3, a, i3)') & "ERON (SB bin\_fluid,particles): bin\_fluid(", k i\_bin(1),",",i\_bin(2),",',i\_bin(n\_dim\_max),",0)=", k bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), 0), k "> n\_bin\_fl=", n\_bin\_fl" stop stop end if end if !(1\_binning3d\_check\_bin\_overrun) end if '(L\_binningsd\_check\_bin\_overrun)
! put particle into bin
bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), &
 bin\_fluid(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), 0)) = i\_part
if(l\_debug\_bin\_fluid\_particles) then
 write(unit\*, fmt='(a, i7, a, 3g1.6)') &
 "DEBUG (SR bin\_fluid\_particles): r0(", i\_part, ",:)=", &
 r0(i rart. ') r0(i\_part, :) r0(i\_part, :) " was put in bin (", i\_bin(1), ",", i\_bin(2), & ",", i\_bin(n\_dim\_max), ")" end if ! bin wall particles subroutine bin\_wall\_particles ! bin looping variables integer, dimension(n\_dim\_max-1) :: i\_bin integer :: i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y integer :: i\_dim, i\_part sanity check ! santy cneck if(n\_dim .ne. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR bin\_wall\_particles): & &n\_dim .ne. n\_dim\_pbc+1 so there are no walls." stop end if bin\_twall(:, :, 0) = 0 bin\_twall(:, :, 1:) = huge(1) bin\_bwall(:, :, 0) = 0 bin\_bwall(:, :, 1:) = huge(1) ! top wall do i\_part = 1+n\_mon\_tot,n\_mon\_tot+n\_top\_wall ! check range of coordinates ! if(1\_binning3d\_check\_range) call binning3d\_check\_range(i\_part) ! find indices of bins ! find indices of bins do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc i\_bin(i\_dim)= int(r0(i\_part, i\_dim)/r\_bin(i\_dim)) if((i\_bin(i\_dim) .eq. n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1 end do ! increment counter bin\_twall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max-1), 0) = & bin\_twall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max-1), 0) + 1 " > n\_bin\_wa=", n\_bin\_wa stop end if end if !(1\_binning3d\_check\_bin\_overrun) ! put particle into bin bin\_twall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), bin\_twall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(2), 0)) & = i\_part end do ! loop over top wall ! bottom wall
do i\_part = 1+n\_mon\_tot+n\_top\_wall, n\_part ! check range of coordinates ! if(l\_binning3d\_check\_range) call binning3d\_check\_range(i\_part) end do
! increment counter
bin\_bwall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max-1), 0) = &
 bin\_bwall(i\_bin(1), i\_bin(n\_dim\_max-1), 0) + 1 ! check overrun

" > n\_DIn\_wa=", n\_UIN\_wa
stop
end if
end if !(l\_binning3d\_check\_bin\_overrun) stop ", Dut n\_ootcom\_a\_\_\_\_ , stop end if end if end if !(1\_binning3d\_check\_number) end if '(1\_binning3d\_check\_number)
if(.FALSE.) then
do i bin, x = 0, n\_bin(1)-1
do i\_bin, x = 0, n\_bin(2)-1
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i3, a, i3, a, i3)') &
 "bin\_tvall(", i\_bin\_x, ",", i\_bin\_y, ", 0)=", &
 bin\_tvall(", i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, 0)
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*) bin\_tvall(i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, 1:)
end do end do end do end do
do i\_bin\_x = 0, n\_bin(1)-1
do i\_bin\_y = 0, n\_bin(2)-1
write(unit=\*, fm=\*'(a, i3, a, i3, a, i3)') &
 "bin\_bwall(", i\_bin\_x, ",", i\_bin\_y, ", 0)=", &
 bin\_bwall(i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, 0)
write(unit=\*, fm=\*) bin\_bwall(i\_bin\_x, i\_bin\_y, 1:) end do end do end if end subroutine bin\_wall\_particles LJ potential for two particles of type i\_type and j\_type at squared ! distance r\_2 real(kind=dp) function lj\_pot(i\_type, j\_type, r\_2) eal(kind=dp) function 1]\_po(1\_type, j\_) integer, intent(in) :: i\_type, j\_type real(kind=dp), intent(in) :: r\_2 real(kind=dp) :: r\_6, r\_12 r\_6 = (sigma\_2(i\_type, j\_type)/r\_2)\*\*3 r\_12 = r\_6\*\*2 lj\_pot = four\_epsil(i\_type,j\_type) \* (r\_12 - r\_6 - e\_shift(i\_type,j\_type)) end function lj\_pot potential of a fluid particle at point exercised from the walls broutine pot\_walls(point, j\_type, potential, l\_infinity) real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim), intent(in) :: point integer :: i\_dim ! the type of the fluid particle integer, intent(in) :: j\_type integer, intent(in) :: j\_type
real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: potential
logical, intent(out) :: l\_infinity
! particle type (wall has type n\_type)
integer, parameter :: i\_type = n\_type
! bin and particle indices
integer, dimension(n\_dim\_max-1) :: i\_bin
integer :: i\_bin\_x, j\_bin\_x, j\_bin\_y, k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y
integer :: i\_bin\_part, i\_part integes. integes. integer :: i\_bin\_par., \_\_\_ ! helpers real(kind=dp) :: r\_2 real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: delta\_r if(l\_debug\_pot\_walls) print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR pot\_walls" if(l\_debug\_pot\_walls) then if(l\_type .lt. 0 .or. j\_type gt. n\_type) then write(unit=\*, fmt=\*) & "ERROR (SR pot\_walls): j\_type=", j\_type, " out of range" stop ! initialize potential = 0.0\_dp potential = 0.0\_qp if(n\_dim.eg. n\_dim.pbc+1) then ! check range of point. If "z"-coordinate is outside walls, give this ! point "infinite" potential if(point(n\_dim).ge. r0\_twall(n\_dim & .or. point(n\_dim).le. r0\_bwall(n\_dim)) then l\_infinity = .TRUE. return return end if end if l\_infinity = .FALSE.
! point must lie inside the box !
i\_bin(n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim\_max-1) = 0 i\_bin(n\_dim\_puc.in\_indices do i\_dim =1, n\_dim\_pbc i\_bin(i\_dim) = int(point(i\_dim) / r\_bin(i\_dim)) if(i\_bin(i\_dim) .eq. n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1 .d do snd Guebug\_pot\_walls) then
write(unit=\*, fmt=\*) &
 ""DEGUG: point=", point(:), " is in bin (" , i\_bin(1), &
 ",", i\_bin(2), ")" and if end if i\_bin\_x = i\_bin(1) i\_bin\_y = i\_bin(n\_dim\_max-1) ! loop over approbriate neighbor bins as set by delta\_bin(X) do j\_bin\_x = i\_bin\_x-1, i\_bin\_x + delta\_bin(1) if(n\_dim\_pbc .ge. 1) then ! do not forget periodic boundary conditions if(j\_bin\_x .it. 0) then k\_bin\_x = n\_bin(1) - 1 else if(j\_bin\_x .eq. n\_bin(1)) then k\_bin\_x = 0 else

k\_bin\_x = j\_bin\_x end if else ! note: the wall has only directions with pbc ! direction not used k\_bin\_x = 0 end if end if do j\_bin,y = i\_bin,y-1, i\_bin,y + delta\_bin(2) if(\_n\_dim\_pbc\_ge\_ 2) then if(\_j\_bin,y .1t. 0) then k\_bin,y = n\_bin(2) - 1 else if(j\_bin,y .eq. n\_bin(2)) then k\_bin,y = 0 else k\_bin,y = 0 end if k\_bin,y = 0 end if l on over all particles in this top wall b i.part = bin\_tvall(k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, i\_bin\_part)
! the vector from test particle to vall particle
delta\_r(:) = r0(i\_part, :) - point(:)
call apply\_mic(delta\_r)
r\_2 = dot\_product(delta\_r(:), delta\_r(:))
! check whether interaction takes place
if(r\_2.lt.range\_2(i\_type,]\_type)) then
! to avoid a (numerical) division by zero problem, we
! test if r\_2 is (numerically) zero ! test if r\_2 is (numerically) zero if(r\_2 .le. 0.0\_dp) then if(l\_dbug\_pot\_valls) & print \*, "encountered r\_2 = 0.0\_dp, returning." linfinity = .TRUE. potential = 0.0\_dp return end if potential = potential + lj\_pot(i\_type, j\_type, r\_2) end if !(r\_2.lt.range\_2(i\_type,j\_type)) end do end do
end do
i loop over all particles in this bottom wall bin
do i\_bin\_part = 1, bin\_bwall(k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, 0)
get the particle index
i\_part = bin\_bwall(k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, i\_bin\_part)
t the vector from test particle to wall particle
delta\_r(:) = rO(i\_part, :) - point(:)
call apply\_mic(delta\_r)
c = a det = vector(facto\_x(r)) delta\_r(r)) return end if potential = potential + lj\_pot(i\_type, j\_type, r\_2) end if !(r\_2.lt.range\_2(i\_type,j\_type)) end a end do end do and do end subroutine pot\_walls !-Potential energy of a fluid particle at point, in the potentials of the ! other fluid particles not in range ! l\_part\_excl\_index ... u\_part\_excl\_index. ! if point is too close to another fluid particle (backfolding !) then ! l\_infinity is set to .TRUE. subroutine pot\_fluid(point, j\_type, potential, l\_infinity, & l\_part\_excl\_index, u\_part\_excl\_index) \_\_put\_\_sav\_\_index, u\_put\_savi\_index; real(kind=dp), dimension(\_adim), intent(in) :: point integer, intent(in) :: j\_type real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: potential logical, intent(out) :: l\_infinity logical, intent(out) :: l\_infinity integer, intent(in), optional :: l\_part\_excl\_index, u\_part\_excl\_index integer :: i\_dim ! particle type integer :: i\_type ! bin and particle indices integer, dimension(n\_dim\_max) :: i\_bin integer :: i\_binx, i\_biny, i\_binz, j\_bin\_x, j\_bin\_y, j\_bin\_z, & k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, k\_bin\_z, i\_bin\_part, n\_bin\_part, i\_part ! belpars "ExRUM (SR pot\_Iuld): ]\_type=", j\_type, " out of range"
stop
end if
"Ute(unit\*\*, fmt='(a,3(f9.3,a))') &
"DEBUG (SR pot\_Iuld): point\*(", point(1), ",", point(2), &
",", point(0,aim), ")"
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a,i12,a,i12)') &
"DEBUG (SR pot\_Iuld): Excluding i\_part=", l\_part\_excl\_index, &
"...", u\_part\_excl\_index end if ! initialize potential = 0.0\_dp l\_infinity = .FALSE. i\_bin(n\_dim\_pbc+1:n\_dim\_max) = 0 end do
if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbc+1) then
i\_dim = n\_dim
! lowest\_fluid\_z is defined in SR init\_binning
i\_bin(i\_dim) = int((point(i\_dim) - lowest\_fluid\_z) / r\_bin(i\_dim))
if(i\_bin(i\_dim) .eq. n\_bin(i\_dim)) i\_bin(i\_dim) = n\_bin(i\_dim) - 1
end if i\_bin\_x = i\_bin(1)
i\_bin\_y = i\_bin(2)
i\_bin\_z = i\_bin(n\_dim) ! ve use \_\_bin\_used here, in order to have the same code as in SR binning3d ! they are initialized with n\_bin\_used(:) = n\_bin(:) in SR init\_binning ! loop over approbriate neighbor bins as set by delta\_bin(X) do \_\_bin\_x = i\_bin\_x-1, i\_bin\_x + delta\_bin(1)

x\_oin\_x = n\_oin(i) - 1 else if(j\_bin\_x .eq. n\_bin(1)) then k\_bin\_x = 0 else k\_bin\_x = j\_bin\_x ord if end if else if(n\_dim .ge. 1) then ino pbc
if(j\_bin\_x .lt. 0 .or. j\_bin\_x .gt. n\_bin\_used(1)-1) cycle
k\_bin\_x = j\_bin\_x else ! direction not used k\_bin\_x = 0 end if end if do j\_bin\_y = i\_bin\_y-1, i\_bin\_y + delta\_bin(2) if(n\_dim\_pbc.ge. 2) then if(j\_bin\_y.lt. 0) then k\_bin\_y = n\_bin(2) - 1 else if(j\_bin\_y .eq. n\_bin(2)) then k\_bin\_y = 0 else else k\_bin\_y = j\_bin\_y k\_bin\_y = j\_bin\_y end if else if(n\_dim .ge. 2) then if(j\_bin\_y .lt. 0 .or. j\_bin\_y .gt. n\_bin\_used(2)-1) cycle k\_bin\_y = j\_bin\_y else k\_bin\_y = 0
end if end if do j\_bin\_z = i\_bin\_z-1, i\_bin\_z + delta\_bin(n\_dim\_max) if(n\_dim\_pbc .eq. n\_dim\_max) then if(j\_bin\_z .lt. 0) then k\_bin\_z = n\_bin(n\_dim\_max) - 1 else if(j\_bin\_z .eq. n\_bin(n\_dim\_max)) then k\_bin\_z = 0 else k\_bin\_z = j\_bin\_z end if else if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_max) then if(j\_bin\_z.lt.0 .or. j\_bin\_z.gt.n\_bin\_used(n\_dim\_max)-1) cycle k\_bin\_z = j\_bin\_z k\_bin\_z = j\_bin\_z else k\_bin\_z = 0 end if ! # of particles in bin n\_bin\_part = bin\_fluid(k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, k\_bin\_z, 0) ! loop over all possible neighbors do i\_bin\_part = 1, n\_bin\_part ! get the particle index i\_part = bin\_fluid(k\_bin\_x, k\_bin\_y, k\_bin\_z, i\_bin\_part) ! if the particle index is in the excluded range. ! get the type i\_type = type(i\_part) :-ope - ope (\_part) ! the vector from test particle to fluid particle delta\_r(:) = rO(i\_part, :) - point(:) call apply\_mic(delta\_r) r\_2 = dot\_product(delta\_r(:), delta\_r(:)) p' end end do end do end do 'f' end if !(r\_2.lt.range\_2(i\_type,j\_type)) if(l\_debug\_pot\_fluid) then
! check result against a calculation without binning
potential\_check = 0.0\_dp potential\_check © 0.0\_dp do i\_part = 1, n\_mon\_tot ! if the particle index is in the excluded range, ! cycle this do loop if(present(l\_part\_excl\_index) & .and. present(u\_part\_excl\_index)) then if(i\_part .ge. l\_part\_excl\_index) then if(l\_debug\_pot\_fluid) & print \*, "DEBUG (SR pot\_fluid): Skipped i\_part=", i\_part cycle end if end if ! set the type return end if 

end if end do ! loop over all particles

```
if(abs(potential - potential_check) &
    .gt. (10.0_dp)**(-precision(potential)+1)) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(2(a, g13.6))') "ERROR (SR pot_fluid): &
    & #potential=", potential_,", potential_check=", potential_check", potential_check"
            stop
end if
end if
        c checks if a chain crosses itself
ubroutine check.xy_bond_crossings_self(coordinates, n_xy_bond_crossings, &
__svap_positions)
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim, n_mon), intent(inout) :: coordinates
integer, intent(on), optional :: l_svap_positions
logical, intent(in), optional :: l_svap_positions
integer :: i_bond_1, i_bond_2, i_bond_l_start
integer :: reverse_start, reverse_stop
! initialize
n_xy_bond_crossings = 0
i_bond_1_start = 1
) continue
end if
! swap the positions of the chain segment which lead to these
! crossed bonds
if(present(1_swap_positions)) then
if(1_swap_positions) then
! find right range of positions to invert
! (the inverse reactions)
                                 ! (the innermost positions)
if(i_bond_1 .gt. i_bond_2) th
reverse_start = i_bond_2 +1
reverse_stop = i_bond_1
else
                               else
reverse_start = i_bond_1 +1
reverse_stop = i_bond_2
                                 end if
                       end if
call reverse_positions(coordinates(:,reverse_start:reverse_stop))
! new bond-crossings could have been created, so start anew
! at the smallest bond involved.
i_bond_i_start = min(i_bond_1, i_bond_2)
goto 200
end if
end if
           end if ! bonds cross
end do
end do
        end subroutine check_xy_bond_crossings_self
        ! reverses the positions in array coordinates
subroutine reverse_positions(coordinates)
real(kind=dp), dimension(:, :), intent(inout) :: coordinates
! copy of the coordinates
! copy of the coordinates
real(kind=dp), dimension(size(coordinates, 1), &
size(coordinates, 2)) :: copy_coordinates
            integer :: i_loop
copy_coordinates = coordinates
                py_continuess = continuess
> i_loop = 0, size(coordinates, 2) -1
coordinates(:, lbound(coordinates, 2) + i_loop) = &
copy_coordinates(:, ubound(copy_coordinates, 2) - i_loop)
id do
            end do
        end subroutine reverse_positions
        integer, intent(in), optional :: u_chain_index
integer :: i_bond_1, i_bond_2, max_bond_index, first_bond_in_next_chain, &
i_dim
            if(1_debug_check_xybx_all) &
    print *, "DEBUG: Entering FOT check_xy_bond_crossings_all"
    if(n_dim_pbc_ine.2) then
    write(unit=*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (FCT check_xy_bond_crossings_all): &
                          &n_dim_pbc .ne. 2
            stop
end if
            if(present(u_chain_index)) then
  max_bond_index = u_chain_index * n_mon -1
else
  max_bond_index = n_chain * n_mon -1
end if
           ! get center of mass
cm_1_uf(:) = 0.5_dp * (r0_unfolded(i_bond_1, 1:n_dim_pbc) &
 + r0_unfolded(i_bond_1+1, 1:n_dim_pbc))
                ! fold om back in box
do l_dim = 1, n_dim_pbc
pbc_shift_l(i_dim) = real(floor(cm_1_uf(i_dim) / boundary(i_dim)), &
                _______ boundary(i_dim)), &
kind=dp)
cm_l_f(i_dim) = cm_l_uf(i_dim) - pbc_shift_l(i_dim) * boundary(i_dim)
end do
                pos11_f(:)=r0_unfolded(i_bond_1,1:n_dim_pbc)-pbc_shift_1(:)*boundary(:)
pos12_f(:)=r0_unfolded(i_bond_1+1,1:n_dim_pbc)-pbc_shift_1(:)*boundary(:)
```

```
if(l_debug_check_xybx_all) then
    print *, "DEBUG: i_bond_1=", i_bond_1
    print *, "DEBUG: cm_1_uf(:)=", cm_1_uf(:)
    print *, "DEBUG: cm_1_f(:)=", cm_1_f(:)
    print *, "DEBUG: posl1_f(:)=", posl1_f(:)
    print *, "DEBUG: posl2_f(:)=", posl2_f(:)
end if
          end 11
! a bond cannot cross itself nor the next bond (as defined by the
! indices), so start at i_bond_1 +2
i_bond_2 = i_bond_1 +2
         do while(i bond 2 .le. max bond index)
            v while(1_bond_2 .le. max_bond_index)
! cycle for chain ends
if(mod(i_bond_2, n_mon) .eq. 0) then
i_bond_2 = i_bond_2 +1
cycle
end if
l_ent_____
             ! calculate distance of the centers taking the into account
! the minimum image convention
delta_cm(:) = cm_1_f(:) - cm_2_f(:)
            delta_cm(:) = cm_1_f(:) - cm_2_f(:)
if(1_debug_check_xybx_all) then
print *, "DEBUG: i_bond_2=", i_bond_2
print *, "DEBUG: cm_2_uf(:)=", cm_2_uf(:)
print *, "DEBUG: cm_2_f(:)=", cm_2_f(:)
end if

            end if
! count shifts negative for cm_2_f
do i_dim = 1, m_dim_pbc
if dolta_cm(i_dim) > half_bound(i_dim)) than
dolta_cm(i_dim) > dolta_cm(i_dim) - boundary(i_dim)
pbc_shift_2(i_dim) = dolta_cm(i_dim) - 1.0.dp
else if(delta_cm(i_dim) < -half_bound(i_dim)) then
delta_cm(i_dim) = delta_cm(i_dim) + boundary(i_dim)
pbc_shift_2(i_dim) = pbc_shift_2(i_dim) + 1.0_dp
end if
end do
             ! get distance squared
r_2 = dot_product(delta_cm(:), delta_cm(:))
            ifl_debug_cdtc(utriz_utr), utriz_utr);
ifl_debug_cdtc(utriz_utr)
print *, "DEBUG: After MIC: delta_cm(:)=", delta_cm(:)
print *, "DEBUG: r_2=", r_2
             if(r_2 .lt. max_bond_2) then
                 http://inimicro.led/ibond_2, 1:n_dim_pbc) &
    - pbc_shift_2(:) * boundary(:)
po32_f(:) = r0_unfolded(i_bond_2+1, 1:n_dim_pbc) &
    -pbc_shift_2(:) * boundary(:)
                  if(1_debug_check_xybx_all) then
    print *, "DEBUG: pos21_f(:)=", pos11_f(:)
    print *, "DEBUG: pos22_f(:)=", pos12_f(:)
end if
                prise ', income point_(', point_('), pos21_f(:), pos22_f(:), &
    "xy-bond-crossings.dat")) hen
    n_xy_bond_crossings ads.'') hen
    "WESSAGE (check_xy_bond_crossings +1
    write(unit**, fmt='(a, i7, a, i7') &
    "MESSAGE (check_xy_bond_crossings_all): Bond crossing &
    kdetected between bonds", i_bond_1, " and", i_bond_2
end if
    imove on to next bond
    i_bond_2 = i_bond_2 + i
    i_bond_2 = i_bond_2 + i

              els
            end if
end do ! loop over i_bond_2
        ! move on to next bond
i_bond_1 = i_bond_1 +1
    end do ! loop over i_bond_1
    if(n_xy_bond_crossings .gt. 0) then
    check_xy_bond_crossings_all = .FALSE.
   else
check_xy_bond_crossings_all = .TRUE.
end if
  .
logical function bonds cross(vec 1, vec 2, vec 3, vec 4, filename)
    ! bonds 1-2 and 3-4 are checked
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim_pbc), intent(in) :: &
vec_1, vec_2, vec_3, vec_4
    vec_1, vec_2, vec_4, vec_4
character(len=*), intent(in), optional :: filename
integer, parameter :: fileunit = 20
integer :: io_status
    ! helpers for the linear algebra
real(kind=dp) :: a, b, c, d, e, f, s, t, det
    identif(n_dim_pbc .ne. 2) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (FCT bonds_cross): n_dim_pbc .ne. 2"
stop
    stop
end if
    end 1f
a = vec_2(1) - vec_1(1)
b = vec_2(2) - vec_1(2)
c = vec_3(1) - vec_4(1)
d = vec_3(2) - vec_4(2)
e = vec_3(1) - vec_4(2)
f = vec_3(2) - vec_1(1)
    ! determinant of matrix((a, c), (b, d))
det = a*d - b*c
    det = a*d - D*C
if(l_debug_bonds_cross) then
    print *, "det=", det
end if
   end if
! det == 0 means bonds are parallel (and do not cross)
! we include also almost parallel.
! I don't now exactly when to say "det == 0", but spacing(x) gives the
! spacing of number in the vicinity of x and hence indicates total loss
! of precision in the computation of det.
if(abs(det).lt.spacing(a*d)) then
bonds_cross = .FALSE.
return
end if
. where the examine lies on the hende.
    ! where the crossing lies on the bonds
t = (d*e - c*f)/det
```

s = (a\*f - b\*e)/det s = (a\*1 - b\*0/det if(l\_debug\_bonds\_cross) then print \*, "s=", s, ", t=", t end if end if
! due to finite precision, we exclude the borders by epsilon() if we want
! to exclude the knee of neighboring bonds to be counted as crossings
! Note: epsilon(x) = spacing(1.0\_dp)
if((t .lt. 0.0\_dp).or. (t .ge. 1.0\_dp - epsilon(1.0\_dp))) then
if((t .lt. 0.0\_dp).or. (t .gt. 1.0\_dp)) then
! crossing point along bond 1-2 not between 1 and 2
bonds\_cross = .FALSE.
return
end if end if
 if((s.le. epsilon(1.0\_dp)) .or. (s.ge. 1.0\_dp - epsilon(1.0\_dp))) then
 if((s.lt. 0.0\_dp) .or. (s.gt. 1.0\_dp)) then
 ! crossing point along bond 3-4 not between 3 and 4
 bonds\_cross = .FALSE.
 return
 end if
 \_\_\_\_\_ bonds\_cross = .TRUE. stop else write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(5g13.5)') & vec\_1(1) + t\*a, vec\_1(2) + t\*b, det, t, s close(fileunit) ;;; end if end if ! present(filename) return end function bonds\_cross stop end if stop else write(unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') & ""MESSAGE: Writing chain projected onto xy-plane to >>", & filename, "<<"</pre> end do close(fileunit) end subroutine write\_chain\_in\_xy\_plane

if the sigma of the fluid changes, we have to change several '
' variables, too.
' variables, too if the sigma of the fluid changes, we have to change several other define cutoffs and shifts in energy, find maximal interaction range ! define cutoffs and shifts in energy, find maximal intered do i\_type = 1, n\_type do j\_type = 1, n\_type select case(f\_cut\_off) case(0) ! purely repulsive potential ! 2\*\*(1/6) = 1.122462 range\_2(j\_type,i\_type) = (2.0\_dp\*\*(1.0\_dp/6.0\_dp) & \* sigma(j\_type,i\_type))\*\*2 case(1) \* sigma(j\_type,i\_type))\*\*2
case(1)
! frequently used by M. H. Mueser and M. O. Robbins
range\_2(j\_type,i\_type) = (2.2\_dp\*sigma(j\_type,i\_type))\*\*2
case(2) se(2) ! long range interaction as used by C. Benneman, F. Varnik ! the difference to 2.2 is not very important. ! 2 \* 2\*\*(1/6) = 2.244924 range\_2(j\_type,i\_type) = (2.0\_dp \* 2.0\_dp\*\*(1.0\_dp/6.0\_dp) & \* sigma(j\_type,i\_type))\*\*2 se(3) case(3) intermed\_interme \* sigma(j\_type,i\_type))\*\*2 end if case default ! fall-through write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, i2, a)') & "ERROR (SR init\_parameters): case(f\_cut\_off=",& f\_cut\_off, ") not recognized." stop stop select end servet r\_dummy = (sigma(j\_type,i\_type)\*\*2/range\_2(j\_type,i\_type))\*\*3 ! Epsilon does not need to be attached at this point. Epsilor ! in the interaction routines. e\_shift(j\_type, i\_type) = r\_dummy\*(r\_dummy-1.0\_dp) Epsilon enters end do end do ena do
i LJ-ranges
do i\_type = 1, n\_type
do j\_type = 1, n\_type
range\_1(i\_type, j\_type) = sqrt(range\_2(j\_type, i\_type))
end do
end do end subroutine reinit\_lj\_params end module mcfluid

# luxury.f90

luxury.f90	<pre>!! point given by output of RLUXAT (see RLUXAT). ++</pre>
·	!! CALL RLUXAT(LUX, INT, K1, K2) gets the values of four integers ++
The random number module, written by Alan Miller.	!! which can be used to restart the RANLUX generator ++ at the current point by calling BUIYCO K1 and K2 ++
Notes for use with the "rheosimVx.y" MD-simulation program:	it         at the christ point by christ he how and the ho
On DEC Alpha the default integers are also 32 bit. There's a very useful program called "kindfind.f90" to display the different KINDS of REALS and INTEGERS available. It seems that the numbers generated do not depend on the REAL KIND used, and they are only approximately equal on different platforms. Martin Aichele, 2001-02-09	Intrastantial with work who and An. The festing '' skips over K1+K2+E9 numbers, so it can be long. ** !! A more efficient but less convenient way of restarting is by: ** !! CALL RLUXIN(ISVEC) restarts the generator from vector ** !! ISVEC of 25 32-bit integers (see RLUXUT) ** !! CALL RLUXUT(ISVEC) outputs the current values of the 25 ** 
DDULE luxury	<pre>!! ISVEC must be dimensioned 25 in the calling program ++ !!</pre>
Subtract-and-borrow random number generator proposed by Marsaglia and	IMPLICIT NONE
Zaman, implemented by F. James with the name RCARRY in 1991, and later improved by Martin Luescher in 1993 to produce "Luxury Pseudorandom Nucleurs", Engineer 77 such the F. Jurge 1000	! the REAL KIND INTEGER, PARAMETER :: rk = 4
Numbers". Fortran // coded by F. James, 1993 References: M. Luscher, Computer Physics Communications 79 (1994) 100 F. James. Computer Physics Communications 79 (1994) 111	INTEGER :: isdext(25) INTEGER, PARAMETER :: maxlev = 4, lxdflt = 3, jsdflt = 314159265 INTEGER :: ndskip(0:maxlev) = (/ 0, 24, 73, 199, 365 /)
This Fortran 90 version is by Alan Miller (alan @ mel.dms.csiro.au) Latest revision - 11 September 1995 LUXUNY LEVELS. The available luxurv levels are:	<pre>INTEGER :: igiga = 1000000000, 124 = 24, j24 = 10 REAL(KIND=rk), PARAMETER :: twop12 = 4096.0_rk INTEGER, PARAMETER :: itwo24 = 2**24, icons = 2147483563 INTEGER, SAVE :: next(24), luxlev = lxdflt, nskip, inseed, jseed INTCOL SAVE :: next(24), luxlev = lxdflt, nskip, inseed, jseed</pre>
level 0 (p=24): equivalent to the original RCARRY of Marsaglia and Zaman, very long period, but fails many tests.	INTEGER :: in24 = 0, kount = 0, mkount = 0 REAL(KIND=rk). SAVE :: seeds(24). carry = 0.0 rk. twom24. twom12
level 1 (p=48): considerable improvement in quality over level 0, now passes the gap test but still fails spectral test	l luxury Level 0 1 2 *3* 4
level 2 (p=97): passes all known tests, but theoretically still	! ndskip /0, 24, 73, 199, 365/
<pre>defective. level 3 (p+223): DEFAULT VALUE. Any theoretically possible correlations have very small chance of being observed.</pre>	! Corresponds to p=24 48 97 223 389 ! time factor 1 2 3 6 10 on slow workstation ! 1 1.5 2 3 5 on fast mainframe ! 1 1.5 2.5 5 8.5 on PC using LF90
1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	PUBLIC notyet, i24, j24, carry, seeds, twom24, twom12, luxlev PUBLIC nskip, ndskip, in24, next, kount, mkount, inseed
CALL RANLUX (RVEC, LEN) returns a vector RVEC of LEN ++ ! CALL RANLUX (RVEC, LEN) returns a vector RVEC of LEN ++	CONTAINS
! zero (not included) and one (also not incl.). ++	SUBROUTINE ranlux(rvec, lenv)
CALL RLUXGO(LUX, INT, K1, K2) initializes the generator from ++	IMPLICIT NONE
which is integer between zero and MAXLEV, or if ++	INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: lenv
LUX .GT. 24, it sets p=LUX directly. K1 and K2 ++	REAL(KIND=rk), INTENT(OUT) :: rvec(lenv)
should be set to zero unless restarting at a break ++	! Local variables

INTEGER :: i, k, lp, ivec, iseeds(24), isk
:: uni RFAL(KIND=rk) DEBUG print \*, "DEBUG (SR ranlux):", lenv, " numbers drawn" NOTYET is .TRUE. if no initialization has been performed yet. Default Initialization by Multiplicative Congruential ! NOTYET is .TRUE. if no initialization mas use performed performance performance performance performance performance provided and provide provided and prov iseeds(i) = MOD(jseed,itwo24)
END D0
tuom12 = tuom24 \* 4096.0\_rk
D0 i = 1, 24
seeds(i) = REAL(iseeds(i), KIND=rk) \* tuom24
next(i) = i - 1
END D0
next(1) = 24
i24 = 24
j24 = 10
carry = 0.0\_rk
IF (seeds(24).EQ.0.0\_rk) carry = tuom24
END IF The Generator proper: "Subtract-with-borrow", as proposed by Marsaglia and Zaman, Florida State University, March, 1989 ! Florida State University, Max D0 ivec = 1, lenv uni = seeds(j24) - seeds(i24) - carry IF (uni.LT.0.0\_rk) carry = tvom24 ELSE carry = 0.0\_rk END IF seeds(j24) = uni i24 = next(j24) j24 = next(j24) j24 = next(j24)
rvec(ivec) = uni
small numbers (with less than 12 "significant" bits) are "padded".
IF (uni.LT.twom12) THEN
rvec(ivec) = rvec(ivec) + twom24 \* seeds(j24)
and zero is forbidden in case someone takes a logarithm
IF (rvec(ivec).EQ.0.0\_rk) rvec(ivec) = twom24 \* twom24
END IF
Skipping to luxury. As proposed by Martin Luscher. ı. END IF Street.resc.iac.v.ogik/ivectresc) = toomze = toomze END IF Street.resc.iac.v.ogik/ivectresc) = toomze = toomze in24 = in24 + 1 F (in24.go.24) THEN in24 = 0 kount = kount + nskip D0 isk = 1, nskip uni = seeds(j24) - seeds(i24) - carry IF (uni.tT.0.grk) THEN uni = uni + 1.0.grk carry = twom24 ELSE ELSE carry = 0.0\_rk carry = 0.0\_rk END IF seeds(i24) = uni i24 = next(i24) j24 = next(j24) j24 = next(j24) END DD END IF END DO kount = kount + lenv IF (kount.GE.igiga) THEN mkount = mkount + 1 kount = kount - igiga END IF RETURN END SUBROUTINE ranlux Subroutine to input and float integer seeds from previous run Subroutine to input and float integer seeds from previous run SUBROUTINE rluxin ! the following IF BLOCK added by Phillip Helbig, based on conversation ! with Fred James; an equivalent correction has been published by James. IMPLICIT NONE ! Local variables INTEGER : :: i, isd notyet = .false. END IF IDUGUE = 1.1180. END IF twom24 = 1.0\_rk D0 i = 1, 2 next(i) = i - 1 iwom24 = twom24 \* 0.5\_rk Envom24 = twom24 \* 0.05\_rk twom22 = twom24 \* 4096.0\_rk WRITE (6,'(6X,5112)') idext WRITE (6,'(5K,5112)') idext D0 i = 1, 24 seeds(i) = REAL(idext(i), KIND=rk) \* twom24 END D0 carry = 0.0\_rk IF (idext(25).1r.0) carry = twom24 ide a ERS(idext(25)) ITF (isdext(25).LT.0) carry = twom24 isd = ABS(isdext(25)) i2d = MD0(isd,100) isd = isd / 100 j2d = MDD(isd,100) isd = isd / 100 iuzlev = isd IF (luxlev.LE.mazlev) THEN nskip = ndskip(luxlev) wRITE (6,'(A,12)') ' RAHLUX LUXURY LEVEL SET BY RLUXIN T0: ', luxlev ELSE IF (luxlev.GE.24) THEN nskip = luxlev - 24 wRITE (6,'(A,15)') ' RAHLUX P-VALUE SET BY RLUXIN T0:', luxlev ELSE nskip = ndskip(maxlev)

```
luxlev = maxlev
END IF
    inseed = -1
RETURN
    END SUBROUTINE rluxin
                                                                   Subroutine to ouput seeds as integers
     :
SUBROUTINE rluxut
     IMPLICIT NONE
   ! Local variables
INTEGER :
                                                                    · · · i
  INTEGER :: i
D0 i = 1, 24
isdext(i) = INT(seeds(i)*twop12*twop12)
END D0
isdext(25) = i24 + 100 * j24 + 10000 * in24 + 1000000 * luxlev
IF (carry.GT.0.0_rk) isdext(25) = -isdext(25)
витиви
      RETURN
    END SUBROUTINE rluxut
     ! Subroutine to output the "convenient" restart point SUBROUTINE rluxat(lout, inout, k1, k2)
    IMPLICIT NONE
    INTEGER. INTENT(OUT) :: lout, inout, k1, k2
  inviewEx, inviewEx
lout = luxlev
inout = inseed
k1 = kount
k2 = mkount
RETURN
    END SUBROUTINE rluxat
    ! Subroutine to initialize from one or three integers SUBROUTINE rluxgo(lux, ins, k1, k2)
    IMPLICIT NONE
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: lux, ins, k1, k2
                  Local variables
    INTEGER :: ilx, i, iouter, iseeds(24), isk, k, inner, izip, izip2
REAL(KIND=rk) :: uni
  REAL(KIND=rk) :: unl
IF (lux.Ir.0) THEN
luxlev = lxdflt
ELSE IF (lux.LE.maxlev) THEN
luxlev = lux
ELSE IF (lux.LT.24.OR.lux.GT.2000) THEN
luxlev = maxlev
wmrTE (6.'(A,IT')) ' RANLUX ILLEGAL LUXURY RLUXGO: ', lux
ELSE

nskip = luxlev - 24

WRITE (6,'(A,I5)') ' RANLUX P-VALUE SET BY RLUXGO TO:', luxlev

END IF

in24 = 0

IF (ins.T.O) WRITE (6,'(A)') &

IF (ins.GT.O) THEN

jseed = initialization by RLUXGO, negative input seed'

IF (ins.GT.O) THEN

jseed = initialization by RLUXGO FROM SEEDS', jsee
jseed = ind
WRITE (6,'(A,SI12)') ' RANLUX INIIALIZED BY RLUXGO FROM DEFAULT SEED'
ELSE
jseed = jsedflt
wRITE (6,'(A)') ' RANLUX INITIALIZED BY RLUXGO FROM DEFAULT SEED'
END IF
inseed = jseed
notyst = .false.
twom24 = 10.7 k
twom24 = .0.7 k
iseed / 53668
jseed = 40014 * (jseed.-k*53668) - k * 12211
IF (jseed.LT.0) jseed = jseed + icons
iseeds(1) = MOD(jseed.itwo24)
END 00
           JSeed = INS
WRITE (6,'(A,3I12)') ' RANLUX INITIALIZED BY RLUXGO FROM SEEDS', jseed, k1, k2
   iseeds(1) = MUU(jseed,1tWo24)
END D0
twom12 = twom24 * 4096.0_rk
D0 i = 1, 24
seeds(i) = REAL(iseeds(i), KIND=rk) * twom24
next(i) = i - 1
END D0 ______
    END DO

END DO

iotary = 24

i24 = 24

j24 = 10

carry = 0.0_rk

IF (seeds(24).EQ.0.0_rk) carry = twom24

! If restarting at a break point, skip K1 + IGIGA*K2

! Note that this is the number of numbers delivered to

! the user PLUS the number of linuber of iluxury .GT. 0).

womt = k1
in24 = 0
END IF
END IF
RETURN
    END SUBROUTINE rluxgo
END MODULE luxury
```

utilitiesV1.9.f90

```
module with some helper functions:
  would with some help's functions.
* reading and writing of configurations
* state of the simulation
* inversion of force ramp and low pass filtering of variables
e verything else...
Martin Aichele, 2001-12-12
last modified 2003-02-24
V1.9 with real dimension switch
Martin Aichele, 2003-02-24
last modified, 2003-02-24
last modified 2003-02-28
obule writinies
module utilities
   use globals
    use luxury, only: rluxut, rluxin, isdext
implicit none
                                                         |------ 31 characters ------|
         debug switches

    debug switches
    |-----31 characters ------

    logical, parameter :: l_debug_control

    logical, parameter :: l_debug_chain_sens_fold

    logical, parameter :: l_debug_fuid_positions_out

    logical, parameter :: l_debug_particle_positions_out

                                                                                                                                        = . FALSE.
    = 51
= 52
    ! control verbosity of SR chain_sens_fold
logical, parameter :: l_chain_sens_fold_verbose
                                                                                                                                        = .FALSE
    'sample times
integor, save :: nr_samples_total = huge(1)
integor, save :: next_sample_time = huge(1), next_sample_index = huge(1)
integor, dimension(:), pointer, save :: sample_times
    real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim), save :: cm_fluid_1
contains
   ontains
! vrite out the state of the simulation and some information
subroutine simulationstate
write(unit**, fmt=*(a, i10)') &
    "DEBUG: Now doing MD step", i_time
write(unit**, fmt=*(a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') &
    " temp=", temp, "r_2_min=", r_2_min
if(n_dim.eq.n_dim.pbc+1) then
write(unit**, fmt+'(a, g13.6)') &
    " r0_tvall(n_dim) - r0_bvall(n_dim)=", &
    r0_tvall(n_dim) - r0_bvall(n_dim)
end if

   end II
write(unit=*, fmt='(a)') "------"
&------"
end subroutine simulationstate
      .
! records low pass filtered variables, controls invertion of the force ramp.
subroutine control
        character(len=80) :: format string
         if(1_debug_control) then
    print *, "DEBUG: Entering SR control"
         prin
end if
            print *, "DEOU: Intering as Control"
dif
observe position, velocity and force of top wall with "low pass" filter
over the last __time_ave MDS
io l_dim = 1, n_dim
'O_twall_l(l_dim) = &
        (1.0_dp'-1.0_dp/real(n_time_ave, kind=dp))*r0_twall_l(i_dim) &
        + (1.0_dp / real(n_time_ave, kind=dp))*r0_twall_l(i_dim) &
        (1.0_dp / real(n_time_ave, kind=dp))*relocity_twall_l(i_dim) &
        + (1.0_dp / real(n_time_ave, kind=dp))*relocity_twall_l(i_dim) &
        + (1.0_dp / real(n_time_ave, kind=dp))*relocity_twall_l(i_dim, 1)
        if(l_compute_com_fluid) then
        cm_fluid_1(1_i_dim) = &
        (1.0_dp / real(n_time_ave, kind=dp)) * cm_fluid_1(i_dim) &
        + (1.0_dp / real(n_time_ave, kind=dp)) &
        sum(r0_unrolded(1:n_mon_tot, i_dim))
end if

         do
              end if
             select case(f_twall(i_dim))
case(force_mode)
              intal_force_twall_1(i_dim) &
    (1.0.dp+1.0.dp/real(n_time_ave,kind=dp))*total_force_twall_1(i_dim)
    + (1.0.dp / real(n_time_ave, kind=dp))*total_force_twall(i_dim)
       end do
                  (velocity_twall_l(l_dlm)/dt, i_uum-, i_uum-,
else
! write out center of mass of fluid in last n_dim columns
write(format,string, fmt='(a,i),a,i1,a,i1,a)) &
    "(esi7.il, ",n_dim,"fl2.4, ",n_dim,"gl2.4, ",n_dim,"f12.4)"
write(pos_vel_out_unit, fmt=format_string) &
    r_time, (r0_tvall_l(i_dim), i_dim=1, n_dim), &
    (velocity_tvall_l(i_dim), i_dim=1, n_dim), &
    (cm_fluid_l(i_dim)/real(n_mon_tot, kind=dp), i_dim=1, n_dim)
end if
                  =1. n dim)
                                   dim
         end if ! walls
end if ! time to write out
```

! If we are in the mode with a ramped force, we need to keep ! track of the time when the force last went through zero. ! This point of time is used to define the position where the ! system was pinned last. (Of course, this is only an approximation.) ! If the system has moved more than 10 lattice spacings since ! this last pinning, one can usually safely assume that no repinning ! will occur and the ramp is niverted. The force is ramped down four ! times as fast as the ramp is moved up. (Good empirical value.) ! Inversion of the force ramp is done only in x, y, not in z. to i.dim = 1. n,dim.pbc ...and.(ramp\_force\_twall(i\_dim).ne.0.0.dp)) then ! keep track of last pinmed top wall coordinate ! define position as pinmed when force goes through zero. ! (when it is less or equal the value after 1 dt) if(dsb(ext\_force\_twall(i\_dim)).le. abc(ramp\_force\_twall(i\_dim))) then r0.last.pinned(i\_dim) = r0.twall(i\_dim) end if ! If up wall has moved more than 10 lattice spacings invert ramp. end 11 ! If top vall has moved more than 10 lattice spacings invert ramp ! The max() is there for having always 10 lattice spacings, regardless ! of vall orientation and dimension if( (r0\_tvall(i\_dim)-r0\_last\_pinned(i\_dim))\*s\_force\_grad(i\_dim) & Here we limit the velocity to max\_sliding\_vel Lennard Jones units " at r\_time=", r\_time end if else ! if the sliding velocity was smaller than the threshold, then ! the next warning will belong to a new sliding process l\_first\_sliding\_vel\_warning = . TRUE. end if end if ! if in ramped force mode end do if(l\_debug\_control) then
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR control"
end if end subroutine control if(i\_time .eq. s\_time +1) then
 simulated\_wall\_wall\_potential\_1 = v\_wall\_wall
else ise
simulated\_vall\_vall\_potential\_1 = &
(1.0\_dp-1.0\_dp/real(n\_time\_ave,kind=dp)) &
 \* simulated\_vall\_vall\_potential\_1 &
 + (1.0\_dp / real(n\_time\_ave, kind=dp))\*v\_vall\_vall
if end if stores a configuration (new version, higher precision and saves state of ! the random number generator) subroutine store\_configuration\_rng(new\_configuration\_file, 1\_write\_unfolded) lee
write (unit=\*, fmt='(3a, i12)') &
wHESSAGE: Writing configuration to >>", new\_configuration\_file, &
 "<< at MDS", i\_time
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(2i12)') n\_mon, n\_chain
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(2i12)') n\_codel\_w\_x, n\_cell\_w\_y
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3;)') n\_coder
write(format\_string, fmt='(a,i1,a)') "(i3, ", n\_dim, "g23.15)"
! particle positions</pre> interviews\_content, interviews, inter and do end ao else do i\_part = 1, n\_part write(unit=fileunit, fmt=format\_string) & type(i\_part), (r0(i\_part, i\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim) \_\_\_\_\_\_ end if "
end if

! random forces from previous MD step

do i\_part = 1, n\_part
write(unit=fileunit, fmt=format\_string) &
 (force\_random(i\_part, i\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim)
ord do end do end do end if end if
! position of top wall
write(unit+fileunit, fnt=format\_string) (r0\_twall(i\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim)
! divide by dt+\*i\_order, so that we can continue the simulation with
! another timestep
do i\_order = i\_n\_order
r\_dummy = dt\*\*i\_order
write(unit+fileunit, fnt=format\_string) &
 (rx\_twall(i\_dim,i\_order)/r\_dummy,i\_dim=1,n\_dim)
end do end do write out state of the random number generator is declared in module luxury close(fileunit) end subroutine store\_configuration\_rng ! reads a configuration and performs some checks for consistency subroutine read\_configuration\_rng(old\_configuration\_file) character(len=\*), intent(in) :: old\_configuration\_file integer, parameter :: fileunit = 10 integer :: iostatus . leon wrighles integer :: iostatus
! loop variables
integer :: i\_dim
integer :: i\_mon, i\_cell\_w\_x, i\_cell\_w\_y, i\_wall, i\_part
! loops over predictor-corrector coefficients
integer :: i\_order, j\_order
! how many times the positions are shifted by a boundary
integer :: pbc\_shift
charactr(len=80) :: format\_string
! helper variable ! helper variable
real(kind=dp) :: r\_dummy real(kind=dp) :: r\_dummy
! open file for replacement
open(unit=fileunit, file=old\_configuration\_file, status="old", &
 action="read", iostat=iostatus)
if(iostatus .ne. 0) then
 write (unit=\*, fint=\*'(3a)') &
 "ERROR (SR read\_configuration\_rng) : Could not read old &
 kconfiguration >>", old\_configuration\_file, "<<"
 stop
 else</pre> &configuration ~~, .... stop else write(unit\*\*, fmt='(3a)') & "MESSAGE: Reading stored configuration in >>", & old\_configuration\_file, "<<" read(unit\*fileunit, fmt='(2112)') i\_onl\_ux, i\_oclin read(unit\*fileunit, fmt='(2112)') i\_onl\_ux, i\_oclu\_vy read(unit\*fileunit, fmt='(31')) j\_order ! check whether number of monomers right if((i\_mon.me.m\_mon).or.(i\_chain.me.n\_chain)) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(31') "WARNING (SR read\_configuration): & & &Polymer setups might not be compatible:" write(unit\*\*, fmt='(4(a, 16))') & "\_i\_chain\_mon\*, i\_mon\*, i\_chain\*, i\_chain if((i\_mon\*i\_chain).me.(n\_mon\*n\_chain) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(2(a, 18))') & "ERROR (SR read\_configuration): Polymer setups not compatible:" write(unit\*\*, fmt='(2(a, 18))') & "\_i\_mon\*i\_chain\*, i\_mon\*i\_chain, & ", n\_mon\*n\_chain\*, n\_mon\*n\_chain stop end if end if' ! chock whether number of wall unit cells right if((i\_cell\_w\_x.ne.n\_cell\_w\_x).or.(i\_cell\_w\_y.ne.n\_cell\_w\_y)) then write(unit=\*, fst='(a')') & "WARING (SR read\_configuration\_rng): & & &st=up of wall night not be compatible" if((i\_cell\_w\_x\*i\_cell\_w\_y).ne.(n\_cell\_w\_x\*n\_cell\_w\_y)) then write(unit=\*, fst='(a)') & "ERROR (SR read\_configuration\_rng): & & &st=up of wall is not compatible." write(unit=\*, fst='(a;i3,a;i3)') & "i\_cell\_w\_x =\*, i\_cell\_w\_x, " i\_cell\_w\_y=", i\_cell\_w\_y "n\_cell\_w\_x =\*, n\_cell\_w\_x, " n\_cell\_w\_y=", n\_cell\_w\_y stop stop end if end if end if
! check order of algorithm
if(\_order.ne.n\_order) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, i2)') &
 ""AANNING (SR read\_configuration\_rng): Order of old run", j\_order
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, i2)') &
 "MARNING (SR read\_configuration\_rng): Order of new run", n\_order
if(x\_order\_l is order) then 

stop end if nd if end if
! read positions
! since version V1.7 we store the position in the canonical way: x, y, z
write(format\_string, fmt='(a,i1,a)') "(i3,", n\_dim, "g23.15)"
do i\_part = 1, n\_part
read(unit=fileunit, fmt=format\_string) &
 type(i\_part), (ro(i\_part, i\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim)
end do ! read derivatives write(format\_string, fmt='(a,i1,a)') "(", n\_dim, "g23.15)" Write(format\_string, imt='(a,11,a)') "(", f\_dim, "g23.15)"
do i\_order = 1, j\_order
r\_dummy = dt\*\*i\_order ! scale coefficients with potencies of dt
do i\_part = 1, n\_part
read(unit=fileunit, fmt=format\_string) &
 (rx(i\_part,i\_dim,i\_order), i\_dim=1, n\_dim)
 do i\_dim = 1,n\_dim
 rx(i\_part,i\_dim,i\_order) = rx(i\_part,i\_dim,i\_order)\*r\_dumny
 end do end do end do end do ! zero out derivatives of higher order do i\_order = j\_order, n\_order rx(:, :, i\_order) = 0.0\_dp end do end do
! random forces from previous MD step
do i\_part = 1, n\_part
read(unit=fileunit, fmt=format\_string) &
(force\_random(i\_part, i\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim)
end do equilibrium sites of wall atoms
do i\_wall = 1, n\_wall
read(unit=fileunit, fmt=format\_string) &
 (r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall,i\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim) ! zero out derivatives of higher order do i\_order = j\_order, n\_order rx\_twall(:, i\_order) = 0.0\_dp end do read(unit=fileunit, fmt=format\_string)(s\_force\_grad(i\_dim),i\_dim=1,n\_dim)
! here we have the coic if we restart the random number generator
! from the configuration or not (seed in the parameter file is then used)
if(l\_read\_conf\_rmg\_reinit) then
! read state of random number generator
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)') isdest(1:6)
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)') isdest(1:1:8)
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)') isdest(1:1:8)
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)') isdest(1:1:8)
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)') isdest(1:1:4)
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)')
read(unit=fileunit, fmt=' --close(fileunit) nd if ! configura ! configuration file could be read fold coordinates back in simulation box in case the saved coordinates were world (unfolded) coordinates md'do 'impose periodic boundary conditions for wall particles o i\_dim = 1, n\_dim\_pbc do i\_wall = 1, n\_wall pbc\_shift = i\_vall + n\_mon\_tot pbc\_sount(i\_part,i\_dim) / boundary(i\_dim)) pbc\_count(i\_part,i\_dim) = pbc\_shift r0(i\_part,i\_dim) = r0(i\_part,i\_dim) & - boundary(i\_dim) \* real(pbc\_shift, kind=dp) ! do the same for the equilibrium positions r\_wall\_equi(i\_wall,i\_dim) = r\_wall\_boc\_shift, kind=dp) if(r0(i\_part,i\_dim) = t.to.dpb then nd subroutine read\_configuration\_rng reads a configuration and performs some checks for consistency compatibility routime for versions before V1.9 ubroutime read\_configuration\_rng\_3d(old\_configuration\_file)

stop stop else write(unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') & "MESSAGE: Reading stored configuration in >>", & old\_configuration\_file, "<<" '/...'+=filaunit, fmt='(2122)') i\_mon, i\_chain '/...'+=filaunit, fmt='(2122)' i\_mon, i\_chain stop end if end if stop end if end if stop end if end if read positions since version V1.7 we store the position in the canonical way: x, y, z do i\_part = 1, n\_part read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(i3, 3g26.18)') & type(i\_part), (r0(i\_part, i\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim) end do read darivatives do lorder = 1, j\_order r\_dummy = dt\*\*i\_order ! scale coefficients with potencies of dt do i\_part = 1, n\_part read(unit=filemit, fmt='(3g26.18)') & ! zero out derivatives of higher order do i\_order = j\_order, n\_order rx(:, :, i\_order) = 0.0\_dp end do i random forces from previous MD step do i\_part = 1, n\_part read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3g26.18)') & (force\_random(i\_part, i\_dim), i\_dim=1, n\_dim) end do
! displacement of top wall and its derivatives
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3g26.18)') &
 (r0\_twall(i\_dim),i\_dim=1,n\_dim) (ro\_tvall(i\_dim),i\_dim=1,n\_dim) do i\_order = 1,j\_order r\_dummy = dt\*\*i\_order read(unit=fileunit,fmt='(3g26.18)') & (rx\_tvall(i\_dim,i\_order),i\_dim=1,n\_dim) do i\_dim = 1,n\_dim rx\_tvall(i\_dim,i\_order) = rx\_tvall(i\_dim,i\_order)\*r\_dummy end do ! zero out derivatives of higher order do i\_order = j\_order, n\_order rx\_twall(:, i\_order) = 0.0\_dp end do 

close(fileunit) end if ! configuration file could be read fold coordinates back in simulation box in case the saved coordinates were world (unfolded) coordinates end do
end do
i ginose periodic boundary conditions for wall particles
do i\_dim = 1, n\_dim=1
do i\_vall = 1, n\_vall
do i\_dim = i\_vall + n\_mon\_tot
pbc\_shift = int(rO(i\_part,i\_dim) / boundary(i\_dim))
pbc\_count(i\_part,i\_dim) = pbc\_shift
rO(i\_part,i\_dim) = ro(i\_part,i\_dim) &
 - boundary(i\_dim) \* real(pbc\_shift, kind=dp)
i do the same for the equilibrium positions
r\_vall\_equi(i\_vall,i\_dim) = r.vall\_equi(i\_vall,i\_dim) &
 - boundary(i\_dim) \* real(pbc\_shift, kind=dp)
if(rO(i\_part,i\_dim) = r.vall\_equi(i\_vall,i\_dim) &
 r\_vall\_equi(i\_vall,i\_dim) + boundary(i\_dim)
r\_vall\_equi(i\_vall,i\_dim) = h
 r\_vall\_equi(i\_vall,i\_dim) + boundary(i\_dim)
r\_vall\_equi(i\_vall,i\_dim) = h
 r\_vall\_equi(i\_vall,i\_dim) + boundary(i\_dim)
pbc\_count(i\_part,i\_dim) = pbc\_count(i\_part,i\_dim) - 1
end if
end do
end do i reads a configuration and performs some checks for consistency ! compatibility routine for versions before V1.9 subroutine read\_configuration\_rng\_2d(old\_configuration\_file) ! loop variables integer :: i\_dim integer :: i\_dom, i\_chain, i\_cell\_w\_x, i\_cell\_w\_y, i\_wall, i\_part ! loops over predictor-corrector coefficients integer :: i\_order, j\_order ! how many times the positions are shifted by a boundary integer :: pbc\_shift ! helper variable real(kind=dp) :: r\_dummy ! only in this case we can use this configuration read routine if(.not. (n\_dim .eq. 2 .and. n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 2)) then write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR read\_configuration\_rng\_2d): & &.not. (n\_dim .eq. 2 .and. n\_dim\_pbc .eq. 2)" stop end if stop stop else write(unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') & "MESSAGE: Reading stored configuration in >>", & old\_configuration\_file, "<<" read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(2i12)') i\_mon, i\_chain read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(2i12)') i\_conl\_w\_x, i\_cell\_w\_y read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3)') j\_order check whether number of monomers right stop end if end if 

#### 166

```
"n_cell_w_x =", n_cell_w_x, " n_cell_w_y=", n_cell_w_y
      stop
end if
end if
      "WARNING (SR read_coniguration_rng_2d): urder of new rum_,m_ord
if(n_order.lt.j_order) then
write(unit**, fmt='(a))) "ERROR (SR read_configuration_rng_2d): &
& & & there' of new rum < order of old rum."
write(unit**, fmt='(a)) &
    "It is only possible to propagate a configuration with equal &
    & for higher order."
      stop
end if
end if
                                                                                                                                                                                                         end if
return
end if
         read positions since version V1.7 we store the position in the canonical way: x, y, z
      since version vi.r we store the position in the cand
do i_part = 1, n_part
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(i3, 3g26.18)') &
type(i_part), (rO(i_part, i_dim), i_dim=1, two)
end do
     ,r.tar.u., (cot.pait, 1_uim/, 1_alm=1, two)
end do
! read derivatives
do i_order = 1, j_order
r_dummy = dt**i_order ! scale coefficients with potencies of dt
do i_part = 1, n_part
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3g26.18)') &
(rx(i_part,i_dim,i_order), i_dim=1, two)
do i_dim = 1,two
rx(i_part,i_dim,i_order) = rx(i_part,i_dim,i_order)*r_dummy
end do
end do
end do end force
                                                                                                                                                                                                               end do
end if
      ! zero out derivatives of higher order
do i_order = j_order, n_order
rx(:, :, i_order) = 0.0_dp
end do
      ena ao
! random forces from previous MD step
do i_part = 1, n_part
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3g26.18)') &
(force_random(i_part, i_dim), i_dim=1, two)
      end do
! equilibrium sites of wall atoms
do i_wall = 1, n_wall
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3g26.18)') &
(r_wall_equi(i_wall,i_dim), i_dim=1, two)
end do
       end do
                                                                                                                                                                                                                              end if
      ! displacement of top wall and its derivatives
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3g26.18)') &
(r0_twall(i_dim),i_dim=1,two)
     snu ao
! zero out derivatives of higher order
do i_order = j_order, n_order
rx_twall(:, i_order) = 0.0_dp
end do
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       end if
                                                                                                                                                                                                        end do
end do
end do
      ! periodic boundary conditions tracking for bottom wall
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3i12)') (pbc_bwall(i_dim),i_dim=1,two)
      stop
      (r0_spring_twall(i_din],i_dim=1,two)
! the status of the force ranp
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3i12)') (s_force_grad(i_dim),i_dim=1,two)
! here we have the coice if we restart the random number generator
! from the configuration or not (seed in the parameter file is then used)
if(l_read_conf_rng_reinit) then
! read state of random number generator
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)') isdext(1:6)
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)') isdext(1:3:18)
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)') isdext(1:3:18)
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(6i12)') isdext(1:3:18)
read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(i12)') isdext(2:5)
call rlux;
end if
end if
end if
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       stop
end if
                                                                                                                                                                                                         end do
end do
end do
      close(fileunit)
  end if ! configuration file could be read
  ! fold coordinates back in simulation box in case the saved coordinates ! were world (unfolded) coordinates
 ppc_
end if
end do
end do
    impose periodic boundary conditions for wall particles
     impose periodic boundary conditions for wall particles
o i_dim = 1, two
do i_wall = 1, n_wall
i_part = i_wall + n_mon_tot
pbc_count(i_part,i_dim) = pbc_shift
r0(i_part,i_dim) = pbc_shift
r0(i_part,i_dim) = r0(i_part,i_dim) &
- boundary(i_dim) * real(pbc_shift, kind=dp)
! do the same for the equilibrium positions
r_wall_equi(i_wall,i_dim) = r_wall_equi(i_wall,i_dim) &
- boundary(i_dim) * real(pbc_shift, kind=dp)
if(m0(i=trot, dim) & 0 & 0 & dim) & dim = 1;
 do
           - boundary(i_dim) * real(pbc_shift, kind=dp)
if(rO(i_part, i_dim) = trO(i_part, i_dim) + boundary(i_dim)
r_vall_equi(i_vall, i_dim) = &
r_vall_equi(i_vall, i_dim) + boundary(i_dim)
pbc_count(i_part, i_dim) = pbc_count(i_part, i_dim) - 1
end if
end if
end do
end do
                                                                                                                                                                                                          do i_chain=1, n_chain
do i_dim=1, n_dim_pbo
end do
! define unfolded coordinates
r0_unfolded(:, 1) = r0(:, 1) + real(pbc_count(:, 1), kind=dp)*boundary(1)
r0_unfolded(:, two)=r0(:, two)+real(pbc_count(:, 2), kind=dp)*boundary(two)
! copy values on pbc_count_old(:, :)
pbc_count_old(:, :) = pbc_count(:, :)
```

```
end subroutine read_configuration_rng_2d
! - checks if chains bonds are ripped by the folding in the simulation box and ! changes periodic counts accordingly to avoid this. ! This is "chain sensitive folding" giving the name to this SR. subroutine chain_sens_fold
    integer :: i_chain, i_part, i_dim
integer :: pbc_shift
integer :: shift_counter
     real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim) :: Rcm_chain
    counter for the number of position shifts performed
shift_counter = 0
! unfolded coordinates must already be defined
do i_chain = 1, n_chain
        if(l_debug_chain_sens_fold) then
print *, "i_chain=", i_chain
do i_part = (i_chain -1) * n_mon +1, i_chain * n_mon
call writevec(r0_unfolded(i_part, :))
            nd if
o i_dim = 1, n_dim_pbc
do i_part = (i_chain -1) * n_mon +2, i_chain * n_mon
if(l_debug_chain_sens_fold) then
print *, "diff(", i_part, ",", i_dim, ")=", &
r0_umfolded(i_part, i_dim) - r0_umfolded(i_part -1, i_dim)
end if
if(compended(i_part, i_dim) = 0, umfolded(i_part -1, i_dim)) =
                 end if
if(r0_unfolded(i_part, i_dim) - r0_unfolded(i_part -1, i_dim) &
    .lt. -half_bound(i_dim)) then
r0_unfolded(i_part, i_dim) = &
    r0_unfolded(i_part, i_dim) + boundary(i_dim)
shift_counter = shift_counter +1
                     if(l_chain_sens_fold_verbose) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i8, a, i1, a)') &
"MESSAGE (SR chain_sens_fold): Shifted particle", i_part, &
    " by +boundary(", i_dim, ")"
                 else if(r0_unfolded(i_part, i_dim) - r0_unfolded(i_part -1, i_dim) &
.gt. half_bound(i_dim)) then
r0_unfolded(i_part, i_dim) &
= r0_unfolded(i_part, i_dim) - boundary(i_dim)
                       shift_counter = shift_counter +1
                      sint_counter 'n
i(l_chain_sens.fold_verbose) then
write(unit=*, fat='(a, i8, a, i1, a)') &
"MESSAGE (SR chain_sens.fold): Shifted particle", i_part, &
" by -boundary(", i_dim, ")"
       check if everything is okay now.
o i_chain = 1, n_chain
do i_dim = 1, n_dim_pbc
do i_part = (i_chain -1) * n_mon +2, i_chain * n_mon
                 if(r0_unfolded(i_part, i_dim) - r0_unfolded(i_part -1, i_dim) &
    .lt. -half_bound(i_dim)) then
                     write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i8, a, i1, a)') &
    "ERROR (SR chain_sens_fold): & Shifted again particle", &
    i_part, " by +boundary(", i_dim, ")"
                 stop
else if(r0_unfolded(i_part, i_dim) - r0_unfolded(i_part -1, i_dim) &
.gt. half_bound(i_dim)) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i8, a, i1, a)') &
"ERRMG (SR chain_sens_fold): & Shifted again particle", &
i_part, " by -boundary(", i_dim, ")"
    if(shift_counter .gt. 0) then
write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i8, a)') "MESSAGE (SR chain_sens_fold): &
&&hifted", shift_counter, " particle posistions."
    when a new simulation is started, it is esthetically more appealing to
have all chains as much in the simulation box as possible. To this end,
we shift the chain centers of mass back in the simulation box.
We also shift the wall atoms back in the box.
ubroutine shift chains in box
     integer :: i_dim, i_chain
logical :: l_chains_shifted
     logical :: 1_cnains_snifted
! best integer approximation to the center-of-mass pbc shift of a chain
! in one dimension
integer :: cm_pbc_count
1_chains_shifted = .FALSE.
            o i_dim=1, n_dim_pbc
cm_pbc_count = nint(&
real(sum(pbc_count((i_chain-1)*n_mon+1:i_chain*n_mon, i_dim)), &
kind=dp) / real(n_mon, kind=dp))
pbc_count((i_chain-1)*n_mon+1:i_chain*n_mon, i_dim) = &
pbc_count((i_chain-1)*n_mon+1:i_chain*n_mon, i_dim) - cm_pbc_count
if(cm_pbc_count.ne. 0) l_chains_shifted = .TRUE.
```

/ real(n\_bottom\_wall, kind=dp)

11\$ end do nd do !!\$ !!\$ if(l\_chains\_shifted) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "MESSAGE (SR shift\_chains\_in\_box): &
 & Shifted chains back in simulation box" & Shilter thanks back in similation box' else write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "MESSAGE (SR shift\_chains\_in\_box): & & Shifted no chains back in simulation box" end if else write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "MESSAGE (SR shift\_chains\_in\_box): & & Wall atoms not shifted, because pbc\_count(n\_mon\_tot+1:, :)=0" end if integer :: 1\_dim
write(unit=\*, advance="no", fmt='(a)') "("
do i\_dim=1, ubound(vec,1) - lbound(vec,1)
write(unit=\*, advance="no", fmt='(f8.2, a)') vec(i\_dim), ","
end do
write(unit=\*, advance="yes", fmt='(f8.2, a)') vec(ubound(vec,1)), ")"
do not not in united. end subroutine writevec ! subroutine for monitoring the total energy of the system as a test of the ! algorithm and implementation. subroutine energy(energy\_log\_file) character(len=\*), intent(in) :: energy\_log\_file integer :: iostatus integer :: iostatus integer :: i\_dim integer :: i\_part ! loop over particles ! centers of mass kinetic energies real(kind=dp) :: t\_cms ! reference frame for fluid particle kinetic energies real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: vel\_fl\_cm\_frame ! reference frame for wall particle kinetic energies real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: vel\_rtw\_cm\_frame, ve ! reference frame for kinetic energies real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: vel\_rf\_frame ! external static energy of top wall (thin "gravitat; -vel\_bw\_cm\_frame ! initialize kinetic energies
t\_fluid = 0.0\_dp
t\_wall = 0.0\_dp Lvall = 0.0\_dp ! chose reference frame for energy calculation. ! We can separate the center of mass motion and chose every reference ! system we please, but the absolute value changes. ! Changing the reference frame from the laboratory system will introduce ! fluctuations which are by 0(n\_part) bigger than the energy fluctuations ! due to the propagation and will thus obscure the energy conservation ! check with the velocity-Verlet algorithm with no thermostat. ! So for checking energy conservation, ! l\_use\_lab\_system\_for\_energies .eq. .TRUE. is required. if(l\_use\_lab\_system\_for\_energies) then vel\_ref\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp vel\_tvc\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp vel\_tvc\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp vel\_tvc\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp vel\_tvc\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp else else ! this is for getting the kinetic temperature in the fluid and the walls ! (assuming that the fluid moves on average with half the top wall ! velocity, which must be true as long time average for symmetry between ! velocity, which must be true as long time average for symmetry be ! the valls) ! We also assume that the wall particles move with the equilibrium ! positions vel\_ref\_frame(:) = 0.5\_dp \* rx\_twall(:, 1) vel\_tx\_m\_frame(:) = 0.5\_dp \* rx\_twall(:, 1) vel\_tx\_m\_frame(:) = x\_twall(:, 1) vel\_wc\_m\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp vel\_ref\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp vel\_fl\_cm\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp vel\_fl\_cm\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp vel\_tw\_cm\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp vel\_bw\_cm\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp !!\$ !!\$ !!\$ !!\$ !!\$ !!\$ !!\$ vel\_fl\_cm\_frame(:) = 0.0\_dp
end if 11\$

vel\_ref\_frame(:) = vel\_fl\_cm\_frame(:)
end if ema in ! kinetic energy of valls equilibrium positions thought as rigid lattice t\_cms = 0.0\_dp t\_cms = t\_cms & + 0.5\_dp \* mass\_tvall & + 0.5\_dp \* mass\_tvall &
 \* (dot\_product(rx,tvall(:, 1) - vel\_ref\_frame(:), &
 rx\_tvall(:, 1) - vel\_ref\_frame(:)) / dt\_2) &
 \* (0.5\_dp \* mass\_bvall &
 \* (dot\_product(vel\_ref\_frame(:), &
 vel\_ref\_frame(:)) / dt\_2)
! the center of mass motion of all particles against the reference frame
 t\_cms = t\_cms &
 \* 0.5\_dp \* mass\_fluid\_part &
 \* 0.5\_dp \*
 \* 0.5\_dp \* mass\_fluid\_part &
 \* 0.5\_dp \*
 \* 0. end do
if(f wall\_fix .ne. 1) then
! kinetic energy of fluid particles
! the kinetic energy of the whole walls was taken into account
! separately in t\_cms
do i\_part = n\_mon\_tot+1, n\_mon\_tot + n\_top\_wall
t\_wall = t\_wall + mass(i\_part) &
 \* dot\_product(rx(i\_part, :, 1) - vel\_tw\_cm\_frame(:)) &
 rx(i\_part, :, 1) - vel\_tw\_cm\_frame(:))
end do end do end if ! divide by common factor (the dt\_2 comes from the storage in rx) t\_wall = t\_wall/(2.0\_dp \* dt\_2) t\_fluid = t\_fluid/(2.0\_dp \* dt\_2) ! potential energies have been computed in interaction routines v\_total = v\_wall\_wall + v\_wall\_harm\_tre + v\_wall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_linear + & v\_wall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_vector + v\_fluid\_wall + v\_fluid fluid + & v\_total = v\_total + t\_fluid ! kinetic energy of particles sum\_all\_energies = e\_total + static\_energy\_top\_wall + t\_cms ! write out time and energy contributions of the present MD step if(1\_write\_energy\_org\_file\_unit, file=energy\_log\_file, status="old", & action="write", position="append", iostat=iostatus) if(iostatus .ne. 0) then write (unit=\*,fmt='(a)') "WARNING: Could not append to energy log file" else if(.FLSE.) then ! normalized to the number of particles end do end if if(.FALSE.) then ! normalized to the number of particles
! distinguish if there is fluid or not
if(n\_mon\_tot.gt. 0) then
write(unit=energy\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(e14.6, e21.13, 11e13.5)') & e(unite=Dargy\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(e14.6, e21.13, 11e13
r\_time, &
um\_all\_energies / real(n\_moving, kind=dp), &
static\_energy\_top\_wall / real(n\_top\_wall, kind=dp), &
t\_cms / real(n\_top\_wall, kind=dp), &
t\_vall / real(n\_vall, kind=dp), &
t\_vall / real(n\_unot, kind=dp), &
v\_wall\_harm\_tre\_kon\_linear / real(n\_wall, kind=dp), &
v\_utll\_harm\_fre\_kon\_linear / real(n\_wall, kind=dp), &
v\_fluid\_fluid / real(n\_mon\_tot, kin v\_intra\_molec / real(n\_mon\_tot, kind=dp) else write(unit=energy\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(el4.6, e21.13, 7e13.5)') & r\_time, & sum\_all\_energies / real(n\_moving, kind=dp), & static\_energy\_top\_wall / real(n\_top\_wall, kind=dp), & t\_cns / real(n\_top\_wall, kind=dp), & t\_unil / real(n\_wall, kind=dp), & v\_unil\_real(n\_wall, kind=dp), & v\_unil\_harm\_tom / real(n\_wall, kind=dp), & v\_unil\_harm\_fre\_kon\_vector / real(n\_wall, kind=dp) end if limit\_ic\_limit\_re\_limit\_ic\_limit\_i else vrite(unit=energy\_log\_file\_unit, fmt='(e14.6, e21.13, 7e13.5)') & sumi-emergy\_log\_lile\_uni r\_time, & sum\_all\_energies, & static\_energy\_top\_wall, & t\_cms, & t\_vall, & v\_wall\_wall, & v\_wall\_wall, & v\_wall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_linear, & v\_wall\_harm\_fre\_kon\_vector v\_Wall\_naim\_ito\_.... end if end if close(energy\_log\_file\_unit) close(energy\_log\_file\_unit) end if ! if(iostaux ne. 0) end if ! urite\_energy.every\_mds ! computing first and second moment of the energy distributions makes only ! sense if we are not ramping the effective minimum distance. if(i\_time .gt. n\_relax) then ! sum up for the first and second moment v\_wall\_wall\_! = v\_wall\_lt.l + v\_wall\_wall t\_vall\_wall\_t = v\_wall\_wall\_t t\_vall e\_wall\_wall\_1 = v\_wall\_wall\_1 + (v\_wall\_wall+t\_wall) v\_wall\_wall\_2 = v\_wall\_wall\_2 + v\_wall\_wall\*\*2 t\_wall\_2 = t\_wall\_2 + t\_wall\*\*2
e\_vall\_vall\_2 = e\_vall\_vall\_2 + (v\_vall\_vall+t\_vall)\*\*2
v\_fluid\_fluid\_1 = v\_fluid\_fluid\_1 + v\_fluid\_fluid
t\_fluid\_1 = t\_fluid\_1 + t\_fluid
e\_fluid\_fluid\_1 = t\_fluid\_1 + (v\_fluid\_fluid+t\_fluid)
v\_fluid\_fluid\_2 = v\_fluid\_fluid\_1 + (v\_fluid\_fluid+t\_fluid)
t\_fluid\_fluid\_2 = t\_fluid\_2 + t\_fluid\_s\*2
t\_fluid\_fluid\_2 = t\_fluid\_fluid\_2 + t\_fluid\_s\*2
v\_total\_1 = v\_total\_1 + v\_total
c\_total\_1 = t\_total\_1 + t\_total
v\_total\_2 = v\_total\_2 + v\_total
v\_total\_2 = v\_total\_2 + v\_total v\_total\_2 = v\_total\_2 + v\_total\*\*2
t\_total\_2 = t\_total\_2 + t\_total\*\*2
e\_total\_2 = e\_total\_2 + e\_total\*\*2 e\_to end if total energy if(i\_time .eq. s\_time +1) then
! assign the first value computed
sum\_all\_energies\_1 = sum\_all\_energies ! wall-wall potential if(i\_time .eq. s\_time +1) then
 simulated\_wall\_wall\_potential\_1 = v\_wall\_wall else simulated\_wall\_wall\_potential\_1 = & (1.0.dp'-1.0.dp/real(n\_time\_ave,kind=dp)) &
\* simulated\_wall\_wall\_potential\_1 &
+ (1.0.dp / real(n\_time\_ave, kind=dp))\*v\_wall\_wall nd if end if
! kinetic energy of fluid
! kinetic energy of fluid
! kinetic energy of fluid
! note: t\_fluid\_1 is the average over all observation steps
if(n\_time.eq. s\_time +1) then
t\_fluid\_lpf = t\_fluid
class end if end if ema in 'k kinetic energy of wall particles in rest frame of wall centers of mass if(f\_wall\_fix.eq. 0) then if(i\_time.eq. s\_time +1) then t\_wall\_lpf = t\_wall end if end if end if end if ! write out every n\_time\_ave time steps if(mod(i\_time, n\_time\_ave).eq.0) then ! normalize to particle numbers when writing out if(n\_mon\_tot.gt.0) .ad. (f\_wall\_fix.eq.0)) then write(energy\_out\_unit, fmt='(es17.11, 4g14.6)') r\_time, & simulated vall\_vall\_potential\_1 / real(n\_wall, kind=dp), & t\_tfuid\_lpf / real(n\_mon\_tot, kind=dp), & t\_vall\_ipf / real(n\_wall, kind=dp), & t\_vall\_ipf / real(n\_wall, kind=dp), & sum\_all\_energies\_1 / real(n\_part, kind=dp), & sum\_all\_energies\_1 / real(n\_part, kind=dp), & sum\_all\_energies\_1 / real(n\_part, kind=dp), & simulated\_vall\_vall\_potential\_1 / real(n\_vall, kind=dp), & t\_fluid\_lpf / real(n\_mon\_tot, kind=dp) else else else t\_fluid\_lpi / real(n\_www\_cvv, name\_r. else write(energy\_out\_unit, fmt='(es17.11, 3g14.6)') r\_time, & sum\_all\_energies\_1 / real(n\_part, kind=dp), & simulated\_vall\_vall\_potential\_1 / real(n\_vall, kind=dp), & t\_vall\_pf / real(n\_vall, kind=dp) end if end if end subroutine energy !
!
subroutine for writing out useful information and observables
subroutine simulation\_log\_out(simulation\_log\_file)
character(len=\*), intent(in) :: simulation\_log\_file
integer, parameter :: fileunit = 20
integer :: io\_status alread close(unit=fileunit) end if enu ii write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') & "This file contains information about the system parameters of the " write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') & "simulation and data collected during the simulation" "Simulation and data conjecte-write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(2a)') & "Program was ", program\_name write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') & &=====""">
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') ""
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') & "Compiled in program parameters (as set in module globals):" write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') & &------"
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(3(a,i1))') "n\_dim\_max=", n\_dim\_max, &
 ", n\_dim=", n\_dim, ", n\_dim\_pbc=", n\_dim\_pbc", n\_dim\_pbc
vrite(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a, i2)') &
 "Order of integration algorithm: n\_order =", n\_order
 "" wirte(unit-lieumi, im- (x, iz) t & "order =", n\_order "Order of integration algorithm: n\_order =", n\_order write(unit-fileumi, fmt='(2(a, i4))') & "wall size parameters: n\_cell\_w\_x = ", n\_cell\_w\_x, & ", n\_cell\_w\_y = ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", ", n\_cell\_w, ", ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", ", ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", n\_cell\_w, ", ", n\_cell\_w, n

else write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') & "l\_forbid\_xy\_bond\_crossings ignored as n\_dim\_pbc .ne. 2" else write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') & "1\_2d\_flat\_setup interval interva ignored for system without walls" write(unit+fileunit, fmt='(a)') &
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 "
 t\_wall\_1 = t\_wall\_1& / (real(n\_wall, kind=dp) \* real(n\_mds\_after\_relax, kind=dp)) / real(n\_wall, kind=dp) \* real(n\_mds\_after\_relax, kind=dp)/
! variance scales with N\*\*2, so divide by it
v\_wall\_wall\_2 = v\_wall\_wall\_2 &
/ (real(n\_wall\*\*2, kind=dp) \* real(n\_mds\_after\_relax, kind=dp))
t\_wall\_2 = t\_wall\_2 &
/ (real(n\_wall\*\*2, kind=dp) \* real(n\_mds\_after\_relax, kind=dp)) viii this scaling we get the compressibility v\_vall\_vall\_2 = real(n\_vall, kind=dp) \* v\_vall\_vall\_2/temp\*\*2 t\_vall\_2 = real(n\_vall, kind=dp) \* t\_vall\_2 / temp\*\*2 e\_vall\_vall\_2 = real(n\_vall, kind=dp) \* t\_vall\_2 / temp\*\*2 c\_waii\_waii\_2 = real\_(waii\_ nime-up) = c\_waii\_waii\_2 + write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') k "Mean and varianceW/T2 of interaction energies:" write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') k 

### APPENDIX C. SIMULATION CODE

filename = adjustl(filename(:length))
! check if the file we want to write already exists
open(unit=filenit, file=trim(filename), status="old", &
iostat=io\_status)

t\_fluid\_2 = real(n\_mon\_tot, kind=dp) \* t\_fluid\_2 /temp\*\*2 e\_fluid\_fluid\_2 = real(n\_mon\_tot, kind=dp) \* e\_fluid\_fluid\_2/temp\*\*2 write(unit=fileunit, fate\*(a)') "" write(unit=fileunit, fate\*(a)') "Fluid-fluid energies:" write(unit=fileunit, fate\*(2e15.6, a)') v\_fluid\_fluid\_1.v\_fluid\_fluid\_2,& " fluid=fluid potential energy") = fluid\_fluid\_1.v\_fluid\_fluid\_2. write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') ""
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') "fort.?? contents"
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') & ! write out what the fort.XX files contain write(unitfileunit, fmt='(a)') "fort.41: radius of gyration and squared & &&and-to-end distance low pass filtered" if(l\_compute\_press\_tens) then
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') &
 "fort.42: pressure tensor low pass filtered" "fort.42: pressure tensor low pass interna-end if write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a, i2, a)') "fort.", pos\_vel\_out\_unit, & ": Position and velocity of top wall low pass filtered" write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a, i2, a)') "fort.", forces\_out\_unit, & ": external and total force on top wall low pass filtered" write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a, i2, a)') "fort.", energy\_out\_unit, & ": cotal, wall-wall, fluid and wall kinetic energy low pass filtered" ' close output file ! close output file close(fileunit) end subroutine simulation\_log\_out ! vrapper for writing positions and velocities on linear scale and at the ! times in the sample list (if read) subroutine pos\_and\_vel\_out uuroutine pos\_and\_vel\_out if(l\_write\_particle\_positions .or. l\_write\_particle\_velocities) then if(mod(i\_time, n\_linear\_out) .eq. 0) then if(l\_write\_particle\_positions) then if(n\_dim .eq. n\_dim\_pbo) then call fluid\_positions\_out("fl\_pos\_t", i\_time) else call fluid\_positions\_out("fl\_pos\_t", i\_time)
else
 call particle\_positions\_out("pos\_t", i\_time)
end if
end if
if(1\_write\_particle\_velocities) call particle\_velocities\_out("vel\_")
end if end if if()read\_sample\_list .and. (i\_time .eq. next\_sample\_time)) then call get\_next\_sample\_time(sample\_times, next\_sample\_index, & next\_sample\_time) ! we only vrite out if the sample time is different from the linear ! saving time if(mod(i\_time, n\_linear\_out) .ne. 0) then if(n\_write\_particle\_positions) then if(n\_write\_particle\_positions) then call fluid\_positions\_out("fl\_pos\_t", i\_time) else call particle\_positions\_out("pos\_t", i\_time) end end call particle\_positions\_out("pos\_t", i\_time) end if end if if(l\_vrite\_particle\_velocities) call particle\_velocities\_out("vel\_") endd if end if end if end if end if end subroutine pos\_and\_vel\_out ! variables for putting together the filename integer :: length character(len=200) :: filename character(len=200) :: filename character(len=80) :: format\_string ! loop variables integer :: i\_dim, i\_part, i\_part\_lov, i\_part\_high if(l\_debug\_fluid\_positions\_out) & print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR fluid\_positions\_out" ! fill in default arguments if(present(part\_index\_low)) then i\_part\_low = part\_index\_low else i\_part\_low = part\_low = 1
end if if(present(part\_index\_high)) then
 i\_part\_high = min(n\_mon\_tot, part\_index\_high) i\_part\_high = min(h\_mon)
else
 i\_part\_high = n\_mon\_tot
end if end if ! put together the output-filename write(filename, '(i12)') i\_time\_var filename = adjustl(filename) filename = fluid\_pos\_basename//trim(filename)//".dat" length = len(trim(adjustl(filename)))

alread close(unit=fileunit) end if end up end if ! file successfully opened close(fileunit) vrites out (x,y,z) positions of all particles, if particle indices part\_index\_low, part\_index\_high are given as optional arguments, write only these particle positions. ubroutine particle\_positions\_out(particle\_pos\_basename, i\_time\_var, & part\_index\_low, part\_index\_high, l\_write\_indices\_tbwall) character(len=\*), intent(in) :: particle\_pos\_basename ! time variable: MC-step or MD-step integer, intent(in), optional :: part\_index\_low, part\_index\_high logical, intent(in), optional :: l\_write\_indices\_tbwall integer, parameter :: fileunit = 61 integer :: io\_status ! variables for putting together the filename integer :: length character(len=240) :: filename character(len=30) :: format\_string ! loop variables ! loop variables integer :: i\_dim, i\_part, i\_part\_low, i\_part\_high integer :: 1\_clm, 1\_part, 1\_part\_low, 1\_part\_lign if(1\_debug\_particle\_positions\_out) & print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR particle\_positions\_out" ! fill in default arguments if(present(part\_inder\_low)) then i\_part\_low = part\_index\_low else els else i\_part\_low = 1 end if if(present(part\_index\_high)) then
 i\_part\_high = part\_index\_high else i\_part\_high = n\_part end if end if
! integer to string conversion
write(string, '(i12)') i\_time\_var
string = adjustl(string) string = adjustl(string)
! put together the filename
filename = particle\_pos\_basename//trim(string)//".dat"
! we need the length of the filename to get rid of a newline
length = len(trim(adjustl(filename)))
! trim() applied here does not do the job, we have do do it every time
filename = adjustl(filename))
! check if the file we want to write already exists
open(unit=fileunit, file=trim(filename), status="old", &
iostate:io status) iostat=io\_status) open file for replacement action="write", iostat=io\_status) action="write", iostat=io\_status)
if(io\_status .ne. 0) then
write (unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') "WARNING: Could not open output file >>", &
trim(filename), "<<"
write (unit=\*, fmt='(a, 18)') " iostat=", io\_status
else
else
else
else</pre> end do end do
if(.not. present(l\_write\_indices\_tbwall) .or. &
 present(l\_write\_indices\_tbwall) .ad. l\_write\_indices\_tbwall) then
! write out particle indices so that we know what positions we have
write(unit=filemnit, fmt='(6i10)') &
 n\_mon\_tot, n\_top\_wall, n\_bottom\_wall, i\_part\_low, i\_part\_high
! position of top and bottom wall lattice

write(unit=fileunit, fmt=format\_string) r0\_twall(:)
write(unit=fileunit, fmt=format\_string) r0\_bwall(:)
end if end if close(fileunit) and if ! file successfully opened if(l\_debug\_particle\_positions\_out) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR particle\_positions\_out" end subroutine particle\_positions\_out character(len=80) :: format\_string ! loop variables integer :: i\_part, i\_part\_low, i\_part\_high ! fill in default arguments if(present(part\_index\_low)) then i\_part\_low = part\_index\_low elec. i\_part\_low = pa else i\_part\_low = 1 end if if(present(part\_index\_high)) then
i\_part\_high = part\_index\_high else i\_part\_high = n\_part end if end if
integer to string conversion
write(string, '(120)') i\_time
string = adjustl(string)
! put together the filename
filename = particle\_vel\_basename//"t"//trim(string)//".dat"
! we need the length of the filename to get rid of a newline
length = len(trim(adjustl(filename)))
! trim() rem\_ind have does not do the in a have does in a ! trim() applied here does not do the job, we have do do it every time filename = adjustl(filename(:length)) ! check if the file we want to write already exists open(unit=fileunit, file=trim(filename), status="old", & iostat=io\_status) trim(rliename), "<<"
trim(rliename), "<</pre>
trim(rliename), "<</pre>
trim(rliename), "<</pre>
trim(formatise with the same precision. The velocities have
triename the second sec close(fileunit) end if ! file successfully opened end subroutine particle\_velocities\_out ! writes out information describing a configuration: ! particle numbers, periodic boundary conditions subroutine write\_config\_infos(config\_info\_filename) character(len=\*), intent(in) :: config\_info\_filename
integer :: i\_dim close(unit=fileunit) 

```
write (unit=*, fmt='(a, i8)') "
else
                                                                                                    iostat=", io_status
          ise
write (unit=*, fmt='(3a)') "MESSAGE: Writing configuration information &
    &to file >>", config_info_filename, "<<"
! number of fluid particles
write(unit=filenuit, fmt='(a, i8)') &
    "n_mon_tot= ", n_mon_tot</pre>
           "n_mon_tot ", n_mon_tot
' number of top wall particles
write(unit+fileunit, fmt='(a, i8)') &
    "n_top_wall ", n_top_wall
' number of bottom wall particles
    "n_bottom_wall = ", n_bottom_wall
' chain learch
           "n_bottom_wall= ', n_outrom_etc."
' chain length
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a, i8)') &
    "n_mon= ", n_mon
! dimensions of the system where periodic boundaries are applied
do i_dim = 1, n_dim=1
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a, i1, a, f17.10)') &
    "boundary(", i_dim, ")= ", boundary(i_dim)
end do
        end if
        close(fileunit)
    read the list with sample times
   subroutine read_sample_list(file_sample_list, sample_times, &
    s_time, n_relax, n_obser)
      s_time, n_relax, n_obser)
character(len=*), intent(in) :: file_sample_list
! the intent attribute is not allowed for pointers (M/R ch. 5.7.1)
integer, dimension(:), pointer :: sample_times
integer, parameter :: fileunit = 10
integer :: iostatus
character(large()) : thrian
       integer :: iostatus
character(len=40) :: string
integer :: i_sample_time
! open file for replacement
open(unit=fileumit, file=file_sample_list, status="old", &
    action="read", iostat=iostatus)
if(iostatus .ne. 0) then
    write (unit=*, fmt="(3a)") &
    "ERARG (SR read_sample_list): Could not read file &
    & with sample times >>", file_sample_list, "<<"
stop
</pre>
             stop
       else
write(unit=*, fmt='(2a)') &
"MESSAGE (SR read_sample_list): Reading ", trim(file_sample_list)
           "RESSAUL (SK read_Sample_list): Keading ", trim(Tile_Sample 'read number of sample time in list, then allocate memory read(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a17, i12)') string, nr_samples_total if(nr_samples_total = 0) then write(unit=*, fmt='(a, i12, a)') & "ERORG (SK read sample_list): nr_samples_total=", & nr_samples_total, ".le. 0" stop
               stop
nd if
           allocate(sample_times(1:nr_samples_total))
           end do
        close(fileunit)
end if ! could read sample times
          write out sample list
write(unit=*, fmt='(a17, i12)') trim(string), nr_samples_total
do i_sample_time = 1, nr_samples_total
write(unit=*, fmt='(i12)') sample_times(i_sample_time)
           end do
            check matching with n_obser and n_relax
          cneck matching with n_obser and n_relax
(f (n_obser + n_relax + s_time).it. sample_times(nr_samples_total)) then
write(unit**, fmt='(2(a, i12))') &
    "RRRNG (SR read_sample_list): n_obser + n_relax +s_time* ", &
    n_obser + n_relax + s_time, &
    " .lt. sample_times(nr_samples_total)=", &
    sample_times(nr_samples_total)
        if(
        stop
end if
         define first time and index
define first time and index = 1, nr_samples_total
if(sample_times(next_sample_index) .ge. s_time) then
next_sample_time = sample_times(next_sample_index)
-----
         next
exit
end if
end do
       end subroutine read_sample_list
   end subroutine get_next_sample_time
end module utilities
```

#### poppingV1.9.f90

poppingVx.y.f90 module for finding potential minima between walls or in wall potential Also calculates probability distributions of particle motions. Martin Aichele, 2002-01-10 last modified 2002-07-22

module popping

#### use globals implicit none debug switches |----- 31 characters -----| Indiana Indian

#### APPENDIX C. SIMULATION CODE

logical, parameter :: l\_debug\_bond\_ang\_vel\_p\_dist logical, parameter :: l\_debug\_fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist logical, parameter :: l\_debug\_fluid\_accelp\_dist logical, parameter :: l\_debug\_bin\_data\_array = .FALSE.
= .FALSE.
= .FALSE.
= .FALSE. switch for deciding if we are calculating the potential of a fluid particle between the walls or a wall particle above a wall ogical, parameter :: l\_fluid\_between\_walls = .TRUE. Probability distributions of fluid velocities for commensurate surfaces at high shear rates, high velocities occur (v > 7). The out of histogram range situation is repaired in the binning routine by putting the entries which are out of range into the outermost bins. ! switch for deciding if for bi-mers of center of mass is investigated logical :: l\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer = .FALSE. ! (X,Y,Z)-average ! number of bins for the probability distribution of fluid velocities integer, parameter :: n\_fluid\_vel\_p\_dist\_bins = 1400 ! bin start
real(kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_bin\_start = 0.0\_dp ! bin width real(kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_bin\_width = 0.008\_dp lai(sind-dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_bin\_widt = 0:000\_dp real(kind-dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_bin\_width + n\_fluid\_vel\_p\_dist\_bins \* fluid\_vel\_bin\_width ! time averaged probability distribution of velocities real(kind=dp), dimension(0:n\_fluid\_vel\_p\_dist\_bins-1) :: & fluid\_vel\_p\_dist\_ave = 0.0\_dp ! (X,Y)-plans\_urve = ...\_ury ! (X,Y)-plane average ! number of bins for the probability distribution of fluid velocities in ! xy-plane in thermostarting system integer, parameter :: n\_fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist\_bins = 1400 ! bin width
real(kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_width = 0.008\_dp ! bin start real(kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_start = 0.0\_dp !etc.und +,,, ; ! bin end real(kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_end = & fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_start & + n\_fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist\_bins \* fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_width ! time averaged probability distribution of velocities real(kind=dp), dimension(0:n\_fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist\_bins=1) :: & fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist\_ave = 0.0\_dp ; Y ! number of bins for the probability distribution of fluid velocities in x integer, parameter :: n\_fluid\_vel\_x\_p\_dist\_bins = 2000 ! bin width real(kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_width = 0.008\_dp - \_\_IIUI\_\_Vel\_x\_p\_dist\_bins/2 \* IUUI\_\_Vel\_x\_bin\_width
! bin end
real(Kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_end = &
+ n\_fluid\_vel\_x\_p\_dist\_bins/2 \* fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_width
! time averaged probability distribution of velocities
real(Kind=dp), dimension(0:n\_fluid\_vel\_x\_p\_dist\_bins-1) :: &
fluid\_vel\_x\_p\_dist\_ave = 0.0\_dp
! Y ! number of bins for the probability distribution of fluid velocities in y
integer, parameter :: n\_fluid\_vel\_y\_p\_dist\_bins = 2000 ! bin width
real(kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_y\_bin\_width = 0.008\_dp : L number of bins for the probability distribution of fluid velocities in z integer, parameter :: n\_fluid\_vel\_z\_p\_dist\_bins = 2000 ! bin width real(kind+dp), parameter :: fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_width = 0.008\_dp ! angular velocity ! number of bins for the probability distribution of angular velocities integer, parameter :: n\_bond\_ang\_vel\_p\_dist\_bins = 8000 ! big with the second sec ! bin width
real(kind=dp), parameter :: bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_width = 2.0\_dp contains ! histogram. histogram.end = real(ubound(out\_histogram.i)-lbound(out\_histogram.i) +1, & kind=0) \* histogram\_bin\_vidth + histogram\_start if(l\_debug\_bin\_data\_array) then print \*, "histogram\_start", histogram\_start print \*, "histogram\_start", histogram\_start print \*, "histogram\_end=", histogram\_end print \*, "histogram\_end=", histogram\_end" print \*, "histogram\_ibin\_vidth", "histogram\_i), & ", ubound=", ubound(out\_histogram.i), &

end if mu ii the dimension has to be specified in the calls to lbound, so that the compiler knows that the result is a scalar loop over input data o data\_loop = lbound(in\_array, 1), ubound(in\_array, 1) i a to form of because end if else if(present(l\_graceful\_overflow)) then ! if histogram overflows are rare we can put an equivalent number of ! particles in the outermost bins as last resort. This way, the ! computation of the moments if only slightly affected. ! If one boundary is 0 then artefacts will result. ! [Like negative entries] if(l\_graceful\_overflow) then if(bin\_inder.gt. ubound(out\_histogram, 1)) then out\_histogram(ubound(out\_histogram, 1)) & = out\_histogram(ubound(out\_histogram, 1)) & + anint(in\_array(data\_loop) & / (histogram\_end - 0.5.dp+histogram\_bin\_width)) else se out\_histogram(lbound(out\_histogram, 1)) & = out\_histogram(lbound(out\_histogram, 1)) & + anint(in\_array(data\_loop) & / (histogram\_start + 0.5\_dp+histogram\_bin\_width)) if if(1\_debug\_bin\_data\_array) then print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR bin\_data\_array" end if end subroutine bin\_data\_array calculate the probability distribution of the fluid particle velocities ubroutine fluid\_vel\_p\_dist(out\_file, time\_constant, style) character(lem=\*), intent(in) :: out\_file integer, intent(in) :: time\_constant character(lem=\*), intent(in) :: style ! helper array holding the moduli of the velocities real(kind=dp), dimension(1:n\_mon\_tot) :: mod\_velocity ! start and end indices to be considered in mod\_velocity integer :: vel\_begin\_index, vel\_end\_index integer :: i.part, i\_bond, i\_chain, i\_mon real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: vel\_cm real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: vel\_cm
! time in MDS when this routine was first called
integer, save :: first\_call\_time = -huge(1) integer, dave .. first\_time - muge(r)
! average moments
real(kind=dp), save :: fl\_vel\_pd\_average\_ave, &
 fl\_vel\_pd\_second\_moment\_ave, &
 fl\_vel\_pd\_third\_moment\_ave, &
 fl\_vel\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave if(l\_debug\_fluid\_vel\_p\_dist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR fluid\_vel\_p\_dist "
! sanity check ! sanity check if(n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0) then write(unit=\*, fmt=\*) & "ERROR (SR fluid\_vel\_p\_dist): n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0, nothing to do." stop end if stop nd if stop end if ! set switch fo going to center of mass for 2-mers if(n\_mon.eq. 2) then l\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer = .TRUE. else l\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer = .FALSE. end if if(l\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer) then ful\_take\_cm\_of\_Dimer) then
vel\_begin\_index = 1
vel\_end\_index = n\_chain \* (n\_mon -1)
! get center of mass velocities, one for each bond
i\_bond = 0
do i\_chain = 1, n\_chain
do i\_mon = 1, n\_mon-1
i\_bond = i\_bond +1 1\_bond = 1\_bond +1 vel\_cm(:) = rx((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon, :, 1) & + rx((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon +1, :, 1) ! times 0.5 for the average of the two particles mod\_velocity(i\_bond) = & 0.5\_dp \* dt\_inv \* sqrt(dot\_product(vel\_cm(:), vel\_cm(:))) d d end do end do end au else vel\_ped\_index = 1 vel\_end\_index = n\_mon\_tot ! rx(:, ;, 1) stores velocity \* dt of particles do i.part = vel\_begin\_index, vel\_end\_index mod\_velocity(i\_part) = & dt\_inv \* sqrt(dot\_product(rx(i\_part, :, 1), rx(i\_part, :, 1))) -\* 40 end iT
if(style .ne. "moments\_only") then
if(marval(mod\_velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)) &
.gt. fluid\_vel\_bin\_end) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt\*'(a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') &
 "WARNING (SR fluid\_vel\_p\_dist): max. |v|=", &
 marval(mod\_velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)), &
 "gt. fluid\_vel\_bin\_end=", fluid\_vel\_bin\_end
end if end if end if

if(style .ne. "ave\_prob\_dist") then

! get probability distribution, average data and write out call p\_dist\_calc\_and\_write(out\_file, time\_constant, first\_call\_time, & style, "fluid velocity probability distribution", & "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", & "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment & & | P(0) | P(1) | ... | P(n) | ...", & mod\_velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index), fluid\_vel\_p\_dist\_ave, & fluid\_vel\_bin\_start, fluid\_vel\_bin\_end, fluid\_vel\_bin\_width, & fl\_vel\_pd\_average\_ave, fl\_vel\_pd\_second\_moment\_ave, & fl\_vel\_pd\_third\_moment\_ave, fl\_vel\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave) il\_ves\_puctured\_united\_united\_index:vel\_end\_index), &
call bin\_data\_array(mod\_velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index), &
fluid\_vel\_pdist\_ave, fluid\_vel\_bin\_start, fluid\_vel\_bin\_width, &
.TRUE.)
end if ena if
if(l\_debug\_fluid\_vel\_p\_dist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR fluid\_vel\_p\_dist "
end subroutine fluid\_vel\_p\_dist calculate the probability distribution of the fluid particle velocities ! in the xy-plane (radially averaged)
subroutine fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist(out\_file, time\_constant, style) ubroutine fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist(out\_file, time\_constant, s character(len=\*), intent(in) :: out\_file integer, intent(in) :: time\_constant character(len=\*), intent(in) :: style ! helper array holding the moduli of the velocities real(kind=dp), dimension(1:m\_mom\_tot) :: mod\_velocity ! start and end indices to be considered in mod\_velocity integer :: vel\_begin\_index, vel\_end\_index integer :: i,part, i\_bond, i\_chain, i\_mon real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: vel\_cm ! time in MDS when this routine was first called integer, save :: first\_call\_time = -huge(l) ! averaged moments real(kind=dp), save :: fl\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pd\_avg\_ave, & fl\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pd\_scond\_mom\_ave, & fl\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pd\_forth\_mom\_ave if(l\_adbug\_fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist) & if(l\_debug\_fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist " print \*, Debo: Lutering of Tele(.s., proprint)
! sanity toek
if(\_\_mon\_tot eq. 0) then
write(unit\*, fmt\*) &
 "ERROR (SR fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist): n\_mon\_tot.eq.0, nothing to do." stop nd if uu if (time\_constant .le. 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &
 "ERROR (SR fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist): time\_constant must be positive" stop end if stop end if ! set switch fo going to center of mass for 2-mers if(n\_non.eq. 2) then 1 take\_cm\_of bimer = .TRUE. al\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer = .FALSE. end if if(l\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer) then f(l\_take\_cm\_or\_Dimer) then
vel\_begin\_index = 1
vel\_end\_index = 1
vel\_end\_index = n\_chain \* (n\_mon -1)
! get center of mass velocities in xy-plane, one for each bond
i\_bond = 0
do i\_chain = 1, n\_chain
do i\_mon = 1, n\_mon-1
i\_Dond = i\_bond +1 l\_bond = l\_bond +1
l\_bond = l\_bond +1
wel\_cm(1:2) = rx((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon + i\_mon + 1, 1:2, 1)
! substract the average motion of the fluid
vel\_cm(1:2) = vel\_cm(1:2) - 0.5\_dpt\*rx\_tvall(1:2, 1)
! times 0.5 for the average of the two particles
mod\_velocity(i\_bond) = &
0.5\_dp\*dt\_inv\*sqrt(dot\_product(vel\_cm(1:2), vel\_cm(1:2)))
nd do end do end do else
 vel\_begin\_index = 1
 vel\_end\_index = n\_mon\_tot el\_end\_index = n\_mon\_tot rx(:, :) is stores velocity \* dt of particles io i.part = vel\_begin\_index, vel\_end\_index ! substract the average motion of the fluid mod\_velocity(i.part) = dt\_inv & \* sqrt(dot\_product(rx(i.part, 1:2, 1) - 0.5\_dp\*rx\_twall(1:2, 1), & rx(i\_part, 1:2, 1) - 0.5\_dp\*rx\_twall(1:2, 1))) do end do end if end if
if (style .ne. "moments\_only") then
if (maxval(mod\_velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)) &
.gt. fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_end) then
write(unit\*\*, fnt#'(a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') &
 "WARNING (SR fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist): max. |v|=", &
 maxval(mod\_velogin\_index:vel\_end\_index)), &
 " gt. fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_end=", fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_end
end if end if end if end if if(style .ne. "ave\_prob\_dist") then ! get probability distribution, average data and write out call p\_dist\_calc\_and\_write(out\_file, time\_constant, first\_call\_time, & style, "fluid velocity in xy-plane probability distribution", & "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", & "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment & & | P(0) | P(1) | ... | P(n) | ...", & mod\_velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index), & fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist\_ave, & fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist\_ave, & fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_start, fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_bin\_end, & fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pd\_avg\_ave, fl\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pd\_cordm\_mom\_ave) else if(1\_debug\_fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist " end subroutine fluid\_vel\_xy\_pl\_pdist

calculate the probability distribution of the fluid particle angular ! velocities in the center of mass of the bond subroutine bond\_ang\_vel\_p\_dist(out,file, time\_constant, style)

character(len=\*), intent(in) :: out\_file integer, intent(in) :: time\_constant character(len=\*), intent(in) :: style ! helper array holding the velocities real(kind=dp), dimension(1:n,chair(n\_mon-1)) :: velocity integer :: i\_mon, i\_chain, i\_bond ! time in MDS when this routine was first called integer, save :: first\_call\_time = -huge(1) integer, save :: first\_call\_time = -huge(1)
! averaged moments
real(kind=dp), save :: bond\_av\_pd\_average\_ave, &
 bond\_av\_pd\_second\_moment\_ave, &
 bond\_av\_pd\_third\_moment\_ave, &
 bond\_av\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave if(l\_debug\_bond\_ang\_vel\_p\_dist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR bond\_ang\_vel\_p\_dist " stop end if if(time\_constant .le. 0) then
write(unit\*\* fmt='(a)') &
 ""ERROR (SR bond\_ang\_vel\_p\_dist): time\_constant must be positive" stop end if stop end if end if ! get angular velocities, one for each bond i\_bond = 0 do i\_chain = 1, n\_chain do i\_mon = 1, n\_mon-1 i\_bond = i\_bond +1 velocity(i\_bond) = angul\_vel((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon, & (i\_ohim-1)we mon = i\_mon = i) ....vy\1\_bond) = angul\_vel((i\_ch (i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon +1) end do end do end do
if(style ne. "moments\_only") then
if(maxval(velocity(:)) .gt. bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_end) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') &
 "#RMING (SR bond\_ang\_vel\_p\_dist): max. v=", &
 maxval(velocity(:)), ".gt. bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_end=", &
 bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_end
end if Dond\_ang\_vet\_\_vii\_ena dif if(mixval(velocity(:)) 11. bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_start) then write(umit\*\*, fmt="(a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') & "WARNING (SR bond\_ang\_vel\_p\_dist): min. v=", & mixval(velocity(:)), ".1t. bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_start=", & bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_start end if end if end if if(style .ne. "ave\_prob\_dist") then ! get probability distribution, average data and write out call p\_dist\_calc\_and\_write(out\_file, time\_constant, first\_call\_time, & style, "fluid velocity probability distribution", & "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", & "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment & & k| P(0) | P(1) | ... | P(n) |...", & velocity, bond ang\_vel\_p\_dist\_ave, & bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_start, bond\_ang\_vel\_bin\_end, & bond\_av\_pd\_atvrage\_ave, bond\_av\_pd\_second\_moment\_ave, & bond\_av\_pd\_third\_moment\_ave, bond\_av\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave) else calculate the probability distribution of the fluid particle velocities ! in x and y subroutine fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist(out\_file\_x, out\_file\_y, out\_file\_z, & ubrothine fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist(out\_file\_x, out\_file\_y, out\_file\_z, &
 time\_constant, style)
 character(len=\*), intent(in) :: out\_file\_x, out\_file\_y, out\_file\_z
 intager, intent(in) :: time\_constant
 character(len=\*), intent(in) :: style
 ! helper array holding the velocities
 real(kind=dp), dimension(l:n\_mon\_tot) :: velocity
 ! start and end indices to be considered in mod\_velocity
 integer :: vel\_begin\_index, vel\_end\_index
 integer, :: vel\_begin\_ index, vel\_end\_index
 ! time in MDS when this routine was first called
 integer, save :: first\_call\_time = -huge(1)
 ! averaged moments integer, save :: first\_call\_time = -huge(1)
! averaged noments
real(kind=dp), save :: fl\_vel\_x\_pd\_average\_ave, &
fl\_vel\_x\_pd\_soven\_dneemt\_ave, &
fl\_vel\_x\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave, &
fl\_vel\_x\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave, &
fl\_vel\_y\_pd\_second\_moment\_ave, &
fl\_vel\_y\_pd\_third\_moment\_ave, &
fl\_vel\_y\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave, &
fl\_vel\_y\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave
real(kind=dp), save :: fl\_vel\_y\_d average ave, &
fl\_vel\_y\_pd\_third\_noment\_ave real(kind=dp), save :: fl\_vel\_z\_pd\_av fl\_vel\_z\_pd\_second\_moment\_ave, & fl\_vel\_z\_pd\_third\_moment\_ave, & fl\_vel\_z\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave verage\_ave, & if(l\_debug\_fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist " print \*, 'DEDGG: Entering SwithTrac\_ver\_sys\_rest sanity check f(n\_mon\_tot eq. 0) then write(uniter; fntt=\*) & "ERROR (SR fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist): n\_mon\_tot .eq. 0, nothing to do." if(n\_m stop end if if(time\_constant .le. 0) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') &
"ERROR (SR fluid,vel\_xyz\_p\_dist): time\_constant must be positive" stop end if stop end if

set switch fo going to center of mass for 2-mers ! set switch to sollo if(n\_mon .eq. 2) then 1\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer = .TRUE. else l\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer = .FALSE. end if if(l\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer) then
 vel\_begin\_index = 1
 vel\_end\_index = n\_chain \* (n\_mon -1)
else
 vel\_begin\_index = 1
 vel\_end\_index = n\_mon\_tot
 end if vel\_end\_index end if ena ii
x
if(l:take\_cm\_of\_bimer) then
! get center of mass velocities, one for each bond
l bond = 0
do l.chath 1, n.chain
do l.chath 1 = 1, n.mon1
i.bond = i.bond +1
velocity(i.bond) = 0.5\_dp \* dt\_inv k
\* (rx((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon, 1, 1) k
+ rx((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon +1, 1, 1))
and do end do end do if(style .ne. "moments\_only") then r(style .nd. 'moments\_only') then
if(maxval(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)) &
.gt. fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_end) then
write(unit\*, fmt='(a,g13.6, a, g13.6)') &
 "WARNING (SR fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist): max.v=", &
 maxval(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)), &
 " .gt. fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_end=", fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_end
end if end if
if(minval(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)) & (unival(veicty)vei\_cegin\_index:vel\_end\_index) &
 it.fulu(yel\_xbin\_start) then
 write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, gl3.6, a, gl3.6)') &
 "WARNING (SR fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist): min. v=", &
 minval(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)), &
 ".lt. fluid\_vel\_spin\_istart=", fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_start
 di f end if end if end`if`
if (style .ne. "ave\_prob\_dist") then
! get probability distribution, average data and write out
call p\_dist\_calc\_and\_write(out\_file\_x, time\_constant, first\_call\_time, &
style, "fluid velocity probability distribution", &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment \*, &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment &
 & k| P(0) | P(1) | ... | P(n) |...", &
 velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index), fluid\_vel\_x\_pdist\_ave, &
 fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_start, fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_end, fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_width, &
 fl\_vel\_x\_pd\_third\_moment\_ave, fl\_vel\_x\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave)
else else call bin\_data\_array(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index), & fluid\_vel\_x\_p\_dist\_ave, fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_start, & fluid\_vel\_x\_bin\_width, .TRUE.) ! Y if(1\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer) then ! get center of mass velocities, one for each bond i\_bond = 0 do i\_chain = 1, n\_chain do i\_mon = 1, n\_mon-1 i\_bond = i\_bond + (rx((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon, 2, 1) & + rx((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon +1, 2, 1))/(2.0\_dp\*dt) end do end do end do else .se ! rescale with dt velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index) & = dt\_inv \* rx(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index, 2, 1) end if end if
if(style.ne. "moments\_only") then
if(style.ne. "moments\_only") then
if(marval(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)) &
 .gt. fluid\_vel\_y\_bin\_end) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, gl3.6, a, gl3.6)') &
 "WARNING (SR fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist): max. v=", &
 marval(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)), &
 ".gt. fluid\_vel\_y\_bin\_end=", fluid\_vel\_y\_bin\_end
end if end if end if 1 Z if(l\_take\_cm\_of\_bimer) then if(l\_take\_cm\_of\_mass velocities, one for each bond i\_bond = 0 do i\_chain = 1, n\_chain do i\_mon = 1, n\_mon-1 i\_bond = i\_bond +1 velocity(i\_bond) = (rx((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon, n\_dim, 1) & + rx((i\_chain-1)\*n\_mon + i\_mon +1, n\_dim, 1))/(2.0\_dp\*dt) end do else else else .se ! rescale with dt velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index) & = dt\_inv \* rx(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index, n\_dim, 1) end if end if
if(style .ne. "moments\_only") then
if(maxval(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)) &
 .gt. fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_end) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, gl3.6, a, gl3.6)') &
 "WARNING (SR fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist): max. v=", &
 maxval(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)), &
 ".gt. fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_end=", fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_end
end if 

.lt. fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_start) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') &
 "WARNING (SR fluid\_vel\_xyz\_p\_dist): min. v=", &
 minval(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index)), &
 " .lt. fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_start=", fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_start
 if end if end if nd"if'
f(style .ne. "ave\_prob\_dist") then
{
 get probability distribution, average data and write out
 get probability distribution, average data and write out
 call p\_dist\_calc\_and\_write(out\_file\_z, time\_constant, first\_call\_time, &
 style, "fluid velocity probability distribution", &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", &
 "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", &
 "time\_join(act:vel\_and\_moment\_ave, fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_send, fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_width, &
 fluid\_z\_p\_daverage\_ave, fluid\_z\_p\_dototh\_moment\_ave, &
 lse
 "time | distribution, aven, fl\_vel\_z\_p\_dototh\_moment\_ave) | bse if(style .ne. else call bin\_data\_array(velocity(vel\_begin\_index:vel\_end\_index), & fluid\_vel\_z\_p.dist\_ave, fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_start, & fluid\_vel\_z\_bin\_width, .TRUE.) calculate the probability distribution of the fluid particle accelerations ubroutine fluid\_accel\_p\_dist(out\_file, time\_constant, style) character(len=\*), intent(in) :: out\_file integer, intent(in) :: time\_constant character(len=\*), intent(in) :: style ! helper array holding the moduli of the accelerations real(kind=dp), dimension(1:n\_mon\_tot) :: mod\_accel integer : i part integer :: i\_part ! time in MDS when this routine was first called integer, save :: first\_call\_time = -huge(1) integer, save :: first\_cali\_ume - mee... ! averaged moments real(kind=dp), save :: fl\_accel\_pd\_average\_ave, & fl\_accel\_pd\_secton\_moment\_ave, & fl\_accel\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave ! number of bins for the probability distribution of fluid velocities ! if the number of binning boxes is very large, it makes no sense to write ' them all out ithem all out integer, parameter :: n\_fluid\_accel\_p\_dist\_bins = 3000 ! bin start real(kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_accel\_bin\_start = 0.0\_dp ! bin width
real(kind=dp), parameter :: fluid\_accel\_bin\_width = 0.25\_dp ! time averaged probability distribution of velocities real(kind=dp), dimension(0:m\_fluid\_accel\_p\_dist\_bins=1), save :: & fluid\_accel\_p\_dist\_ave if overflows of the histograms should be handled gracefully. That is if a particle is replaced by entries in the outermost bins. If this is only a rare event we can save a lot of bins. ogical, parameter :: l\_graceful\_overflow = .TRUE. stop end if if(time\_constant .le. 0) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a)') &
 "ETRADK (SR fluid\_accel\_p\_dist): time\_constant must be positive" stop nd if if(.not. (style .eq. "moments\_only" .or. style .eq. "prob\_dist")) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(3a)') &
 "ERRMG (SR fluid, accel.p.dist): style=", style, &
 " of data output not recognized"
 tem stop end if irx(:, :, 2) stores acceleration \* dt<sup>2</sup>/2 of particles do i\_part = 1, n\_mon\_tot mod\_accel(i\_part) = & sqrt(dot\_product(rx(i\_part, :, 2), rx(i\_part, :, 2))) end do ! rescale
mod\_accel(:) = 2.0\_dp \* mod\_accel(:)/dt\_2 mod\_accel(:) = 2.0.dp \* mod\_accel(:)/dt\_2
if(stpl.ea, "prob\_dist") then
if(maxval(mod\_accel(:)) gt.fluid\_accel\_bin\_end) then
write(unit\*\*, fmt='(a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') &
 "WARNINO (SR fluid\_accel\_pdist ): max. |a|=", &
 maxval(mod\_accel(:)), " gt.fluid\_accel\_bin\_end=", &
 end if end if ! get probabilty distribution, average data and write out call p\_dist\_calc\_and\_write(out\_file, time\_constant, first\_call\_time, & style, "fluid acceleration probability distribution", & "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment", & "time | average | sigma | 3rd moment | 4th moment & & | P(0) | P(1) | ... , | P(n) | ... , ', & mod\_accel, fluid\_accel\_p\_dist\_ave, & fluid\_accel\_bin\_start, fluid\_accel\_bin\_end, fluid\_accel\_bin\_width, & fl\_accel\_pd\_third\_moment\_ave, fl\_accel\_pd\_forth\_moment\_ave, & l\_graceful\_overflow) i(1 debug fluid accel n dist) & if(l\_debug\_fluid\_accel\_p\_dist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR fluid\_accel\_p\_dist "
end subroutine fluid\_accel\_p\_dist ! calculate the probability distribution of a given histogram, as well as ! calculate the probability distribution of a given histogram, as well as ! centralized moments and writes them out subroutine p\_dist\_calc\_and\_write(out\_file, time\_constant, first\_call\_time, & style, header, string\_style\_one, string\_style\_two, & in\_value\_array, histogram\_ave, histogram\_ave\_start, histogram\_ave\_end, & histogram\_ave\_bin\_width, average\_ave, second\_moment\_ave, & third\_moment\_ave, forth\_moment\_ave, l\_graceful\_overflow) ching\_moment\_ave, for in\_moment\_ave, r\_graderu character(len=\*), intent(in) :: out\_file integer, intent(in) :: style ! time in MOS when this routine was first called integer, intent(inout) :: first\_call\_time

character(len=\*), intent(in) :: header, string\_style\_one, &
 string\_style\_two

real(kind=dp), dimension(:), intent(in) :: in\_value\_array real(kind=dp), dimension(:), intent(inout) :: histogram\_ave

real(kind=dp), dimension(size(histogram\_ave)) :: single\_histogram real(kind=dp), intent(in) :: histogram\_ave\_start, histogram\_ave\_end, & histogram\_ave\_bin\_width ! averaged moments
real(kind=dp), intent(inout) :: average\_ave, second\_moment\_ave, &
third\_moment\_ave, forth\_moment\_ave
logical, intent(in), optional :: l\_graceful\_overflow 'single time moments' real(kind=dp) :: normalization, average, variance, second\_moment, & third\_moment, forth\_moment I/O stuff stop end if op if end if if(style .eq. "prob\_dist") then if(abs(real(size(histogram\_ave, 1),kind=dp) \* histogram\_ave\_bin\_width) & - (histogram\_ave\_end - histogram\_ave\_start) & .gt. 10.0\_dp\*(-precision(1.0\_dp)\*1)) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(a, g13.6, a, g13.6)') & "ERROR (SR p\_dist\_calc\_and\_write): Size of histogram problem:", & "size(histogram\_ave, 1)) \* histogram\_ave\_bin\_width)=", & real(size(histogram\_ave, 1), kind=dp) \* histogram\_ave\_bin\_width, & ", but histogram\_ave\_end - histogram\_ave\_bin\_width, & histogram\_ave\_end - histogram\_ave\_start", & histogram\_ave\_end - histogram\_ave\_start stop end if end ir
! bin data
single\_histogram(:) = 0.0\_dp
if(present(l\_graceful\_overflow)) then
call bin\_data\_array(in\_value\_array, single\_histogram, &
histogram\_ave\_start, histogram\_ave\_bin\_width, l\_graceful\_overflow)
} nistogram\_ave\_start, histogram\_ave\_oin\_width, i\_gri
else
call bin\_data\_array(in\_value\_array, single\_histogram, &
histogram\_ave\_start, histogram\_ave\_bin\_width)
end if end if end if ! first call see if first current ! compute moments only. In this case we don't need to bin the values ! (thus saving CPU time) ! initialize averaged moments if(first\_call\_time = ..., -unge(1)) then first\_call\_time = i\_time first\_call\_time = i\_time
call moments(in\_value\_array, average\_ave, &
 second\_moment\_ave, third\_moment\_ave, forth\_moment\_ave)
! open file for replacement
open(unit=file=unit, file=out\_file, status="replace", &
 action="write", iostat=iostatus)
if(iostatus .ne. 0) then
write(unit=\*, fnt=\*, "WARNING: Could not open output file >>", &
 out\_file, "<<"</pre> out\_file, ~~ else ! write out preamble write(unit\*, fmt='(3a)') & "MESSAGE: Writing moments of prob. dist. to >>", out\_file, "<<" write(unit+fileunit, fmt='(a)') "# "//header write(unit+fileunit, fmt='(a)') "# "//string\_style\_one vrite(unit=lieunit, rmt='(a)') '# '//string\_ close(fileunit) end if ! (iostatus .ne. 0) else ! average call moments(in\_value\_array, average, & second\_moment, third\_moment, forth\_moment) if(time\_constant.gt.1) then average\_ave = & (1.0.dp+1.0.dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* average\_ave & + (1.0.dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* average second\_moment\_ave = &
 (1.0\_dp'r=0.dp'real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \*second\_moment\_ave &
 + (1.0\_dp'r=0.dp'real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* second\_moment third\_moment\_ave = &
 (1.0\_dp-1.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* third\_moment\_ave &
 + (1.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* third\_moment forth\_moment\_ave = &
 (1.0\_dp'real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* forth\_moment\_ave &
 + (1.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* forth\_moment\_ave & else to the second model of the second moment ave = second moment ave = second moment ave = forth\_moment end if ! first call end if ! (style .eq. "prob\_dist")

```
! when the time has come to write out...
if(mod((i_time - first_call_time), time_constant) .eq. 0) then
        if(style.eq. "prob_dist") then
! compute normalization and average
normalization = 0.0_dp
average_ave = 0.0_dp
do i_histogram_bin = lbound(histogram_ave, 1), ubound(histogram_ave, 1)
                end do
            end do
end do
end do
! can happen if histogram is empty, then we don't want strange
! con conditions
if(normalization .eq. 0.0_dp) then
write(unite*, fmt='(a)') "WANNING (SR p_dist_calc_and_write): &
    knormalization = 0.0, set to 1.0"
normalization = 1.0_dp
end if
             ! normalize
average_ave = average_ave/normalization
              compute variance
variance = 0.0_dp
             do i_histogram_bin = lbound(histogram_ave, 1), ubound(histogram_ave, 1)
                 end do
              ! normalize
variance = variance/normalization
             third_moment_ave = 0.0_dp
forth_moment_ave = 0.0_dp
            end do
! normalize
third_moment_ave = third_moment_ave/normalization
forth_moment_ave = forth_moment_ave/normalization
second_moment_ave = sqrt(variance)
third_moment_ave = third_moment_ave/second_moment_ave**3
forth_moment_ave/variance**2 - 3.0.dp
end if !(style .eq "prob_dist")
! open file for appending
open(unit=file=unt_file, status="old", &
action="write", position="append", iostat=iostatus)
if(iostatus .ne. 0) then

             end do
        if(iostatus .ne. 0) then
write (unit=*, fmt=*) "WARNING: Could not append to output file >>", &
out_file, "<<"
        word = adjustl(word)
line = trim(adjustl(line))//" "//word
            line = trim(adjustl(line))//" "//word
write(word, fmt='(osl1.4)') second_moment_ave
word = adjustl(word)
line = trim(adjustl(line))//" "//word
write(word, fmt='(osl1.4)') third_moment_ave
word = adjustl(word)
line = trim(adjustl(line))//" "//word
write(word, fmt='(osl1.4)') forth_moment_ave
word = adjustl(word)
line = trim(adjustl(line))//" "//word
            fine = transactions/// //word
if(style.eq, "prob_dist") then
do i_histogram_bin = lbound(histogram_ave,1), ubound(histogram_ave,1)
! normalize to probability distribution
r_dummy = histogram_ave(i_histogram_bin) &
/ (normalization * histogram_ave_bin_width)
                     / (normalization * histogram_ave_bin_width)
! if r_dnmy is smaller than 0.1E-99 we replace it by 0.0 in order
! to get well formatted output
if(r_dnmmy .le. 0.100E-99_dp) r_dummy = 0.0_dp
write(word, fmt='(es10.3)') r_dnmmy
word = adjust1(word)
line = trim(adjust1(line))//" "//word
nd do
             end do end if ! writing probability distribution
            end if ' writeng production
if(l_debug_p_dist_calc_and_write) then
write(unit=*,fmt='(a, i12, a)') &
    "DEBUG (SR p_dist_calc_and_write): at i_time=", i_time, &
    " write(unit=*,fmt='(2a)') " ", trim(adjustl(line))
ord if
             ! finally write to file
write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') trim(adjust1(line))
     close(fileunit)
end if
end if ! time to write
 and subroutine p_dist_calc_and_write
claid tool (ten = ), intent(ten ) . out_lie, neader, sting
real(kind=dp), dimension(:), intent(in) :: histogram_ave
real(kind=dp), intent(in) :: histogram_ave_start, histogram_ave_end, &
histogram_ave_bin_width

    moments

            real(kind=dp) :: normalization, variance, average_ave, second_moment_ave, & third_moment_ave, forth_moment_ave

    third_moment_ave, forth_moment_i;
!I/O stuff
integer, parameter :: fileunit=61
integer :: iostatus
integer :: i_histogram_bin
real(kind=dp) :: r_dummy, bin_center
if(l_debug_p_dist_write_out) then
```

# APPENDIX C. SIMULATION CODE

print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR p\_dist\_write\_out" print \*, "histogram\_ave\_start", histogram\_ave\_start print \*, "histogram\_ave\_end", histogram\_ave\_end print \*, "histogram\_ave\_bin\_width", histogram\_ave\_bin\_width print \*, "kriting histogram:" end if ! this normalization does not include the bin width. For the moments ! we don't need that, but later for the probabilities end do
end do
i can happen if histogram is empty, then we don't want strange
! 0/0 conditions
if (normalization .eq. 0.0.dp) then
write(unit=\*, fmt='(a)') "WARNING (SR p\_dist\_write\_out): &
fmormalization = 0.0.dp
end if
e ! normalize
variance = variance/normalization variance = variance/normalization third\_moment\_ave = 0.0\_dp forth\_moment\_ave = 0.0\_dp do i\_histogram\_bin = blound(histogram\_ave, 1), ubound(histogram\_ave, 1) + histogram\_ave = third\_moment\_ave & + (histogram\_ave(\_lhistogram\_bin) & + (histogram\_ave,start + ((real(\_histogram\_bin & - lbound(histogram\_ave, 1), kind=dp) + 0.5\_dp) & + histogram\_ave = forth\_moment\_ave & + histogram\_ave.start + ((real(\_lhistogram\_bin & - lbound(histogram\_ave, 1), kind=dp) + 0.5\_dp) & + (histogram\_ave\_start + ((real(\_lhistogram\_bin & - lbound(histogram\_ave, 1), kind=dp) + 0.5\_dp) & + histogram\_ave\_bin\_width) - average\_ave)\*\*4 end do

end do normalize

third\_moment\_ave = third\_moment\_ave/normalization forth\_moment\_ave = forth\_moment\_ave/normalization IOTL\_moment\_ave = IOTLA\_moment\_ave/second\_moment\_ave\*\*3
forth\_moment\_ave = forth\_moment\_ave/second\_moment\_ave\*\*3 forth\_moment\_ave = forth\_moment\_ave/variance\*\*2 - 3.0\_dp write(unit+fileunit, fmt\*/(a, esl1.4/)) "# sverage ", average\_ave write(unit+fileunit, fmt\*/(a, esl1.4/)) "# second\_moment ", & second\_moment\_ave write(unit+fileunit, fmt\*/(a, esl1.4/)) "# third\_moment ", & third\_moment\_ave write(unit+fileunit, fmt\*/(a, esl1.4/)) "# forth\_moment ", & forth\_moment\_ave forth\_moment\_ave write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(a)') "# "//string do i\_histogram\_bin = lbound(histogram\_ave, 1), ubound(histogram\_ave, 1) bin\_center = histogram\_ave\_start & + (real(i\_histogram\_bin=lbound(histogram\_ave, 1), kind=dp)+0.5\_dp)& \* histogram\_ave\_bin\_vidth ! normalize to probability distribution r\_dummy = histogram\_ave(i\_histogram\_bin) & / (normalization \* histogram\_ave\_bin\_vidth) ! if r\_dummy is smaller than 0.1E-99 we replace i by 0.0 in order ! to get well formatted output if(r\_dumny .le. 0.100E-99\_dp) r\_dummy = 0.0\_dp write(unit=fileunit. fmt='(stil.4. est3.6)') bin center, r dummy write(unit=fileunit, fmt='(es11.4, es13.6)') bin\_center, r\_dummy
end do close(fileunit) ! calculates the first, second, third, and forth centralized moments from ! a given array subroutine moments(in\_array, first, second, third, forth) real(kind=dp), intent(in), dimension(:) :: in\_array real(kind=dp), intent(out) :: first, second, third, forth real(kind=dp) :: number, sum\_values, sum\_squares, sum\_cubes, sum\_hypercubes real(kind=dp) :: number, sum\_values, sum\_squares, sum\_c real(kind=dp), dimension(size(in\_array)) :: help\_array ! initialize help\_array = in\_array\*2 sum\_values = sum(help\_array) sum\_squares = sum(help\_array) sum\_totes = sum(help\_array \* help\_array) sum\_totes = sum(help\_array \* help\_array) number = real(size(in\_array), kind=dp) first = sum\_values / number second = sqrt(sum\_squares / number - first\*\*2) end subroutine moments \_\_\_\_\_ . function angul vel(i part, i part) unction angul\_vel(i\_part, j\_part)
integer, intent(in):: i\_part, j\_part
real(kind=dp) :: angul\_vel
real(kind=dp) :: angul\_vel
! a prefactor 0.5 in the two vectors cancels out
vec\_cm\_to\_j(:) = ro(j\_part, 1:2) - ro(i\_part, 1:2, 1)) \* dt\_inv
angul\_vel = vec\_cm\_to\_j(1)vel\_j\_in\_cm(2) - vec\_cm\_to\_j(2)\*vel\_j\_in\_cm(1)
angul\_vel = angul\_vel / dot\_product(vec\_cm\_to\_j, vec\_cm\_to\_j)
return return end function angul\_vel end module popping

# polymerV1.9.f90

<pre>! polymerVx.y.f90 ! module for fluid and polymer analysis ! Gyration tensor ! Martin Aichele, 2002-06-25 ! Martin Aichele, 2002-07-22 module polymer use globals implicit none</pre>
i dende parrentes i 21 custacretsi
<pre>logical, parameter :: l_debug_calc_gyration_tensor = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_calc_end_to_end_dist = .FALSE. logical, parameter :: l_debug_calc_mean_mon_end_dist = .FALSE.</pre>
units
integer, parameter :: gyr_ten_out_unit = 41
integer, parameter :: press_ten_out_unit = 42
contains
<pre>!</pre>
<pre>integer, intent(in) :: time_constant</pre>
<pre>! time in MDS when this routine was first called integer, save :: first_call_time = -huge(1)</pre>
integer :: i_dim, j_dim
<pre>real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim, n_dim), save :: gyr_ten_ave = 0.0_dp real(kind=dp), save :: re_sq_ave = 0.0_dp real(kind=dp) save :: re_sq_ave = 0.0_dp</pre>
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim, n_dim) :: gyr_ten
real(kind=dp) :: re_sq, rm_sq
: for a simple fille We get U if (n mon .eq. 1) then
<pre>write(unit**, fmt='(a)') "ERROR (SR gyration_tensor): n_mon .eq. 1" write(unit**, fmt='(a)') " For a simple fluid all entries are 0.0" stop</pre>
end if
! get values for this MD step
<pre>re_sq = calc_end_to_end_dist()</pre>

rm\_sq = calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist()
! initialize or average
if(first\_call\_time .eq. -huge(1)) then
first\_call\_time = i\_time
gyr\_ten\_ave(:, :) = gyr\_ten(:, :)
rm\_sq\_ave = re\_sq
rm\_sq\_ave = rm\_sq
else ! average im\_sqt\_ave = im\_sqt lse ! average if(time\_constant.gt. 1) then gyr\_ten\_ave(:, :) = & (1.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* gyr\_ten\_ave(:, :)& + (1.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* gyr\_ten(:, :) els \l.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* gyr\_tem(;; :)
re\_sq\_ave = 1.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* re\_sq\_ave &
+ (1.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* re\_sq
rm\_sq\_ave = &
(1.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* rm\_sq\_ave &
+ (1.0\_dp/real(time\_constant,kind=dp)) \* rm\_sq\_ave & lse
gyr\_ten\_ave(:, :) = gyr\_ten(:, :)
re\_sq\_ave = re\_sq
rm\_sq\_ave = rm\_sq end if end if ! first call ! calculates the gyration tensor ! normalization is done elsewhere subroutime calc\_gyration\_tensor(gyr\_ten) real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_dim), intent(out) :: gyr\_ten integer :: index\_a, index\_b, i\_part, i\_chain, i\_dim, j\_dim real(kind=dp), dimension(n\_dim) :: Rcm\_chain

if(1\_debug\_calc\_gyration\_tensor) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR calc\_gyration\_tensor print \*, "DEBUG: Entering SR calc\_gyration\_tensor"
! initialize
gyr\_ten(i, :) = 0.0\_dp
! loop over all chains
do 1\_chain = 1, n\_chain
! get center of mass of chain
Rcm\_chain(:) = 0.0\_dp
do i\_part = (i\_chain -1) \* n\_mon +1, i\_chain \* n\_mon
Rcm\_chain(:) = Rcm\_chain(:) + r0\_unfolded(i\_part, :)
end do
Provide (i\_part, i\_that = i\_that Rcm\_chain(:) = Rcm\_chain(:)/real(n\_mon, kind=dp) end do end do end do end do
 print \*, "half bond:", &
 2.0\_dp\*sqrt((r0\_unfolded(i\_part, 1) - Rcm\_chain(1))\*\*2 &
 + (r0\_unfolded(i\_part, 2) - Rcm\_chain(2))\*\*2 &
 + (r0\_unfolded(i\_part, 3) - Rcm\_chain(3))\*\*2)
end do ! end loop over all chains
if(l\_debug.calc\_gyratiom\_tensor) then
 do i.dimei.n.dim !!\$ !!\$ 115 11\$ lil\_deodg\_calc\_gyration\_tensor/ then
do i\_dim=', \_\_\_\_\_
do \_\_\_\_\_\_ aim
do \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ aim
do \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ aim
do \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ advance="no", fmt='(g13.5)') &
 gyr\_ten(i\_dim, j\_dim) / real(n\_mon\_tot, kind=dp)
end do
write (unit=\*, fmt=\*) ""
end if if(l\_debug\_calc\_gyration\_tensor) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving SR calc\_gyration\_tensor"
end subroutine calc\_gyration\_tensor integer :: i\_chain if(1\_debug\_calc\_end\_to\_end\_dist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering FCT calc\_end\_to\_end\_dist" ! initialize calc\_end\_to\_end\_dist = 0.0\_dp Calc\_enm\_torenn\_uist - v.c\_up
Gai\_chain = 1, n\_chain
calc\_end\_to\_end\_dist = calc\_end\_to\_end\_dist + dot
ro\_umfolded(i\_chain - 1) \* n\_mon + 1, :) &
 ro\_umfolded(i\_chain \* n\_mon, :), k
ro\_umfolded(i\_chain \* n\_mon, :))
and do dot\_product( & end do if(l\_debug\_calc\_end\_to\_end\_dist) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(g11.3)') &
 calc\_end\_to\_end\_dist / real(n\_chain, kind=dp)
end if ! calculates the mean squared distance of a monomer from the endpoints ! normalization is done elsewhere real(kind=dp) function calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist() integer :: i\_chain, i\_mon, i\_part if(1\_debug\_calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Entering FCT calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist" ! initialize calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist = 0.0\_dp calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist = 0.0\_dp do i\_chain = 1, n\_chain ipart = (i\_chain - 1) \* n\_mon + i\_mon calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist = calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist & r0\_unfolded(l\_part, :) & r0\_unfolded(l\_part, :) & r0\_unfolded(l\_part, :) & r0\_unfolded(l\_chain -1) \* n\_mon +1, :)) & \* dot\_product ( & r0\_unfolded(l\_chain \* n\_mon, :), & r0\_unfolded(l\_chain \* n\_mon, :), & r0\_unfolded(l\_chain \* n\_mon, :), & r0\_unfolded(l\_chain \* n\_mon, :)) e end\_do end\_do end do end do if(l\_debug\_calc\_end\_to\_end\_dist) then write(unit=\*, fmt='(g11.3)') &
 calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist / real(2\*n\_mon\*n\_chain, kind=dp)
ind if if(l\_debug\_calc\_end\_to\_end\_dist) &
 print \*, "DEBUG: Leaving FCT calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist" end function calc\_mean\_mon\_end\_dist pressure tensor subroutine pressure\_tensor(time\_constant) ubroutine pressure\_tensor(time\_constant) integer, intent(in) :: time\_constant) ! time in MDS when this routine was first called integer, save :: first\_call\_time = -huge(1) real(kind+dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_dim), save :: press\_ten\_ave = 0.0\_dp integer :: i\_dim, j\_dim real(kind+dp), dimension(n\_dim, n\_dim) :: press\_ten real(kind+dp) :: normalization ! upper and lower bound of the particles in z-direction at this time real(kind+dp) :: twall\_z\_t, bwall\_z\_t

```
! get value for this MD step call calc_pressure_tensor(press_ten)
     else
  twall_z_t = r0_twall(n_dim)
  bwall_z_t = r0_bwall(n_dim)
end if
     press_ten(:, :) = press_ten(:, :) / (twall_z_t - bwall_z_t)
end if
     else
  press_ten_ave(:, :) = press_ten(:, :)
end if
end if ! first call
     end if ! first call
! reset potential contribution. We reset here, because we need
! press_tens_pot(:, :) if n_relax .gt. 0
press_tens_pot(:, :) = 0.0_dp
press_tens_pot_walls(:, :) = 0.0_dp
! when the time has come to write out...
if(mod(i_time, time_constant).eq. 0) then
        ! we have already divided by the extension in "z" normalization = pbc_volume
        normalization - poc_iotame
write(unit-press_ten_out_unit, advance="no", fmt='(esi7.11)') r_time
do j_dim=', n_dim
do j_dim=', idim, n_dim
       end subroutine pressure_tensor
  real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim, n_dim), intent(out) :: press_ten
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim, n_dim) :: press_tens_kin
real(kind=dp), dimension(n_dim) :: stream_vel
     integer:: index_a, index_b, i_part
if(f_thermostat_mode.ee, 4.and. (n_dim _ne. n_dim_pbc)) then
write(unit+*, fmt*(a)') "ERROK (SR calc_pressure_tensor): &
    &
    &
        &f_thermostat_mode.ee, 4 could create problems with moving walls"
    ston
      stop
end if
     end if
! reset kinetic contribution
press_tems_kin(:, :) = 0.0_dp
! define the streaming velocity of the fluid
! (unit here is velocity * dt)
! 0.5_dp * rx_tvall(:, 1) should be very close to
! sum(rx(1:n_mon_tot, :, 1)) / real(n_mon_tot, kind=dp)
! (assuming that the fluid moves on average with half the top wall
! velocity, which must be true as long time average for symmetry between
! the wallo;
stream_vel(:= 0.5_dp * rx_tvall(:, 1)

     end do
1d do
    end do
end do
end if
     end if
! rx(:, :, 1) stores velocity / dt
press_tens_kin(:, :) = press_tens_kin(:, :) / dt_2
       add contributions
the - sign comes from the sign convention chosen in the interaction
routines.
     prot_cont, pros_tens_wall_cont; then pross_ten(;, :) = press_ten(:, :) = press_ten(:, :) = press_ten(:, :) = press_ten(:, :)
 !!$
::•
!!$
end module polymer
```

# Appendix D Analysis Code

In this appendix we list the code for the analysis routines for the calculation of the static structure factors of our polymer melt. The Makefile explains the dependencies of the different program modules.

#### Makefile

# Makefile for various analysis routines
# Martin Aichele, 2002-04-16
# last changed 2003-01-29
# what compiler we use CC=gcc
#CC=icc
CPP=g++
#CPP=icc
# debugging options
# warning options
WARNINGS=-W -Wall -Wpointer-arith -Wshadow
#WARNINGS=-w1
# machine target options
# specifying '-march=cPU fYPE' implies '-mcpu=CPU fYPE'
# gcc
*******************************
<pre># optimization options (try first) # _ffact_math</pre>
# -fno-exceptions
# -funroll-loops # -finline-functions
# -frerun-loop-opt
OPTOPS=
# gcc
# use special optimizations for the static structure factors # -03 does not help
#OPTFSSF=-02 -fomit-frame-pointer -malign-double
OPTFSSF=-02 -fomit-frame-pointer -malign-double -frerun-loop-opt -funroll-loops
# icc #APTFSSF=-A3 -vK -in -prefetch
# gcc
# special optimizations for qveclib
OPTQVEC=-02 -malign-double -march=i686 -funroll-loops -fmove-all-movables \
-iomit-irame-pointer
# standard optimization STDOPT=-01
# library options
LIBOPS=-1m
# LAPACK libraries (archives)
LPDIK=/UST/IOCAI/IID/CLAPACK/ TMGLTB=\$(LPDIR)tmglib_LINUX.a
LAPACKLIB=\$(LPDIR)1apack_LINUX.a
BLASLIB=\$(LPDIR)blas_LINUX.a
F77LIB=\$(LPDIR)F2CLIBS/libF77.a
$I = I = \varphi(E = D = E + Z = D = E + Z $
STDOPS=\$(WARNINGS) \$(MACHOPS) \$(OPTOPS) \$(DEBUGOPS)
# Version numbering
VER_GEN_LIB=V1.1
VER_QVEC_LIB=V1.7
VER_STAT_LIB=V0.5
# displacements library
# mean square displacements
VER_ALLG=V1.3
# dynamical scattering functions
# R_e and R_g
VER_RERG=V1.0
VER CBA=V1.0
# (Static) Rouse mode correlation matrix
VER_KMCM=V1.2 # Rouse mode autocorrelation function
VER_RMACF=V1.2
WER STRUFA LIB=V1.2
# fast static structure factor
# three particle static structure factor (S 3)
VER_S3=V1.6
# direct correlation function VER DCF=V1.0
.PHONY : clean
all : allg dynsf rerg cba rmcm rmacf fssf s3 dcf
# libraries
yasptrj.o : yasptrj.h yasptrj.c \$(CC) \$(STDDPS) \$(STDDPT) =c =o vasptri o vasptri c
φ(00) φ(012010) φ(0120F1) −C −O yaspirj.O yaspirj.C general o : vasntri o \
general_lib\$(VER_GEN_LIB).h general_lib\$(VER_GEN_LIB).c
\$(CC) \$(STDOPS) \$(STDOPT) -c -o general.o \
general_lib\$(VER_GEN_LIB).c

displacement\_lib\_0 : displacement\_lib\_\$(VER\_DISPL\_LIB).h \
 displacement\_lib\_\$(VER\_DISPL\_LIB).c
 \$(CC) \$(STOPDS) \$(STOPDT) - c - o displacement\_lib\_o\
 displacement\_lib\_\$(VER\_DISPL\_LIE).c
 random.o : mt19937-1.h mt19937-1\_ma.c
 \$(CC) \$(STOPDS) \$(STOPDT) - c - o random.o mt19937-1\_ma.c \$(CC) \$(STDDPS) \$(STDDPT) - c - o random.ont19; qvec\_lib\$(VER\_QVEC\_LIB).h \ qvec\_lib\$(VER\_QVEC\_LIB).h \ mt19937-1.h qvec\_lib\$(VER\_QVEC\_LIB).cpp \$(CPP) \$(STDDPS) \$(QVECCDT) - c - o qveclib.o \ qvec\_lib\$(VER\_QVEC\_LIB).cpp \$fib.o : general\_lib\$(VER\_GEL\_LIB).h \ sf\_lib\$(VER\_SF\_LIB).c = flib\$(VER\_SF\_LIB).c \$(CC) \$(STDDPS) \$(STDDPT) - c - o sflib.o \ sf\_lib\$(VER\_SF\_LIB).c S1\_IDS(VER\_STAT\_LIB).c
statlib.c : general\_lib\$(VER\_GEN\_LIB).h \
static\_lib\$(VER\_STAT\_LIB).h static\_lib\$(VER\_STAT\_LIB).c
\$(CC) \$(STDOPS) \$(STDOPT) -c - o statlib.o \
static\_lib\$(VER\_STAT\_LIB).c static\_libs(VER\_STI\_LIB).c
# displacement functions g\_0 ... g\_5
allg.o: displacement\_lib\_\$(VER\_DISPL\_LIB).h g0-g5\$(VER\_ALLG).c
\$(CC) \$(STDOPS) \$(STDOPT) -c -o allg.o g0-g5\$(VER\_ALLG).c
allg : displacement\_lib.o allg.o
\$(CC) \$(STDOPS) \$(STDOPT) -o allg\$(VER\_ALLG).ee\
displacement\_lib.o allg.o
\$(CC) \$(STDOPS) \$(STDOPT) -o allg\$(VER\_ALLG).ee\
displacement\_lib.o allg.o
\$(CE) \$(STDOPS) \$( general.o yasptrj.o statlib.o rmcm.o
# Rouse mode autocorrelation functions
rmacf.o : general.o rouse\_mode\_autocorrs(VER\_RMACF).c
\$(CC) \$(STODES) \$(STDDEF) <= C rmacf.o \
rouse\_mode\_autocorr\$(VER\_RMACF).c
rmacf : general.o statlib.o yasptrj.o rmacf.o
\$(CC) \$(STODES) \$(STDDEPS) \$(STDEPS) \$(S structure\_factor\_lib\$(VER\_STRUFA\_LIB).c
fssf.o : general\_lib\$(VER\_STRUFA\_LIB).h
structure\_factor\_lib\$(VER\_STRUFA\_LIB).h
fssf\$(VER\_FSSF).c
\$(CC) \$(STDDPS) \$(STDDPT) -c -o fssf.o \
fssf\$(VER\_FSSF).c
} ISSIS(VEM\_FSSF).c s3.o : general\_lib\$(VEM\_GEN\_LIB).h qvec\_lib\$(VER\_QVEC\_LIB).h \ s3\$(VER\_S3).cpp \$(CCPP) \$(STDDPS) -c s3\$(VER\_S3).cpp -o s3.o \$(CF7) \$(SIDDF5) ~C \$35(VER\_55).cp ~6 \$35.0 fssf : general.o strufalib.o yasptrj.o fssf.o \$(CC) \$(STDDF5) \$(LIBOF5) \$(SITDDF1) ~o fssf\$(VER\_FSSF).exe \ general.o strufalib.o yasptrj.o fssf.o s3 : general.o yasptrj.o random.o qveclib.o s3.o

\$(CPP) \$(STDOPS) \$(LIEOPS) general.o yasptrj.o random.o \
qveclib.o s3.o -o s3\$(VER\_S3).exe
# direct correlation functions (note: order of the libraries is important)
dcf : general.o dir\_corr\_fct\$(VER\_DCF).c

\$(CC) \$(MACHOPS) \$(STDOPT) \$(DEBUGOPS) -\] -\] wall \ -\] pointer-arith dir\_cor\_fct\$(VER\_DCF).c \$(LPLIBS) yasptrj.o general.o -lm \ -o dcf\$(VER\_DCF).ex clean : rm -f \*.o core

#### Code for the Static Structure Factors **D**.1

#### Main fssfV1.9.c

/\* fssfVx.y.c \* "Fast" Static Structure Factor calculation: "Fast" Static Structure Factor calculation: \* Static structure factors of monomers on different and the same chain, \* structure factor of a chain, structure factor of the centers of masses, and \* structure factor of a monomer relative to the center of mass of a chain. \* Test" because calculation of 0(q<sup>2</sup>3) sines and cosines is avoided and \* replaced by simple arithmetic operations. \* Martin Aichele, 2000-05-16 \* last modified: 2002-11-01 \* last modified: 2002-11-06 \* V1.4 for NFT-ensemble and YASP trajectories. \* Martin Aichele, 2002-11-01 \* last modified: 2002-11-16 \* V1.6 more memory efficient, correct bin-centers \* Martin Aichele, 2002-11-13 \* last modified: 2002-11-13 \* last modified: 2002-11-13 \* V1.7 some refinement: \* V1.8 more general (reade Sidi's configurations) \* Martin Aichele, 2002-22-11 \* V1.9 adaption for pure 2-d films \* Martin Aichele, 2003-328 \*/ #include "general\_libV1.1.h" /\* lattice units \*/
double \*latticeUnits; #include "general\_libV1.1.h"
#include "structure\_factor\_libV1.2.h" #include "structure\_factor\_libV1.'
/\* identify program \*/
#infudef CALC\_ONLY\_COHERENT\_SF\_YES
#define WHAT "fssfV1.9"
#else
#define WHAT "coh\_sf\_fssfV1.9"
#endif #endif
int
main(int argc, char \*\*argv){
FILE \*parameterfile\_p;
/\* #chains, #monomers per chain, total # of monomers \*/
unsigned int mr\_chains, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_monomers;
/\* timestep of the simulation \*/
double timestep;
/\* monomers per chain, used as a step to generalization \*/
unsigned int \*chainlengths = NULL;
/\* narameter reading chacking variables \*/ /\* parameter reading checking variables \*/
unsigned int read\_success, read\_attempt; /\* loop variables \*/ unsigned int iLoop, jLoop, kLoop, lLoop, mLoop; /\* how many simulation and sub simulation runs \*/ unsigned int nr\_sim\_runs=0, nr\_timeseries, \*nr\_sub\_sim\_runs = NULL; /\* helpers \*/ wmsigned int m\_sim\_tuns=v, m\_timestics, \*m\_s
/\* how many directory levels \*/
unsigned int nr\_dir\_levels = 1;
/\* path to data (make sure it is not too long) \*/
char data\_path[620], data\_path\_temp[623]; aoub #endif /\* ok, I know that this is dangerous...  $\ast$  so don't use this code for flight control over heavily populated areas ;) \*/
unsigned int dummy\_length = 2000; /\* dummy string \*/
char \*dummy;
/\* a string for messages \*/
char string[400]; char string(reo), /\* filename of the sample list or matrix \*/ char file.sample\_times[160]; /\* string for detarmining if we use a sample matrix or list \*/ char saving\_scheme[80]; /\* string for the prefix of the configuration files \*/
char pos\_file\_prefix[80]; char pos\_file\_prefix[80];
/\* string for the postfix of the configuration files \*/
char pos\_file\_postfix[80];
/\* information about the saved times is stored here \*/
unsigned long int \*\*sample\_matrix = NULL;
/\* number of samples times and number of timescales uoven together \*/
unsigned int nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint, nr\_startpoints;
/\* number of configurations, nr\_conf\_read = 0;
/\* number exit(1);
#endif /\* number of wall atoms \*/
unsigned int nr\_wall\_atoms; /\* wall configurations \*/
r3vector \* wall\_conf\_t = NUL;
/\* positions of top and bottom wall at a time \*/
r3vector twall\_pos\_t; bwall\_pos\_t; exit(1); /\* fluid configuration \*/
r3vector \* conf\_t = NULL; .ÆH .printf( exit(1); #endif #endif / /\* center of masses of the individual chains \*/
r3vector \* Rcm\_chains\_t = NULL; /\* when all boundaries in the parameter file are 0 then we have a fluctuating \* volume and the system size is read for every configuration /\* return value of configuration reading routines \*/
int conf\_read\_status = -1; /\* length of the string which describes the path to the data \*/
int stringlength; }
}else{
printf("WARNING: Reading fixed parameter file\n");
argv[1] = "params\_statics\_yasp"; if ((parameterfile\_p = fopen(argv[1],"r")) == N sprintf(string, "Couldn't open %s", argv[1]); error(string, HERE); \* the two walls in the film simulations the boolean l\_transform\_to\_cm\_walls\_system = FALSE; /\* periodic boundaries \*/ double boundary[DIM]; read\_success=0; read\_success=0; read\_attempt=0; int max\_qindex[DIM]; int total\_max\_qindex = 0; read\_attempt=U; /\* timestep of simulation \*/ ++read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"timestep=%lf\n", &timestep); /\* number of chains in the melt\*/ ++read\_attempt; /\* number of bins, number of bins in each direction for writing out the \* q-plane \*/ unsigned int nrBins = 0, nrBins\_xy = 0; double binWidth = -1.0, binWidth\_xy = -1.0;

double maxQvalue = 0.0; /\* if for 2-d systems the whole q-plane should be written \*/
boolean l\_write\_xy\_plane = FALSE; boolean l\_VTITe\_XY\_plane = rALSb; unsigned int \* nr\_qvecs\_in\_bins = NULL; double \* qvalues\_in\_bins = NULL; unsigned int rnResultBins\_XY = -1; unsigned int \* nr\_confs\_in\_Xy\_bins = NULL; double \*\* qvalues\_in\_xy\_bins = NULL; fvaluet \*\* qvalues\_in\_xy\_bins = NULL; /\* lattice units -/ double \*latticeUnits; /\* factor for spreading the lattice units by an integer n, i.e. we take only \* every n-th point in each direction, that makes the program n°dim faster \* (but resolution goes down, too). \* This feature is useful for large system dimensions, where the lattice \* units are very small. \*/
unsigned int spreadLatticeFactor = 1; unsigned int spreadLatticerature = 1; /\* sines and cosines for the monomers \*/ double \*\*\* sines = NULL; double \*\*\* cosines = NULL; /\* sines and cosines for the chain centers of mass \*/ double \*\*\*\* sines\_cm = NULL; double \*\*\*\* cosines\_cm = NULL; double \*\*\* cosines\_cm = NULL; /\* memory for the results: \*\_self denotes the single chain contribution, \sum\_{i=1}^{n\_chains} \*\_all denotes all chain contribution: \* \sum\_{i=1}^{r\_1} for\_chains) \sum\_{i=1}^{r\_1} for\_chains}, so it is the sum of the \* self and the purely distinct contributions. For efficiency reasons, we \* compute sum\_{i=1}^{r\_1} for\_cchains) \sum\_{i=1}^{r\_1} for\_cchains) instead of \* (sum\_{i=1}^{r\_1} for\_cchains) (sum\_{i=1}^{r\_1} for\_cchains). \* (Because the double sum of products can be written as product of simple \* sums, so we gain O(nr\_chains)). \*/ STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*results = NULL, \*\*results\_cm = NULL; STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*results\_xy = NULL, \*\*results\_cm\_xy = NULL; int nr\_result\_columns = -1, nr\_result\_cm\_columns = -1, nr\_result\_xy\_columns = -1, nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_columns = -1; int nr\_result\_lines = -1, nr\_result\_cm\_lines = -1, nr\_result\_xy\_lines = -1, nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_lines = -1; int sf\_data\_start\_index = -1, sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index = -1, \
 sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index = -1, sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index = -1; /\* helpers \*/
int nr\_mon\_pairs = -1;
#ifndef CALC\_ONLY\_COHERENT\_SF\_YES
int column;
unsigned int monIndex1, monIndex2;
double con\_self\_sf, coh\_all\_sf; /\*\*\*\* DONE DECLARING VARIABLES \*\* /\* say hello \*/
printf("MESSAGE: this is %s\n", argv[0]); /\* check dimension \*/ #ifdef DIM if(DIM == 2 || DIM == 3){ printf("MESSAGE: System is %u-dimensional.\n", DIM); print( intervention)
pelse(
fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: DIM not 2 or 3\n");
exit(1); #else
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: DIM not defined\n"); winit #ifdef THREE\_D if(DIM != 3){ fprint(stderr, "ERROR: THREE\_D defined, but DIM != 3\n"); exit(1); }
#idef TW0\_D
fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: THREE\_D and TW0\_D defined\n");
exit(1);
#endif
#endif #enall
#ifdef TWO\_D
if(DIM != 2){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: TWO\_D defined, but DIM != 2\n"); }
#ifdef THREE\_D
fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: THREE\_D and TWO\_D defined\n"); endit /\* Read parameter file \*/ if(TRUE){ if (argc < 2 || argc > 3) { fprintf(atderr,"ERROR: Usage is %s parameter\_file [output\_decriptor]\n", argv[0]; exit(1); e else if(argc == 3){
 printf("MESSAGE: Output files will have postfix '%s'\n", argv[2]);

/\* with read\_success we keep track of the number of successfully

read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"nr\_chains=%u\n",&nr\_chains);
/\* monomers per chain \*/
+tread\_sttempt;
read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"mon\_per\_chain=%u\n",&mon\_per\_chain); sprintf(string, "Read only %u variables, but expected %u\n", read\_success, read\_attempt);
error(string, HERE); reac\_success \*= iscani(parameterile\_p, mon\_per\_cnain=,u\n", &mon\_per\_cnain; /\* number of wall atoms \*/ +tread\_sttempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p, "nr\_wall\_atoms=%u\n", &nr\_wall\_atoms); read\_success \*= iscani(parameterlile\_p, "nr\_wall\_atoms=%u(n", &nr\_wall\_atoms);
/\* how many directory levels \*/
+read\_sttempt;
read\_success \*= iscanf(parameterfile\_p, "nr\_dir\_levels=%u(n", &nr\_dir\_levels); \_\_\_\_\_\_ iscanf(parameterfile\_p,'
/\* how many different simulation runs \*/
+tread\_attempt;
raad\_\_\_\_\_ ++read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"nr\_sim\_runs=%u\n", &nr\_sim\_runs); if(read\_success != read\_attempt){
 sprintf(string, "Read only %u variables, but expected %u\n", read\_success,
 read\_attempt);
 error(string, HERE); nr\_sub\_sim\_runs[iLoop]);
}
printf("saving\_scheme=%s\n", soxing\_scheme);
printf("saving\_scheme=%s\n", pos\_file\_prefix);
printf("file\_sample\_times=%s\n", file\_sample\_times);
printf("data\_path=%s'\n", data\_path);
printf("ata\_path=%s'\n", data\_path);
printf("ata\_path=%s'\n", data\_path);
if(l\_const.volume == TRUE){
for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < DIM; iLoop++)
printf("boundary(%n)=%t\n", iLoop, boundary[iLoop]);
}else{
printf("Reading boundaries anew for each configuration.\n");
}</pre> /\* this is a check needed because of the way the configurations are \* accessed if(nr\_sim\_runs > 99){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: This program can handle only up to 99 different \ simulation runs");
 exit(2); }
/\* number of sub simulation runs \*/
nr.sub\_sim\_runs = (unsigned int \*)calloc(nr\_sim\_runs,sizeof(unsigned int));
if(nr\_dir\_levels == 2){
for(iLoop=0; iLoop < nr\_sim\_runs; ++iLoop){
 ++read\_attempt;
 read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p, "%u\n", nr\_sub\_sim\_runs+iLoop);
 if(nr\_sub\_sim\_runs[iLoop] > 99){
 fprint(stderr, "ERRRE: This program can handle only up to 99 \
 different sub simulation runs");
 }
} print("lawrite\_xy\_plane#'dln", l\_write\_xy\_plane); printf("spreadLatticeFactor=%u\n", spreadLatticeFactor); printf("hinWidth=%I\n", binWidth); printf("mins=%u (therefore 0 <= q <= %f)\n", \ nrBins, binWidth=(double)nrBins); if(d\_write\_xy\_plane){ printf("mirBins\_xy%I\n", binWidth\_xy); printf("mirBins\_xy%I\therefore 0 <= [q] <= %f)\n", \ nrBins\_xy, binWidth\_xy\*(double)nrBins\_xy); } }
}else if(nr\_dir\_levels == 1){
for(iLoop=0; iLoop < nr\_sim\_rr
 nr\_sub\_sim\_runs[iLoop] = 1;
}else{</pre> \_runs; ++iLoop) printf("= fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: nr\_dir\_levels=%u not valid.\n", nr\_dir\_levels); . =\n\n"); /\*\*\*\* DONE READING PARAMETERS \* exit(2); /\* string describing the saving scheme \*/ ++read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"saving\_scheme=%s\n", saving\_scheme); /\* check if saving scheme is recognized \*/
if( !((strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list") == 0)
| (strcmp(saving\_scheme, "matrix") == 0)
| (strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list\_yasp") == 0)
| (strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list\_2d") == 0)
| (strcmp(saving\_scheme, "matrix\_d") == 0))
| (strcmp(saving\_scheme, "matrix\_d") == 0))
| error("ERROR: Unrecognised saving scheme", HERE);
/\* now read the times \*/
/\* for list and list\_yasp we just have a list of times. For dynamic
\* quantities we sometimes use a saving scheme with a matrix
\*/ /\* string with the prefix of the configuration file \*/
+tread\_attempt;
read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"pos\_file\_prefix=%s\n", pos file prefix): pro\_inv\_preiinv; /\* string with the postfix of the configuration file \*/ +\*read\_strempt; read\_success \*= fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"pos\_file\_postfix=%s\n", pos\_file\_postfix); No\_list\_postiny, /\* we can't successfully read nothing, so we mark blank \*/ if(strcmp(pos\_file\_postfix, "blank") == 0){ printf("MESSMGE: pos\_file\_postfix defined as blank.\n"); pos\_file\_postfix[0] = '\0'; \*/
if (strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list") (strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list") == 0
|| strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list\_yasp") == 0
|| strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list\_2d") == 0 ){ /\* filename of the file that contains all times of all timeseries \*/
++read\_attempt;
read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"file\_sample\_times=%s\n",
file\_sample\_times); if ((parameterfile\_p = fopen(file\_sample\_times, "r")) == NULL){
 sprintf(string, "ERROR: Couldn't open %s", file\_sample\_times);
 error(string, HERE); /\* path to the configurations to be read, data is expected in the \* directories \* data\_path[sim\_run]/ \* the shell is not involved, so path expansion like for '-' is not done. \*/
++read\_attempt;
read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"data\_path=%s\n", data\_path); nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint); else error("ERROR: Couldn't read nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint in sample\_list", HERE); ++'read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p, "data\_path=%s\n", data\_path); ++read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p, \ "l\_transform\_to\_c\_m\_valls\_system=%d\n", \ &l\_transform\_to\_c\_m\_valls\_system); /\* check if we read as many variables as expected \*/ if(read\_success != read\_attempt){ frintf(stderr, "ERROR: Read only %u variables, but expected %u\n", read\_success, read\_attempt); exit(2); } HERE; if(nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint == 0) error("nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint == 0\n", HERE); /\* treat the list like a matrix \*/ nr\_startpoints = 1; }else{ /\* we have a sample\_matrix \*/ if ((parameterfile\_p = fopen(file\_sample\_times, "r")) == NULL) { sprint(string, "ERROR: Couldn't open %s \n", file\_sample\_times); error(string, HERE); } /\* periodic boundaries \*/
for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < DIM; iLoop++){
 ++read,attempt;
 read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p, "boundary=%lf\n", boundary+iLoop);</pre> }
/\* sanity check \*/
for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < DIM; iLoop++)
if(boundary[iLoop] < 0.0){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: boundary[%]=%f < 0.0\n", iLoop, \
 boundary[iLoop]);
 exit(1);</pre> }/\* end if \*/
/\* from now on we can hopefully treat the list like a matrix \*/
sample\_matrix = ulimatrix(0, nr\_startpoints-1,
0, nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint:-1);
/\* The fgets(dummy, DUMMY\_LENOTH, file\_p) stuff is here to allow to read
\* just the first nr\_startpoints columns of a possibly bigger matrix.
\* Note that there's a difference between "Xlu" and "Xlu " in fscanf:
\* In the former case the file pointer points at the position after the last
\* read number, in the latter case to the position of the next non-white\*/ 3 #ifdef TWO\_D
if(boundary[0] == 0.0 && boundary[1] == 0.0){
 l\_const\_volume = FALSE; } #endif #ifdef THREE\_D
if(boundary[0] == 0.0 && boundary[1] == 0.0 && boundary[2] == 0.0){
l\_const\_volume = FALSE; dummy = (char\*)malloc(dummy\_length \* sizeof(char)); aummy = (cnar\*)mailoc(aummy\_lefigth \* sl2eor(char)); /\* read the sample matrix entries \*/ printf("MESSAGE: Sample matrix:\n"); for(iLoop\*o; iLoop < nr\_startpoints; ++iLoop){ for(jLoop\*o; jLoop < nr\_startpoints; ++iLoop){ fscanf(parameterfile\_p, "Xlu", sample\_matrix[jLoop] + iLoop); printf("Xlu ", sample\_matrix[jLoop][iLoop]); if(DIM == 3 && 1\_write\_xy\_plane == TRUE){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: DIM == 3 && 1\_write\_xy\_plane == TRUE\n");
 exi(2);
} }
+read\_attempt;
read\_auccess += fscanf(parameterfile\_p, "spreadLatticeFactor=%u\n", \
&spreadLatticeFactor);
+rread\_attempt;
read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p, "binWidth=%lf\n", &binWidth);
+rread\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p, "nrBins=%u\n", &nrBins);
if(1 write vx plava) }
fgets(dummy, dummy\_length, parameterfile\_p);
printf("\n"); fclose(parameterfile\_p); free(dummy); /\* how many timeseries there are \*/
nr\_timeseries = 0;
for(iLoop=0; iLoop<nr\_sim\_runs; ++iLoop)
nr\_timeseries += nr\_sub\_sim\_runs[iLoop];
nr\_timeseries \*= nr\_startpoints;</pre> ifd\_write\_xy\_plane)(
 ifd\_write\_xy\_plane)(
 +rread\_attempt;
 read\_sutcempt;
 read\_sutcempt;
 rread\_attempt;
 read\_sutcempt;
 read\_sutcemp;
 read\_sutcempt;
 read\_sutcempt; nr\_ineseries \*\* nr\_startpoints; /\* how many configurations were read \*/ nr\_configurations = nr\_timeseries \* nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint; /\* sanity check \*/ if(nr\_timeseries == 0) error("ERROR: There are no timeseries", HERE); /\* close parameter file \*/ fclose(parameterfile\_p) if(read\_success != read\_attempt){

elsef Lset printf("MESSAGE: There are ¼u timeseries.\n", nr\_timeseries); printf("MESSAGE: There are ¼u configurations.\n", nr\_configurations); /\* maximal indices needed for generating lattice vectors in the range of /\* compute number of monomers in the melt \*/ nr\_monomers = nr\_chains \* mon\_per\_chain; /\* END OF COMMON CODE \*/ #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
 printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Now in main(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE)
#endif if(strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list\_yasp") == 0
 || strcmp(saving\_scheme, "matrix\_yasp") == 0){
 /\* tell user that we swap y and z for 2-d systems \*/
 /\*(STRE\_CO) if(DIM == 2)
printf("MESSAGE: Swapping y <-> z in YASP frames for 2-d films\n"); /\* allocate frame \*/ AllocYaspFrame(&frame\_t, nr\_monomers); }/\* if yasp \*/ /\* fluid configuration \*/
conf\_t = (r3vector\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(r3vector)); con\_\_t = (rowers)(artor(m\_monomers, size)(rower(d))),
/\* chain conters of mass \*/
Rem\_chains\_t = (r3vector\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(r3vector));
/\* vall\_conf\_t = (r3vector\*)calloc(nr\_vall\_atoms, sizeof(r3vector)); /\* here we generate a list containing the chain lengths.
\* This is not needed at present, as we have a fixed length equal for all \* chains. But maybe we want to investigate models with different chain \* lengths one fine day. \*/
chainlengths = (unsigned int \*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof (unsigned int)); /\* number of monomer pairs (with index b >= index a) \*/
nr\_mon\_pairs = SQUARE(mon\_per\_chain)/2 + (mon\_per\_chain +1)/2;
/\* in which column-index the data starts
\* layout: q | q in bin | weight | data .... \*/
sf\_data\_start\_index = 3;
sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index = 3; data st\_data\_cm\_start\_index = 3; /\* instead of |q| we write q\_x, q\_y for the bin and the weighed center of \* the bin, thus we need 2 columns more \*/ sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index = 5; Sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index = 5; /\* number of lines = number of bins \*/
nr\_result\_lines = nrBins;
nr\_result\_cm\_lines = nrBins; /\* if only the structure factor of the melt and the chains centers \* should be computed \*/ printf("MESSAGE: Calculating only coherent structure factors.\n"); nr\_result\_columns = sf\_data\_start\_index + 1; nr\_result\_rw\_columns = sf\_data\_ar\_start\_index + 2; nr\_result\_rw\_columns = sf\_data\_ar\_start\_index + 1; endif #endif results = srtype\_matrix(0, nr\_result\_lines -1, 0, nr\_result\_columns -1); for(iLoop=0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_lines; ++iLoop) for(jLoop=0; jLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_columns; ++jLoop) results[iLoop][jLoop] = 0.0; results\_cm = srtype\_matrix(0, nr\_result\_cm\_lines -1, 0, nr\_result\_cm\_columns -1); 0, nr\_result\_m\_columns -1); for(iLoop=0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_lines; ++iLoop) for(iLoop=0; jLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_columns; ++jLoop) results\_cm[iLoop][jLoop] = 0.0; if(1\_write\_xy\_plane){ results\_xy = srtype\_matrix(0, nr\_result\_xy\_lines -1, \ 0, nr\_result\_xy\_columns -1); for(iLoop=0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_xy\_lines; ++iLoop) for(jLoop=0; jLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_xy\_columns; ++jLoop) results\_xy[iLoop][jLoop] = 0.0; results\_cm\_xy = srtype\_matrix(0, pr\_result\_cm\_vy\_lines -1 \) ivesurts\_xy(licop)[jLoop] = 0.0; results\_cm\_xy = srtype\_matrix(0, nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_lines -1, \ 0, nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_columns -1); for(iLoop=0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_lines; ++iLoop) for(jLoop=0; lloop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_columns; ++jLoop) results\_cm\_xy[iLoop][jLoop] = 0.0; } /\* print results to screen \*/ /\* for(iLoop=0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_lines; ++iLoop){ for(jLoop=0; jLoop < (unsigned)m\_result\_cm\_columns; ++jLoop)
fprintf(stdout,"%.4E ", results\_cm[iLoop][jLoop]);
fprintf(stdout,"\n");</pre> \*/
/\* get maximal q-value we consider, allocate q-vector related arrays \*/
maxQvalue = MAX((/double)mrBins+binWidth),((double)mrBins\_xy+binWidth\_xy));
printf("MESSGE: Biggest q-value to consider = %t/n", maxQvalue);
latticeUnits = (double\*)calloc(DIM, sizeof(double));
nr\_qvecs\_in\_bins = (unsigned int \*)calloc(nrBins, \
sizeof(unsigned int));
nr\_confs\_in\_bins = (unsigned int \*)calloc(nrBins, \
sizeof(unsigned int));
qvalues\_in\_bins = (double\*)calloc(nrBins, sizeof(double));
f() = min\_mr\_nr\_bins = (double\*)calloc(nrBins, sizeof(double)); qvalues\_in\_bins = (double\*/calloc(nrBins, sizeof(double)); if(l\_write\_xy\_plane){ nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins = (unsigned int \*)calloc(nrResultBins\_xy, \ sizeof(unsigned int)); nr\_confs\_in\_xy\_bins = (unsigned int \*)calloc(nrResultBins\_xy, \ sizeof(unsigned int)); sizeof(unsigned int)); /\* qvalues\_in\_xy\_bins will be initialized in get\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins() \*/ qvalues\_in\_xy\_bins = dmatrix(0, nrResultBins\_xy -1, 0, 1); }/\* if(l\_vrite\_xy\_plane) \*/ if(l\_const\_volume = TRUE){ printf("MESSAGE: Assuming constant volume.\n"); for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < DIM; ++iLoop){ latticeUnits[iLoop] = (2.0 \* PI \* (double)spreadLatticeFactor) \ / boundary(iLoop]; for(mLoop = 0; mLoop < DIM; ++mLoop){
 latticeUnits[mLoop] = (2.0 \* PI \* (double)spreadLatticeFactor) \</pre>

\* q-vectors we want to consider. \* we want to make sure that the last bin is completely filled, thus +1: \*/
max\_qindex[iLoop] = \
(int)(MAX(((double)nrBins\*binWidth), \
((double)nrBins\_xy\*binWidth,xy))/latticeUnits[iLoop]) \*1;
printf("MESSAGE: latticeUnits[Lul=%1, max\_qindex[%u] = %u\n", \
iLoop, latticeUnits[iLoop], iLoop, max\_qindex[iLoop]); // Hoop, lattleenits(Hoop], hoop, mar\_qindex(Hoop],
}
/\* get overall maximal index for allocation \*/
for(iloop = 0; iloop < DIM; ++1Loop)
 if(max\_qindex[iLoop] >= max\_qindex[(iLoop+DIM-1)%DIM] \
& & max\_qindex [iLoop];
 printf('MESSAGE: total\_max\_qindex = \u03c6 u\u03c6; u\u03c6, /\* loop over all simulation runs \*/
for(iLoop=0; iLoop < nr\_sim\_runs; ++iLoop){
 /\* loop over sub runs in a simulation run. \*/
 for(jLoop=0; jLoop < nr\_sub\_sim\_runs[iLoop]; ++jLoop){
 /\* complete the paths with the number of the simulation run and
 sub run \*/</pre> data\_path\_temp[stringlength++] = (char)(jLoop % 10) + '0'; /\* that's for example /data/bla\_bla\_bla/conf4.5 \*/ for(lLoop=0; lLoop < nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint; ++lLoop){ printf("MESSAGE: Calculating for run %u, sub run %u, startpoint %u, \ sample %u...\n", iLoop, jLoop, kLoop, lLoop); fflush(stdout); }else{
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Fallthrough on file reading.\n"); exit(1); /\* check if configuration was read correctly \*/
if(conf\_read\_status){
 printf("WANNING: Configuration %lu not correctly read\n",
 sample\_matrix[kloop][lloop]);
 printf(" error flag value = %d\n", conf\_read\_status);
 if(nr\_conf\_read > 0){
 printf(" Exiting, setting nr\_configurations=%u to %u\n",\
 nr\_configurations, nr\_conf\_read);
 nr\_configurations = nr\_conf\_read;
 goto premature\_exit;
 }else{
 frintf(idterr, "ERROR: No configuration was read.\n");
 } /\* check if configuration was read correctly \*/ fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: No configuration was read.\n"); exit(2); }}else{
/\* increment counter for successful reads of frames \*/
nr\_conf\_read++; if(l\_const\_volume == FALSE){ /\* get the boundaries of this frame, \* get lattice constants, maximal q-index and \* reallocate help arrays \*/ \* reallocate help arrays \*/
\* right nov this is only implemented for YASP trajectories \*/
for(mLoop = 0; mLoop < DIM; ++mLoop)
boundary[mLoop] = frame\_t.box[mLoop][mLoop];
if(DIM == 2 && (streng(caving\_scheme, "list") == 0 \
|| streng(saving\_scheme, "list\_yasp") == 0)){
 /\* y = z \*/
 boundary[1] = frame\_t.box[2][2];
 /\* aark boundary[2] (\(\alpha\) invalid \*/
 boundary[2] = -1.0;
}</pre>

/ boundary[mLoop]; max\_qindex[mLoop] = \ (int)(MAX(((double)nrBins\*binWidth), \ ((double)nrBins\_ry\*binWidth\_xy))\ / latticeUnits[mLoop]) +1; for(n }
}
/\* get overall maximal index for allocation \*/
for(mLoop = 0; mLoop < DIM; ++mLoop)
 if(max\_qindex[mLoop] >= max\_qindex[[mLoop+1)%DIM] \
&& max\_qindex[mLoop] >= max\_qindex[(mLoop+DIM-1)%DIM])
total\_max\_qindex = max\_qindex[mLoop];
 if(DIM == 3){
 printf("MESSAGE: boundaries: %lf, %lf, %lf; total\_max\_qindex=\
%u\n", boundary[0], boundary[1], boundary[2], total\_max\_qindex);
 belsef Au(a<sup>+</sup>, boundary[0], boundary[1], boundary[2], total\_max\_qindex;; }else( printf("MESSAGE: boundaries: %lf, %lf; total\_max\_qindex=%u\n", \ boundary[0], boundary[1], total\_max\_qindex); /\* check if total\_max\_qindex has increased and reallocate memory mes, &cosines, &sines\_cm, &cosines\_cm); /\* make the mapping onto the bins \*/ /\* This is redundant, as we have to map each q-vector into bins \* when calculating the structure factors anyways for fluctuating \* simulation boxes. But this is not causing significant CPU \* overhead and allows to use the constant volume routines. \*/ \*/ if(l\_write\_xy\_plane){ get\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins(max\_qindex, latticeUnits, \ binWidth\_xy, binWidth\_xy, nrBins\_xy, \ wrBins xy \ nrBins\_xy, \
nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins, qvalues\_in\_xy\_bins); get\_qvecs\_in\_bins(max\_qindex, latticeUnits, binWidth, nrBins, \ get\_qvets\_in\_bins(max\_qlndex, lattice nr\_qvets\_in\_bins, qvalues\_in\_bins); } /\* if(1\_const\_volume == FALSE) \*/ if(1\_urite\_xy\_plane){ /= lot is which bins f(l\_vrite\_xy\_plane){
 /\* look in which bins we have entries from this conf (and count
 \* number of configurations) \*/
 get\_confs\_in\_bins(nrResultBins\_xy, nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins, \
 nr\_confs\_in\_xy\_bins);
 for(alcop=0; mLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_xy\_lines; +\*mLoop){
 results\_xy[mLoop][2] += qaulues\_in\_xy\_bins[mLoop][0];
 results\_xy[mLoop][3] += qulues\_in\_xy\_bins[mLoop][1];
 results\_xy[mLoop][4] += (double)nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins[mLoop];
 }
</pre> or(mLoop=0; mLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_lines; ++mLoop){
 results\_cm\_xy[mLoop][2] += qalues\_in\_xy\_bins[mLoop][0];
 results\_cm\_xy[mLoop][3] += qalues\_in\_xy\_bins[mLoop][1];
 results\_cm\_xy[mLoop][4] += (double)nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins[mLoop];</pre> }/\* if(l\_write\_xy\_plane) \*/ // int\_int\_int\_pinso/ riversing int\_pinso/ riversing int\_pinso/ riversing int\_pinso/ (unsigned)rr\_result\_lines; ++mloop) {
 results[mLoop][1] += (qualues\_in\_bins[mLoop];
 results[mLoop][2] += (double)nr\_qvecs\_in\_bins[mLoop]; f
for(mLoop=0; mLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_lines; ++mLoop){
 results\_cm[mLoop][1] += qvalues\_in\_bins[mLoop];
 results\_cm[mLoop][2] += (double)nr\_qvecs\_in\_bins[mLoop];</pre> /\* compute the centers of mass \*/
calc\_Rcm\_chains(conf\_t, nr\_chains, chainlengths, Rcm\_chains\_t); /\* we don't go to periodized coordinates as this would destroy the \* self\* motion of the particles. Besides, the reciprocal lattice \* vectors take care of the periodic boundary conditions. \* vectors take care of the periodic boundary conditions. \*/ /\* calculate for this configuration \*/ calc\_all\_sin\_cos(latticeUnits, nr\_monomers, conf\_t, max\_qindex, sines, cosines); calc\_solf\_and\_distinct\_sf\_3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm); #ifdef THREE D calc\_solf\_and\_distinct\_sf\_3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, mom\_per\_chain, nr\_monomers, nr\_chains, msx\_qindex, latticeUnits, nrBine, binWidth, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_data\_start\_index, results\_cm); #else non\_per\_chain, nr\_monomers, nr\_chains, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, l\_write\_xy\_plane, binWidth, nrEins, sf\_data\_start\_index, results\_s sf\_data\_start\_index, results\_s sf\_data\_start\_index, results\_s sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results\_m, binWidth\_xy, binWidth\_xy, nrBins\_yy, nrBins\_yy, sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index, results\_xy, sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index, results\_xy, sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index, results\_cm\_xy); results\_cm\_xy); /\* goto label when a frame could not be read correctly \*/ premature\_exit : #idef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Now in main(), file %s, line %n\n", HERE);
#endif /\* close yasp trajectory \*/
if(strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list\_yasp") == 0
|| strcmp(saving\_scheme, "matrix\_yasp") == 0){
CloseYaspTrj(); printf("DEBUG: nr\_confs\_in\_xy\_bins:\n"); for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < (unsigned)nrResultBins\_xy; iLoop++) printf("\n"); if(l\_write\_xy\_plane){ /\* calculate summed quantities, normalize \*/
for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_xy\_lines; iLoop++){</pre> 

coh\_self\_sf = 0.0; coh\_all\_sf = 0.0; for(monIndex1 = 0; monIndex1 < mon\_per\_chain; ++monIndex1){ onIndex2 = monIndex1; monIndex2 < mon\_per\_chain; ++monIndex2){</pre> results\_xy[iLoop][column] /= (double)nr\_chains; results\_xy[iLoop][column + nr\_mon\_pairs] /= (double)nr\_chains; /\* go to next column \*/
column++; /\*
/\* debug check \*/
if(column + nr\_mon\_pairs != nr\_result\_xy\_columns){
printf("WARNING: column+nr\_mon\_pairs=%u != nr\_result\_xy\_columns=%u\n",\
column + nr\_mon\_pairs, nr\_result\_xy\_columns);
} results\_xy[iLoop][sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index] \
= coh\_self\_sf / (double)mon\_per\_chain;
results\_xy[iLoop][sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index +i] \
= coh\_all\_sf / (double)mon\_per\_chain;
\* loop #else \_\_\_\_\_ /= data\_xy\_start\_index] /= (double)nr\_monomers; results\_xy[iLoop][sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index +1] /= (double)nr\_monomers; #endif #endif
}
/\* write out q information,
\* normalize by number of q-vectors in each bin with function data \*/
for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_xy\_lines; ++iLoop){
 if(results\_xy[iLoop][4] > 0)
for(jLoop = sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index; \
 jLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_xy\_columns; ++jLoop)
results\_xy[iLoop][0] = ((double)(iLoop/arBins\_xy)+0.5)\*binWidth\_xy;
 results\_xy[iLoop][0] = ((double)(iLoop/arBins\_xy)+0.5)\*binWidth\_xy;
 if(reconfs\_in\_xy\_bins[iLoop] > 0){
results\_xy[iLoop][2] /= (double)nr\_confs\_in\_xy\_bins[iLoop];
 results\_xy[iLoop][2] /= (double)nr\_confs\_in\_xy\_bins[iLoop];
 results\_xy[iLoop][2] /= (double)nr\_confs\_in\_xy\_bins[iLoop];
 results\_xy[iLoop][2] /= 0.0 || results\_xy[iLoop][3] != 0.0){
printf("WARNING: q-vectors in bins which are supposed to be empty\n");
 } /\* for the statistical weight we divide by the total number of \* configurations \*/ results\_xy[iLcop][4] /= (double)nr\_configurations; /\* create a descriptive header for the output \*/
nrDataDescriptionLines = 6;
dataDescription = charmatrix(0, nrDataDescriptionLines-1, 0, 160); line = 0; sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# Static structure factors of monomers\n"); ++line; sprintf(dataDescription[line], \
"# used %u configurations\n", nr\_configurations); "# used ++line; sprintf(dataDescription[line], \
"# data generated by %s (compiled %s)\n", WHAT, \_\_DATE\_\_);
++line; ++line: ++line; #indef CALC\_ONLY\_COHERENT\_SF\_YES sprintf(dataBescription[line], \ "# q\_x | q\_y | q\_x in bin | q\_y in bin| weight | S\_self(q) | \ S\_all(q) | S^{a,b}\_self(q), b>=a ... | S^{a,b}\_all(q), b>=a ... \n"); S\_ali(q) is (up\_\_ccr., , #flee sprintf(dataDescription[ine], \ "# q\_x | q\_y | q\_x in bin | q\_y in bin | weight | S\_self(q) | \ S\_all(q)\n"); #endif #endif +\*line; "for the processing of line]. "#\n"); sprintf(dataDescription[line], "#\n");
++line; /\* line count check \*/
if(line != nrDataDescriptionLines){
fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: line=%u != nrDataDescriptionLines=%u\n", \
line, nrDataDescriptionLines);
exit(2);
} /\* put together the name of the file used for saving \*/
/\* sprintf needs an char array which is big enough for its result ! \*/
if(argc == 3){
 sprintf(string, "%s.%s", \
 "Stat\_struct\_facts\_xy", argv[2]);
 blacf blset sprintf(string, "%s\_q\_le\_%.1f\_bw%.2f.dat", \ "Static\_structure\_factors\_xy", \ maxQvalue, binWidth\_xy); r
s
f
IIGNOSTICS\_ON
printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Now in main(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE);
#endif iif
/\* write out results \*/
write\_results\_sf(results\_xy, nr\_result\_xy\_lines, \
nr\_result\_xy\_columns,
sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index -1, l\_write\_xy\_plane,
string, dataDescription,
nrDataDescriptionlines, argv[1]);
/\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*/ 

printf("WARNING: q-vectors in xy-bins which should be empty\n"); /\* create a descriptive header for the output \*/ /\* for the statistical weight we divide by the total number of \* configurations \*/ results\_cm\_xy[iLoop][4] /= (double)nr\_configurations; /\* create header \*/ line = 0; #ifndef CALC\_ONLY\_COMPRENT\_SF\_YES sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# Static structure factors of centers of mass and of monomers in \ chain relative to centers of mass\n"); #else se sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# Static structure factor of chain centers of mass\n"); #endif
++line; sprint(dataDescription[line], \
"# bin-width = %lf, number bins = %u, q\_max = %lf\n", \
binWidth\_xy, nrBins\_xy, maxQvalue);
++line; ++line; #ifndef CALC\_ONLY\_COMERENT\_SF\_YES sprint(databescription[line], \ "# q\_x| q\_y| q\_x in bin | q\_y in bin | weight | S^C(q) | \ S^{a}\_{a}\_self(q), a=1,...,N | S^{a}\_{a}\_all(q) a=1,...,N\n"); #else se sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# q\_x | q\_y | q\_x in bin | q\_y in bin | weight | S^C(q)\n"); #endif
 ++line; sprintf(dataDescription[line], "#\n");
++line: }else{ exit(2): /\* put together the name of the file used for saving \*/
/\* sprintf needs an char array which is big enough for its result ! \*/
if(argc == 3){
 sprintf(string, "%s.%s", \
 "Stat\_struct\_facts\_chains\_cm\_xy", argv[2]);
 belsef lelse else{
 sprintf(string, "%s\_q\_le\_%.1f\_bw%.2f.dat", \
 "Structure\_factors\_chain\_cm\_xy", \
 maxQvalue, binWidth\_xy); #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
 printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Now in main(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE);
#endif /\* write out results \*/
write\_results\_f(results\_cm\_xy, nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_lines, \
nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_start\_index -1, l\_write\_xy\_plane,
string, dataDescription,
nrDataDescriptionLines, argv[1]);
// for envery f(1); nrDataDescriptionLines, argv[1]);
/\* free memory \*/
free(nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins);
free\_dmatrix(qvalues\_in\_xy\_bins, 0, nrResultBins\_xy -1, 0, 1);
free\_strype\_matrix(results\_xy, 0, nr\_result\_xy\_lines -1, \
nr\_result\_xy\_columns -1);
free\_strype\_matrix(results\_cm\_xy, 0, nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_lines -1, \
nr\_result\_cm\_xy\_columns -1);
free\_charmatrix(dataDescription, 0, nrDataDescriptionLines-1, 0, 160); 0 ο, /\*DONE WITH XY-PLANE DATA // #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
 printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Now in main(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE);
#endif for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_lines; iLoop++){</pre> for(monIndex2 = monIndex1; monIndex2 < mon\_per\_chain; ++monIndex results[iLoop][column] /= (double)nr\_chains; results[iLoop][column + nr\_mon\_pairs] /= (double)nr\_chains; if(monIndex1 == monIndex2){ /\* we didn't compute the self contribution, because it must be 1.0 \* anyways. However, this identity makes a good test \*/ results[iLoop][column] = results[iLoop][2]; coh.self\_sf += results[iLoop][column] + nr\_mon\_pairs]; }else{/ we symmetry relation \*/ coh.self\_sf += 2.0 \* results[iLoop][column + nr\_mon\_pairs]; } } column++; f
f(column + nr\_mon\_pairs != nr\_result\_columns){
 printf("WARNING: column + nr\_mon\_pairs =%u != nr\_result\_columns=%u\n",\
 column + nr\_mon\_pairs, nr\_result\_columns); j/\* end top of a single side of the s fendif /\* write out q information, \* normalize by number of q-vectors in each bin with function data \*/ for(iLoop=0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_lines; ++iLoop){ if(results[iLoop][2] > 0) for(jLoop=sf\_data\_start\_index; \ jLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cloop][2]; results[iLoop][0] /= results[iLoop][2]; results[iLoop][1] /= ((double)Licop + 0.5)\*binWidth; if(nr\_confs\_in\_bins[iLoop] > 0) results[iLoop][1] /= (double)nr\_confs\_in\_bins[iLoop]; results[iLoop][2] /= ((double)nr\_configurations; } } #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
 printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Now in main(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE);

nrDataDescriptionLines = 6; dataDescription = charmatrix(0, nrDataDescriptionLines-1, 0, 160); line = 0; sprintf(dataDescription[line], \
"# Static structure factors of mono
++line; ners\n"): \*\*rine;
sprintf(dataDescription[line], \
"# used %u configurations\n", nr\_configurations);
\*+line; sprintf(dataDescription[line], \
"# data generated by %s (compiled %s)\n", WHAT, \_\_DATE\_\_);
++line;
sprintf(dataDescription[line], \
"# bin-width = %lf, number bins = %u, q\_max = %lf\n", \
binWidth, nrBins, maxQvalue);
++line; ++lne; #indef CAC\_ONLY\_COHERENT\_SF\_YES sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# q | q in bin | weight | S\_self(q) | S\_all(q) | S^{a,b}\_\ self(q), b>=a ... S^{a,b}\_all(q), b>=a\n"); #else sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# q | q in bin | weight | S\_self(q) | S\_all(q)\n"); #endif ++line; sprintf(dataDescription[line], "#\n");
++line: ++11ne; /\* line count check \*/ if(line != nrDataDescriptionLines){ fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: line=%u != nrDataDescriptionLines=%u\n", \ line, nrDataDescriptionLines); exit(2); /\* put together the name of the file used for saving \*/
/\* sprintf needs an char array which is big enough for its result ! \*/
if(argc == 3){
 sprintf(string, "%s.%s", \
 "Stat\_struct\_facts", argv[2]);
blacf else{ sprintf(string, "%s\_q\_le\_%.1f\_bw%.2f.dat", \ "Static\_structure\_factors", maxQvalue, binWidth); #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Now in main(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE);
#endif seniii for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_lines; iLoop++) for(jLoop = sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index; \ jLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_columns; jLoop++){ results\_cm[iLoop][jLoop] /= (double)nr\_chains; }
for(iLoop=0; iLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_lines; ++iLoop){
 if(results\_cm[iLoop][2] > 0)
 for(jLoop = sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index; \
 jLoop < (unsigned)nr\_result\_cm\_columns; ++jLoop)
 results\_cm[iLoop][0] /= results\_cm[iLoop][2];
 results\_cm[iLoop][0] = ((double)iLoop + 0.5)\*binWidth;
 if(nr\_confs\_in\_bins[iLoop] > 0)
 results\_cm[iLoop][1] /= (double)nr\_confs\_in\_bins[iLoop];
 results\_cm[iLoop][2] /= (double)nr\_configurations;
} /\* create header \*/ line = 0; #indef CALC\_ONLY\_COHERENT\_SF\_YES sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# Static structure factors of centers of mass and of monomers in \ chain relative to centers of mass\n"); #else sprintf(dataDescription[line], \
"# used %u configurations\n", nr\_configurations);
++line; sprintf(dataDescription[line], \
"# data generated by %s (compiled %s)\n", WHAT, \_\_DATE\_\_);
++line; ++line; sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# bin=width = %lf, number bins = %u, q\_max = %lf\n", \ binWidth, nrBins, maxQvalue); ++line; #ifndef CALC\_ONLY\_COHERENT\_SF\_YES sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# q | q in bin | weight | S^C(q) | S^{a}\_self(q) | S^{a}\_A all(q)\n"); #else #endif ++line; sprintf(dataDescription[line], \ "# q | q in bin | weight | S^C(q)\n"); #endif ++line; ++11ne; sprintf(dataDescription[line], "#\n"); ++11ne; /\* line count check \*/ if(line != nrDataDescriptionLines){ fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: line=%u != nrDataDescriptionLines=%u\n", \ line, nrDataDescriptionLines); exit(2); } }
/\* put together the name of the file used for saving \*/
/\* sprintf needs an char array which is big enough for its result ! \*/
if(argc == 3){
 sprintf(string, "%s.%s", \
 "Stat\_struct\_facts\_chain\_cm", argv[2]);
}else{
 sprintf(string, "%s.q\_le\_%.if\_bw%.2f.dat", \
 "Static\_structure\_factors\_chain\_cm", \
 maxQvalue, binWidth);
} /\* write out results \*/
write\_results\_sf(results\_cm, nr\_result\_cm\_lines, \
nr\_result\_cm\_columms,
sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index -1, FALSE,
string, dataDescription,
nrDataDescriptionLines, argv[1]);

#endif
/\* free memory \*/
free(nr\_qvecs\_in\_bins);
free(gvalues\_in\_bins);
free(stype\_matrix(results\_0, nr\_result\_lines -1, \
0, nr\_result\_cc\_loums -1);
free(stype\_matrix(results\_cm\_, 0, nr\_result\_cm\_lines-1, \
0, nr\_result\_cc\_loums-1);
#ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Now in main(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE);
#endif
/\*\* free memory \*/
free(canlasit, free(canlasit, canlasit, canla

# Structure factor library header /\* structure\_factor\_libVx.y.h \* headers for the strcture factor library \* Martin Aichele, 2001-09-05 \* last changed 2002-11-14 #ifndef \_STRUCTURE\_FACTOR\_LIB\_H #define \_STRUCTURE\_FACTOR\_LIB\_H #include "general\_libV1.1.h" /\* type for storing the results of the structure factor calculation \* if memory is tight use floats (but check if the results are insensitive) \*/ typedef double STRUF\_RES\_TYPE; / vignal would sinc\_neb\_inff, /\* if only the structure factor of the melt and the chains centers of mass \* should be computed \*/ #define GALC\_ONLY\_CONERRNT\_SF\_YES #include<limits.h> /\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch) with STRUF\_RES\_TYPE entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes" \*/ STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*srtype\_matrix(const int, const int, const int); /\* frees the memory taken by the STRUF\_RES\_TYPE matrix \*/ void free\_srtype\_matrix(STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*m, const int, const int, \ const int, const int); const int; const int;; /\* re-allocate memory for computations if necessary \*/ void reallocall sin cos(const unsigned int, const unsigned int, double \*\*\*\*, double \*\*\*\*, double \*\*\*\*, double \*\*\*\*; /\* \* \* \* /\* allocate helper arrays in case we allocate the tensors and arrays \* separately \*/ void alloc\_all\_sin\_cos\_arr(const unsigned int, const unsigned int); /\* allocate memory for computations \*/ void allocate\_all\_sin\_cos(const unsigned const unsigned int, const unsigned int, double \*\*\*\*, double \*\*\*\*, double \*\*\*\*, unsigned int, double \*\*\*\*, double \*\*\*\*); void free\_all\_sin\_cos(const unsigned int, const unsigned int, double \*\*\*, double \*\*\* const); /\* given a triplet of q-vector indices (integer) and a particle index, \* return cos(lvec[4]/cdot\vec[tr]\_i). \* It is more efficient to loop over the q-lattice and treat the signs in the \* loop, but this routine allows to look at a specific set of q-vectors in any \* order. \*/ double \*\*\* const, double \*\*\*\* const, double \*\*\*\* const,; /\* the same as cos\_qr for the sine \*/ double \*\*\*\* const, get the number and average values of q-vectors in each bin \*/ double \* const); /\* get the number and average values of q-vectors in each bin. \* This is a version for a 2-d plane \*/ \* This is a version ior a comparison yoid get\_qwecs\_in\_xy\_bins(const inf], const double, const double, const double, const unsigned int, unsigned int, double \*\* const, double \*\* const); unsigned int \* consc, #idef THREE\_D /\* take the single dimension sines and cosines. Calculate the full 3-d \* sin(\vec(q) \in \vec(r)) and cos(\vec(q) \in \vec(r)) values and sum these \* up to the self and distinct structure factors (done by other functions).

const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const int[] const double \* const, const unsigned int, const double, const unsigned int, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const); big formation the filter \*\* const); /\* This function has flags to decide in which dimensions the q-vectors are 0.
\* If q-indices are zero fewer equivalent images of the positive octant
\* exist. void void void double \*\*\* const, double \*\*\* const, double \*\*\* const, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const int[], const unsigned int, const int[], const double \* const, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const, const unsigned int, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const unsigned int); iven sin(ver(ch in ) ver given sin(vec{q} \in \vec{r\_i}) and cos(\vec{q} \in \vec{r\_i}) for all particle and center of mass positions calculate the self and distinct structure factors. This is wore the CFU time goes! \*/ void assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_3d(const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \* const, const unsigned int, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \* const); SINUF\_MCD\_IFFE \* Const; #eles /\* THREE\_D \*/ /\* take the single dimension sines and cosines. Calculate the full 3-d \* sin(\vec{q} \in \vec{r}) and cos(\vec{q} \in \vec{r}) values and sum these \* up to the self and distinct structure factors (done by other functions). \* sin(\vec{4}) \in (vec{1}) and cos(\vec{4}) in (vec{1}) values and sum these \* up to the self and distinct structure factors (done by other functions). \*/ void double \*\*\* const, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const double, const double, const double, const unsigned int, sTRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const, const unsigned int, sTRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const; \* This function has flags to decide in which dimensions the q-vectors are 0. \* if q-indices are zero fewer equivalent images of the positive quadrant \* exist. \*/ void low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(double \*\*\* const, \* exist. \*/ void low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(double \*\*\* const, double \*\*\* const, double \*\*\* const, double \*\*\* const, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const int[], const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const, const double, const dou Const double, const double, const double, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, STAUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const, const unsigned int, STAUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const, const unsigned int, const unsigned int); /\* given sin(\vec{q} \in \vec{r\_i}) and cos(\vec{q} \in \vec{r\_i}) for all \* particle and center of mass positions \* calculate the self and distinct structure factors. void id

#### APPENDIX D. ANALYSIS CODE

const unsigned int, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \* const, const unsigned int, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \* const, const unsigned int, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \* const, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \* const); #endif /\* TIREE\_D \*/ /\* This function takes a matrix with the results with its dimensions along \* with information about what kind of data we are writing here. \* This function is tuned for the structure factor data. \* void write\_results\_sf(STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const bolean, const bolean, const char \*, const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const char \*); #endif #ifdef \_\_cplusplus }

nr\_chains-1, 0, DIM -1);

# Structure factor library code

free\_d\_rank3tensor(\*cosines\_cm\_p, 0, max\_qindex\_old, 0, \
 nr\_chains-1, 0, DIM -1); nr\_chains-1, 0, DIM -1); \*sines\_p = d\_rank3tensor(0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_monomers -1, 0, DIM -1); \*cosines\_p = d\_rank3tensor(0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_monomers -1, 0, DIM -1); \*sines\_cm\_p = d\_rank3tensor(0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_chains-1, 0, DIM -1); \*cosines\_cm\_p = d\_rank3tensor(0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_chains-1, 0, DIM -1); max\_qindex\_old = max\_qindex; /\* structure\_factor\_libVx.y.c
\* routimes for the calculation of static structure factors
\* Thanks to Hendrik Meyer for pointing out the method with saving the single sines and cosines. Martin Aichele, 2001-09-04 last modified 2002-06-03 small changes for fssfV1.4, 2002-11-06 memory layout change in V1.2, 2002-11-13 }/\* realloc all sin cos() \*/ /\* allocate helper arrays in case we allocate the tensors and arrays separately #include "structure\_factor\_libV1.2.h" /\* debug switches \*/ #define DEBUG\_CHAIN\_AND\_MELT\_SF\_ALL\_Q\_OFF #define DEBUG\_CALC\_SELF\_AND\_DISTINCT\_SF\_3D\_OFF #define DEBUG\_ASSIGN\_SELF\_DIST\_SF\_3D\_OFF \*/
vid alloc\_all\_sin\_cos\_arr(const unsigned int nr\_monomers,
 const unsigned int nr\_chains){
 sin\_q = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
 cos\_q = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); #define DEBUG\_CALC\_SELF\_AND\_DISTINCT\_SF\_2D\_OFF sin\_q\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); cos\_q\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); #define DEBUG\_ASSIGN\_SELF\_DIST\_SF\_2D\_OFF
/\* the NULL initialization allows for calling free() on these pointers before
\* allocation. In this case, free() does nothing. ccs\_q\_cm = (double\*)callcc(mr\_chains, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_sinqy = (double\*)callcc(mr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_cosqy = (double\*)calloc(mr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); ccsqx\_cosqy = (double\*)callcc(mr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_sinqy\_cm = (double\*)calloc(mr\_chains, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_cosqy\_cm = (double\*)callcc(mr\_chains, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_cosqy\_cm = (double\*)callcc(mr\_chains, sizeof(double)); ccsqx\_cosqy\_cm = (double\*)callcc(mr\_chains, sizeof(double)); ccsqx\_cosqy\_cm = (double\*)callcc(mr\_chains, sizeof(double)); static double \*sin\_q=NULL, \*cos\_q=NULL, \*sin\_q\_cm=NULL, \*cos /\* intermediate result arrays \*/ static double \*sinqx\_sinqy=NULL, \*sinqx\_cosqy=NULL, \*cosqx\_sinqy=NULL, \*cosqx\_cosqy=NULL; /\* intermediate result arrays for chain centers of mass \*/ static double \*sinqx\_sinqy\_cm=NULL, \*sinqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL, \*cosqx\_sinqy\_cm=NULL, \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; /\* helper arrays \*/ /\* static double \*sin\_q\_helper=NULL, \*cos\_q\_helper=NULL; \*/ #ifdef THREP D /\*
 sin\_q\_helper = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
 cos\_q\_helper = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
\*/ /\* static double \*sin\_q\_helper=NULL, \*cos\_q\_helper=NULL; \*/
#ifdef THREE\_D
static double \
\*sinqx\_singy\_singz=NULL, \*sinqx\_sinqy\_cosqz, \*sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz, \
\*sinqx\_sinqy\_singz=NULL, \*cosqx\_sinqy\_sinqz, \*cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqz, \
\*static double \
\*sinqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm=NULL, \*sinqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL, \
\*sinqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm=NULL, \*cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL, \
\*cosqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm=NULL, \*cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL, \
\*cosqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm=NULL, \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL, \
\*cosqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm=NULL, \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL, \
\*cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm=NULL, \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL, \
\*cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm=NULL, \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL, \
\*cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm=NULL, \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL; \
\*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL; \
\*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL; \
\*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm=NULL; \
\*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \
\*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \
\*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cosqy\_cm=NUL; \*cosqx\_cm=NULL; \*cosqx\_cm=NUL; \*cos \*/
sinder THREE\_D
singx\_singy\_singz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
singx\_singy\_cosgz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
singx\_cosgy\_cosgz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_singy\_singz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_singy\_cosgz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_cosgy\_singz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_cosgy\_singz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_cosgy\_cosgz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double);
cosqx\_cosgy\_cosgz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double);
cosqx\_cosgy\_cosgz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double); cosq\_cosqy\_cosqz = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); #endif int i; STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*m; if( nt < nt | | nc < ncl){
 frint(stder, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in srtype\_matrix()\n");
 exit(1);</pre> } /\* alloc\_all\_sin\_cos\_arr() \*/ //\* alloct\_all\_sin\_cos\_all() \*/
/\* alloctate\_all\_sin\_cos(const unsigned int nr\_monomers,
 const unsigned int nr\_chains,
 const unsigned int nr\_chains,
 const unsigned int max\_qindex,
 double \*\*\*\* sines\_p, double \*\*\*\* cosines\_p,
 double \*\*\*\* sines\_p, double \*\*\*\* cosines\_cm\_p){
 if(max\_qindex == 0){
 printf("ERROR (allocate\_all\_sin\_cos): Called with max\_qindex=0.\n");
 exit(1);
 }
} m=(STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*)malloc((unsigned) (nrh-nrl+1) \ \* sizeof(STRUF\_RES\_TYPE\*)); if (!m) fprintf(stderr,"ERROR: Allocation failure 1 in srtype\_matrix()\n"); = nrl; m -= nrl; for(i=nrl;i<=nrh;i++) { m[i]=(STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*)malloc((unsigned)(nch-ncl+1) \ f
\*sines\_p = d\_rank3tensor(0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_monomers -1, 0, DIM -1);
\*cosines\_p = d\_rank3tensor(0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_monomers -1, 0, DIM -1);
\*sines\_cm\_p = d\_rank3tensor(0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_chains-1, 0, DIM -1);
\*cosines\_cm\_p = d\_rank3tensor(0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_chains-1, 0, DIM -1);
sin\_q = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cos\_q = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
sin\_q = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); m[i] -= ncl; return m; }/\* end end srtype\_matrix(....) \*/ /\* frees the memory taken by the STRUF\_RES\_TYPE matrix \*/
void free\_srtype\_matrix(STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*m, \ void free\_srtype\_matrix(STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*m, \
const int n1, const int nrh, \
const int ncl, const int nch){
 int i;
 if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in free\_srtype\_matrix()\n");
 exit(1);
 exit(1);
 }
}</pre> sin\_q\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); cos\_q\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_sinqy = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); sinqx\_cosqy = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); cosqx\_sinqy = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); cosqx\_cosqy = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double)); /\* imitate the behavior of free() \*/
if(m == NULL) return;
for(i=nrh;i>=nrl;i--) free((void\*) (m[i]+ncl)); cost\_costy = (uoble\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(doule)); sinqx\_costy\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(doule)); cosqx\_singy\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(doule)); cosqx\_costy\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); free((void\*) (m+nrl));
}/\* end free\_srtype\_matrix(....) \*/ \* sin\_q\_helper = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); cos\_q\_helper = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double)); // re-allocate memory for computations if necessary \*/
void realloc\_all\_sin\_cos(const unsigned int nr\_monomers,
 const unsigned int nar\_qindex,
 double \*\*\*\* sines\_p, double \*\*\*\* cosines\_p,
 double \*\*\*\* sines\_cm\_p, double \*\*\*\* cosines\_cm\_p){
 static unsigned int max\_qindex\_old = 0;
 if(are reidor == 0)(;
} \*/
ifdef THREE\_D
sinqx\_sinqy\_cosq2 = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
sinqx\_sinqy\_cosq2 = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
sinqx\_cosqy\_sinq2 = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_sinqy\_cosq2 = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_cosqy\_cosq2 = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_cosqy\_cosq2 = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_cosqy\_cosq2 = (double\*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(double));
sinqx\_cosqy\_cosq2 = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
sinqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
sinqx\_cosqy\_cosq2\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm = (double\*)calloc(nr\_chains, sizeof(double));
endif if(max\_qindex == 0){
 printf("ERROR (realloc\_all\_sin\_cos): Called with max\_qindex=0.\n");
 exit(1); if(max\_qindex > max\_qindex\_old){ printf("MESSAGE (realloc\_all\_sin\_cos): Reallocating sines and cosines.\n"); printf("MESSAGE max\_qindex=%u,max\_qindex\_old=%u\n",\ r\_qindex, max\_qindex\_old);
fflush(stdout); frlueh(stdout); /\* if a pointer is NULL, free() does nothing, so this function also works \* if the tensors were not allocated previously. \* due to the memory layout of the tensors, a simple realloc() won't do \*/ free\_d\_rank3tensor(\*sines\_p, 0, max\_qindex\_old, 0, \ nr\_monomers-1, 0, DIM -1); free\_d\_rank8tensor(\*cosines\_p, 0, max\_qindex\_old, 0, \ nr\_monomers-1, 0, DIM -1); } /\* allocate\_all\_sin\_cos() \*/ free\_d\_rank3tensor(\*sines\_cm\_p, 0, max\_qindex\_old, 0, \

double \*\*\* sines, double \*\*\* cosines, double \*\*\* sines\_cm, double \*\*\* cosines\_cm){ /\* if a pointer is NULL, free() does nothing \*/ free\_d\_rank3tensor(sines, 0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_monomers-1, 0, DIM -1); sines = NULL; free\_d\_rank3tensor(cosines, 0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_monomers-1, 0, DIM -1); cosines = NULL; cusines = null; free\_d\_rank3tensor(sines\_cm, 0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_chains=1, 0, DIM -1); sines\_cm = NULL; free\_d\_rank3tensor(cosines\_cm, 0, max\_qindex, 0, nr\_chains-1, 0, DIM -1); cosines\_cm = NULL; free(sin\_q);
sin\_q = NULL; sin\_q = NULL; free(cos\_q); cos\_q = NULL; free(sin\_q\_cm); sin\_q\_cm = NULL; free(cos\_q\_cm); cos\_q\_cm = NULL; rise(cos\_\_\_cos\_\_ cos\_q\_cm = NULL; free(singx\_singy); singx\_singy = NULL; free(singx\_cosgy); singx\_cosgy = NULL; free(cosgx\_singy); cosqx\_cosgy = NULL; free(cosgx\_cosgy); cosqx\_cosgy(cm = NULL; free(cosgx\_cosgy\_cm = NULL; free(cosgy\_cosgy\_cm = NUL; free(cosgy\_cosgy\_cm = NUL; free(cosgy\_cm = /\* free(sin\_q\_helper); sin\_q\_helper = NULL; free(cos\_q\_helper); cos\_q\_helper = NULL; cos\_q\_neiper = woll; \*/ #iddef THREE\_D free(sing\_singv\_sing2; singx\_singv\_socg2; singx\_singv\_cosq2; singx\_cosqy\_sing2; free(singx\_cosqy\_sing2); singx\_cosqy\_sing2; free(singx\_cosqy\_sing2; free(singy\_sing2 = WULL; free(cosqy\_cosq2 = WULL; free(cosqy\_cosq2 = WULL; free(cosq\_singy\_sing2; cosqx\_singy\_cosq2 = WULL; free(cosq\_singv\_sing2; cosqx\_socgy\_sing2 = WULL; free(cosq\_cosq2 = WULL; free(singx\_singy\_sing2, \_\_\_\_) free(sinqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm); sinqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm = NULL free(sinqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cm); sinqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cm = NULL free(sinqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cm = NULL) singx\_singy\_cosq2\_cm = NULL; free(singx\_cosqy\_singx\_cm) = NULL; free(singx\_cosqy\_cosq2\_cm) = NULL; free(cosqy\_sing2\_cm = NULL; free(cosqy\_sing2\_cm = NULL; free(cosqy\_sing2\_cm = NULL; free(cosqx\_singy\_cosq2\_cm = NULL; free(cosqx\_cosqy\_sing2\_cm = NULL; free(cosqx\_cosqy\_sing2\_cm = NULL; free(cosqx\_cosqy\_cosq2\_cm = NULL; free(cosqy\_cosq2\_cm = NULL; free(cosq2\_cosq2\_cm = NULL; free(cosq2\_cosq2\_cm = NULL; free(cosq2\_cosq2\_cm = NULL; } /\* void free\_all\_sin\_cos() \*/ const int max\_qindex[], double \*\*\* const sines, double \*\*\* const cosines){ double \*\*\* const cosines){
 unsigned int particle;
 int qindex;
 double vavenumber[DIM];
 int iDim;
 /\* for qindex=0, we know the values \*/
 qindex=0;
 /\* loog over all particles \*/
 for(particle=0; particle < nr\_positions; particle++){
 for(particle=0; particle < nr\_positions; particle++){
 for(particle=0; particle][DIM] = 1.0;
 sines[qindex][particle][IDIM] = 0.0;
 }
}</pre> 3 } }
for(qindex=1; qindex <= max\_qindex[1]; qindex++){
 wavenumber[1] = latticeUnits[1] \* (double)qindex;
 /\* loop over all particles \*/
 for(particle=0; particle < nr\_positions; particle++){
 /\* compute sines and cosines \*/
 cosines[qindex][particle][1] \
 cos(configuration[particle].y \* wavenumber[1]);
 sine(configuration[particle].y \* wavenumber[1]);
 }
</pre> , ; } #ifdef THREE D for(qindex=1; qindex <= max\_qindex[2]; qindex++){
 wavenumber[2] = latticeUnits[2] \* (double)qindex;</pre>

/\* loop over all particles \*/
for(particle=0; particle < nr\_positions; particle++){
 /\* compute sines and cosines \*/
 cosines[qindex][particle][2] \
 cos(configuration[particle].z \* wavenumber[2]);
 sines[qindex][particle].z \ wavenumber[2]);
}</pre> } } #endif }/\* calc\_all\_sin\_cos() \*/ double \*\*\* const cosines){
 /\* the signs of the q-indices \*/
 double sigx, sigy, sigz;
 /\* the unsigned indices of the q-vector \*/
 unsigned in day, day, day;
 /\* not much is saved when we treat cases where at least one q-index is 0
 \* differently, because these vectors have measure 0 in q-space. \*/
 /\* figure out the signs of the indices for using antisymmetry of the sine
 \* function, assign absolute indices \*/
 if (qvi[0] < 0){
 sigx = -1.0;
 qx = -qvi[0];
 }else{
 sigx = 1.0;
 qx = qvi[0];
 }
</pre> \_\_\_\_\_ = 1.0; qx = qvi[0]; } if (qvi[1] < 0){ sigy = -1.0; sigy = -1.0; qy = -qvi[1]; }else{ sigy = 1.0; qy = qvi[1]; if (avi[2] < 0){ if (qvi[2] < 0){
 sigz = -1.0;
 qz = -qvi[2];
}else{
 sigz = 1.0;
 qz = qvi[2];
}</pre> / return \
 cosines[qx][part][0] \* cosines[qy][part][1] \* cosines[qz][part][2]
 -sigx\*sines[qx][part][0] \* sigy\*sines[qy][part][1]\*cosines[qz][part][2]
 -sigx\*sines[qx][part][0] \* cosines[qy][part][1] \*sigz\*sines[qz][part][2]
 -cosines[qy][part][0] \* sigy\*sines[qy][part][1]\*sigz\*sines[qz][part][2];
/\* cos\_qr() \*/ double \*\*\* const cosines){
 /\* the signs of the q-indices \*/
 double sigx, sigy, sigy;
 /\* the unsigned indices of the q-vector \*/
 unsigned int qx, qy, qz;
 if (qxi[0] < 0){
 sigx = -1.0;
 qx = -qvi[0];
 }else{
 sigz = 1.0;
 qx = qvi[0];
 }
 if (qxi[1] < 0)f
</pre> }
if (qvi[1] < 0){
 sigy = -1.0;
 qy = -qvi[1];
}else{
 sigy = 1.0;
 qy = qvi[1];
}</pre> }
if (qvi[2] < 0){
 sigz = -1.0;
 qz = -qvi[2];
}else{
 sigz = 1.0;
 qz = qvi[2];
}</pre> }
return \
-sigx\*sines[qx][part][0] \* sigy\*sines[qy][part][1]\*sigz\*sines[qz][part][2]
+sigx\*sines[qx][part][0] \* cosines[qy][part][1] \* cosines[qz][part][2]
+cosines[qx][part][0] \* sigy\*sines[qy][part][1] \*sigz\*sines[qz][part][2]
+cosines[qx][part][0] \* cosines[qy][part][1] \*sigz\*sines[qz][part][2];
} /\* sin\_qr() \*/ /\* get the number and average values of q-vectors in each bin \*/
void
get\_qveccs\_in\_bins(const int maxIndex[],
 const double \* k const latticeUnits,
 const double binNidth,
 const unsigned int \*rBins,
 unsigned int \* const nr\_qvecs\_in\_bin,
 double \* const qvalues\_in\_bin){
 (\* colstice inc inc inc \* const \* con /\* q-lattice indices \*/
int xIndex, yIndex, zIndex;
int zIndexLow, zIndexHigh;
double rec\_length\_sq; unsigned int binIndex: unsigned int oinindex; #idef DIAGNOSTICS.on printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Entering get\_qvecs\_in\_bins(), file %s, line %u\n", \ HERE); #endif /\* initialize \*/
/\* initialize \*/
for(binIndex = 0; binIndex < nrBins; binIndex++){
 nr\_qvecs\_in\_bin[binIndex] = 0;
 qvalues\_in\_bin[binIndex] = 0.0;</pre> /\* loop over half the lattice \*/
for(xIndex=0; xIndex <= maxIndex[0]; xIndex++){
for(yIndex = (xIndex == 0) ? 0 : -maxIndex[1]; \
yIndex <= maxIndex[1]; yIndex++){</pre> #ifdef THREE\_D
 if(yIndex == 0 && xIndex == 0){
 zIndexLow = 0;
 }else{
 zIndexLow = -maxIndex[2]; zIndexHigh = maxIndex[2]; #else
zIndexLow = zIndexHigh = 0;

# APPENDIX D. ANALYSIS CODE

#endif #endif
 /\* this loop is at zIndex = 0 for DIM==2. \*/
 for(zIndex = zIndexLow; zIndex <= zIndexHigh; zIndex++){
 /\* reciprocal length of this vector \*/
 #ifdef THREE\_D
 rec\_length\_sq = SQUARE(latticeUnits[0]) \
 \* (double)(xIndex\*xIndex) \
 \* SQUARE(latticeUnits[1]) \
 \* (double)(yIndexyIndex) \
 \* SQUARE(latticeUnits[2]) \
</pre> evit(3) SQUARE(latticeUnits[2]) \ (double)(zIndex\*zIndex); \* (double)(zIndex\*zIndex); #else rec\_length.sq = SQUARE(latticeUnits[0]) \ \* (double)(xIndex\*xIndex) \ + SQUARE(latticeUnits[1]) \ \* (double)(yIndex\*yIndex); #endif binIndex = (unsigned int)(sqrt(rec\_length\_sq)/binWidth); .....) if(binIndex < nrEins){
 nr\_qvecs\_in\_bin[binIndex] += 1;
 qvalues\_in\_bin[binIndex] += sqrt(rec\_length\_sq);</pre> } /\* loop over zIndex \*/
} /\* loop over yIndex \*/
} /\* loop over xIndex \*/ /\* get average value for q-vector length in each bin \*/
for(binIndex = 0; binIndex / orBins; ++binIndex)
if(ar.qvecs\_in\_bin[binIndex] >0)
qvalues\_in\_bin[binIndex] /= (double)nr\_qvecs\_in\_bin[binIndex]; qvalues\_in\_pin(pinindex) /= (double/m\_qvecs\_in\_pin(pinindex); #idef DiAdNOSTICS. print("DIAGNOSTICS: Leaving get\_qvecs\_in\_bins(), file %s, line %u\n", \ HEEDS; } /\* get\_qvecs\_in\_bins() \*/ /\* get the number and average values of q-vectors in each bin. \* This is a versionfor a 2-d plane \*/ \* This is a versionfor a 2-d plane \*/ void get\_qwccs\_in\_xy\_bins(const int maxIndex[], const double binWidth\_x, const double binWidth\_y, const unsigned int nrBins\_x, unsigned int nrBins\_y, unsigned int \* const nr\_qwecs\_in\_xy\_bin, double \*\* const qvalues\_in\_xy\_bin){ /\* q-lattice indices \*/ int xIndex, yIndex, zIndex; int zIndexLow, zIndexHigh; unsigned int nrBins, binIndex, binIndexXY[2]; double mod\_q[2]; double mod\_q[2]; uounts mouques, #idef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Entering get\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins(), file %s, line %u\n", \ HERE); #endif endif
/\* here, nrBins is the total number of bins, not just the number of bins in
\* one direction \*/
nrBins = nrBins\_x \* nrBins\_y;
/\* initialize \*/
for(binIndex = 0; binIndex < nrBins; ++binIndex){
qvalues\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex][0] = 0.0;
qvalues\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex][1] = 0.0;
nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex] = 0;
}
</pre> #endif
/\* here,
\* one d }
/\* loop over half the lattice \*/
for(xIndex=0; xIndex <= maxIndex[0]; xIndex++){
for(yIndex == 0) ? 0 : -maxIndex[1]; \
yIndex <= maxIndex[1]; yIndex++){
#itdef THREE\_D
if(yIndex == 0 && xIndex == 0){
zIndexLow = 0;
}else(
zIndexLow = -maxIndex[2];
}</pre> zIndexHigh = maxIndex[2]; zindexHigh = maxindex[2];
#else
zIndexLow = zIndexHigh = 0;
#endif f /\* this loop is at zIndex = 0 for DIM==2. \*/ for(zIndex = zIndexLow; zIndex <= zIndexHigh; zIndex++){ /\* get bin-indices in x and y \*/
/\* xIndex is always >= 0 \*/
mod\_q[0] = lattice/nis[0] \* (double)xIndex;
mod\_q[1] = lattice/nis[0] \* abs((double)yIndex); mod\_q[1] = latticeonits[1] + max((double)/yindex), binIndexXY[0] = (unsigned int)(mod\_q[1]/binWidth\_x); binIndexXY[1] = (unsigned int)(mod\_q[1]/binWidth\_y); if(binIndexXY[0] < nrBins\_x k& binIndexXY[1] ; nrBins\_y) { binIndex = binIndexXY[0] \* nrBins\_x + binIndexXY[1]; nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex] \*= 1; qvalues\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex] [] \*= mod\_q[0]; qvalues\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex][1] \*= mod\_q[1]; } 3 } /\* loop over zIndex \*/
} /\* loop over yIndex \*/
} /\* loop over xIndex \*/ } /\* loop over xIndex \*/
/\* get average value for q-vector length in each bin \*/
for(binIndex = 0; binIndex < nrBins; \*+binIndex){
 if(nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex] > 0){
 qvalues\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex] [0] /= (double)nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex];
 qvalues\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex][1] /= (double)nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex]; /\*
printf("nr=%u, qx=%f, qy=%f\n", nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex],
qvalues\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex][0], qvalues\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex][1]);
\*/ /\* This function has flags to decide in which dimensions the q-vectors are 0.
 \* If q-indices are zero fewer equivalent images of the positive octant

(double)(binIndex%nrBins\_y +1)\*binWidth\_y); }/\* (nr\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bin[binIndex] > 0) \*/ }
#ifdef DIACNOSTICS\_ON
printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Leaving get\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins(), file %s, line %u\n",\
HERE);
#endif
} /\* get\_qvecs\_in\_xy\_bins() \*/ /\* finds out to which bins a configuration contributes \*/ /\* nr\_confs\_in\_bins is used as a counter which is incremented for each  $\ast$  configuration falling into it \*/
for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < nrBins; iLoop++)
if(nr\_qvecs\_in\_bins[iLoop] > 0)
nr\_confs\_in\_bins[iLoop]++;
}/\* get\_confs\_in\_bins() \*/ #ifdef THREE\_D
/\* take the single dimension sines and cosines. Calculate the full 3-d
/\* take the singl(vec(q}) in \vec(r;) and cos(\vec(q} \ in \vec(r;) values and sum these
\* up to the self and distinct structure factors (done by other functions). \* up to the self and distinct structure factors (done by other functions).
\*/
void
calc\_self\_and\_distinct\_sf\_3d(double \*\*\* const sines,
 double \*\*\* const cosines,
 double \*\*\* const cosines,
 double \*\*\* const cosines\_cm,
 const unsigned int mon\_per\_chain,
 const unsigned int nr\_positions,
 const double \*\*\* const latticeUnits,
 const double \*\*\* const latticeUnits,
 const unsigned int sf\_data\_start\_index,
 STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const results,
 const unsigned int sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index,
 STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*\* const results\_cm){
 #iddef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
 printf(\*DIAGNOSTICS\_Entering calc\_self\_and\_distinct\_sf\_3d(), file %s, \
 line %u/m', HERE);
 #endif
 /\* compute separately for the cases where q=0 in one or more dimensions \* emdif
/\* compute separately for the cases where q=0 in one or more dimensions \*/
low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm,
 mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions,
 nr\_positions\_cm,
 max\_qindex, latticeUnits,
 nrBins, binWidth,
 sf\_data\_start\_index,
 results,
 sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index,
 results\_cm, results, sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm, 0, 0, 0; low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions, nr\_positions\_cm, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, nrFins, binWidth, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_tita\_cm, o, 0, 1); low\_d\_cald\_sil sf\_3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions, nr\_positions\_cm, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_data\_start\_index, results\_cm, 0, 1, 0); low\_d\_cald\_silsf\_3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions, nr\_positions\_cm, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, nrEng, binWidth, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm, 0, 1, 1); low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, nr\_positons\_cm, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, nrFins, binWidth, sf\_data\_start\_index, results\_cm, 0, 1, 1); low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, 0, 1, 1); low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions, nr\_positions\_cm, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, sr\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm, 1, 1, 0); low\_d\_call\_sfl\_5f3d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions, nr\_positions\_cm, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, nrBins, binWidth, sf\_data\_start\_index, rf\_dats\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm\_start\_index, i, 1, 1); #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_DN printf("DIAGNOSTICS\_Leaving calc\_self\_and\_distinct\_sf\_3d(), file %s, line \ %u\n", HEB;; #endif } / calc\_self\_and distinct\_f of con } /\* calc\_self\_and\_distinct\_sf\_3d() \*/

\* exist. \* exist. \*/ void low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(double \*\*\*\* const sines, double \*\*\*\* const cosines, double \*\*\*\* const sines\_cm, double \*\*\*\* const cosines\_cm, const unsigned int mon\_per\_chain, const unsigned int nr\_positions, cn, const unsigned int nr\_positions, cn, const int max\_qindex[], const double bin/width, const unsigned int sf\_data\_start\_index, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*\* const results, const unsigned int sf\_data\_start\_index, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*\* const results\_cn, const unsigned int sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\*\* const results\_cn, const unsigned int qx\_flag, const unsigned int qx\_flag, const unsigned int qx\_flag, const unsigned int qx\_flag, f /\* q-lattice indices \*/ unsigned int q1, part\_cm; /\* squared reciprocal vector lengths \*/ double or xg\_0\_q vs\_0\_q vs\_0\_msd or xg\_0\_msd /\* squared reciprocal vector lengths \*/ double q\_x\_sq, q\_y\_sq, q\_x\_sq\_plus\_q\_y\_sq, mod\_q\_sq; unsigned int binIndex; wisder Universe #idef DIAONSTICS\_ON printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Entering low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(%u,%u,%u), file %s, line \ %u\n", qx\_flag, qy\_flag, qz\_flag, HERE); #endif #endif #idef CHECK\_DIMENSION\_FLAGS\_ON /\* check validity of flags \*/ if(!(qr\_flag==0 || qr\_flag==1)){ fprint(stderr, "ERROR: lov\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(), file %s, \ line %\n", HERE); fprint(stderr, " qr\_flag=%u not valid\n", qr\_flag); exit(2); }
if(!(qy\_flag==0 || qy\_flag==1)){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(), file %s, \
 line %\\n", HERE);
 fprintf(stderr, " qy\_flag=%u not valid\n", qy\_flag);
 exit(2);
} }
}
if(!(qz\_flag==0 || qz\_flag==1)){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(), file %s, \
line %\\n", HERE);
 fprintf(stderr, " qz\_flag=%u not valid\n", qz\_flag);
 exit(2); } endif cosqx\_singy[part] \
 = cosines[qi\_x][part][0] \* sines[qi\_y][part][1]; cosqx\_cosqy[part] \
 = cosines[qi\_x][part][0] \* cosines[qi\_y][part][1]; for(qi\_z = qz\_flag; qi\_z <= max\_qindex[2] \* qz\_flag; qi\_z++){</pre> mod\_q\_sq = q\_x\_sq\_plus\_q\_y\_sq + SQUARE((double)qi\_z \* latticeUnits[2]); binIndex = (unsigned int)(sqrt(mod\_q\_sq)/binWidth); /\* consider only q-vectors within binning range \*/ if(binIndex < nrBins){</pre> yt\_\_, yt\_\_, yt\_\_, mod\_yt\_\_, outlinesy, /\* calculate all triple sine and cosine products \*/ for(part=0; part < m\_positions; part++){ sinqx\_sing\_\_singz[part]=sinqx\_singy[part]\*sines[qi\_z][part][2]; sinqx\_cosqy\_singz[part]=sinqx\_cosqy[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][2]; sinqx\_cosqy\_singz[part]=sinqx\_cosqy[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_singy\_cosqz[part]=sinqx\_cosqy[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_singy\_cosqz[part]=cosqx\_singy[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_cosqy\_singz[part]=cosqx\_cosqy[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_cosqy\_singz[part]=cosqx\_cosqy[part]\*sines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz[part]=cosqx\_cosqy[part]\*sines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz[part]=cosqx\_cosqy[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_cosqx\_cosqx[part]=cosqx\_cosqy[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_cosqx\_cosqx\_cosqx[part]=cosqx\_cosqy[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_cosqx\_cosqx\_cosqx[part]=cosqx\_cosqy[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][2]; cosqx\_cosqx\_cosqx\_cosqx\_cosqx[part]=cosqx\_cosqx[part]\*cosines[qi\_z][part][part][part][part][part][part][part][part][part][part][part][part][part][pa }
for(part\_cm = 0; part\_cm < nr\_positions\_cm; part\_cm++){
for(part\_cm = 0; part\_cm < nr\_positions\_cm; part\_cm++){
sinqx\_singy\_cm[part\_cm] = \
sinqx\_singy\_cmpart\_cm] = \
sinqx\_singy\_cmpart\_cm] = \
sinqx\_cosqy\_cmpart\_cm] = \
sinqx\_cosqy\_cmpart\_cm] = \
sinqx\_cosqy\_cmpart\_cm] = \
sinqx\_cosqy\_cmpart\_cm] = \
cosqx\_singy\_cmpart\_cm] = \
cosqx\_cosqy\_cmpart\_cm] = \
cosqx\_co /\* the positive (borders included) (y,z) quadrant must always be 

+ sinqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_part] \ + cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqz[part] \

+ cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqz[part]; cos\_q[part] = \ + cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz[part] ` - sinqx\_cosqy\_cosqz[part] ` - sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz[part] ` - cosqx\_sinqy\_sinqz[part]; - Cost\_Sing\_sing\_sing\_cmpart\_cm, for(part\_cm=0; part\_cm < nr\_positions\_cm; part\_cm++){ sinq\_cm[part\_cm] = \ - sinqx\_sing\_cost\_cm[part\_cm] \ + cost\_cost\_cost\_cm[part\_cm] \ + cost\_cost\_cost\_cm[part\_cm] \ + cost\_cost\_cost\_cm[part\_cm]; cost\_cost\_cmpart\_cm] \ - sinqx\_cost\_cm[part\_cm] \ - sinqx\_cost\_cm[part\_cm] \ - sinqx\_cost\_cmpart\_cm] \ - sinqx\_cost\_cm[part\_cm] \ - cost\_sing\_singz\_cm[part\_cm]; } . ussign self dist sf 3d(mon per chain, nr positions cm. assign\_sell\_ulsc\_si\_si\_ sf\_data\_start\_index, results[binIndex], sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index results\_cm[binIndex]); results\_cm[binIndex]); if(!(qx\_flag == 0 & & qy\_flag == 0) & & (qz\_flag == 1)){ /\* qy >= 0 , qz < 0 \*/ for(part=0; part < nr\_positions; part++){ sinqx\_sinqy\_sinqz[part] \ sinqx\_cosqy\_cosqz[part] \ cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqz[part] \ cosqz\_sinqy\_cosqz[part] \ cosqz\_sinqy\_cosqz[part]; cos of part] = \ cosq[part] = \
cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz[part] \
sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz[part] \
cosqx\_sinqy\_sinqz[part] \ cosqx\_singy\_singz[part];
}
for(part\_cm=0; part\_cm < nr\_positions\_cm; part\_cm++){
singx\_com[part\_cm] = \
singx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
cosqx\_singy\_cosqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
cosqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
cosqx\_siny\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
cosqx\_siny\_cosqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cosqy\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cosqy\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cosqy\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cosqy\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cosqy\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cm[part\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_cm[part\_cm[part\_cm] \
sinqy\_c + cosqr\_cosqr\_singr[part]; cosqr\_cosqr[part] = \ + cosqr\_cosqr\_cosqr[part] \ + sinqr\_cosqr\_cosqr[part] \ - sinqr\_cosqr\_sinqr\_part] \ + cosqr\_sinqr\_part[part] \ } for(part\_cm=0; part\_cm < nr\_positions\_cm; part\_cm++){ sinqr\_com[part\_cm] = \ + sinqr\_sinqr\_cm[part\_cm] \ + sinqr\_cosqr\_sinqr\_cm[part\_cm] \ - cosqr\_sinqr\_com[part\_cm] \ + cosqr\_sinqr\_com[part\_cm] \ + cosqr\_sinqr\_com[part\_cm]; cosqr\_sinqr\_cm[part\_cm]; cosqx\_cosqy\_sinq2\_cm[part\_cm]; cosq\_cm[part\_cm] = \ cosqx\_cosqy\_cosq2\_cm[part\_cm] \ sinqx\_sinqy\_cosq2\_cm[part\_cm] \ sinqx\_cosqy\_sinq2\_cm[part\_cm]; } . cosqx\_sindy\_sindz\_cmlpart\_cmj; } assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_3d(mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions\_cm, sf\_data\_start\_index), sf\_data\_mstart\_index, results\_cm[binIndex], }\* if(qx\_Iflag == 1 && qy\_Iflag == 1) \*/ if(qx\_Iflag == 1 && qy\_Iflag == 1) \*/ if(qx\_Iflag == 1 && qy\_Iflag == 1) \*/ for(part=0; part < nr\_positions; part++){ sinq\_tosq\_rcsqIpart] \ cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqIpart] \ cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqZ[part] \ cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqZ[part] \ cosq\_cosqy\_sinqZ[part] = \ for(part\_cm=0; part\_cm < nr\_positions\_cm; part\_cm++){
 sinq\_ccm[part\_cm] = \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cn[part\_cm] \
 cosqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cn[part\_cm] \
 cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm];
 cosqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cn[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cn[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_cosqz\_cn[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cn[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cn[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cn[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_sinqy\_cosqz\_cn[part\_cm] \
 sinqx\_sinqy\_sinqz\_cm[part\_cm];
 }
}</pre> }
assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_3d(mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions\_cm,
sf\_data\_start\_index,
results[binIndex],
sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index,
results\_cm[binIndex]); results\_cmlbinIndex]); }/\* if(qx\_flag == 1 && qy\_flag == 1 && qz\_flag == 1) \*/ }/\* if (q| in allowed range \*/ }/\* loop over qi\_z \*/ }/\* loop over qi\_x \*/ }/\* loop over qi\_x \*/

#ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
 printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Leaving low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d(), file %s, line %u\n",

HERE); #endif result column++: //\* end loop over second\_mon\_in\_chain \*/
}/\* end loop over first\_mon\_in\_chain \*/ } /\* low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_3d() \*/ /\* given sin(\vec{q} \in \vec{r\_i}) and cos(\vec{q} \in \vec{r\_i}) for all
\* particle and center of mass positions
\* calculate the self and distinct structure factors.
\* This is vere the CPU time goes! /\* substract self contribution later \*/ #else #else
/\* coherent structure factor of the melt, self contribution \*/
for(chain = 0, part\_index = 0; chain < nr\_chains; chain++){
 sum\_sin = 0.0;
 sum\_cos = 0.0;
 for(first\_mon\_in\_chain = 0; first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; \
 first\_mon\_in\_chain++, part\_index++){
 sum\_ins += sin\_q[part\_index];
 sum\_cos += cos\_q[part\_index];
 }
}</pre> J
bin\_results[sf\_data\_start\_index] += SQUARE(sum\_sin) + SQUARE(sum\_cos); /\* loop indices \*/
unsigned int chain, first\_mon\_in\_chain; }
/\* coherent structure factor of the melt (distinct) \*/
sum\_sin = 0.0;
sum\_cos = 0.0;
for(part\_index = 0; part\_index < nr\_chains \* mon\_per\_chain; ++part\_index){
 sum\_sin += sin\_q[part\_index];
 sum\_cos += cos\_q[part\_index];</pre> unsigned int chain, first\_mon\_in\_chain; /\* sum variables \*/ double sum\_cos, sum\_sin, sum\_sin\_cm, sum\_cos\_cm; #ifdef CALC\_ONLY\_COHERENT\_SF\_YES unsigned int part\_index; #else unsigned int second\_mon\_in\_chain, first\_help\_index, second\_help\_index; unsigned int result\_column; double our occ first pen aum oin first pen \ } bin\_results[sf\_data\_start\_index + 1] += SQUARE(sum\_sin) + SQUARE(sum\_cos); substract self contribution later \*/ endif \*#nnil #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Entering assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_3d(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE); #endif #endif
#ifdef DEBUG ASSIGN\_SELF\_DIST\_SF\_3D\_ON
#ifdef CALC\_ONLY\_COHERENT\_SF\_YES
printf("DEBUG: values for this bin:\n");
for(result\_column = 0; result\_column <= sf\_data\_start\_index + 1 \
+ SQUARE(mon\_per\_chain) + (mon\_per\_chain +1); ++result\_column)
printf("\x" , bin\_results[result\_column]);
printf("\n");</pre> /\* it is important for efficiency to avoid two-fold sums! \*/ /\* it is important for efficiency to avoid two-fold /\* structure factor of the chain centers of mass \*/ sum\_sin\_cm = 0.0; for(chain = 0; chain < nr\_chains; ++chain){ sum\_sin\_cm += sin\_g\_cm[chain]; sum\_cos\_cm += cos\_q\_cm[chain]; print("\n"); print("\nEbUG: values for this cm-bin:\n"); for(result\_column = 0; \ result\_column <= f\_data\_start\_index + 2 \* mon\_per\_chain; \ +tresult\_column) printf("\n"); printf("\n"); }
bin\_results\_cm[sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index] \
+= SQUARE(sum\_cos\_cm);
#ifnedf CALC\_ONUX\_COMERNUT SF\_YES
/\* structure factor of the monomers in the chain, self contribution \*/
for(first\_mon\_in\_chain = 0; \
first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; first\_mon\_in\_chain++){</pre> primt( \u ), else primtf("DEBUG: values for this bin:\n"); for(part\_index = 0; part\_index <= sf\_data\_start\_index+1; ++part\_index) primtf("\n"); signata\_start\_index+1; ++part\_index)
printf("\n");
for(part\_index = 0; part\_index <= sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index; ++part\_index)
printf("\n");
fendif
fendif first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; first\_mon\_in\_chain+'}{
for(chain = 0, first\_help\_index = first\_mon\_in\_chain; \
chain < m\_chains; chain+, first\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){
 bin\_results\_cm[sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index + 1 + first\_mon\_in\_chain] \
 + esin\_q[first\_help\_index] + sin\_q\_cm[chain],
 + cos\_q[first\_help\_index] + sin\_q\_cm[chain];
 }/\* end loop over chains \*/
 /\* structure factor of the monomers in the chain, distinct contribution \*/
 for(first\_mon\_in\_chain = 0; \
 first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; first\_mon\_in\_chain++){
 sum\_sfir = 0.0;
 }
</pre> #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Leaving assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_3d(), file %s, line %u\n",
HEERD;
#endif TITSt\_mon\_in\_clain < won\_per\_chain, inco\_won\_in\_chains sum\_sim = 0.0; sum\_cos = 0.0; for(chain = 0, first\_help\_index = first\_mon\_in\_chain; \ }/\* assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_3d() \*/ #else /\* THREE D \*/ wuse /\* inRLE\_U \*/
/\* take the single dimension sines and cosines. Calculate the full 3-d
\* sin(\vec(q) \in \vec(r)) and cos(\vec(q) \in \vec(r)) values and sum tt
\* up to the self and distinct structure factors (done by other functions)
\*/ chain < nr\_chains; \
chain++, first\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){</pre> chain++, first\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){
 sum\_sin += sin.qfirst\_help\_index];
 sum\_cos += cos.qfirst\_help\_index];
 //\* end loop over first chain index \*/
 bin\_results\_cm[sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index + 1 + mon\_per\_chain \
 + first\_mon\_in\_chain] \
 += sum\_sin \* sum\_sin\_cm + sum\_cos \* sum\_cos\_cm;
 //\* end loop over monomers in chain \*/
 // \* in which column-index the results are written \*/
 result\_column = sf\_data\_start\_index + 2;
 /\* structure factor of the monomer writer in a chain \*/ result\_column = sf\_data\_start\_index + 2; /\* structure factor of the monomer pairs in a chain \*/ for(first\_mon\_in\_chain = 0; \ first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; \ first\_mon\_in\_chain+\*>[ /\* because we skip the second\_mon\_in\_chain == first\_mon\_in\_chain \* calculation we advance over this column \*/ result\_column+\*; result\_column++; /\* we know the answer for \* second\_mon\_in\_chain == first\_mon\_in\_chain: 1 \* (but this makes a good test) \*/
for(second\_mon\_in\_chain = first\_mon\_in\_chain +1; \
second\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; \
second\_mon\_in\_chain++){</pre> scond\_mon\_in\_chain+>{{
 for(chain = 0, \
 for(chain = 0, \
 first\_help\_index = first\_mon\_in\_chain, \
 second\_help\_index = second\_mon\_in\_chain; \
 chain < nr\_chains; \
 chain < nr\_chains; \
 first\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain, \
 second\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){
 }
}</pre> #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
 printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Entering calc\_self\_and\_distinct\_sf\_2d(), file %s, \
 line %u\n", HERE);
 #endif smail
/\* compute separately for the cases where q=0 in one or more dimensions \*/
low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm,
 mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions,
 nr\_positions\_cm,
 max\_qindex, latticeUnits,
 l\_write, ax\_plane,
 binWidth,
 vrete, bin\_results[result\_column]\
+= sin\_q[first\_help\_index] \* sin\_q[second\_help\_index] \
+ cos\_q[first\_help\_index] \* cos\_q[second\_help\_index]; \* cos\_qlirst\_neip\_index] \* cos\_qlsecona\_ }/\* end loop over chains \*/ /\* go to next column \*/ result\_column\*+; }/\* end loop over second\_mon\_in\_chain \*/ }/\* end loop over first\_mon\_in\_chain \*/ /\* result\_column has the right value \*/ nrBins\_y, nrBins\_y, sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index, results\_xy, sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index, results\_cm\_xy, /\* structure factor of the monomer pairs in different chains \*/ for(first\_mon\_in\_chain = 0; \ first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; \ first\_mon\_in\_chain++){ 0, 0); o, o, o, low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions, sum\_sin\_first\_mon = 0.0; sum\_cos\_first\_mon = 0.0; mail\_poil\_tions\_cm, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, l\_write\_xy\_plane, binWidth, wrPine, sum\_cos\_rirst\_mon = 0.0; for(chain = 0, first\_help\_index = first\_mon\_in\_chain; \ chain < nr\_chains; \ chain++, first\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){ sum\_sin\_first\_mon += sin\_q[first\_help\_index]; sum\_cos\_first\_mon += cos\_q[first\_help\_index]; Dinwidth, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm, binWidth\_x, binWidth\_y, ... } /
use symmetry in the monomer indices \*/
for(second\_mon\_in\_chain = first\_mon\_in\_chain; \
second\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; \
sum\_sin\_second\_mon\_in\_chain+>{
sum\_sin\_second\_mon = 0.0;
sum\_cos\_second\_mon = 0.0; nrBins\_y, nrBins\_y, sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index, results\_xy, sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index, results\_cm\_xy, sum\_cos\_second\_mon = 0.0; for(chain = 0, second\_help\_index = second\_mon\_in\_chain; \ chain < nr\_chains; \ chain++, second\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){ sum\_sin\_second\_help\_index]; sum\_scos\_second\_mon += sin\_q[second\_help\_index]; } 0, 1); 0, 1); d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions, nr\_positions\_cm, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, l\_write\_xy\_plane, bimWidth, binWidth, nrBins, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm, binWidth\_x, /\* go to next column \*/

binWidth\_y,

binWidtLy,
nrBins\_x,
nrBins\_y,
sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index, results\_xy,
sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index, results\_cm\_xy,
1, 0); 1, v; low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(sines, cosines, sines\_cm, cosines\_cm, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions, nr\_positions\_cm, max\_qindex, latticeUnits, l\_write\_xy\_plane binWidth, binWattn, nrBins, sf\_data\_start\_index, results, sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm, binWidth\_x, binWidth\_y, nrBins\_x, nrBins\_y, sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index, results\_xy, sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index, results\_cm\_xy, 1 ): } /\* calc\_self\_and\_distinct\_sf\_2d() \*/ /\* This function has flags to decide in which dimensions the q-vectors are 0.
 \* If q-indices are zero fewer equivalent images of the positive quadrant
 \* exist.
 \*/ int q initial. \*/ void low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(double \*\*\* const sines, double \*\*\* const cosines, double \*\*\* const cosines, double \*\*\* const cosines, const unsigned int mc\_per\_chain, const unsigned int nr\_positions, const unsigned int nr\_positions, const unsigned int nr\_positions, const int max\_qindex[], const double \* const latticeUnits, const unsigned int nrins, const unsigned int sf\_data\_start\_index, STRUF\_RES\_TYFE \*\* const results, const double binWidth, const unsigned int sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, STRUF\_RES\_TYFE \*\* const results\_cm, const double binWidth\_y, const unsigned int nrhins\_x, const unsigned int nrhins\_x, const unsigned int nrhins\_x, const unsigned int nrhins\_y, const unsigned int sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index, STRUF\_RES\_TYFE \*\* const results\_cm, const unsigned int f\_d\_ta\_acc\_xy\_start\_index, STRUF\_RES\_TYFE \*\* const results\_cm\_y, const unsigned int qr\_flag, const unsigned int qr\_flag)( /\* q=lattice indices \*/ unsigned int qr\_flag)( /\* q-lattice indices \*/ unsigned int qix = UINT\_MAX, qi\_y = UINT\_MAX; /\* particle \*/ unsigned int part = UINT\_MAX, part\_cm = UINT\_MAX; unsigned int part = UINT\_MAX, part\_Cm = UINT\_MAX; /\* squared reciprocal vector lengths \*/ double q\_x\_sq = -1.0, q\_y\_sq = -1.0, mod\_q\_sq = -1.0; unsigned int binIndex = UINT\_MAX; unsigned int binIndex xy = UINT\_MAX, binIndexXY[2]; /\* binIndexValid xy = FALSE is necessary if 1\_urite\_xy\_plane == FALSE, \* because for efficiency, binIndexValid\_xy is not touched in this cas: \*/ \*/
boolean binIndexValid = TRUE, binIndexValid\_xy = FALSE; bidde DidNoSTICS. With a low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(), file %s, line %u\n", \
HERE);
#endif #endit #ifdef CHECK\_DIMENSION\_FLAGS\_ON /\* check validity of flags \*/ if(!(qx\_flags=0 || qx\_flags=1)){ fprintf(stderr, "REROR: low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(), file %s, \ line %\\n", HERE); fprintf(stderr, " qx\_flags%u not valid\n", qx\_flag); exit(2); if(!(qy\_flag==0 || qy\_flag==1)){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(), file %s, \
 line %i\u03c4, HERE);
 fprintf(stderr, " qy\_flag=%u not valid\n", qy\_flag);
 exit(2); #endif
/\* loop over the selected range \*/
for(qi\_x = qx\_flag; qi\_x < max\_qindex[0] \* qx\_flag; qi\_x++){
 if(1\_write\_xy\_plane)
 binIndexYV[0] = ((double)qi\_x \* latticeUnits[0])/binWidth\_x;
 q\_x\_sq = SQUARE((double)qi\_x \* latticeUnits[0]);
 for(qi\_y = qy\_flag; qi\_y <= max\_qindex[1] \* qy\_flag; qi\_y++){
 if(1\_write\_xy\_plane){
 binIndexYV[1] = ((double)qi\_y \* latticeUnits[1])/binWidth\_y;
 if(binIndexXY[0] <= rBins\_x && binIndexXY[1] <= rBins\_y){
 binIndexXY[1] = inIndexYV[0] = rBins\_x + binIndexXY[1];
 binIndex\_Y = rBUE;
 binIndex\_Y = max\_qindex[1] \* gy\_flag; gi\_y = gy\_flag;
 aux = gy\_flag;
 f #endif }else
binIndexValid\_xy = FALSE; }
q\_y\_sq = SQUARE((double)qi\_y \* latticeUnits[1]);
mod\_q\_sq = q\_x\_sq + q\_y\_sq;
binIndex = (unsigned int)(sqrt(mod\_q\_sq)/binWidth); if(binIndex < nrBins) binIndexValid = TRUE; else binIndexValid = FALSE; binIndexValid = FALSE; /\* consider only q-vectors within binning range \*/ if(binIndexValid || binIndexValid\_xy){ #ifdef DEBUG.CALC\_SELF\_AND\_DISTINCT\_SF\_2D\_ON printf('DEBUG: At q-vector (%1, %1), q<sup>2</sup>=%1, q=%1\n", \ qi\_x, qi\_y, mod\_q\_sq, sqrt(mod\_q\_sq)); printf('DEBUG: binIndexValid\_xy=%d\n", binIndex, binIndex\_xy); printf('DEBUG: binIndexValid\_xy): binIndexValid\_xy]; \_\_\_\_\_ opinindexValid = %d, binIn binIndexValid, binIndexValid\_xy); #endif #endif
/\* calculate and save sin and cosine products of x and y contributions
\* for all particles \*/
for(part = 0; part < nr\_positions; part++){
 sinqx\_singy[part] \
 = sines[qi\_x][part][0] \* sines[qi\_y][part][1];
 cosqx\_singy[part] \
 = cosines[qi\_x][part][0] \* cosines[qi\_y][part][1];
 cosqx\_cosqy[part] \
 = cosines[qi\_x][part][0] \* sines[qi\_y][part][1];
 cosqx\_cosqy[part] \
</pre>

= cosines[qi\_x][part][0] \* cosines[qi\_y][part][1]; for(part\_cm = 0; part\_cm < nr\_positions\_cm; part\_cm++){
 sinqx\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
 = sines\_cm[qi\_y][part\_cm][0] \* sines\_cm[qi\_y][part\_cm][1];
 sinqx\_cosqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
</pre> sinqz\_cosqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
 = sines\_cm[qi\_x][part\_cm][0] \* cosines\_cm[qi\_y][part\_cm][1];
 cosqx\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
 = cosines\_cm[qi\_x][part\_cm][0] \* sines\_cm[qi\_y][part\_cm][1];
 cosqz\_cosqy\_cm[part\_cm] \
 = cosines\_cm[qi\_x][part\_cm][0] \* cosines\_cm[qi\_y][part\_cm][1]; /\* calculate sines and cosines \*/
for(part = 0; part < nr\_positions; part++){
 sin\_q[part] = cosqr\_cosqr[part] - sinqx\_sinqv[part];
 cos\_q[part] = sinqx\_cosqv[part] + cosqx\_sinqv[part];</pre> }
for(part\_cm = 0; part\_cm < nr\_positions\_cm; part\_cm++){
 sin\_q\_cm[part\_cm] = \
 cosqx\_cosqy\_cm[part\_cm] - sinqx\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm];
 cos\_q\_cm[part\_cm] = \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_cm[part\_cm] + cosqx\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm];
 }
}</pre> if(l\_write\_xy\_plane) if(l\_write\_xy\_plane)
 assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_2d(mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions\_cm,
 binIndexValid,
 sf\_data\_start\_index, results[binIndex],
 sf\_data\_start\_index, results\_cm[binIndex],
 binIndexValid\_xy,
 sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index,
 results\_xy[binIndex\_xy],
 sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index,
 results\_cm\_xy[binIndex\_xy]);
else
 assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_2d(mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions\_cm,
 binIndexValid, chassign\_solf\_dist\_sf\_2d(mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions\_cm, binIndexValid, sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results[binIndex], sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm[binIndex], binIndexValid\_xy, 0, NULL, 0, NULL); /\* positive quadrant, take also the negative quadrant in y: \* qy < 0 \*/ if(qx\_flag != 0 && qy\_flag != 0){ for(part = 0; part < nr\_positions; part++){ sin\_q[part] = cosqx\_cosq[part] + sinqx\_sinqy[part]; cos\_q[part] = sinqx\_cosq[part] - cosqx\_sinqy[part]; } }
for(part\_cm = 0; part\_cm < nr\_positions\_cm; part\_cm++){
 sin\_q\_cm[part\_cm] = \
 cosq\_cosqy\_cm[part\_cm] + sinqx\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm];
 cosq\_cm[part\_cm] = \
 sinqx\_cosqy\_cm[part\_cm] - cosqx\_sinqy\_cm[part\_cm];</pre> }
if(1\_vrie\_xy\_plane)
assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_2d(mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions\_cm,
binIndexValid,
sf\_data\_tart\_index, results[binIndex],
sf\_data\_tart\_index, results\_cm[binIndex],
binIndexValid\_xy,
sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index,
results\_cm\_xy[binIndex\_xy],
sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index,
results\_cm\_xy[binIndex\_xy]);
else results\_cm\_xy[binIndex\_xy]); else assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_2d(mon\_per\_chain, nr\_positions\_cm, binIndexValid, sf\_data\_start\_index, results[binIndex], sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index, results\_cm[binIndex], binIndexValid\_xy, 0, NULL, 0, NULL); (; ifor\_flow\_ind\_x) = 0) = ( }/\* if(qx\_flag != 0 && qy\_flag != 0) \*/
}/\* if |q| in allowed range \*/
}/\* loop over qi\_y \*/
}/\* loop over qi\_x \*/ ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Leaving low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d(), file %s, line %u\n",
HEEE); #endif
} /\* low\_d\_calc\_all\_sf\_2d() \*/ given sin(\vec{g} \in \vec{r i}) and cos(\vec{g} \in \vec{r i}) for all \* particle and center of mass positions \* calculate the self and distinct structure factors double helper; /\* loop indices \*/ unsigned int chain, first\_mon\_in\_chain; /\* sum variables \*/ double sum\_cos, sum\_sin, sum\_sin\_cm, sum\_cos\_cm; #ifade fALC\_ONLY\_COHERENT\_SF\_YES unsigned int part\_index; \* loop unsigned int part\_index; #else unsigned int second\_mon\_in\_chain, first\_help\_index, second\_help\_index; unsigned int result\_column; result\_xy\_column; double sum\_cos\_first\_mon, sum\_sin\_first\_mon, \ sum\_cos\_second\_mon, sum\_sin\_second\_mon; #endif #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Entering assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_2d(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE); #endif ##ndif DEBUG\_ASSIGN\_SELF\_DIST\_SF\_2D\_ON
printf("DEEBUG assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_2d(): binIndex=%u, binIndexValid=%d\n", \
binIndex, binIndexValid);
printf("DEEBUG assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_2d(): binIndex\_xy=%u, binIndexValid\_xy=\
%d\n", binIndex\_xy, binIndexValid\_xy);
#endif /\* it is important for efficiency to avoid two-fold sums! \*/ /\* structure factor of the chain centers of mass \*/ sum\_sin\_cm = 0.0; sum\_cos\_cm = 0.0; sum\_cos\_cum = 0:0; for(chain = 0; chain < nr\_chains; ++chain){ sum\_sin\_cm += sin\_q\_cm[chain]; sum\_cos\_cm += cos\_q\_cm[chain]; helper = SQUARE(sum\_sin\_cm) + SQUARE(sum\_cos\_cm); if(binIndexValid)

# APPENDIX D. ANALYSIS CODE

bin\_results\_cm[sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index] += helper; if(binIndexValid\_xy) bin\_results\_cm\_xy[sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index] += helper; #ifndef CALC\_ONLY\_COMERENT\_SF\_YES /\* structure factor of the monomers in the chain, self contribution \*/ for(first\_mon\_in\_chain < 0; \ first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; first\_mon\_in\_chain++) { function = 0 first\_per\_first\_mon\_in\_chain++) { function = 0 first\_per\_first\_mon\_in\_chain++} { function = 0 first\_mon\_in\_chain++} { function = 0 sum\_sin = 0.0; sum\_cos = 0.0; for(first\_mon\_in\_chain = 0; first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; \ first\_mon\_in\_chain++, part\_index++){ sum\_sin ++ sin\_(part\_index); sum\_cos += cos\_q[part\_index]; }
helper = SQUARE(sum\_sin) + SQUARE(sum\_cos);
/\* we use only the first entry in the result matrices \*/
if(binIndexValid)
bin\_results[sf\_data\_start\_index] += helper;
if(binIndexValid\_xy)
bin\_results\_xy[sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index] += helper;
} first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; first\_mon\_in\_chain++){
 for(chain = 0, first\_help\_index = first\_mon\_in\_chain; \
 chain < nr\_chains; chain++, first\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){
 helper = sin\_q[first\_help\_index] \* sin\_q\_cm[chain] \
 + cos\_q[first\_help\_index] \* cos\_q\_cm[chain];
 if(binIndexValid)
 bin\_results\_cm[sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index + 1 + first\_mon\_in\_chain] \
 += helper;
 if(binIndexValid\_xy)
 bin results cm xv[sf data cm xv start index+!+first mon in chain]</pre> /\* coherent structure factor of the melt (distinct) \*/
sum\_sin = 0.0;
sum\_cos = 0.0;
for(part\_index = 0; part\_index < nr\_chains \* mon\_per\_chain; ++part\_index){</pre> if(binIndexValid\_xy)
bin\_results\_cm\_xy[sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index+1+first\_mon\_in\_chain] \
+\*= helper;
}/\* end loop over chains \*/
}/\* structure factor of the monomers in the chain, distinct contribution \*/
for(first\_mon\_in\_chain = 0;
for(first\_mon\_in\_chain = 0;);
sum\_cos = 0.0;
sum\_cos = 0.0;
for(chain = 0, first\_help\_index = first\_mon\_in\_chain; \
chain < n\_chains; \
chain < the chain is sum\_sin += sin\_q[part\_index]; sum\_cos += cos\_q[part\_index]; helper = SQUARE(sum\_sin) + SQUARE(sum\_cos); helper = \$UUARK(sum\_sin) + \$UUARK(sum\_cos); if(binIndex/Valid) bin\_results[sf\_data\_start\_index +1] += helper; if(binIndex/Valid\_xy) bin\_results\_xy[sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index +1] += helper; /\* substract self contribution later (if pure distinct contribution is \* desired)\*/ main < mi\_lchains, first\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){
 sum\_cos += cos.q[first\_help\_index];
 sum\_cos += cos.q[first\_help\_index];
}/\* end loop over first chain index \*/
helper = sum\_sin \* sum\_sin, cm + sum\_cos \* sum\_cos\_cm;
if(binIndexValid)
 bin\_results\_cm[sf\_data\_cm\_start\_index + 1 + mon\_per\_chain \
 + first\_mon\_in\_chain] += helper;
if(binIndexValid\_xy)
 bin\_results\_cm\_xy[sf\_data\_cm\_xy\_start\_index + 1 + mon\_per\_chain \
 + first\_mon\_in\_chain] += helper;
if(binIndexValid\_xy)
 /\* end loop over monomers in chain \*/
 /\* structum = sf\_data\_start\_index + 2;
 result\_xy\_colum = sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index + 2;
 result\_xy\_colum = sf\_data\_xy\_start\_index + 2;
</pre> #endif #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Leaving assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_2d(), file %s, line %u\n",
HERE);
#endif }/\* assign\_self\_dist\_sf\_2d() \*/ #endif /\* THREE\_D \*/ void write\_results\_sf(STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const results, vii\_a\_results\_sf(STRUF\_RES\_TYPE \*\* const results, const unsigned int nr\_values, const unsigned int nr\_kind.of\_values, const unsigned int column\_with\_counter, const boolean 1\_write\_xy\_plane, const char \*\* outfile\_name, char \*\* description, const unsigned int nr\_desc\_lines, const unsigned int nr\_desc\_lines, const char \* parameterfile]; FILE \*savefile\_p, \*parameterfile\_p; char read\_line[79]; char vrite\_line[81]; unsigned int iLoop, saved, plane\_size\_x = UINT\_MAX; if(l\_write\_xy\_plane){ plane\_size\_x = (unsigned int)ceil(sqrt((double)nr\_values)); /\*\_test \*/ /\* because we skip the second\_mon\_in\_chain == first\_mon\_in\_chain \* calculation we advance over this column \*/ result\_column++; result\_xy\_column++; /\* we know the answer for \* second\_mon\_in\_chain == first\_mon\_in\_chain: 1 \* (but this makes a good test) \*/
for(second\_mon\_in\_chain = first\_mon\_in\_chain +1; \
second\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; \
second\_mon\_in\_chain++){</pre> /\* test \*/ if(SQUARE(plane\_size\_x) != nr\_values){ fprintf(stderr, "ERROR write\_results\_of(): (plane\_size\_x=%u)^2!=\ nr\_values=%u\n", plane\_size\_x, nr\_values); exit(3); second\_mon\_in\_chain++){
 for(chain = 0, \
 first\_help\_index = first\_mon\_in\_chain, \
 second\_help\_index = second\_mon\_in\_chain; \
 chain < mr\_chains; \
 chain < mr\_chains; \
 first\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain, \
 second\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain {
 helper = sin\_q(first\_help\_index] \* sin\_q(second\_help\_index] \
 + cos\_q[first\_help\_index] \* cos\_q[second\_help\_index];
 if (hirt\_nev\_lid)</pre> } 3 }
if ((savefile\_p=fopen(outfile\_name, "w"))==NULL) {
 fprintf(stderr,"ERROR: Couldn't open outputfile %s \n", outfile\_name); fprintf(st
exit(21); if(binIndexValid)
bin\_results[result\_column] += helper;
if(binIndexValid\_xy)
bin\_results\_ry[result\_xy\_column] += helper;
}/\* end loop over chains \*/ fprintf(stdout,"MESSAGE: Writing data to '%s' ...\n", outfile\_name ); fprintf(stdout,"MESSAGE: Writing data to '%s' ...
/\* write some hopefully descriptive lines \*/
for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < nr\_desc\_lines; ++iLoop)
fprintf(savefile\_p, "%s", description[iLoop]);
/\* now write every value to a file \*/
if(l\_write\_xy\_plane){
for(saved=0; saved < nr\_values; ++saved){
for(iLoop=0; iLoop < nr\_kind\_of\_values; ++iLof
fprintf(savefile\_p, "%f" ",results[saved][iLoop]);
fprintf(savefile\_p, "\n");
}
</pre> /\* go to next column \*/ result\_column++; result\_xy\_column++; ++iLoop) }/\* end loop over second\_mon\_in\_chain \*/
}/\* end loop over first\_mon\_in\_chain \*/ }/\* end loop over first\_mon\_in\_chain \*/
/\* result\_column and result\_xy\_column have the right value \*/
/\* structure factor of the monomer pairs in different chains \*/
for(first\_mon\_in\_chain < mon\_per\_chain; \
 first\_mon\_in\_chain < +) {
 sum\_sin\_first\_mon = 0.0;
 sum\_cos\_first\_mon = 0.0;
 for(chain = 0, first\_help\_index = first\_mon\_in\_chain; \
 chain < nr\_chains; \
 chain+, first\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){
 sum\_sin\_first\_mon += sin\_q[first\_help\_index];
 sum\_cos\_first\_mon += cos\_q[first\_help\_index];
 }
</pre> }}else{
/\* first line: comment out, because it is at q=0 \*/
fprintf(savefile\_p, "# "); fprintf(savefile\_p, "# "); for(iLoop=0; iLoop < ar\_kind\_of\_values; ++iLoop) fprintf(savefile\_p,"\## ",results[0][iLoop]); fprintf(savefile\_p,"\#"); /\* for the lines with q > 0 check if results are > 0 \*/ for(saved=1; saved < nr\_values; ++saved){ if(results[saved][colum\_with\_counter] > 0){ for(iLoop=0; iLoop < nr\_kind\_of\_values; ++iLoop) fprintf(savefile\_p,"\#f ",results[saved][iLoop]); fprintf(savefile\_p,"\n"); } /\* use symmetry in the monomer indices \*/
for(second\_mon\_in\_chain = first\_mon\_in\_chain; \
second\_mon\_in\_chain \*\* mon\_per\_chain; \
second\_mon\_in\_chain\*+){
sum\_in\_second\_mon = 0.0;
sum\_cos\_second\_mon = 0.0; } } fprintf(savefile\_p, "\n\n#Parameters read from file '%s':\n#\n", \ for(chain = 0, second\_help\_index = second\_mon\_in\_chain; \
chain < nr\_chains; \
chain++, second\_help\_index += mon\_per\_chain){</pre> arameterfile); parameterfile); if ((parameterfile, p = fopen(parameterfile, "r")) == NULL) fprintf(stdout, "WARNING: Couldn't open %s \n", parameterfile); else{ /\* just copy the parameterfile to the end of the datafile, so that we know how we got these numbers...\*/ /\* Not very good: lines are truncated after 78 characters \*/ while(fgets(read\_line, 79, parameterfile\_p) != NULL){ /\* the \*'s signal gnuplot and kngr that these lines are comments \*/ sprintf(write\_line, "#%s", read\_line); fputs(write\_line, savefile\_p); /\* if here's no 'n' at the end of the line, put one there \*/ if(strchr(read\_line, (int)'\n') == NULL) write\_line[79]='\n'; } sum\_sin\_second\_mon += sin\_q[second\_help\_index]; sum\_cos\_second\_mon += cos\_q[second\_help\_index]; J helper = sum\_sin\_first\_mon \* sum\_sin\_second\_mon \
\* sum\_cos\_first\_mon \* sum\_cos\_second\_mon;
if(binIndexValid)
bin\_results[result\_column] += helper;
if(binIndexValid\_xy)
bin\_results\_xy[result\_xy\_column] += helper; /\* got next column \*/ result\_column\*; result\_vy\_column\*; }/\* end loop over second\_mon\_in\_chain \*/ // substract self contribution later \*/ }
fclose(parameterfile\_p); fprintf(savefile\_p,"\n"); fprint(catorile\_p); fprintf(stdout,"MESSAGE: ...done writing '%s'.\n", outfile\_name); /\* end write\_results\_sf() \*/ . #else /\* coherent structure factor of the melt, self contribution \*, for(chain = 0, part\_index = 0; chain < nr\_chains; chain++){</pre>

#### General library header

```
/* general_libVx.y.h
 * header file for frequently used routines for analysis code.
 * Goes back to ancient times when I started my diploma thesis (1999)
 * Martin Aichele, 2002-04-23
 * Vi.i reads YASP format configurations by H. Meyer
 * Martin Aichele, 2002-10-31
 * last modified: 2002-11-04
 */
 */
#ifdef __cplusplus
extern "C" {
#endif
 #ifndef _GENERAL_LIB_H
#define GENERAL LIB H
 #include<stdio.h>
#include<string.h>
 #include<stdlib.h> /* needed for 'malloc' and the like */
#include<math.h>
 /* header for the YASP trajectory i/o routines */
#include"yasptrj.h"
 /* for preprocessing time dimension switching */
#define TWO_D
/*#define THREE_D */
/*define dimension */

#ifdef TWO_D

#define DIM 2

#ifdef THREE_D

#define DIM 3

#endif
 /* switch for run time diagnostic output */
#define DIAGNOSTICS_OFF
 typedef struct {
   double x;
double y;
double z;
r3vector;
/* structure for 2-dimensional vectors */
typedef struct {
    double x;
    double y;
} r2vector;
 typedef int boolean;
#define TRUE 1
#define FALSE 0
 /* define macros */
#ifndef M_PI
#define PI M_PI /* M_PI should be in math.h */
 #else
#define PI 3.14159265358979323846
#endif
/* type safe MIN and MAX by Morten Welinder <terra@diku.dk>
* as included in the Linux Kernel > V2.4.10
*/
 #/
#define MIN(x,y)
    ({ const typeof(x) _x = x;
      const typeof(y) _y = y;
         (void) (&_x == &_y);
   _x < _y ? _x : _y;
})
 #define MAX(x,y)
  ({ const typeof(x) _x = x;
      const typeof(y) _y = y;
         (void) (&_x == &_y);
   _x > _y ? _x : _y;
})
 #define SQUARE(x) ( (x)*(x) )
 #define downe(x) ( (x)*(x) )
/* squared distance of two r3vectors */
#define DIST_SQ(a,b) (SQUARE((a).x-(b).x)+SQUARE((a).y-(b).y) \
+SQUARE((a).z-(b).z))
  #define DIST_SQ2D(a,b) (SQUARE((a).x-(b).x)+SQUARE((a).y-(b).y))
 /* modulus of the difference of two r3vectors */
#define DISTANCE(a,b) (sqrt(SQUARE((a).x-(b).x)+SQUARE((a).y-(b).y)\
+SQUARE((a).z-(b).z)))
 /* modulus of a r3vector */
#define MDDULUS(a) (sqrt(SQUARE((a).x)+SQUARE((a).y)+SQUARE((a).z)))
#define MDDULUSZ(a) (sqrt(SQUARE((a).x)+SQUARE((a).y)))
 /* modulus squared of a r3vector */
#define MODULUS_SQ(a) (SQUARE((a).x)+SQUARE((a).y)+SQUARE((a).z))
#define MODULUS_SQ2D(a) (SQUARE((a).x)+SQUARE((a).y))
 /* a and b are r3vectors */
#define SCALARPRODUCT(a,b) (((a).x)*((b).x)+((a).y)*((b).y)+((a).z)*((b).z))
 #define SCALARPRODUCT2D(a,b) (((a).x)*((b).x)*((a).y)*((b).y)((b).y))
/* this is for identifying annoying lines of code
* used when calling error()
 * used into it of

*/
#define HERE __FILE_, __LINE__

/* prints an error message, filename, and line where it was called */

void

error(const char *, const char *, const int);
```

/\* the difference of two r3vectors \*/
r3vector diffvec(const r3vector, const r3vector); /\* the sum of two r3vectors \*/
r3vector sumvec(const r3vector, const r3vector); rdvector sumvec(const r3vector, const r3vector); /\* prints a r3vector to the screen \*/ void print\_r3vector(const r3vector); /\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch) with unsigned long integer entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes " \*/ unsigned long int \*\*ulimatrix(int, int, int, int); /\* frees the memory taken by the unsigned long integer matrix \*/
void free\_ulimatrix(unsigned long int\*\*, int, int, int, int); void free\_ulimatrix(unsigned long int\*\*, int, int, int, int);
/\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl.nrh)(ncl.nch)
with unsigned integer entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes " \*/
unsigned int \*\*umatrix(int, int, int, int);
/\* frees the memory taken by the unsigned long integer matrix \*/
void free\_umatrix(unsigned int\*\*, int, int, int, int);
/\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl.nrh)(ncl.nch) with double
entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes" \*/
double \*\*dmatrix(int, int, int, int);
/\* frees the memory taken by the integer matrix \*/
void free\_dmatrix(double\*\*, int, int, int, int);
/\* frees the memory taken by the integer matrix \*/
void free\_dmatrix(double\*\*, int, int, int);
/\* dynamically allocates nearow for a matrix for a proble 2 docore /\* dynamically allocates memory for a rank 3 tensor \* (nhl..nhh)(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch) with double entries \*/ \*/
double \*\*\*d\_rank3tensor(const int, const int, \
const int, const int, int, const int, const int, const int, const int;
/\* frees the memory taken by the double rank 3 tensor \*/
void free d\_rank3tensor(double \*\*\*, \
const int, const int, \
const int, const int, \
const int, const int, \
const int, const int); const int, const int); /\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch) with double entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes" \*/ char \*\*charmatrix(int, int, int, int); /\* frees the memory taken by the integer matrix \*/ void free\_charmatrix(char\*\*, int, int, int, int); /\* rrees the memory taken by the integer matrix \*/
void free\_charmatrix(char\*\*, int, int, int);
/\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch) with r3vector
entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes" \*/
r3vector \*\*vecmatrix(int, int, int);
/\* frees the memory taken by the r3vector matrix \*/
void free\_vecmatrix(r3vector\*\*, int, int, int, int);
/\* reads a configuration \*/
void read-onf(const char\*, const int, const unsigned long int, r3vector\*);
/\* reads a configuration in 2-d \*/
int read-onf\_rs2d(const char\*, const char\*, const char\*, const unsigned int, \
 const unsigned long int, r3vector \* const);
/\* Reads fluid positions from YASP trajectory \*/
int read-onf\_rs2d(const char\*, const char\*, const char\*, const unsigned int, \
 const unsigned int,
 r3vector \* const);
/\* Reads fluid and vall positions in configuration file of the film simulations
 \*/
 \*/\* Reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/
 \*/\* Reads fluid and vall positions in configuration file of the film simulations
 \*/\*
 \*/\* Reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const char \*, const char \*, const int,
 \*/\* reads fluid and vall const \*/
int read\_pos\_rs\_all(const char \*, const char \*, const int, const int, const unsigned long int, r3vector \* const, r3vector \* const, r3vector \* const, r3vector \* const); /\* transforms the fluid positions to the center of mass positions of the two /\* calculates the center of mass for every chain in the melt at a fixed time \*/
void calc\_Rcm\_chains(const r3vector \* const,
const unsigned int,
unsigned int const \* const,
r3vector \* const); /\* takes a matrix with the results along with some information and writes \* them to a file \*/ /\* (\* This function takes a matrix with the results with its dimensions along \* with information about what kind of data we are writing here. #endif #ifdef \_\_cplusplus } #endif

#### General library code

/\* general\_libVx.y.c
\* library for frequently used routines for analysis code.
\* library for frequently used routines for analysis code.
\* Goes back to ancient times when I started my diploma thesis (1999)
\* User some code by C. Bennemann (1997-1998)
\* Martin Aichale, 2002-06-28
\* Vi.i reads YASP format configurations by H. Meyer
\* Martin Aichale, 2002-10-31
\* last modified; 2002-10-4
\*/
# include "general\_libV1.1.h"
#define DEBUG\_CALC\_RCM\_CHAINS\_OFF
/\* the difference of two r3vectors \*/
r3vector diffyec(const r3vector a, const r3vector b){
r3vector b, const r3vector b, const

return help\_vec;

```
/* the sum of two f3vectors */
r3vector sumvec(const r3vector a, const r3vector b){
r3vector help_vec;
help_vec.r = a.r + b.r;
help_vec.r = a.r +
```

}/\* end diffvec() \*/

const int nhl, const int nhh, \
const int nrl, const int nrh, \
const int ncl, const int nch){
 int iLoop, jLoop; exit(1);
} /\* end error() \*/ /\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch)
with unsigned long integer entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes" \*/
unsigned long int \*\*ulimatrix(int nrl, int ncl, int ncl){ if( nhh < nhl || nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in free\_d\_rank3tensor()\n");
 exit(1);</pre> int i; unsigned long int\*\*m; if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in ulimatrix()\n");
 exit(1);</pre> /\* imitate the free() behavior \*/
if(tensor == NULL) return; fr(tensor == wold) return, for(jLoop = nhh; jLoop >= nhl; jLoop--){ for(iLoop = nrh; iLoop >= nrl; iLoop--){ free((void\*) (tensor[jLoop][iLoop]+ncl)); m=(unsigned long int \*\*)malloc((unsigned) (nrh-nrl+1)\*sizeof(unsigned long \ in(m.pice ing in (), marked(marked) (in mill) () (marked i in()); if (im) fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Allocation failure 1 in ulimatrix()\n"); m -= nT: }
free((void\*) (tensor[jLoop]+nrl)); for(i=nr1;i<=nrh;i++) {
 m[i]=(unsigned long int \*)malloc((unsigned) (nch-ncl+1)\*sizeof(unsigned \</pre> free((void\*)(tensor + nhl)); m[1]-(unsigned acrossing for the second }/\* end free\_d\_rank3tensor() \*/ /\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch)
with char entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes" \*/
char \*\*charmatrix(int nrl, int nrh, int ncl,int nch){ char \*\*char int i; char \*\*m; }
return m;
}/\* end ulimatrix(....) \*/ /\* frees the memory taken by the unsigned long integer matrix \*/
void free\_ulimatrix(unsigned long int \*\*m, int nrl, int nrh, int ncl, int nch){ if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in charmatrix()\n");
 exit(1);</pre> if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in free\_ulimatrix()\n");</pre> m=(char \*\*)malloc((unsigned) (nrh-nrl+1)\*sizeof(char\*)); if (!m) fprintf(stderr,"ERROR: Allocation failure 1 in charmatrix()\n"); m -= nrl; exit(1): for(i=nrh;i>=nrl;i--) free((void\*) (m[i]+ncl));
free((void\*) (m+nrl));
}/\* end free\_ulimatrix() \*/ /\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch)
with unsigned integer entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes" \*/
unsigned int \*\*umatrix(int nrl, int nrh, int ncl,int nch){ // return m;
}/\* end charmatrix(....) \*/ unsig int int i; unsigned int\*\*m; /\* frees the memory taken by the char matrix \*/
void free\_charmatrix(char \*\*m, int nrl, int nrh, int ncl, int nch){ if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in umatrix()\n");</pre> if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprint(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in free\_charmatrix()\n");
 exit(1);</pre> exit(1); , m=(unsigned int \*\*)malloc((unsigned) (nrh-nrl+1)\*sizeof(unsigned int\*));
if (!m) fprintf(stderr,"ERROR: Allocation failure 1 in umatrix()\n");
m -= nrl; f
for(i=nrh;i>=nrl;i--) free((void\*) (m[i]+ncl));
free((void\*) (m+nrl));
}/\* end free\_charmatrix(....) \*/ m -= nr1; for(i=nr1;i<=nrh;i++) { m[i]=(unsigned int \*)malloc((unsigned) (nch-ncl+1)\*sizeof(unsigned int)); if (!m[i]) fprintf(stderr,"ERROR: Allocation failure 2 in umatrix()\n"); m[i] -= ncl; /\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch)
with r3vector entries, so actually it's a tensor \*/
r3vector \*vecmatrix(int nrl, int nrh, int ncl,int nch){
 int i;
 r3vector \*\*m; }
return m;
}/\* end umatrix(....) \*/ if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in vecmatrix()\n");</pre> /\* frees the memory taken by the unsigned integer matrix \*/
void free\_umatrix(unsigned int \*\*m, int nrl, int nrh, int ncl, int nch){ int i; exit(1); if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in free\_umatrix()\n");
 exit(1);</pre> for(i=nrh;i>=nrl;i--) free((void\*) (m[i]+ncl));
free((void\*) (m+nrl));
}/\* end free\_umatrix() \*/ /\* C-arrays have indices 0,...,N so we define an offset here if we want to start with nrl \*/  $m \ = \ nrl,$ m -= mrl; for(i=mrl;i<=mrh;i++) { m[i]=(r3vector \*)malloc((unsigned) (nch-ncl+1)\*sizeof(r3vector)); if (!m[i]) fprintf(stderr,"ERAOR: Allocation failure 2 in vecmatrix()\n"); m[i] == ncl; /\* dynamically allocates memory for a matrix(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch) with double entries; taken from "Numerical Recipes" ble \*\*dmatrix(int nrl, int nrh, int ncl,int nch){ double int i;
double \*\*m; uvulte --m, if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){ fprint(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in dmatrix()\n"); exit(1); } return m; \* end imatrix(....) \*/ 3/ /\* frees the memory taken by the r3vector matrix \*/
void free\_vecmatrix(r3vector \*\*m, int nrl, int nrh, int ncl, int nch){ }
m=(double \*\*)malloc((unsigned) (nrh-nrl+i)\*sizeof(double\*));
if (im) fprintf(stderr,"ERROR: Allocation failure 1 in dmatrix()\n");
m -= nrl;
for(i=nrl;i<=nrh;i++) {
m[i]=(double \*)malloc((unsigned) (nch-ncl+i)\*sizeof(double));
if (im[i]) fprintf(stderr,"ERROR: Allocation failure 2 in dmatrix()\n");
m[i] -= ncl;
</pre> i; int i; if( nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){ fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in free\_vecmatrix()\n"); exit(1); /\* void\* is the generic type of a memory address \*/
for(i=nrh;i>=nrl;i--) free((void\*) (m[i]+ncl));
free((void\*) (m=rl));
/\* end free\_vecmatrix(....) \*/ }
return m;
}/\* end dmatrix(....) \*/ /\* frees the memory taken by the double matrix \*/
void free\_dmatrix(double \*\*m, int nrl, int nrh, int ncl, int nch){
int i; --- -,
if( nrt < nrl || nch < ncl){
fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in free\_dmatrix()\n");
exit(1);</pre> int i; char fname[160]; FILE \*file\_p; r3vector \*monomer; sprintf(fname,"%s/xyz\_t=%lu.dat", path, time); if ((file\_p=fopen(fname,"r"))==NULL) { fprintf(stderr,"ERROR: Couldn't open %s\n",fname); exit(2); for(i=nrh;i>=nrl;i--) free((void\*) (m[i]+ncl));
free((void\*) (m+nrl));
}/\* end free\_dmatrix(....) \*/ /\* dynamically allocates memory for a rank 3 tensor \* (nhl..nhh)(nrl..nrh)(ncl..nch) with double entries /\* fprintf(stdout,"MESSAGE: Reading %s\n",fname); \*/ \*/ double \*\*\*d\_rank3tensor(const int nhl, const int nhh, \ ner = conf; const int nr1, const int nrh, \
const int ncl, const int nch){
 int iLoop, jLoop;
 double \*\*\*tensor; for(i=0; inr\_monomers; i++,monomer++)
fscanf(file\_p,"%lf %lf\n",&monomer->x,&monomer->y,&monomer->z); if(i!=nr\_\_noners){
 forint(itder,"ERROR: In file %s only %d monomer positions!\n",fname,i);
 exit(2); if( nhh < nhl || nrh < nrl || nch < ncl){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index structure in d\_rank3tensor()\n");</pre> exit(1); fclose(file\_p); /\* end readconf() \*/ f tensor = (double \*\*\*)malloc((unsigned)(nhh-nhl+1)\*sizeof(double\*\*));
if (!tensor)
fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Allocation failure 1 in d\_rank3tensor()\n"); /\* Reads fluid positions in configuration file.
\* This is a more general routime (rs stands for rheosim)
Reads data from (as the data format is the same, but th
\* naming scheme differs):
\* Cristoph Bennemann's 10mer simulations tensor -= nhl; ) the file tensor -= nhl; for(jLoop = nhl; jLoop <= nhh; jLoop++){ tensor[jLoop] = (double \*\*)malloc((unsigned)(nrh-nrl+1)\*sizeof(double\*)); h(joop = min, j.poop < min, j.poop </pre> Martin Aichele's IOmer simulations Martin Aichele's simulations with rheosimVx.y in 2d Fathollah Varniks simulations (position files) Sidi Khefifs simulations (based on F. Varniks code) tensor[jLoop] -= nrl; for(iLoop = nrl; iLoop <= nrh; iLoop++) { tensor[jLoop][iLoop] = \ (double \*)malloc((unsigned)(nch-ncl+1)\*sizeof(double)); if (!tensor[jLoop][iLoop]) fprintf(stderr,"ERAGE: Allocation failure 3 in d\_rank3tensor()\n"); tensor[jLoop][iLoop] -= ncl; } \*/ int readconf\_rs(const char \*path, const char \*prefix, const char \* postfix, int readcon\_rs(const cnar \*p const unsigned int nr\_monomer const unsigned long int time, r3vector \* const conf){ unsigned int partLoop; char fname[400]; FILE \*file\_p; }
return tensor;
}/\* end d\_rank3tensor() \*/ FILE \*file\_p; r3vector \*monomer: sprintf(fname,"%s/%s%lu%s", path, prefix, time, postfix); if ((file\_p=fopen(fname,"r"))==NULL) { /\* frees the memory taken by the double rank 3 tensor \*/
void free\_d\_rank3tensor(double \*\*\*tensor, \

194

fprintf(stderr,"ERROR (readconf\_rs): Couldn't open %s\n",fname);
return 1; }
#ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
fprintf(stdout, "DIAGNOSTICS (readconf\_rs): Reading %s\n",fname);
#endif monomer = conf; monomer > coin;, for(partLoop = 0; partLoop < nr\_monomers; partLoop++, monomer++) fscanf(file\_p, %If %If %If\n",&monomer>x,&monomer->z); if (partLoop != nr\_monomers){ fprintf(stderr,"EEROR (readconf\_rs): In file %s only %d monomer \ positions!\n",fname,partLoop); return 2; } fclose(file\_p); return 0;
} /\* end readconf\_rs() \*/ /\* Reads fluid positions in configuration file. This version is for real \* 2-d data. \*/
int readconf\_rs\_2d(const char \*path, const char \*prefix, const char \* postfix,
const unsigned int nr\_monomers,
const unsigned long int time,
r3vector \* const conf){ rsvector \* const con unsigned int partLoop char fname[400]; FILE \*file\_p; r3vector \*monomer; sprint(fname,"%s/%s%lu%s", path, prefix, time, postfix); if ((file\_p=fopenfomen,"r"))==NULL) { fprintfsder,"ERROR (readconf\_rs\_2d): Couldn't open %s\n",fname); return 1; #idef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
fprintf(stdout, "DIAGNOSTICS (readconf\_rs\_2d): Reading %s\n",fname);
#endif monomer = conf: monomer = con,, for(partLoop = 0; partLoop < nr\_monomers; partLoop++, monomer++){ fscanf(file\_p,"%lf %lf\n",&monomer->x,&monomer->y); /\* zero out z-coordinate \*/ monomer->z = 0.0; if (partLoop != nr\_monomers) {
 fprintf(stderr,"ERROR (readconf\_rs\_2d): In file %s only %d monomer \
 positions!\m',fname,partLoop);
 return 2; fclose(file\_p); return 0;
} /\* end readconf\_rs\_2d() \*/ /\* Reads fluid positions from YASP trajectory \*/
/\* returns 0 on success, 1 if a frame could not be read because the
\* trajectory ended before, 2 if a frame could not be read othervise.
\* exits if the problem with the trajectory is fundamental \*/
int readconf\_yasp(char filename[], YaspFrame \* const frame\_p,
const unsigned int n\_frame,
const unsigned int n\_frame,
filename[],
unsigned int iLoop;
int atoms;
char YaspFitle[80]; int records = 0;
static bolean l\_trj\_is\_open = FALSE;
static unsigned int framecounter = 0; static unsigned int framecounter = 0; #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_OM fprintf(stout, "DIAGNOSTICS Entering readconf\_yasp() with n\_frame=%u, \ framecounter=%u\n", n\_frame, framecounter); framec #endif /\* initialize or re-initialize trajectory \*/ if(n\_frame <= framecounter || !l\_trj\_is\_open){ rramecounter = 0; /\* if the trajectory was open before, we have to close it first \*/ CloseYaspTrj(); }else{ printf("MESSAGE (readconf\_yasp): Initializing trajectory\n"); l\_trj\_is\_open = TRUE; }
f
(OpenYaspTrj(filename)){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR (readconf\_yasp): Could not open YASP \
 trajectory '%s'\n", filename);
 exit(1);
} atoms = GetYaspAtoms(); acoms = Getrapptoms(); if((unsigned)atoms != nr\_monomers){ fprintf(stderr, "ERROR (readconf\_yasp): atoms in YASP trajectory = %d\ != nr\_monomers=%u\n", atoms, nr\_monomers); exit(2); } GetYaspHeader() obtains the descriptor string title and the number of records per frame, skips to the first frame. Must be called before any call to GetYaspFrame(). Returns 0 on success, nonzero otherwise. /\* \*/ GetYaspHeader(YaspTitle, &records)){
 fprintf(stderr, "ERROR (readconf\_yasp): Could not open trajectory\
header\n"); exit(3); 3 /\* read frames until we reach n\_frame \*/
do{
 if(YaspEOF()){
 fprintf(stdout,"WARNING (readconf\_yasp): Reached end of trajectory.\n");
 fprintf(stdout,"WARNING n\_frame=%u, framecounter=%u\n",
 n\_frame, framecounter);
 return 1; f (GetYaspFrame(nr\_monomers, frame\_p)){
 fprintf(stdout,"WARNING (readconf\_yasp): Error when reading frame.\n");
 fprintf(stdout,"WARNING n\_frame=%u, framecounter=%u\n",
 n\_frame, framecounter);
 return 2; if(DIM == 3){
 for (iLoop=0; iLoop < nr\_monomers; iLoop++) {
 conf[iLoop].x = frame\_p->x[iLoop];
 conf[iLoop].y = frame\_p->y[iLoop];

conf[iLoop].z = frame\_p->z[iLoop]; }else{ for (iL se{
 or (iLoop=0; iLoop < nr\_monomers; iLoop++) {
 conf[iLoop].x = frame\_p->x[iLoop];
 /\* swap y and z \*/
 conf[iLoop].y = frame\_p->z[iLoop];
 conf[iLoop].z = frame\_p->y[iLoop]; #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON
 fprintf(stdout, "DIAGNOSTICS Leaving readconf\_yasp()\n");
#endif return 0;
} /\* end readconf\_yasp() \*/ /\* Reads fluid and wall positions in configuration file of the film simulations read\_pos\_rs\_all(cost char \*path, const char \*prefix, const int nr\_fluid\_atoms, const int nr\_vall\_atoms, const unsigned long int time, r3vector \* const conf\_vall, r3vector \* const conf\_vall, r3vector \* const pos\_tvall, r3vector \* const pos\_bvall){ nt i fluid, counter fluid; rsvector \* const pos\_ovally( int i\_fluid; counter\_fluid; int i\_vall, counter\_vall, counter\_tbwall; int n\_mon\_tot, n\_top\_vall, n\_bottom\_vall, i\_part\_low, i\_part\_high; char fname[400]; FILE #file.pp; rSvector \*pos\_p; /\* isticion =/ /\* initialize \*/
counter\_fluid = 0;
counter\_wall = 0;
counter\_tbwall = 0 0; = 0; sprintf(fname, "%s/%s%lu.dat", path, prefix, time); ifdef DIGROSTICS\_DO fprintf(stdout, "DIGGNOSTICS (read\_pos\_rs\_all): Reading %s\n",fname); #endif small
if ((file\_p=fopen(fname,"r"))==NULL) {
fprintf(stderr,"ERROR (read\_pos\_rs\_all): Couldn't open %s\n",fname);
return 1; /\* fprintf(stdout,"MESSAGE: Reading %s\n",fname); \*/
pos\_p = conf\_fluid;
for(i\_fluid = 0; i\_fluid < nr\_fluid\_atoms; i\_fluid++, pos\_p++)
counter\_fluid = \
fscanf(file\_p,"%lf %lf %lf\n", &pos\_p->x, &pos\_p->y, &pos\_p->z);
if (counter\_fluid != 3\*nr\_fluid\_atoms){
fprintf(stderr, "ERRR (read\_pos\_rs\_all): In file %s only %d fluid \
positions!\n", fname, counter\_fluid/3);
return 2;
} }
pos\_p = conf\_wall;
for(i\_wall = 0; i\_wall < nr\_wall\_atoms; i\_wall++, pos\_p++)
counter\_wall += \
 forcant(file\_p, "Xif Xif Xif\n", &pos\_p->x, &pos\_p->y, &pos\_p->z);
if (counter\_wall != 3+nr\_wall\_atoms){
 fprint(stderr, "ERROR (read\_pos\_rs\_all): In file %s only %d wall \
 positions!\n", fame, counter\_wall/3);
 return 3; }
/\* read control numbers in file \*/
fscanf(file,p, "%u %u %u %u %u %u,n", &n\_mon\_tot, &n\_top\_vall, &n\_bottom\_vall,\
&i\_part\_low, &i\_part\_high);
if(i\_part\_high - i\_part\_low +1 != nr\_fluid\_atoms + nr\_vall\_atoms){
fprintf(stderr, \
"ERROR (read\_pos\_rs\_all): Particle number check failed:\n");
fprintf(stderr, " i\_part\_low=%u\n", i\_part\_low);
fprintf(stderr, " i\_part\_logh=%u\n", i\_part\_loy);
fprintf(stderr, " n\_rfluid\_atoms + nr\_vall\_atoms=%u\n", \
nr\_fluid\_atoms + nr\_vall\_atoms);
return 4;
} /~ reaw top and bottom wall position \*/
counter\_tbwall +\* \
fscanf(file\_p, "%]!%]!%]!%]!%]!%; &pos\_twall->x,&pos\_twall->y,&pos\_twall->z);
counter\_tbwall +\* \
fscanf(file\_p, "%]!%]!%]!%]!%]
if(counter\_tbwall != 6){
fprintf(stderr,"ERROR (read\_pos\_rs\_all): Failed to read wall positions\n");
return 5; /\* read top and bottom wall position \*/ fclose(file\_p); return 0; } /\* end read\_pos\_rs\_all() \*/ /\* transforms the fluid positions to the center of mass positions of the two /\* transforms the fills positions to fill {
 void transform to walls\_cm(r3wetor \* const conf,
 const unsigned int nr\_monomers,
 const r3wetor twall\_pos;
 const r3wetor bwall\_pos){
 if the fill for the f unsigned int iLoop; r3vector walls\_cm\_pos; ravector Walls\_cm\_pos; walls\_cm\_pos.x = (twall\_pos.x + bwall\_pos.x)/2.0; walls\_cm\_pos.x = (twall\_pos.y + bwall\_pos.y)/2.0; walls\_cm\_pos.z = (twall\_pos.z + bwall\_pos.2)/2.0; for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < nr\_monomers; iLoop++) conf(iLoop] = sumve(conf(iLoop], walls\_cm\_pos); }/\* end transform\_to\_walls\_cm() \*/ /\* calculates the center of mass for every chain in the melt at a fixed time /\* (alc\_Rcm\_chains(r3vector const \* const configuration, const unsigned int nr\_chains, unsigned int const \* const chain\_lengths, r3vector \* const Rcm\_chains){ unsigned int chain, mon\_1, index\_mon\_1, chainlength; unsigned int sum\_monomers = 0; r3vector help\_vec; /\* loog over all chains \*/ for(chain = 0, index\_mon\_1 = 0; chain < nr\_chains; chain++){ chainlength = chain\_lengths[chain]; /\* test if the chain has at least one monomer /\* test if the chain has at least one monomer \* if there's a chain with length 0 something is seriously wrong \*/
if(chainlength == 0){
fprintf(stderr, "ERROR (calc\_Rcm\_chains): Chain %u has length 0 !\n",
chain);
exit (1); }
/\* keep track of the number of monomers in the melt \*/
sum\_monomers += chainlength;
/\* initialize help\_vec \*/
help\_vec.x = 0.0;
help\_vec.z = 0.0;
help\_vec.z = 0.0;

how we got these numbers... \*/
/\* Not very good: lines are truncated after 78 characters \*/
while(fgets(read\_line, 79, parameterfile\_p) != NULL){
/\* the #'s signal grouplot and army that these lines are comments \*/
sprintf(write\_line, "#%a", read\_line);
fputs(write\_line, savefile\_p);
/\* if there's no 'n' at the end of the line, put one there \*/
if(strchr(read\_line, (int)'\n') == NULL) write\_line[79]='\n';
} /\* now loop over all monomers in a chain \*/
for(mon\_1 = 0; mon\_1 < chainlength; mon\_1++, index\_mon\_1++){</pre> /\* divide by the number of monomers in the chain \*/ help\_vec.x /= (double)chainlength; help\_vec.y /= (double)chainlength; help\_vec.z /= (double)chainlength; }
fclose(parameterfile\_p); / frintf(savefile\_p,"\n");
fclose(savefile\_p);
fprintf(stdout,"MESSAGE: ...done writing '%s'.\n", outfile);
}/\* end save\_results() \*/ /\* assign value to array containing the results \*/
Rcm\_chains[chain] = help\_vec; kcm\_cnains(cnain) = neip\_vec; }/\* end loop over all chains \*/ if(index\_mon\_1 != sum\_monomers){ fprintf(stderr, "ERROR: Wrong index in calc\_Rcm\_chains() :\nindex\_mon\_1 = \ %u, but sum\_monomers = %u\n", index\_mon\_1, sum\_monomers); exit(3); /\* This function takes a matrix with the results with its dimensions along \* with information about what kind of data we are writing here. \* with information about what kind of data We are writing here. \*/ void vrite\_results(double \*\*results, const unsigned int nr\_kind.of\_values, const char \* outfile\_name, const char \* description, const char \* parameterfile}{ FILE \*savefile\_p, \*parameterfile\_p; char read\_line[79]; char vrite\_line[81]; unsigned int iloop, saved; if ((savefile\_p=fopen(outfile\_name, "w"))==NULL) { fprintf(stderr,"ERROR: Couldn't open outputfile %s \n", outfile\_name); exit(21); } ifdef DEBUG\_CALC\_RCM\_CHAINS\_ON
printf("DEBUG calc\_Rcm\_chains(): Printing monomer positions:\n");
for(index\_mon\_1 = 0; index\_mon\_1 < sum\_monomers; ++index\_mon\_1)
print\_r3vector(configuration[index\_mon\_1]);
printf("\n");</pre> print("DEBUG calc\_Rcm\_chains():Printing chain center of mass positions:\n"); for(chain = 0; chain < nr\_chains; ++chain) print\_r3vector(configuration[chain]); ardif #endif } /\* end calc\_Rcm\_chains() \*/ /\* takes a matrix with the results along with some information and writes  $\ast$  them to a file fprintf(stdout,"MESSAGE: Writing data to '%s' ... \n", outfile\_name ); fprint(stdout, "MESSAGE: Writing data to '%s' ...\n", outfile\_name ); /\* write some hopefully descriptive lines \*/ for(iLoop = 0; iLoop < nr\_desc\_lines; ++iLoop) fprintf(savefile\_p, "%s", description[iLoop]); /\* now write every value to a file \*/ /\* the first line is special, because the time is 0.0 and this creates \* problems on a logarithmic plot \*/ \*/
void save\_results(double \*\*results,
const unsigned int nr\_values,
const unsigned int nr\_kind.of valu
const char \*what, const char \*orig
const char \*header,
const char \*parameterfile){ const char \*parameterile); FILE \*savefile\_p, \*parameterfile\_p; char outfile[160]; char read\_line[79]; char write\_line[81]; unsigned int i, saved; ''
if(results[0][0] == 0.0)
fprintf(savefile\_p, "# ");
for(saved=0; saved < nr\_values; ++saved){
for(iLoop=0; iLoop < nr\_kind\_of\_values; ++iLoop)
fprintf(savefile\_p, "%#f ",results[saved][iLoop]);
fprintf(savefile\_p, "\n");
}</pre> /\* put together the name of the file used for saving \*/
/\* sprintf needs an char array which is big enough for its result ! \*/
sprintf(outfile, "%s\_%s.dat", what, origin);
if ((savefile\_p=fopen(outfile, "w"))==NULL) {
fprintf(der,"ERROR: Couldn't open outputfile %s \n", outfile);
exit(1); fprintf(savefile\_p, "\n\n#Parameters read from file '%s':\n#\n", \ prameterfile); if ((parameterfile,p = fopen(parameterfile,"r")) == NULL) fprintf(stdout,"WARNING: Couldn't open %s \n", parameterfile); fprintf(stdout,"MESSAGE: Writing data to '%s' ...\n", outfile ); /\* if theres a header string describing the contents of the file we write \* it at the beginning of the file \*/
ifstrlen(header) > 0)
fprintf(savefile\_p, "%s", header);
/\* now write every value to a file \*/
for (saved = 0; saved < nr\_values; ++saved){
for(i=0; i < nr\_kind\_of\_values; ++i)
fprintf(savefile\_p,"%.6E ", results[saved][i]);
fprintf(savefile\_p,"\n");
}</pre> }
fclose(parameterfile\_p); fprintf(savefile\_p, "\n#Data generated by %s\n#Parameters read from file \
'%s':\n#\n", origin, parameterfile);
if ((parameterfile);
if ((parameterfile);
fprintf(stdout,"WARNING: Couldn't open %s \n", parameterfile); fprintf(savefile\_p,"\n");
fclose(savefile\_p); fprintf(stdout,"MESSAGE: ...done writing '%s'.\n", outfile\_name);
}/\* end write\_results() \*/ infinitionuou, #Amando....
else(
 /\* just copy the parameterfile to the end of the datafile, so that we know

# D.2 Code for the Three Particle Static Structure Factors

#### Main s3V1.6.cpp

// calculate the triple correlators S\_3 // Martin Aichele, 2001-11-12 // last modified 2001-12-05 /\*include "general\_libV1.7-0" #include "general\_libV1.1.h" #include "quec\_libV1.7.h" #include "quec\_libV1.7.h" #include "til9937-1.h" /\* program version to be appended to the data written \*/ #define VERSION "V1.6" /\* identify program \*/ #define WHAT "S3" /\* length of a dummy string used to swallow unvanted input \*/ #define DUMMY\_LENGTH 1000 // set to DKY\_RUN\_ONLYIXX inf main(int argc, char \*argv[]){ FILE \*parameterfile\_p; /\* &chains, #monomers per chain, total # of monomers \*/ unsigned int r\_chains, mon\_per\_chain, nr\_monomers; /\* simulation box size \*/ double box\_size; /\* parameter reading checking variables \*/ unsigned int read\_success, read\_attempt; /\* loop variables \*/ unsigned int r\_sim\_runs, \*m\_r\_sub\_sim\_runs; unsigned int nr\_sim\_runs, \*m\_r\_sub\_sim\_runs; unsigned int nr\_configurations, nr\_configurations\_counter=0; /\* path to data \*/

#### D.2. CODE FOR $S_3$

// read in configuration loop states
unsigned int confloopStart, simRunLoopStart, subSimRunLoopStart, \
 startPointLoopStart; // how many configurations are to be calculated before program exits unsigned int nr\_confs\_to\_calculate = 0; // name of file for new parameters char newParameterFileName[800]; argv[0]); exit(1); f
f ((parameterfile\_p = fopen(argv[1],"r")) == NULL){
sprintf(string, "Couldn't open %s", argv[1]);
error(string, HERE); read\_attempt=0; /\* dimension of box \*/ +\*read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"box\_size=%lf\n",&box\_size); /\* number of chains in the melt\*/ +\*read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"nr\_chains=%u\n",&nr\_chains); /\* monomers per chain \*/ +\*read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"mon\_per\_chain=%u\n",&mon\_per\_chain); /\* how many different simulation runs \*/ +\*read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"nr\_sim\_runs=%u\n", &nr\_sim\_runs); if(read\_success != read\_attempt){ if(read\_success != read\_attempt){
 sprintf(string,"Read only %u variables, but expected %u\n", read\_success, read\_attempt);
error(string, HERE); /\* number of sub simulation runs \*/
nr\_sub\_sim\_runs = (unsigned int \*)calloc(nr\_sim\_runs, sizeof(unsigned int));
for(iLoop=0; iLoop < rr\_sim\_runs; ++iLoop){
 ++read\_attempt;
 read\_sutcempt;
 read\_sutcess += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"%u\n", nr\_sub\_sim\_runs+iLoop);</pre> /\* string describing the saving scheme \*/
++read\_attempt;
read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"saving\_scheme=%\n", saving\_scheme);
/\* filename of the file that contains the sample times \*/
+tread streamt: ++read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"file\_sample\_times=%s\n", file\_sample\_times); /\* path to the configurations to be read, data is expected in the \* directories \* "data\_path[sim\_run].[sub\_sim\_run]/" ''read\_attempt;
read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"data\_path=%s\n", data\_path); // input variables for S\_3
++read\_attempt;
read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"nrBins=%u\n", &nrBins); rread\_success \*= fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"nrBins=%u\n", &nrBins); +rread\_sutcempt; read\_sutcempt; read\_sutcempt; read\_sutcempt; read\_sutcempt; read\_sutcempt; read\_sutcempt; read\_sutcempt; read\_sutcess \*= fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"equalizationFactor=%lf\n", &equalizationFactor); +rread\_statempt; read\_success \*= fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"rngSeed=%u\n", &rngSeed); // define the maximal q-value qMax = static\_cast4ouble>(nrBins)\*binWidth; // read\_statempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"nr\_confs\_to\_calculate=%u\n", &rr\_confs\_to\_calculate); +rread\_sttempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"confLoopStart=%u\n", &confLoopStart); &confLoopStart); ++read\_attempt; read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"simRunLoopStart=%u\n", read\_success += iscant(parameterfile\_p, simnunloopstart=,uun', &simRunLoopStart); ++read\_sttempt; ksubSimRunLoopStart); ++read\_success += fscanf(parameterfile\_p,"subSimRunLoopStart=;uun", &startPointLoopStart); /\* check if we read as many variables as expected \*/
if(read\_success != read\_attempt){
 sprintf(string, "Read only %u variables, but expected %u\n", read\_success,
 read\_attempt);
 error(string, HERE);
} fclose(parameterfile\_p); ====\n"): ----\n"): print('\ns", 'Additional parameters for S\_3:\n"); print('\ns"hins=\univ, 'ns"hins); printf('\binkidth=\u00e7\u00e7, binkidth); printf('\binkerfors: qMax=\u00e7(\u00e7, qMax); printf('\u00e7, usinbedEntries=\u00e7, usinbedEntries); printf('\u00e7, usindizationFactor=\u00e7(\u00e7, uqualizationFactor); printf('\u00e7, uns\u00e7, rngSeed); printf('\u00e7, uns\u00e7, rngSeed); printf("== ==\n"): printf("start values of configuration loops:\m"); printf("confLoopStart=%u\n", confLoopStart); printf("simRunLoopStart=%u\n", subSimRunLoopStart); printf("subSimRunLoopStart=%u\n", subSimRunLoopStart);

printf("startPointLoopStart=%u\n", startPointLoopStart); ==\n")· DONE READING PARAMETERS /\* check if saving scheme is recognized \*/
if(!((strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list") == 0)
(strcmp(saving\_scheme, "matrix") == 0)))
error("ERROR: Unrecognised saving scheme", HERE); /\* now read the times \*/
if (strcmp(saving\_scheme, "list") == 0){ if ((parameterfile\_p = fopen(file\_sample\_times, "r")) == NULL){
 sprint(string, "ERROR: Couldn't open %s", file\_sample\_times);
 error(string, HERE); else
error("ERROR: Couldn't read nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint in sample\_list",
HERE); if(nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint == 0)
error("nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint == 0\n", HERE); /\* treat the list like a matrix \*/
nr\_startpoints = 1; lele( /\* we have a sample\_matrix \*/ if ((parameterfile\_p = fopen(file\_sample\_times, "r")) == NULL) { sprint(string, "ERROR: Couldn't open %s \n", file\_sample\_times); error(string, HERE); printf("\nMESSAGE: nr\_startpoints=%u\n", nr\_startpoints); printf("MESSAGE: nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint=%u\n\n", nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint); }/\* end if \*/
/\* from now on we can hopefully treat the list like a matrix \*/
sample\_matrix = ulimatrix(0, n\_startpoints-1,
0, n\_samples\_per\_striptint-1);
/\* The fgets(dummy, DUMY\_LENGTH, file\_p) stuff is here to allow to read
\* just the first n\_startpoints columns of a possibly bigger matrix.
\* Note that there's a difference between "%lu" and "%lu " in fscanf:
\* In the former case the file pointer points at the position after the last
\* read number, in the latter case to the position of the next non-white\* space character
\*/ \*/
dummy = (char\*)malloc(DUMMY\_LENGTH \* sizeof(char));
/\* read the sample matrix entries \*/
printf("MESSAGE: Sample matrix:\n");
for(iLoop=0; iLoop < nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint; ++iLoop){
 for(jLoop=0; jLoop < nr\_startpoint; ++iLoop){
 fscanf(parameterfice\_p, "Xlu", sample\_matrix[jLoop] + iLoop);
 printf("%lu ", sample\_matrix[jLoop][iLoop]);
}</pre> fgets(dummy, DUMMY\_LENGTH, parameterfile\_p);
printf("\n"); fclose(parameterfile\_p);
free(dummy); fclose(parameterfile\_p); free(dumy); /\* how many timeseries there are \*/ nr\_timeseries = 0; for(iLoop+0; iLooptrr\_sim\_runs; ++iLoop) nr\_timeseries +\* nr\_sub\_sim\_runs[iLoop]; nr\_timeseries \*\* nr\_startpoints; /\* how many configurations we have \*/ nr\_configurations = nr\_timeseries \* nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint; /\* samity check \*/ if(nr timeseries = 0) /\* sanity check \*/ if(nr\_timeseries == 0) error("ERROR: There are no timeseries", HERE); else printf("MESSAGE: There are %u timeseries.\n", nr\_timeseries); /\* compute number of monomers in the melt \*/
nr\_monomers = nr\_chains \* mon\_per\_chain;
/\* put together filename of output file \*/
sprintf(string, "%s\_%s.dat", WHAT, VERSION); -yransioting, "AS\_AS.GAT", WHAT, VERSION); /\* allocate memory for monomer positions \*/ configuration\_t0 = (r3vector \*)calloc(nr\_monomers, sizeof(r3vector)); #ifdef DIAGNOSTICS\_ON printf("DIAGNOSTICS: Now in main(), file %s, line %u\n", HERE); #endif /\* done with general tasks for static quantities \* // Good File general Gased Variation Source quantities -// const double twoPiOverBoxlength = 2.0+PI/box\_size; // we want to make sure that the last bin is completely filled, thus +1: const short int maxIndex = static\_castschort int>(qMax/twoPiOverBoxlength)+1; cout << "MESSAGE: maxIndex=" << maxIndex << "\n";</pre> / steart\_cuber\_unsigned into (maintee > maintee); // at each length (in squared lattice units) we have a vector of reciprocal // lattice vectors. 0 is included. vector<vector<RLVecType> > qvecsAtSLUQ(maxIndexSq+1); vector<vector<RLVecType> > qvecsAtSLUX(maxIndexSq+1); vectorVectorRUVeCType> > quecasAtSUBX(maxIndexSq+1); // for each bin list all SLU belonging to this bin. vectorVectorVint> > binToSU(mrKindexSq+1); // bin indices of squared lattice moduli. // ve use ints to use -INT\_MAX as a flag if this SLU is not in any bin. // 0 is included. Because we are looking on \*differences\* of lattice // vectors, the highest length is DIM\*(2\*maxIndex)2. // Vectors vith squared modulus > maxIndexSq are not needed, but we have to // deal with them. vectorVectorSlUtoSin(DIM\*4\*maxIndexSq+1); // here we can make a destinction between \vec(q) and \vec(k); // if we are not intersted in the imaginary part, we can take either // \vec(q) or \vec(k) from a half lattice. #ided CALCOMPLEX\_NO assign\_uhole\_qvectors\_to\_lengths(maxIndex, qvecsAtSLUR); #indif #idder CALC\_COMPLEX\_YES mail\_operation\_control = 0\_congunations ( qrecencion(), #iidef CALC\_COMPLEX\_YES assign\_whole\_qvectors\_to\_lengths(maxIndex, qvecsAtSLUQ); assign\_whole\_qvectors\_to\_lengths(maxIndex, qvecsAtSLUX); #endif // initialize conversion between reciprocal lattice vector (rl vector) bins // and squared lattice units mct\_to\_slv(binWidth, nrBins, twoPiOverBoxlength, maxIndexSq,

197

/\* loop over all simulation runs \*/
for(simRunLoop = simRunLoopStart; \
simRunLoop < nr\_sim\_runs; ++simRunLoop){
 // simRunLoop</pre> binToSLU, SLUtoBin): binToSLU, SLUCODIN, def TAKE\_OUTER\_BINS\_YES out << "MESSAGE: Taking outer bins.\n";</pre> #ifde: cout << "MESSAGE: Taking outer bins.\n";
#else
cout << "MESSAGE: Not taking outer bins.\n";
#endif</pre> endif // find out the maximal number of vectors for \vec{q} and \vec{X} // maxNumberAllK is either // maxNumberAllQ if we calculate the complex part // == maxNumberAllQ/2 if we calculate only the real part of S\_3 // make sure that indexType is big enough (check how many vectors can be in // a bin with a given bin-index) unsigned int counterAllQ = 0; for(Gbin = 0; GBin < fastic cast(int)(nrBinc): timbic){</pre> complete the paths with the number of the simulation run and unsigned int maxNumberAllQ = 0; for(qBin = 0; qBin < static\_cast<int>(nrBins); ++qBin){ counterAllQ = 0; for(vectorint>::const\_iterator iterSLUQ = binToSLU[qBin].begin(); \ iterSLUQ != binToSLU[qBin].end(); ++iterSLUQ) counterAllQ += qvecsAtsLUQ(titerSLUQ).size(); maxNumberAllQ = max(counterAllQ, maxNumberAllQ); '' usure\_productemp[stringlength++] = (char)(simRunLoop / 10) + '0'; data\_path\_temp[stringlength++] = (char)(simRunLoop % 10) + '0'; data\_path\_temp[stringlength++] = '.'; if(subSimRunLoop >= 10) data\_path\_temp[stringlength++] = (char)(subSimRunLoop / 10)+'0'; data\_path\_temp[stringlength++] = (char)(subSimRunLoop % 10)+'0'; data\_path\_temp[stringlength++] = '\0'; data\_path\_temp[stringlength] = '\0'; cout << "MESSAGE: maxNumberAllQ=" << maxNumberAllQ << "\n";</pre> cout << htps://www.maxwumberAllk = 0; unsigned int counterAllk = 0; for(kBin = 0; kBin < static\_cast<int>(nrBins); ++kBin){ counterAllk = 0; for(weitor<int>::const\_iterator iterSLUK = binToSLU[kBin].begin(); \ iterSLUK != binToSLU[kBin].end(); ++iterSLUK].size(); maxNumberAllk = max(counterAllk, maxNumberAllk); } } }
cout << "MESSAGE: maxNumberAllK=" << maxNumberAllK << "\n";
// check if the index type for indexing rl vectors is large enough
// INDEX\_MAX itself is used as a flag for debugging!
if(maxNumberAllQ >= static\_cast<unsigned int>(INDEX\_MAX)){
 cerr << "ERROR: maxNumberAllQ" << maxNumberAllQ << " > INDEX\_MAX="
 #ifndef DRY\_RUN\_ONLY
readconf(data\_path\_temp, nr\_monomers, \
 sample\_matrix[startPointLoop][confLoop], \ exit (1): configuration\_t0); }
// storage for the index tupels identifying tupels (\vec{q}, \vec{k}) for
// given (q, k, p), \vec{p} = \vec{q} - \vec{k}
// a lot of the entries will not be used.
// For them qpWeccs[pBin].size() == 0
vector<vector<indexTupelType> > qkpWecs[nrBins);
// the rl vectors themselves are stored in these lists.
// We separate the problem along q, is. for each q we create a complete list
// of \vec{q} at this q and then we loop over k. Therefore it is more
// efficient to take \vec{q} from the whole lattice when calculating only
// the real part of 5.3.
vector<RLVecType> completeWecListQ, completeWecListK, uniqVecListK;
// here we store the univCecLists caled with the lattice constant configuration;to); #endif /\* count the number of read configurations for information \*/ nr\_configurations\_counter++; for(qBin = static\_cast<int>(nrBins)-1; qBin >= 0; --qBin){ // qBin completeVecl.ist(lear(); complet #endif vector<KLVecType> completeVecListQ, completeVecListK, uniqVecListK; // here we store the uniqVecLists scaled with the lattice constant vector<Tyvector> completeVecListLatticeQ(maxNumberAllQ), uniqVecListLatticeK(maxNumberAllK); // results: #vector tupels, S\_3~p, S\_3. If imaginary parts are also // calculated, reserve approbriate storage #ifdef CALC\_COMPLEX\_NO const unsigned int nrResultEntries = 3; #endif #ifdef CALC\_COMPLEX\_NO completeVecListLatticeQ); completerectisticative,, // calc all sines and cosines for this configuration and // all \vec{q} calc\_all\_sines\_cosines(nr\_monomers, configuration\_t0, #ifdef CALC\_COMPLEX\_YES const unsigned int nrResultEntries = 5; #endif ompleteVecListQ.size(), ompleteVecListLatticeQ, vector<vector<vector<resultType> > > allSinesQ, allCosinesQ) results(nrBins, vector<vector<vector<resultType> > \
 (nrBins, vector<vector<resultType> > \
 (nrBins))); // draw vector tupels for all k-bins and calculate
for(kBin = static\_cast<int>(nrBins)-1; kBin >= 0; --kBin){
 // kBin // only allocate what we need for the index tupels describing the vector // tupels // tupels
for(qBin = 0; qBin < static\_cast<int>(nrBins); ++qBin){
for(kBin = 0; kBin < static\_cast<int>(nrBins); ++kBin){
 // minimal and maximal index of p-bins which can be filled
#ifdef TAK\_OUTER\_BINS\_YES
 pBinMin = max(abs(qBin+kBin)-1, 0);
 pBinMax = min(qBin+kBin+1, static\_cast<int>(nrBins-1));
#alse // clear the list of vectors
uniqVecListK.clear(); completeVecListK.clear(); uniqVecListK.reserve(maxNumberAllK); completeVecListK.reserve(maxNumberAllK); // create list of all \vec{k} for this bin
create\_complete\_list(kBin, binToSLU, qvecsAtSLUK, \ completeVecListK); // minimal and maximal index of p-bins which can be filled #ifdef TAKE\_OUTER\_BINS\_YES pBinMin = max(abs(QBin+kBin)-1, 0); pBinMax = min(qBin+kBin+1, static\_cast<int>(nrBins-1)); #lea #else // unless at 0 or nrBins-1, pBinMin and pBinMax are +1 resp. -1 pBinMax = qBin+kBin; jBinMax = qBin+kBin; if(pBinMax > static\_cast<int>(nrBins) -1) pBinMax = nrBins -1; #endif #andif
for(pBin = pBinMin; pBin <=pBinMax; ++pBin){
// create entries where needed and set them to 0.0
// with the SGI STL this creates objects with capacity nrResultEntries
results[qBin][kBin][pBin].resize(nrResultEntries);</pre> } #enail
 // wipe out previous vector tupels
 // we only want to clear() the vector<indexTupelType> for each
 // bin, not the object itself
 for(pBin = 0; pBin < tatic\_cast<int>(nrBins); ++pBin){
 qkpVecs[pBin].clear();
} // the sines and cosines for all vectors in the vector lists
vector<calculType\*> allSinesQ, allCosinesQ, allSinesK, allCosinesK; allSinesQ.reserve(maxNumberAllQ); allCosinesQ.reserve(maxNumberAllQ); allSinesK.reserve(maxNumberAllK); allCosinesK.reserve(maxNumberAllK); allCosinesK.reserve(maxNumberAllK); // intermediate storage for S.3 and S.3~p for all p // gt+ allows saying S3\_re\_chain\_qk\_at\_all\_p[nrBins], but icc complains sumsType \*S3\_re\_chain\_qk\_at\_all\_p, \*S3\_re\_melt\_qk\_at\_all\_p; s3\_re\_chain\_qk\_at\_all\_p = (sums3Type\*)calloc((size\_t)nrBins, \ (size\_t)sizeof(sums3Type)); s3\_re\_melt\_qk\_at\_all\_p = (sums3Type\*)calloc((size\_t)nrBins, \ (size\_t)sizeof(sums3Type)); idde CALC\_OMPLEX\_YES allSinesQ.reserve(maxNumberAllQ); // if pBin is in the range where new tupels will be created, // reserve memory. if(DBin >= pBinMin && pBin <= pBinMax) qkPvcs[pBin].reserve(wishedEntries); }
}// get tupels for this k-bin and all p-bins
qkp\_realization(qBin, kBin, nrBins, wishedEntries,
equalizationFactor,
completeVecList(, completeVecListK,
maxNumberAlIK, SUUtoBin,
qkpVecs, uniqVecListK); (size\_t);izeof(sums3Type)); #idef CALC\_COMPLEX\_YES sums3Type \*s3\_im\_chain\_qk\_at\_all\_p, \*s3\_im\_melt\_qk\_at\_all\_p; s3\_im\_chain\_qk\_at\_all\_p = (sums3Type\*)calloc((size\_t)nrBins, \ (size\_t)sizeof(sums3Type)); s3\_im\_melt\_qk\_at\_all\_p = (sums3Type\*)calloc((size\_t)nrBins, \ (size\_t)sizeof(sums3Type)); #endif qkpVecs, uniqVecListK); // add up number of vector tupels found for(pEin = pEinMin; pEin <= pEinMax; ++pEin) results[qEin][kEin][pEin][0] += \ static\_cast<resultType>(qkpVecs[pEin].size()); #ifndef DRY\_RUM\_ONLY // rescale lattice vectors and calculate rescale\_lattice.vectors(twoPi0verEoxlength, \ uviel.et#) // initialize random number generator // when distributing the computation on several machines it is important to // use different seeds to improve statistics. sgenrand(rngSeed); uniqVecListK, \ uniqVecListLatticeK); // flush cout
cout << flush:</pre> \_chain, nr\_chains, / MAIN LOOPS // loop over the configurations.
/\* loop over one timeseries \*/
for(confLoop = confLoopStart; \
 confLoop // in case we use a lot of configurations per timeseries is is better to
// down are concerned for each configurations per timeseries is is better to
// down are concerned. // draw new q-vectors for each configuration. The confirurations of // different (sub-) simulation runs should be rather independent.

#### D.2. CODE FOR $S_3$

// unless at 0 or nrBins-1, pBinMin and pBinMax are +1 resp. -1
pBinMar = qBin + KBin;
if(pBinMax > static\_cast(nrBins) -1)
pBinMax = nrBins -1;
#endif // TAKE\_QUTER\_BINS\_YES
for(pBin = pBinMin; pBin [arksultEntries-2] += \
static\_cast(resultType>(s3,re\_chain\_qk\_at\_all\_p[pBin]);
#endif // CALC\_COMPLEX\_NO
#ifdef CALC\_CAMPLEX\_NO
#ifdef CALC\_CAMPLEX\_NO
#ifdef CALC\_ACUMPLEX\_NO
#ifdef CALC\_CAMPLEX\_NO
#ifdef CALC\_CAMPLEX\_NO
#ifdef CALC\_CAMPLEX\_NO
#ifdef CALC\_ACUMPLEX\_NO
#ifdef CALC\_ACUMPLE }// end loop over q-bin
#indet DRY\_RNN\_ONLY
// urite out results
// confloop+1 is the number of samples per startpoint we have
// investigated so far.
write\_S3\_results(argv[1], string,
confloop, simRunLoop, subSimRunLoop, startPointLoop,
nr\_configurations\_counter, nr\_monomers,
nrEins, biwidth, nrResultEatrice, results);
#endif // #ifndet DRY\_RNN\_ONLY
// write tracerverue\_fatterstate #endif // #ifndef DRY\_RUM\_ONLY
// write out parameters for continuation
// name of new parameter file
sprintf(newParameterFileName, "%s\_new", argv[1]);
// find out the next loop starting indices
if(startPointLoop == nr\_startpoints - 1){
 if(sinRwnLoop == nr\_sin\_runs - 1){
 if(sinRwnLoop == nr\_sin\_runs - 1){
 if(confLoop == nr\_samples\_per\_startpoint - 1){
 cout << "NESSAGE: Done with all remaining configurations."
 << "\n";
 printf("NESSAGE: %s says goodbye.\n", argv[0]);
 return 0;</pre>

```
simRunLoopStart = 0;
subSimRunLoopStart = 0;
startPointLoopStart = 0;
 } }else{
simRunLoopStart = simRunLoop + 1;
subSimRunLoopStart = 0;
startPointLoopStart = 0;
         }}
}lsef
subSimRunLoopStart = subSimRunLoop + 1;
startPointLoopStart = 0;
      }else{
   startPointLoopStart = startPointLoop + 1;
      // increment rngSeed for drawing different vectors the next time
rngSeed += 1;
     rmgSeed += 1;
write_new_params(box_size, nr_chains, mon_per_chain,
nr_sim_rums, nr_sub_sim_rums, saving_scheme,
file_sample_times, data_path,
nrBins, binWidth, wishedEntries,
equalizationFactor, rngSeed,
nr_conf_to_calculate,
confloopStart, simRunLoopStart,
subSimRunLoopStart, startPointLoopStart,
newFarameterFileName);
newraramec@rflleName);
// if we have calculated for enough configurations, exit
if(nr_configurations_counter == nr_confs_to_calculate){
    cout << "MESSAGE: nr_configurations_counter == nr_confs_to_calcu\
late, exiting.\n";
    printf("MESSAGE: %s says goodbye.\n", argv[0]);
    return 0;
}

}/* end loop over all startpoints */
    }/* end loop over all sub runs */
    }/* end loop over all simulation runs */
}/* end loop over one timeseries */
 ifdef DRY_RUN_ONLY
cout << "MESSAGE: finishing dry run.\n";
exit (0);</pre>
      /* free memory */
      free(configuration_t0);
      printf("MESSAGE: %s says goodbye.\n", argv[0]);
return 0;
}/* end main() */
```

# Q-vector library header

// header files for the lattice vectors library // includes routines for S\_3 calculations // Martin Aichele, 2001-11-29 // Extensions for different lattice spacings and 2d, Martin Aichele, 2002-04-23 #infinder\_QVEC\_LIB\_H #define\_QVEC\_LIB\_H #include "general\_libV1.0.h" #include "mt19937-1.h" #include "mt19937-1.h" #include<iostream>
#include<cmath>
#include<cmath>
#include<climits>
// sometimes this style is needed // sometimes this st //#include<math.h> //#include<limits.h> #include<vector.h> #include<algorithm> // decide if the outermost bins are taken (\_YES / \_NO) #define TAKE\_OUTER\_BINS\_NO // decide if (q, k, p) bins outside allowed range are written to the output // file in order to get a complete file (\_YES / \_NO) #define WRITE\_EMPT\_POINTS\_NO // decide if complex part of S\_3 is also calculated. Might be a good test for // statistics (\_YES / \_NO) #define GLAC\_COMPLEX\_NO // decide, if the argument of the sines/cosines evaluations is declared as // register variable. // Speed improvement is not guaranteed. #define USE\_REGISTER\_QUNR\_UFF #dwine USE\_RCGISIER\_QIAR\_UFF // decide of we save intermediate sums in the calculation of S\_3. // Should improve performance. #define SAVE\_S3\_INTERMEDIATE\_CHAIN\_SUMS\_ON // probably no effect.
#define SAVE\_S3\_INTERMEDIATE\_MELT\_SUMS\_OFF #define SAVE\_S3\_INTERMEDIATE\_MELT\_SUMS\_OFF // required for some compilers and good style. using namespace std; // the type of the reciprocal lattice vectors in lattice units. // char would be big enough for our lattice, but there is no predefined // arithmetic, so we have to use short ints. // For performance, ints might be better. typedef vector<br/>short int> KLVecType; // the type of the reciprocal lattice vectors in reciprocal space units.<br/>typedef vector/double> RSVecType; // the type of the reciprocal lattice vectors in reciprocal space units.<br/>typedef vector/double> RSVecType; // the floating types here are chosen for adjusting precicion and memory // consumption. When changing the platform (32/64 bit) adjustments might be // necessary. Storage for intermediate sines and cosines uses half of the // allocated memory. // the type of the results
typedef double resultType; // the type for intermediate sines and cosines
typedef float calculType; // the type for intermediate sines and cosines
typedef flat calcultype;
// the type for summing up during the calculation of S\_3
// when summing positive and negative numbers, a higher precision is
// advantageous.
typedef double sums3Type;
// the type of an uniq vector index
typedef short int unesignedIndexType;
// ta tupel of indices describing a tupel of vectors
typedef short int unesignedIndexType {
 unsignedIndexType q;
 unsignedIndexType q;
 unsignedIndexType k;
}indexTupelType;
// the maximal index entry
#define INDEX\_MAX SHRT\_MAX
// type safe MIN and MAX by Morten Welinder <tera@diku.dk>
// as included in the Linux Kernel > V2.4.10

#define MIN(x,y)
 ({ const typeof(x) \_x = x;
 const typeof(y) \_y = y; (void) (&\_x == &\_y); \_x < \_y ? \_x : \_y; }) #define MAX(x,y) ({ const typeof(x) \_x = x; const typeof(y) \_y = y; (void) (&\_x == &\_y); \_x > \_y ? \_x : \_y; }) // NUTE: the keyword 'inline' forbids the use of the function declared inline
// outside the scope of the definition.
// That is: An inline function defined in qvec\_libVx.y.cpp can only be called
// in this file. // prints a list of RSVecs void
printRSVecList(const vector<RSVecType>&); // converts a RSVec to r3Vec. Uses pointers to avoid copying. void RSVecType2r3vector(const RSVecType&, r3vector \* const); // the difference of two RLVecType variables
inline RLVecType
diffvec(const RLVecType&, const RLVecType&); inline int scalar\_product(const RLVecType&, const RLVecType&); void assign\_half\_qvectors\_to\_lengths(const short int, vector<vector<RLVecType> >&); void assign\_whole\_qvectors\_to\_lengths(const short int, vector<vector<RLVecType> >&); // create all vectors in the reciprocal lattice and take the one in a certain // length range, measured in reciprocal space length (not lattice units). // This version takes the positive half lattice void

void mct\_to\_slu(const double, const unsigned int, const double, const unsigned int, vector<int>>&, vector<int>>&, // creates a list of all \vec{q} in a specified q-bin void create\_complete\_list(const int, const vector<vector<int> >&, const vector<vector<RLVecType> >&, vector<RLVecType>&);

### APPENDIX D. ANALYSIS CODE

vector<RLVecType>&, vector<RLVecType>&, v/gets a uniquified list of RLVecs and returns the unique index of a RLVec. // if RLVec does not exist, append it to the list. Keep also track of indices // which occur more than once inline unsignedIndexType>&, vector<MLVecType>&, const RLVecType>); // exto a uniquified list of RLVece and actume the unique index of a RLVec  $// \operatorname{vec}{p} = \operatorname{vec}{q} - \operatorname{vec}{k}$ yvid qkp\_realization(const int, const int, const unsigned int, const duble, const vector<RLVecType>&, const vector<RLVecType>&, const vector<RLVecType>&, const unsigned int, const vector<int>&, vector<fluecType>Type>>&, vector<RLVecType>&); vector<RLVecType>&; // find overlaps between two uniquified vector lists and convert the unique // vector indices void new\_qk\_index\_conversion(const vector<RLVecType>&, const vector<RLVecType>&, vector<indexType>&; const flue();pes); // gets a uniquified list of RLVecs and returns the unique index of a RLVec. // -INDEX\_MAX is returned if RLVec was not found inline indexType UVLindex(const vector<RLVecType>&, const RLVecType&); // helper function which gets the number of successful index conversions unsigned int number\_old\_new\_conversions(const vector<indexType>%); // writes out results
void
write\_S3\_results(char \*, // calculate sines and cosines for all vec{g} in this list write\_S3\_results(cha char \*, const unsigned int, void calc\_all\_sines\_cosines(const unsigned int, const r3vector \* const, const unsigned int, const vector<r3vector> &, vector<calculType\* >&, vector<calculType\* >&); const unsigned int, const unsigned int, const double, const unsigned int, // given the tupels of vectors (\vec{q}, \vec{k}) and the particle positions, // calculate  $S_3$  for the melt and the chain. // Here does the most CPU time go. const vector<vector<vector<vectorvect const vector<vector<vector<resultType> > >&); const unsigned int. const unsigned int, char \* const); #endif void rescale\_lattice\_vectors(const double, #endif

#### Q-vector library code

for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop < DIM; loop++)
AinB += a[loop] \* b[loop];
return AinB;</pre> // library for finding reciprocal lattice vectors and triplets of them
// includes routines for S.3 calculations
// Martin Aichale, 2001-11-06
// last modified 2001-12-06
// Extensions for different lattice spacings and 2d, Martin Aichele, 2002-04-23
// last modified 2002-04-30 } inline int mod\_square\_slu(const RLVecType& a){ // no input check is done int aSq = 0; for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop < DIM; loop++) aSq += a[loop] \* a[loop]; return aSq; } #include"qvec\_libV1.7.h"
#include"mt19937-1.h" #include\*mt19937-1.h" (// DEBUG\_77:0.D turns on debugging output #define DEBUG\_ASSIGN\_OVECS\_TO\_LENGTH\_OFF #define DEBUG\_CET\_UPCGS\_IN\_RANGE\_OFF #define DEBUG\_CET\_UPCGS\_IN\_RANGE\_OFF #define DEBUG\_CRP\_REALIZATION\_VERBOSE\_OFF #define DEBUG\_CRP\_REALIZATION\_VERBOSE\_OFF #define DEBUG\_CRP\_REALIZATION\_RANDOM\_OFF #define DEBUG\_CRP\_REALIZATION\_RANDOM\_OFF #define DEBUG\_CRP\_REALIZATION\_RANDOM\_OFF #define DEBUG\_CRP\_REALIZATION\_COMPF }
// prints a RLVec to the screen
inline void
printRLVec(const RLVecType& a){
 cout << "(";
 for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop < DIM-1; ++loop)
 cout << a[loop] << " ";
 cout << a[DIM-1];
 cout << "\\n";
}</pre> inline void printIndexTupel(const indexTupelType& a){ cout << "(" << a.q << "," << a.k << ")\n";</pre> // NOTE: the keyword 'inline' forbids the use of the function declared inline
// outside the scope of the definition.
// That is: An inline function defined in qvec\_libVx.y.cpp can only be called
// in this file. void printRLVecList(const vector<RLVecType>& rlveclist){ for(vector<RLVecType>::const\_iterator iter = rlveclist.begin(); iter != rlveclist.end(); ++iter) printRLVec(\*iter); // prints a RSVec to the scre void void printRSVec(const RSVecType& a){ rintAsvec(const hsvecippe a)(
cont << "(";
for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop < DIM-1; ++loop)
 cont << a[loop] << " ";
 cont << a[DIM-1];
 cont << a[DIM-1];
 cont << < ")\n";</pre> }
yoid
yoid
printIndexTupelList(const vector<indexTupelType>& indextupellist){
 for(vector<indexTupelType>::const\_iterator iter = indextupellist.begin();
 iter != indextupellist.end(); ++iter)
 printIndexTupel(\*iter); }
yoid
printRSVecList(const vector<RSVecType>& rsveclist){
for(vector<RSVecType>::const\_iterator iter = rsveclist.begin();
 iter = rsveclist.end(); ++iter)
printRSVec(\*iter); // create all vectors in the reciprocal lattice and store them by length
// measured in squared lattice units.
// this version takes the half lattice.
void
assign\_half\_qvectors\_to\_lengths(const short int maxIndex,
vector<RLVecType> >& qvecsAtSLU){ // converts a RSVec to r3Vec. Uses pointers to avoid copying. // converts a kovec to favec. uses pointers to avoid copying. void RSWecType2rSvector(const RSVecType& RSqVec, r3vector \* const r3vec\_p){ rSvec.p->x = RSqVec[0]; rSvec.p->z = RSqVec[1]; if(DIM = 3){ rSvec.p->z = RSqVec[2]; }else{ // C++ does not initialize\_local\_ objects to 0 of the // aprobriate type, so we do this here. rSvec.p->z = 0.0; } vector<RU/CVECType>>% quecsAtSLU){
 const unsigned int maxIndexSq = static\_cast<unsigned int>(maxIndex\*maxIndex);
 // the length of a vector in squared lattice units
 unsigned int slu\_length;
 // the vector in lattice units
 RLVecType rlVec(DIN);
#ifdef DEBUG\_ASSIGN\_QVECS\_T0\_LENGTH\_ON
 cout << "DEBUGC Entering assign\_half\_qvectors\_to\_lengths()\n";
#endif</pre> }// end convertRSVecType2r3vector() // the difference of two RLVecType variables inline RLVecType diffvec(const RLVecType& a, const RLVecType& b){ // no input check is done RLVecType c(DLN); for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop < DLM; loop++) c[loop] = a[loop] - b[loop]; return c; }
// loop over half the lattice
for(short int xIndex=0; xIndex <= maxIndex; xIndex++){
 for(short int yIndex=0; randex == 0) ? 0 : -maxIndex; \
Index <= maxIndex; yIndex++){
 for(short int zIndex = (yIndex == 0 && xIndex == 0) ? 0 : -maxIndex; \
zIndex <= maxIndex; zIndex++){
 / this is not the fastest way, but this procedure is run only once,</pre> return c; vIndex inline int scalar\_product(const RLVecType& a, const RLVecType& b){ // no input check is done int AinB = 0; 11

#### 200

#### D.2. CODE FOR $S_3$

```
// so efficiency is not prime.
slu_length = \
static_cast<unsigned int>(xIndex*xIndex + yIndex*yIndex \
+ zIndex*zIndex);
   #endif
  #endif
if(slu_length <= maxIndexSq){
    if(slu_length <= maxIndexSq){
        rlVec[0] = xIndex;
        rlVec[2] = zIndex;
        rlVec[2] = zIndex;
        rlVec[2] = zIndex;
        riVec[2] = zIndex;
        river(2] = zIndex;
        river(2) = zindex;
cout << "DEBUG: Leaving assign_half_qvectors_to_lengths()\n";
#endif
   }// end assign_half_qvectors_to_lengths()
  // create all vectors in the reciprocal lattice and store them by length
// create all vectors in the reciprocal lattice and store them by length
// measured in squared lattice units.
void
assign_whole_qvectors_to_lengths(const short int maxIndex,
vector<vector<RLVveCType> >& qvecsAtSLU){
const unsigned int maxIndexSq = static_cast<unsigned int>(maxIndex*maxIndex);
// the length of a vector in squared lattice units
unsigned int slu_length;
// the vector in lattice units
           // the vector in lattice units
RLVecType rlVec(DIM);
  #email
// check if dimension is right. This function with hardcoded dimension
// is not very elegant, but it works
if(DIM != 3){
    cerr << "ERROR (assign_whole_qvectors_to_lengths): this code is meant for \
    dimension 3. Write another function for other dimensions.\n";
    exit (1);
}
           // loop over full lattice
         // loop over full lattice
for(short int xIndex = -maxIndex; xIndex <= maxIndex; ++xIndex)
for(short int yIndex = -maxIndex; yIndex <= maxIndex; ++zIndex)
for(short int zIndex = -maxIndex; zIndex <= maxIndex; ++zIndex)</pre>
                                                                                                                                                                                                                            ,
ex){
    /\prime this is not the fastest way, but this procedure is run only once, \prime\prime so efficiency is not prime.
    slu_length = \
    static_cast<unsigned int>(xIndex*xIndex + yIndex*yIndex \
   + zIndex*zIndex.)
#ifdef DEBUG_ASSIGN_UPECS_TO_LENGTH_ON
cout << "bzUndex*" << YIndex*" <</pre>
  if(slu_length <= maxIndexSq){
           qvecsAtSLU[slu_length].push_back(rlVec);
 }
#ifdef DEBUG_ASSIGN_QVECS_TO_LENGTH_ON
for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop <= maxIndexSq; ++loop){
    cout <* "At SLU=" << optember of found " << qvecsAtSLU[loop].size() \
    << " \t rl vectors\n";
    cout << "these are:\n";
    for(vector<RLVecType>::const_iterator iter = qvecsAtSLU[loop].begin();
    iter != qvecsAtSLU[loop].end(); ++iter){
        printRLVec(*iter);
     }
}
   }
   cout << "DEBUG: Leaving assign_whole_qvectors_to_lengths()\n";
#endif
    }// end assign_whole_qvectors_to_lengths()
   // create all vectors in the reciprocal lattice and take the one in a certain
// length range, measured in reciprocal space length (not lattice units).
// This version takes the positive half lattice
word
 #endif
          endit
// check dimension
if/DIM != 2 && DIM != 3) {
    cerr << "ERROR (get_qvectors_in_range): DIM=" << DIM << "\n";</pre>
           // the vector in reciprocal space
RSVecType rsVec(DIM);
         nsveciype rsVec(DIM);
// the squared length of the reciprocal space vector
double rec_length_aq, rec_length_low_sq, rec_length_high_sq;
rec_length_low_sq = SQUARE(rec_length_low);
rec_length_high_sq = SQUARE(rec_length_high);
short int zIndexLow, zIndexHigh;
// initialize
averagedvalue = 0.0;
// loop over helf etc. http://
           // loop over half the lattice
for(short int xIndex=0; xIndex <= maxIndex; xIndex++){
  for(short int yIndex = (xIndex == 0) ? 0 : -maxIndex; \
```

yIndex <= maxIndex; yIndex++){</pre> if(DIM==3){
if(yIndex == 0 && xIndex == 0){
 zIndexLow = 0; ZindexLow = -maxIndex; }
zIndexHigh = maxIndex;
 }else{
zIndexLow = zIndexHigh = 0; }
// this loop is at zIndex = 0 for DIM==2.
for(short int zIndex = zIndexLow; zIndex <= zIndexHigh; zIndex++){
// working with squares is faster
rec\_length\_squares is(latticeUnits[0]) \
 \* static\_cast<double>(zIndex\*zIndex) \
 \$QUABE(latticeUnits[1]) \
 \* static\_cast<double>(yIndex\*yIndex)
 \* SQUABE(latticeUnits[2]) \
 \* static\_cast<double>(zIndex\*zIndex);
 \* static\_cast<double>(zIndex\*zIndex); #endif #endif // take this vector if in right range if(rec\_length\_low\_sq <= rec\_length\_sq \ && // add up for the average length of the reciprocal vectors average[Value += sqrt(rec\_length\_sq); rsVec[0] = latticeUnits[0] \* static\_castdouble>(xIndex); rsVec[1] = latticeUnits[1] \* static\_castdouble>(yIndex); // in 2-d this actrue does not avist // in 2-d this entry does not exist if(DIM (DIM == 3){
rsVec[2] = latticeUnits[2] \* static\_cast<double>(zIndex); \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ acccceduits[2] \* st gvecsInRSrange.push\_back(rsVec); #ifdef DEBUG\_GET\_QVECS\_IN\_RANGE\_ON cout << "DEBUG: Appended "; printRSVec(rsVec); #endif }// end loop zIndex
}// end loop yIndex
// end loop zIndex // what we found
if(qvecsInRSrange.size() != 0){ int(precsinKSrange.size() != 0){
 averageQvalue /= static\_cast<double>(qvecsInRSrange.size());
 cout << "MESSAGE (get\_qvectors\_in\_range): Found " \
 << qvecsInRSrange.size() << " q-vectors.\n";
 cout << "MESSAGE (get\_qvectors\_in\_range): Average length of q-vectors is "\
 << averageQvalue << "\n";
 loted</pre> }else{
 cout << "WARNING (get\_qvectors\_in\_range): Found no reciprocal vectors.\n";</pre> }
#ifdef DEBUG\_GET\_QVECS\_IN\_RANGE\_ON
cout << "DEBUG: Found the following RS vectors in range:\n";
for(vector<RSVecType>::const\_iterator iter = qvecsInRSrange.begin();
iter != qvecsInRSrange.end(); ++iter){
 printRSVec(\*iter); cout << "DEBUG: Leaving get\_qvectors\_in\_range()\n"; #endif }// end get\_qvectors\_in\_range() vector<RsvecType> vector<RsVecType> quecsCopy; vector<RsVecType>::pointer selectedPointer; .; cannot check
if(number <= 0){
 cerr << "ERROR (get\_random\_subset): Selection of " << number
 << " q-vectors requestad\n".</pre> " q-vectors requested\n"; exit (2); // if there are only a few vectors in the range, we take them all if(qvecs.size() <= number){ selectedRSqvecs = qvecs; return selectedRSqvecs.size(); }else{ // random selection // clear list
selectedRSqvecs.clear(); selectedRSqvecs.clear(); // make copy of list qvecsCopy = qvecs; for(unsigned int iLoop = 0; iLoop < number; ++iLoop){ index = static\_cast<unsigned int>( \ static\_cast<duoble>(qvecsCopy.size()) \* genrand()); // ve need the pointer to erase the element selectedPointer = &qvecsCopy[index]; selectedRSqvecs.push\_back(\*selectedPointer); // erase this vector, so that ve don't select it again qvecsCopy.erase(selectedPointer); } if(selectedRSqvecs.size() != number){ cerr << "ERROR (get\_random\_subset): selectedRSqvecs.size()="
<< selectedRSqvecs.size() << " != number=" << number << "\n";</pre> exit(2); exit(2/,
}
return number;
}// if random selection // i landom selection
// statement should never be reached, but compiler complaines
// "control reaches end of non-void function" if there's no return here.
return 0; ;// end get\_random\_subset()
// for MCT lattice indices qMod put together the list of slu values which
// belong to this range and vice versa.
void mct\_to\_slu(const double binWidth, const unsigned int nrBins, \
const unsigned int maxIndexSq,
vector<int>> & binToSLU,
vector<int>> & binToSLU,
vector<int>> & binToSLU,
fifded DEBUG\_MCT\_TO\_SLU\_ON
cont << "DEBUG: Entering mct\_to\_slu()\n";
fendif
// unwar and immed int maxIndexSq.
// unwar and immed int mct\_oslu()\n";
</pre> }// end get\_random\_subset() // upper and lower bound of reciprocal lattice vector (rl vector) bins
double lower\_bound, upper\_bound; double lower\_bound, upper\_bound; // we don't have to loop over loopSLU > maxIndexSq, as such vectors are too // long anyways int loopSLU = 0; for(unsigned int loopBin = 0; loopBin < nrBins \ && loopSLU <= static\_cast<int>(maxIndexSq); loopBin++>{

lower\_bound = static\_cast<double>(loopBin) \* binWidth; upper\_bound = static\_cast<double>(loopBin + 1) \* binWidth; #ifdef DEBUG\_MCT\_TO\_SLU\_UN cout << "searching SLUs for bin index " << loopBin << " (" << lover\_bound << " <= |q| < " << upper\_bound << ")\n":</pre> unsignedIndexType nrAllQVecs, nrAllKVecs; // number of vector tupels we have to draw to fill all bins with // vishedEntries tupels unsigned int requiredTupels; \_\_\_wer\_ << ")\n"; #endif // pVec = \vec{q} - \vec{k}
RLVecType qVec(DIM), kVec(DIM), pVec(DIM); // p-bin index ranges int pBinRange, pBinRangeFull; int pBinMin, pBinMax; int binIndex; indexTupelType indexTupel; endif // as long as sqrt(loopSLU) is in the range covered by this bin, add // this slu (length in squared lattice units) to the vector containing the // slu's for this bin. // here one could employ more sophisticated selection schemes. while(lower\_bound <= twopflowerboxlength\*sqrt(static\_cast<double>(loopSLU)) && twopflowerboxlength\*sqrt(static\_cast<double>(loopSLU)) // for looping over \vec{q}s and \vec{k}s
unsignedIndexType indexQ, indexK;
// for converting indices in the complete vector list to unique vector
// indices cout << "appending SLU=" << loopSLU << " to binToSLU[" << loop <<"] (|q|=" << twoPiOverBoxlength\*sqrt(static\_cast<double>(loopSLU)) <<")\n";</pre> vector<unsignedIndexType> completeUniqConvertList(maxNumberAllK); // List of indices of completeVeniptyContent List(maxhamed AllA), // List of indices of completeVecListX occuring, will be uniquified vector<unsignedIndexType> uniqVecIndicesK(maxNumberAllK); uniqVecIndicesK.clear(); // declaring these vectors<> static does not improve performance << twoPlUverBoxlength\*sqrt(static\_castd
#endif
binToSLU[loopBin].push\_back(loopSLU);
loopSLU++;
}</pre> // get the number of available reciprocal lattice vectors at these lengths nrAllQvccs = static\_cast<unsignedIndexTppe>(completeWecListQ.size()); nrAllRvccs = static\_cast<unsignedIndexTppe>(completeWecListK.size()); }
#idef DEBUG\_MCT\_TO\_SLU\_ON
for(unsigned int loopBin = 0; loopBin < nrBins; loopBin++){
 cout << "the following SLUs are stored in binTOSLU[" << loopBin << "]:\n";
 for(vector<int>::cost\_iterator iter = binTOSLU[loopBin].begin();
 iter != binTOSLU[loopBin].end(); ++iter)
 cout << \*ter << " ";
 cout << "\n";
 }
}</pre> qra = qrai = qragra = x || qraqra = zq for p. Because the bins are equidistant, the same relation can be written for the bin indices, with the addition that the finite width of the bins is taken into account. Note, we are dealing with indices. } #endif // the number of bins in which we can find \vec{p}s not taking into account // the number of bins in which we can find (vec(p)s not tax; // that we cut off for bins with index >= nrBins pBinRangeFull = qBin+kBin +1 - max(abs(qBin-kBin)-1, 0) +1; // miniaml and maximal index of p-bins which can be filled #ifder TAKE\_OUTER\_BINS\_YES pBinMin = max(abs(qBin+kBin)-1, 0); pBinMax = min(qBin+kBin+1, static\_cast<int>(nrBins-1)); \*len \*iter = -INT\_MAX; for(int loopBin = 0; loopBin < static\_cast<int>(nrBins); loopBin++) for(vector<int>:const\_iterator iter = binToSLU[loopBin].begin(); iter = binToSLU[loopBin].end(); ++iter) SLUtoBin[\*iter] = loopBin; #ided DEBUG\_MCT\_TO\_SLU\_UON for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop <= DIM\*maxIndexSq; ++loop) cout << "SLUtoBin["<< loop << "]=" << SLUtoBin[loop] << "\n"; #endif #else // unless at 0 or nrBins-1, pBinMin and pBinMax are +1 resp. -1 of the // walues above pBinMxin = abs(qBin+KBin); pBinMax = qBin + kBin; if(pBinMax > static\_cast<int>(nrBins) -1) pBinMax = nrBins -1; catif pB #endif #ifdef DEBUG\_MCT\_TO\_SLU\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: Leaving mct\_to\_slu()\n";</pre> }// end mct\_to\_slu() // creates a list of all  $\eqref{q}$  in a specified q-bin tendif // we want a certain number of vector tupels in each bin, so requiredTupels = wishedEntries \* static\_cast<unsigned int>(pBinRangeFull); // depending on the numbers, decide if we take all possible vector tupels // (vec{q}, vec{k}) or if a random selection scheme is applied 3 }
// check if completeVecListQ is cleared
if(completeVecListQ.size() != 0){
 cerr << "ERROR (create\_complete\_list): completeVecListQ is not cleared"
 < "ln";
 exit(1);</pre> } }
// put together a list of all \vec{q} at this length
for(vector<int>::const\_iterator iterSLUQ = binToSLU[qBin].begin(); \
iterSLUQ != binToSLU[qBin].end(); ++iterSLUQ)
completeVecListQ.insert(completeVecListQ.end(),
qvecsAtSLUQ[\*iterSLUQ].begin(),
qvecsAtSLUQ[\*iterSLUQ].end());
// do a check dvess.but.j // do a check // INDEX\_MAX itself is used as a flag if(completeVecListQ.size() >= static\_cast<unsigned int>(INDEX\_MAX)){ cerr << "ERROR: completeVecListQ.size()=" << completeVecListQ.size() << " >= INDEX\_MAX=" << INDEX\_MAX << "\n"; cerr << " change indexType!\n"; df (1). ..t ( , // DEBUG // un<sup>g2</sup> // unsigned int nrAllQVecs = 0; // unsigned int nrAllQVecs = 0; // for(vector<int>:const\_iterator iterSLU = binToSLU[qBin].begin(); // iterSLU != binToSLU[qBin].end(); ++iterSLU) // nrAllQVecs += qvecsAtSLUQ[+iterSLU].size(); // cout << "DEBUG (create\_complete\_list): nrAllQVecs = " << nrAllQVecs = " << nrAllQVecs = " << " \n"; }// end create\_complete\_list() #endif binIndex = SLUtoBin[mod\_square\_slu(pVec)]; #endif binIndex = SLUtoBin[mod\_square\_slu(pVec)]; // if binIndex is in the valid range // the condition binIndex <= pBinMax vill be more often violated // so we test if first for efficiency if(binIndex <= pBinMax && binIndex >= pBinMin){ // we have to take all vectors in order not to prefer a certain // region on the sphere, unlike random selection. // memorize only the indices of the vectors which make up the // tupel indexTupel.q = indexQ; indexTupel.k = indexX; qkpVecs[binIndex].push\_back(indexTupel.); #irdef DEBUG\_QKP\_REALIZATION\_VERBOSE\_OW cout << "DEBUG\_akPLIZATION\_VERBOSE\_OW cout << "DEBUG\_akPLIZATION\_VERBOSE\_OW cout << "Gec = "; printRLVec(completeVecListQ[indexTupel.q]); cout << "kVec = "; printRLVec(completeVecListK[indexTupel.k]); #endif /// if in right range " << nrAllOVecs // for a given tupel (q,k) of real numbers denoting the moduli of lattice // vectors (i.e. the (q,k) index of a bin), search tupels of lattice vectors // (vec(q), vec(k)) sorted into bins according to |p|, where // vec(t) = vec(q) - vec(k) // This routine contributes significantly to the total CPU time needed when // vector tupels are drawn anew for each configuration. At present, // the complexity scales like N^3 \* log(N) which is much better than the N^4 // scaling in previous versions. void oth realization(const int oBin. const int kBin. #ondif j// in right range }// end loop over \vec{k} }// end loop over \vec{k} }// in ve took all vectors, then the uniqVecListK is identical to // completeVecListK and we don't have to change indices uniqVecListK = completeVecListK; }else{ // us celest randomly }else{
 // we select randomly
 #ifdef DEBUG\_QKP\_REALIZATION\_RANDOM\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: Random selection of vector tupels\n";</pre> // sanity check if(kBin < 0){ cerr << "ERROR (qkp\_realization): Encountered negative bin index" << "\n"; exit (1); co #endif #endif // some values for |p| will be less often realized than others, so some // p-bins will be filled less than wishedEntries. With drawing more // vector tupels by a factor of equalizationFactor we try to minimize this // unequality of entry numbers to achive a uniform filling of the bins. for(unsigned int loop = 0; \ loop < static\_cast<br/>unsigned int>\ cerr << "ERROR (qkp\_realization): uniqVecListK was not cleared " << "\n"; exit (1); if(uniqVecListK.size() != 0){ // numbers of all reciprocal lattice vectors belonging to a certain q or k

#### D.2. CODE FOR $S_3$

(static\_cast<double>(requiredTupels)\*equalizationFactor)+1; \ #endif // indexQ is between 0 and nrAllQVecs-1 (C-indices !)
// genrand() returns a number in [0, 1) (1 is exluded)
indexQ = static\_cast(int>(static\_cast(double>(nrAllQVecs) \* genrand()); // this is our \vec{q}
qVec = completeVecListQ[indexQ]; indexK = static\_cast(int)(static\_cast(doub // this is our \wee(k) kVec = completeVecListK[indexK]; // calculate \wee(cp] = \wee(ap - \wee(k) pVec = diffvec(qVec, kVec); binIndex = SLUtoBin[mod\_square\_slu(pVec)]; // if binIndex is in the valid range if(binIndex <= pBinMax && binIndex >= pBinMin){ if(binIndex <= pBinMax && binIndex >= pBinMin){
 // if we don't already have enough vector tupels
 // get index of \vec(q), and append \vec{k} if we didn't have it before
 // memorize only the indices of the vectors which make up the tupel
 if(qbyVecs[binIndex].size() < wishedEntries){
 indexTupel.q = indexQ;
 indexTupel.k = indexK;
 uniqVecIndicesK.push\_back(indexX);
 qkpVecs[binIndex].push\_back(indexTupel);
 }
}</pre> 1 }// if in right range
// end loop over randomly selected tupels #endif for(unsignedIndexType uniqIndex = 0; uniqIndex < static\_cast<unsignedIndexType>(uniqVecIndicesK.size()); ++uniqIndex){ // build up uniquified list of \vec{k}
uniqVecListK.push\_back(completeVecListK[uniqIndex]); // map the complete indices on the unique indices completeUniqConvertList[uniqVecIndicesK[uniqIndex]] = uniqIndex; }
}
// convert the indices for \vec{k} stored in qkpVecs[binIndex] to unique
// indices
for(binIndex = pBinMin; binIndex <= pBinMax; ++binIndex)
for(vector/indexTupelYtype>::iterator \
iterIndexTupelVector = qkpVecs[binIndex].begin();
iterIndexTupelVector != qkpVecs[binIndex].end();
++iterIndexTupelVector){
#ifdef DEBUG\_QKP\_REALIZATION\_ON
if completeInigConvertistist[iterIndexTupelVector->k] == TNDEX MAX){ wines vcsou\_wr.TARALLAILUNUW
if(completeUniqConvertList[iterIndexTupelVector->k] == INDEX\_MAX){
cerr << "ERROR: completeUniqConvertList["
<< iterIndexTupelVector->k << "] == INDEX\_MAX" << "\n";</pre> exit(1); cout << #endif }// decide if random selection
#ifdef DEBUG\_QKP\_REALIZATION\_OW
cout << "DEBUG: number of reciprocal lattice vector tupels\
in each p=Bin:\n";
unsigned int nrFildedBins = 0;
for(int loop = pBinMin; loop <= pBinMax; ++loop){
 if(qkPves[loop].size() > 0)
 ++nrFildedBins;
 cout << "qkpVecs[" << loop << "].size()=" << qkpVecs[loop].size() << "\n";
 printIndexTupelList(qkpVecs[loop]);
}</pre> 1/1 #ifdef DEBUG\_QKP\_REALIZATION\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: Leaving qkp\_realization()\n";</pre> #endif }// end gkp realization() // calculate the real part of S\_3 for chain and melt. Normalization is done at // calculate the real part of S\_0 for Chain and mett. // the end in the main program. // The definition used is // S\_3(\vec{k}) = \sum\_i \sum\_j \sum\_1 // e^i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}\_i e^i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}\_j // e^i-ivec{q}\cdot\vec{r}\_i cout #endif void calc\_re\_s3(const calculType \* const sinesQ, \ const calculType \* const cosinesQ, \ const calculType \* const cosinesX, const calculType \* const cosinesX, const unsigned int mon\_per\_chain, \ const unsigned int mr\_chains, \ sums3Type \* const s3chain, sums3Type \* const s3melt){ #endif }// end calc\_re\_s3()

#ifdef DEBUG\_CALC\_RE\_S3\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: Entering calc\_re\_s3()\n";</pre> // it is probably better to use higher precision when adding up positive and // negative numbers. sums3Type sumSinQchain, sumCosQchain, sumSinQmelt, sumCosQmelt, \ sumSinKchain, sumCosKchain, sumSinKmelt, sumCosKmelt; sums1rmvtmi, sumoosterini, sumoosterit, sumoosterit, sums1rp0inkterin, sumSinQCosKchain, sumCosQSinKchain, sumCosQCosKchain, \ sumSinQSinkKmelt, sumSinQCosKmelt, sumCosQCinKmelt, sumCosQCosKmelt; #ifdef\_SAVE\_S3\_INTERMEDIATE\_CHAIN\_SUMS\_ON #ilus laws\_S\_intEntEDirig\_onni\_Sono\_us
sums3Typ \
 sumCosQchainSumCosKchain, sumCosQchainSumSinKchain, \
 usuSinQchainSumSinKchain, sumSinQchainSumCosKchain;
#endif #ennil #ifdef SAVE\_S3\_INTERMEDIATE\_MELT\_SUMS\_ON // introduction of intermediate sums for the melt does not lead to improved // performance, but that might depend on the CPU. sums3Type \ sums3Type \ sumSolype \
sumCosQmeltSumCosKmelt, sumCosQmeltSumCosKmelt, sumSinQmeltSumCosKmelt, sumSinQmeltSumCosKmelt;
#endif // initialize sumSinQmelt = 0.0; sumCosQmelt = 0.0; sumSinKmelt = 0.0; sumSinQKmelt = 0.0; sumSinQKinKmelt = 0.0; sumCosQKinKmelt = 0.0; sumCosQCosKmelt = 0.0; sumCosQCosKmelt = 0.0; // loop over all chains unsigned int monomer = 0; unsigned int chainEnd = 0; for(unsigned int chain = 0; chain < nr\_chains; ++chain){ // reset chain sums sumSinGchain = 0.0; sumCosQchain = 0.0; sumCosQchain = 0.0; sumCosKchain = 0.0; sumCoskchain = 0.0; sumSinQSinKchain = 0.0; sumCosQCosKchain = 0.0; sumCosQCosKchain = 0.0; sumCosQCosKchain = 0.0; // loop over all monomers in a chain for(chainEnd += mon\_per\_chain; monomer < chainEnd; ++monomer){ sumSinQchain += sinesQ[monomer]; sumCosQchain += cosinesK[monomer]; sumSinKchain += sinesQ[monomer] \* sinesK[monomer]; sumSinQCosKchain += sinesQ[monomer] \* sinesK[monomer]; sumCosQCosKchain += cosinesQ[monomer] \* sinesK[monomer]; sumCosQCosKchain += cosinesQ[monomer] \* cosinesK[monomer]; #ifdef SAVE S3 INTERMEDIATE CHAIN SUMS ON ner savr\_SS\_INTERHEDIATE\_CHAIN.SUMS\_ON
// reduce number of multiplications by saving these products
sumCosQchainSumCosKchain = sumCosQchain \* sumCosKchain;
sumSinQchainSumSinKchain = sumSinQchain \* sumSinKchain;
sumSinQchainSumSinKchain = sumSinQchain \* sumCosKchain;
// calculate for this of the sumSinQchain \* sumCosKchain; // calculate for this chain // calculate for this cnain
\*3chain +\* \
sumCosQCchainSumSinKchain \* sumCosQCosKchain \
+ sumSinQchainSumSinKchain \* sumCosQCosKchain \
- sumSinQchainSumSinKchain \* sumCosQCosKchain \
- sumCosQchainSumCosKchain \* sumSinQCosKchain \
+ sumCosQchainSumCosKchain \* sumSinQCosKchain \
+ sumCosQchainSumCosKchain \* sumSinQCosKchain,
+ sumSinQchainSumCosKchain \* sumSinQSinKchain; #ifdef DEBUG\_CALC\_RE\_S3\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: s3chain = " << \*s3chain << "\n";</pre> // sum up for the melt sumSinQmelt += sumSinQchain; sumCosQmelt += sumCosQchain; sumCosKmelt += sumSinKchain; sumcoschait += sumcoschain; sumSinQinKmelt += sumSinQinKchain; sumCosQisinKmelt += sumCosQinKchain; sumCosQisKmelt += sumCosQinKchain; // end loop over all chains // end roop roots in claims
ifidef SAVE\_S3\_INTERMEDIATE\_MELT\_SUMS\_ON
 // reduce number of multiplications by saving these products
 sumCosQmeltSumCosAmelt = sumCosQmelt \* sumSinkmelt;
 sumSinQmeltSumCosAmelt = sumSinQmelt \* sumSinkmelt;
 sumSinQmeltSumCosAmelt = sumSinQmelt \* sumCosKmelt; sumSinQmeltSumCosKmelt = sumSinQmelt \* sumCosK // calculte for melt \*s3melt += \ sumCosQmeltSumCosKmelt \* sumCosQCosKmelt \ + sumSinQmeltSumSinKmelt \* sumCosQCosKmelt \ - sumSinQmeltSumSinKmelt \* sumCosQCosKmelt \ - sumCosQmeltSumSinKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ - sumCosQmeltSumSinKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ + sumCosQmeltSumSinKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ + sumCosQmeltSumSinKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ + sumCosQmeltSumSinKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ sumCosQmeltSumCosKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ sumSinQmeltSumCosKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ + sumSinQmeltSumCosKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt ' + sumSinQmeltSumSinKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt; #eise sumSinQmeltSumSimm...
#eise
\*sGmelt += \
\*sGmelt += \
 usmCosQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumCosQCosKmelt \
 usmCosQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumCosQCinKmelt \
 usmSinQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumCosQCinKmelt \
 usmCosQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt;
 usmSinQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt;
 sumSinQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt;
 sumSinQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt;
 sumSinQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt; #ifdef DEBUG\_CALC\_RE\_S3\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: s3melt = " << \*s3melt << "\n";</pre> #ifdef DEBUG\_CALC\_RE\_S3\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: Leaving calc\_re\_s3()\n";</pre> // calculate S\_3 for chain and melt. // this function evaluates real and imaginary part. This is a good test for // the statistics, as the imaginary part should vanish in the statistical // mean.

// The definition used is // S\_3(\vec{q}, \vec{k}) = \sum\_i \sum\_j \sum\_1 // e^i\vec{k}\cdv+vec{r}\_i e^i\vec{p}\cdv+vec{r}\_j // e^-i\vec{q}\cdv+vec{r}\_i allCosinesQ.size()\n"; exit(1); // e--lyec{q}\cdot\vec{r}\_i
void
const calculType \* const sinesQ, \
 const calculType \* const sinesQ, \
 const calculType \* const sinesX, \
 const calculType \* const cosinesX, \
 const calculType \* const cosinesX, \
 const unsigned int mc\_bpr\_chin, \
 const unsigned int schain, \
 sums3Type \* const s3chain, in, sums3Type \* const s3melt\_re, \
 sums3Type \* const s3chain, in, sums3Type \* const s3melt\_im){
 #ifdef DEBUG\_CALC\_CPLX\_S3\_ON
 cont < "DEBUG: Entering calc\_cplx\_s3()\n";
 #endif</pre> #ifdef DEBUG OKP CALC S3 ON cout << "DEBUG: Allocate new memory for allSinesQ and allCosinesQ\n"; cout << "DEBUG: allSines.size()=" << allSinesQ.size() << ", " << "numberAllQ=" << numberAllQ << "\n"; #endif unsigned int requiredArraysNumber = numberAllQ - allSinesQ.size(); for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop < requiredArraysNumber; ++loop){
 allSinesQ.push\_back((calculType+) \
 malloc((size\_1)(nr\_monmers+sizeof(calculType))));</pre> allCosinesQ.push\_back((calculType\*) \
malloc((size\_t)(nr\_monomers\*sizeof(calculType)))); }; iidef DEBUG\_QKP\_CALC\_S3\_ON cout << "DEBUG: Allocated new memory for allSinesQ and allCosinesQ\n"; cout << "DEBUG: allSines.size()=" << allSinesQ.size() << ", " "<< "numberAllQ=" << numberAllQ << "\n";</pre> sums3Type sumSinQchain, sumCosQchain, sumSinQmelt, sumCosQmelt, \
 sumSinKchain, sumCosKchain, sumSinKmelt, sumCosKmelt; sumsType sumSingSinKchain, sumCosQCosKchain, \ sumSingSinKchait, sumSinqCosKmelt, sumCosQSinKmelt, sumCosQCosKmelt; cout << "MESSAGE: New size of allSinesQ and allCosinesQ = "
<< allSinesQ.size()
<< "\un";</pre> sumSinQSinKmelt, sum // initialize sumSinQmelt = 0.0; sumCosQmelt = 0.0; sumCosQmelt = 0.0; sumSinKmelt = 0.0; sumSinQKnKmelt = 0.0; sumCosQRinKmelt = 0.0; sumCosQCosKmelt = 0.0; sumCosQCosKmelt = 0.0; ++completeVecIndex){
 // loop over all particles
 for(unsigned int partLoop = 0; partLoop < nr\_monomers; ++partLoop){
 GiALARPRODUCT(completeVecListLattice[completeVecIndex], \
 configuration[partLoop]));
 configuration[partLoop]);
}</pre> // loop over all chains unsigned int monomer = 0; unsigned int chainEnd = 0; for(unsigned int chain = 0; chain < nr\_chains; ++chain){</pre> QinR // reset chain sums sumSinQchain = 0.0; sumCosQchain = 0.0; sumSinKchain = 0.0; sumCosKchain = 0.0; configuration[partLoop])); allSinesQ[completeVecIndex][partLoop] = sin(QinR); allCosinesQ[completeVecIndex][partLoop] = cos(QinR); }// end loop over completeVecIndex }// end cop over completeVecIndex }// end calc\_all\_sines\_cosines() sumSinQSinKchain = 0.0; sumSinQCosKchain = 0.0; sumCosQSinKchain = 0.0; sumCosQCosKchain = 0.0; // calculate S\_3 for the melt and the chain after calculation of the sines and // cosines for \wet&ks. // calculate S\_3 for the meit and ...
// calculate S\_3 for the meit and ...
// calculate S\_3 for the meit and ...
// gkp\_calc\_s3(const unsigned int nrBins,
const vectorCorXindexTupeIType> >k indexTupels\_at\_gkp,
const vectorCalculType> >k allSines0,
const vectorCalculType> >k allSines0,
const r3vector \* const configuration,
const unsigned int mon\_per\_chain,
sumsType \* const s3.re\_chain.gk\_at\_all\_p,
sumsType \* const s3.im\_chain.gk\_at\_all\_p,
sumsType \* const s3.im\_chain.gk\_at sumCosQLOsKchain = 0.0; // loop over all monomers in a chain for(chainEnd += mon\_per\_chain; monomer < chainEnd; ++monomer){ sumSin(chain += sines[[monomer]; sumCosQlchain += cosinesQ[[monomer]; sumCosKchain += cosinesK[[monomer]; sumSinQSinKchain += sinesQ[monomer] \* sinesK[monomer]; sumSinQCosKchain += sinesQ[monomer] \* cosinesK[monomer]; sumCosQSinKchain += cosinesQ[monomer] \* sinesK[monomer]; sumCosQCosKchain += cosinesQ[monomer] \* cosinesK[monomer]; // calculate for this chain / calculate for this chain Schain,r + sumCosQchain + sumCosKchain + sumCosQCosKchain \ sumCosQchain + sumSinKchain + sumCosQCosKchain \ - sumSinQchain + sumCosKchain + sumCosQCosKchain \ - sumCosQchain + sumCosKchain + sumSinQCosQCisKchain - sumCosQchain + sumCosKchain + sumSinQCosKchain \ sumCosQchain + sumCosKchain + sumSinQCosKchain \ + sumSinQchain + sumCosKchain + sumSinQCosKchain \ + sumSinQchain + sumCosKchain + sumSinQCosKchain, #ifdef DEBUG\_QKP\_CALC\_S3\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: Entering qkp\_calc\_s3()\n";
#endif</pre> + sumSinQchain \* sumSinKchain \* sumSinQsinKchain \*s3chain, im \*-\ sumCosQchain \* sumSinKchain \* sumCosQCosKchain - sumCosQchain \* sumCosKchain \* sumCosQCosKchain - sumSinQchain \* sumSinKchain \* sumCosQSinKchain + sumCosQchain \* sumSinKchain \* sumSinQcKchain + sumCosQchain \* sumSinKchain \* sumSinQSinKchain + sumCosQchain \* sumSinKchain \* sumSinQSinKchain - sumSinQchain \* sumSinKchain \* sumSinQSinKchain + sumSinQchain \* sumSinKchain \* sumSinQSinKchain; - sumSinQchain \* sumCosKchain \* sumSinQSinKchain; const unsigned int partLoop; unsigned int partLoop; unsignedIndexType uniqWeIndexX; unsignedInt requiredArraysNumber; #ifdef USE\_REGISTER\_QINR\_ON register calculType QinR; #eaculType QinR; #endif const unsigned int nr monomers = mon per chain \* nr chains; #ifdef DEBUG\_CALC\_CPLX\_S3\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: s3chain = (" << \*s3chain\_re << " +i " << \*s3chain\_im</pre> endif // final pointers which will be handed over calculType \*finalPointerToSinQ, \*finalPointerToCosQ, \ \*finalPointerToSinK, \*finalPointerToCosK; if(allSinesK.size() = allCosinesK.size()){ cerr << "ERROR (qkp\_calc\_s3): allSinesK.size() != allCosinesK.size() \n"; evit(1): cout < << ")\n"; #endif // sum up for the melt sumSinQmelt += sumSinQchain; sumCosQmelt += sumCosQchain; sumSinKmelt += sumSinKchain; sumCosKmelt += sumCosKchain; cerr << '
exit(1);</pre> sumSinAment +\* sumSinAchain; sumCoSAment +\* sumSinAChain; sumSinASinAment +\* sumSinAChakhain; sumSinASinAment +\* sumCosAChakhain; sumCosQSinAment +\* sumCosAChakhain; sumCosQCoSAmelt +\* sumCosAChakhain; // calculate for melt \*sJmelt,re +\* sumCosQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumCosQCosKmelt \ sumCosQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumCosQCinKmelt \ sumCosQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt + sumSinQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt + sumSinQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt; somCotxmelt; \* sumSinQSinKmelt; somSinQSinKmelt; \* sumSinQSinKmelt; // reset intermediate result arrays // reset intermediate result arrays
for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop < nrBins; ++loop){
 s3\_re\_melt\_qk\_at\_all\_p[loop] = 0.0;
 s3\_re\_melt\_qk\_at\_all\_p[loop] = 0.0;
 s1\_inchain\_qk\_at\_all\_p[loop] = 0.0;
 s3\_in\_chain\_qk\_at\_all\_p[loop] = 0.0;
 s1\_in\_melt\_qk\_at\_all\_p[loop] = 0.0;
#endit
</pre> }
// if allocated memory for the sines and cosines is not sufficient,
// allocate some more for the \vec{k}s
if(allSinesK.size() < numberUVLK){</pre> + sumSinQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumSinQSinKmelt; \*s3melt\_im += \ sumCosQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumCosQCosKmelt \ - sumCosQmelt \* sumCosRmelt \* sumCosQCosKmelt \ - sumSinQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumCosQCosKmelt \ - sumSinQmelt \* sumCosRmelt \* sumCosQCosKmelt \ + sumCosQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ + sumCosQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ + sumCosQmelt \* sumSinKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ + sumCosQmelt \* sumSinRmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ - sumSinQmelt \* sumSinRmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ - sumSinQmelt \* sumSinRMCosKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ - sumSinQmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ - sumSinQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \ - sumSinQmelt \* sumCosKmelt \* sumSinQCosKmelt \* requiredArraysNumber = numberUVLK - allSinesK.size(); for(unsigned int loop = 0; loop < requiredArraysNumber; ++loop){
 allSinesK.push\_back((calculType\*) \
 malloc((size\_t)(nr\_monomers\*sizeof(calculType))));
 allCosinesK.push\_back((calculType\*) \
 malloc((size\_t)(nr\_monomers\*sizeof(calculType))));
</pre> #endif #ifdef DEBUG\_CALC\_CPLX\_S3\_ON
 cout << "DEBUG: Leaving calc\_cplx\_s3()\n";</pre> cout << "MESSAGE: New size of allSinesK and allCosinesK = "
<< allSinesK.size()
<< "\n";
<< "\n";</pre> }// end calc\_cplx\_s3() // calculate sines and cosines for all  $\eqref{q}$  in completeVecListLattice void calc\_all\_sines\_cosines(const unsigned int nr\_monomers, #endif // sines and cosines for \vec{k}
for(uniqVecIndexK = 0;
 uniqVecIndexK < static\_cast<unsignedIndexType>(numberUVLK); unqvecindexx < static\_cast<unsignedindexiype>(numceru) ++uniqvecIndexX { f { } } // loop over all particles for(partLoop = 0; partLoop < nr\_monomers; ++partLoop){ QinR = static\_cast<calculType>\ SCALARPRODUCT(uniqVecListLatticeK[uniqVecIndexK], \ configuration[partLoop]); // check if more storage is needed if(allSinesQ.size() < numberAllQ){ if(allSinesQ.size() = allCosinesQ.size()){ cerr << "ERROR (calc\_all\_sines\_cosines): allSinesQ.size() != \</pre> allSinesK[uniqWecIndexK][partLoop] = sin(QinR); allCosinesK[uniqWecIndexK][partLoop] = cos(QinR); }// end loop over all particles

204
}// end loop over uniqVecIndexK
// now put together the S\_3(q, k, p\_min,..., p\_max)
for(unsigned int pBin = 0; pBin < nrBins; ++pBin){
 for(vector<indexTupeIType>::const\_iterator \
 iterTupe1 = indexTupeIs\_at\_qkp[pBin].eegin();
 terTupe1 != indexTupeIs\_at\_qkp[pBin].eegin();
 // get the pointers to the cines and cosines // check for \vec{k} if(finalPointerToSinK == 0){ << "ERROR: finalPointerToSinK == 0\n";</pre> cerr << '
exit(1);</pre> #endif s3\_re\_meit\_qK\_at\_aii\_pripsin; #endif #ifdef CALC\_COMPLEX\_YES calc\_cplx\_s3(finalPointerToSinQ, finalPointerToCosQ, \ finalPointerToSinK, finalPointerToCosK, \ mon\_per\_chain, nr\_chains, \ s3\_re\_chain\_qk\_at\_all\_pryBin, \ s3\_im\_chain\_qk\_at\_all\_pryBin, \ s3\_im\_melt\_qk\_at\_all\_pryBin); #endif #endif
}// loop over all tupels in one p-bin
}// // loop over all tupels in one p-bin }// end loop over pBin #idden DEBUG\_QKP\_CALC\_S3\_ON cout << "DEBUG: Leaving qkp\_calc\_s3()\n"; #endif }// end qkp\_calc\_s3() // rescales all lattice vectors found with the lattice constant void rescale\_lattice\_vectors(const double twoPiOverBoxlength, vector<RLVecType>& uniqVecList, vector<Sutector>& uniqVecList\_attice){ vector:r3vector>& uniqVecListLattice){
unsigned int loopUVL;
for(loopUVL = 0; loopUVL < uniqVecList.size(); ++loopUVL){
uniqVecListLattice[loopUVL], x = tvoPiOverBoxlength \
 \* static\_cast<double/(uniqVecList[loopUVL][0]);
uniqVecListLattice[loopUVL], y = tvoPiOverBoxlength \
 \* static\_cast<double>(uniqVecList[loopUVL][1]);
uniqVecListLattice[loopUVL], z = tvoPiOverBoxlength \
 \* static\_cast<double>(uniqVecList[loopUVL][2]);
} }// rescale\_lattice\_vectors() // restate\_lative\_vectors()
// gets a uniquified list of RLVecs and returns the unique index of a RLVec.
// if RLVec does not exist, append it to the list. We also keep track of
// indices which occur more than once.
inline indexType
uniq\_vec\_indexType>k uniqVecList,
 vector<msignedIndexType>k uniqVecList,
 vector<msignedIndexTypek triVec){
 // the induct of the unit of the // the index of the vector indexType uniqVecIndex = 0; // iterator over the list of already existing vectors in the list vector<RLVecType>::const\_iterator uniqVecListIter = uniqVecList.begin(); /vector increment the index which uniquely defines the recognize this // vector increment the index which uniquely defines the rl vector. while(uniqVecListIter != uniqVecList.end() && \*uniqVecListIter != rlVec){ +\*uniqVecListIter; ++uniqVecIndex; } if(uniqVecIndex == static\_cast<indexType>(uniqVecList.size())){ // then we did not find the vector in the uniquified list and // append it uniqVecList.push\_back(rlVec); }else( // we use case this usetas before so us uset to sout the point // use the sout the south of the south the south the point to south the southet the south the south the south the south the south the south else{
 // we have seen this vector before, so we want to save the results for
 // this vector when calculating the sines and cosines
 frequentIndexList.push\_back(uniqVecIndex); return uniqVecIndex; }// end uniq\_vec\_index() // gets a uniquified list of RLVecs and returns the unique index of a RLVec. // if RLVec does not exist, append it to the list. // This function does not memorize vectors which occur more than once. inline index(Ype uniq\_vec\_index(vector<RLVeCType)& uniqVecList, contact RLVecTore RLVecType) const RLVecType& rlVec){ // the index of the vector unsignedIndexType uniqVecIndex = 0; // iterator over the list of already existing vectors in the list vector<RLVecType>::const\_iterator uniqVecListIter = uniqVecList.begin(); /vector immetryper.coms\_restor immetrister = immetrister() = immetrister() // while not at the end of the list and while we don't recognize this // vector increment the index which uniquely defines the rl vector. while(uniqVecListIter != uniqVecList.end() && \*uniqVecListIter != rlVec){ +tuniqVecListIter; ++unigVecIndex: / if(uniqVecIndex == static\_cast<indexType>(uniqVecList.size())){
 // then we did not find the vector in the uniquified list and we
 // append it
 uniqVecList.push\_back(rlVec);
} , return uniqVecIndes }// end uniq\_vec\_index() // find overlaps between two uniquified vector lists and convert the unique
// vector indices
void
new\_qk\_index\_conversion(const vector<RLVecType>& oldUVL, const vector<RLVecType>& newUVL, vector<indexType>& newOldIndexList){ for(vector:RLVecType>::const\_iterator iterNew = newUVL.begin(); iterNew != newUVL.end(); ++iterNew){ newOldIndexList.push\_back(UVLindex(oldUVL, \*iterNew)); }// end new\_qk\_index\_conversion() // helper function which gets the number of successful index conversions

unsigned int unsigned int counter = 0; unsigned int conter = 0; for(wettorkindexType::const\_iterator iter = newOldIndexList.begin(); iter != newOldIndexList.end(); ++iter) if(\*iter != -INDEX\_MAX) ++counter; return counter; }// end number\_old\_new\_conversions() // gets a uniquified list of RLVecs and returns the unique index of a RLVec. // -INDEX\_MAX is returned if RLVec was not found inline indexType UVLindex(const vector<RLVecType>& uniqVecList, const RLVecType& rlVec){ // the index of the vector indexType uniqVecIndex = 0; indextype uniquecladex = 0; // iterator over the list of already existing vectors inthe list vectorKRLVecType>::const\_iterator uniqVecListIter = uniqVecList.begin(); // while not at the end of the list and while we don't recognize this // vector increment the index which uniquely defines the r1 vector. while(uniqVecListIter != uniqVecList.end() && \*uniqVecListIter != r1Vec){ ++uniqVecListIter; ++uniqVecListIter; } if(uniqVecIndex == static\_cast<indexType>(uniqVecList.size()))
uniqVecIndex = -INDEX\_MAX; return uniqVecIndex; }// end UVLindex() // writes out results
void
void
voids.
const unsigned int confloop,
const unsigned int confloop,
const unsigned int simRunLoop,
const unsigned int startPointLoop,
const unsigned int startPointLoop,
const unsigned int nr\_configurations\_used,
const unsigned int nr\_monomers,
const un const unsigned int nr\_monomers, const unsigned int nrBins, const double binWidth, const unsigned int nrResultEntries, const vector<vector<vector<vector<resultType> >> >& results){ int qBin, kBin, pBin, pBinMin, pBinMax; FILE \*outfile\_p, \*parameterfile\_p; ric = vouring\_p, +parametering\_p, char read\_ine[400], vute\_line[401]; if ((outfile\_p = fopen(filename,"w")) == NULL){ sprintf(filename, "Couldn't open %s", filename); error(filename, HERE); offor(fitemes, main);
fprintf(outfile\_p, "# %s \n", "Triple correlation static structure factors \
S.3(q, k, p) and S.3^p(q, k, p)");
fprintf(outfile\_p, "# %s \n", "Results at:");
fprintf(outfile\_p, "# %s \n", "simulation run: ", simRunLoop);
fprintf(outfile\_p, "# %s \n", "sub simulation run: ", subSimRunLoop);
fprintf(outfile\_p, "# %s \n", "start point: ", startPointLoop);
fprintf(outfile\_p, "# %s \n", "number of configurations used: ",
nr\_configurations\_used);
fidef CALC\_COMPLEX\_NO
fprintf(outfile\_p, "# \s \n", "q | k | p | #tupels | S\_3^p(q, k, p) | \
S\_3(q, k, p)");
#endif
#idde CALC COMPLEX VES \*\*unuit fprintf(outfile\_p, "# %s \n", "q | k | p | #tupels | Re(S\_3^p(q, k, p)) | \ Im(S\_3^p(q, k, p)) | Re(S\_3(q, k, p)) | Im(S\_3(q, k, p))"); #ondif #endif // write out results
for(qBin = 0; qBin < static\_cast<int>(nrBins); ++qBin){
for(kBin = 0; kBin < static\_cast<int>(nrBins); ++kBin){
#ifdef TAKE\_OUTER\_BINS\_YES pBinMin = max(abs(qBin+kBin)-1, 0); pBinMax = min(qBin+kBin+1, static\_cast<int>(nrBins-1)); #else pBinMax = nrBins -1; #endif for(pBin = 0; pBin < static\_cast<int>(nrBins); ++pBin){ if(pBin >= pBinMin & pBin <= pBinMax){ #ifdef CALC\_COMPLEX\_NO fprintf(cutrille\_p, "%.1f %.1f %.1f %.2f %.6e %.6e\n", \ binWidth / 2.0 + binWidth \* static\_cast<double>(QBin), \ binWidth / 2.0 + binWidth \* static\_cast<double>(XBin), \ binWidth / 2.0 + binWidth \* static\_cast<double>(XBin), \ binWidth / 2.0 + binWidth \* static\_cast<double>(CBin), \ results[QBin][BBin][D] \ /\*static\_cast<csultPipe>(nr\_configurations\_used), \ results(qBin](RBin](pBin](0) / /static\_cast<resultType>(nr\_configurations\_used), \ results[qBin](RBin][pBin][nrResultEntries-2] \ results(qbin)(kbin)(pbin)(inresultintries))
/ (max(static\_cast/resultType>(1.0), \
results(qBin](kBin](pBin](0)) \
\* static\_cast<resultType>(nr\_monomers))); \* static\_cast<resultType
#endif
#ifdef WRITE\_EMPTY\_POINTS\_YES
}else{</pre> ifdef WRITE\_EMPTY\_POINTS\_YES
else{
 // nothing calculated
 fprintf(outfile\_p, "%.1f %.1f %.1f %s\n", \
 binWidth / 2.0 + binWidth \* static\_cast<double>(qBin), \
 binWidth / 2.0 + binWidth \* static\_cast<double>(kBin), \

	I
<pre>binWidth / 2.0 + binWidth * static_cast<double>(pBin), "X"); #endif }// if in right p-bin range } }</double></pre>	<pre>const unsigned int confLoopStart, const unsigned int simNuLoopStart, const unsigned int subSimRunLoopStart, const unsigned int startPointLoopStart, char * const newParamsFileName){ FULF *outfile p: FULF *outfile p:</pre>
<pre>/* append parameter file, so that we know how we got the data */ fprintf(outfile,p, "#/n#/n#Parameters read from file '%a':\n#\n", parameterfile); if ((parameterfile,p = fopen(parameterfile,"r")) == NULL) fprintf(stdout,"WARNING: Couldn't open %s \n", parameterfile); else{</pre>	<pre>unsigned int ii. (outfile_p = fopen(newParamsFileName,"w")) == NULL){ sprintf(newParamsFileName, "Couldn't open %s", newParamsFileName); error(newParamsFileName, HERE); } // cout &lt;&lt; "DEBUG: startPointLoopStart=" &lt;&lt; startPointLoopStart // &lt;&lt; ", subSimRunLoopStart=" &lt;&lt; startPointLoopStart // &lt;&lt; ", confLoopStart=" &lt;&lt; startPointLoopStart // &lt;&lt; ", confLoopStart=" &lt;&lt; startPointLoopStart // &lt;&lt; ", confLoopStart=" &lt;&lt; startPointLoopStart // startPoints', startPointStart, startPointLoopStart // startPoints', startPoints', startPointStart // startPoints', s</pre>
<pre>/* close file */ fclose(outfile_p); print("MESSAGE: Wrote data to %s\n", filename); // cod write reculte()</pre>	<pre>fprintf(outfile_p, "%u\m", ar_sub_sim_runs[iloop]); fprintf(outfile_p, "saving_scheme%s\m", saving_scheme); fprintf(outfile_p, "file_sample_times%k\m", file_sample_times);</pre>
<pre>// function which writes out a parameter file for continuing the calculation void vrite_new_params(const double box_size, const unsigned int nr_chains, const unsigned int mon_per_chain, const unsigned int * const nr_sub_sim_runs, const char * const string_scheme, const char * const file_sample_times, const char * const file_sample_times, const unsigned int nrBins, const unsigned int nrBins, const unsigned binWidth.</pre>	<pre>iprint(outfile_p, "mFilms#\under, mFilms); fprintf(outfile_p, "mFilms#\under, mFilms); fprintf(outfile_p, "wishedEntries="\under," wishedEntries); fprintf(outfile_p, "qualizationFactor=\u00edLf\under," qualizationFactor); fprintf(outfile_p, "mr_confs_to_calculate="\u00edLn", nr_confs_to_calculate); fprintf(outfile_p, "confloopStart="\u00edLn", "confloopStart); fprintf(outfile_p, "confloopStart="\u00edLn", "confloopStart); fprintf(outfile_p, "sumRunLoopStart="\u00edLn", sumRunLoopStart); fprintf(outfile_p, "subSimRunLoopStart="\u00edLn", subSimRunLoopStart); fprintf(outfile_p, "startPointLoopStart="\u00edLn", startPointLoopStart); fclose(outfile_p);</pre>
<pre>const unsigned int vishedEntries, const double equalizationFactor, unsigned int rngSeed, const unsigned int nr_confs_to_calculate,</pre>	<pre>printf("MESSAGE: Wrote new parameters to %s\n", newParamsFileName); }// end write_new_params()</pre>

## Bibliography

- G. B. McKenna, in *Comprehensive Polymer Science*, edited by C. Booth and C. Price (Pergamon, New York, 1986), vol. 2, pp. 311–362.
- [2] K. Binder, ed., Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics Simulations in Polymer Science (Oxford University Press, New York, 1995).
- [3] E. Rössler and H. Sillescu, in *Material Science and Technology*, edited by J. Zarzycki (VCH, Weinheim, 1991), vol. IX, pp. 573–618.
- [4] J. Jäckle, Rep. Prog. Phys. 49, 171 (1986).
- [5] P. Lunkenheimer, U. Schneider, R. Brand, and A. Loidl, Contempory Phys. 41, 15 (2000).
- [6] W. Götze, Condens. Matter Phys. 1, 873 (1998).
- [7] W. Götze, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **11**, A1 (1999).
- [8] W. Götze, in Proceedings of the Les Houches Summer School of Theoretical Physics, Les Houches 1989, Session LI, edited by J. P. Hansen, D. Levesque, and J. Zinn-Justin (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991), pp. 287–503.
- [9] W. Paul and J. Baschnagel, in Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics Simulations in Polymer Science, edited by K. Binder (Oxford University Press, New York, 1995), chap. 6.
- [10] W. Kob, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **11**, R85 (1999).
- [11] W. Kob, in Les Houches Summer School for Theoretical Physics, LXXVII: Slow Relaxations and Nonequilibrium Dynamics in Condensed Matter (2002), cond-mat/0212344.
- [12] C. Bennemann, W. Paul, K. Binder, and B. Dünweg, Phys. Rev. E 57, 843 (1998).
- [13] C. Bennemann, Ph.D. thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz (1998), unpublished.
- [14] C. Bennemann, J. Baschnagel, and W. Paul, Eur. Phys. J. B 10, 323 (1999).
- [15] M. Aichele and J. Baschnagel, Eur. Phys. J. E 5, 229 (2001).
- [16] M. Aichele and J. Baschnagel, Eur. Phys. J. E 5, 245 (2001).

- [17] K. Binder, J. Baschnagel, and W. Paul, Progr. Poly. Sci. 28, 115 (2003).
- [18] S.-H. Chong and W. Götze, Phys. Rev. E 65, 041503 (2002).
- [19] S.-H. Chong and M. Fuchs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 185702 (2002).
- [20] M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1986).
- [21] H. Sillescu, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **243**, 81 (1999).
- [22] M. D. Ediger, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **51**, 99 (2000).
- [23] S. C. Glotzer, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **274**, 342 (2000).
- [24] R. Richert, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, R703 (2002).
- [25] C. Bennemann, C. Donati, J. Baschnagel, and S. C. Glotzer, Nature 399, 246 (1999).
- [26] Y. Gebremichael, T. B. Schrøder, F. W. Starr, and S. C. Glotzer, Phys. Rev. E 64, 051503 (2001).
- [27] B. N. J. Persson, Sliding Friction: Physical Principles and Applications (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- [28] B. Bhushan, Principles and Applications of Tribology (Wiley, 1999).
- [29] F. P. Bowden and D. Tabor, *The Friction and Lubrication of Solids*, Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences (Oxford University Press, New York, 2001), paperback reprint.
- [30] G. He, M. H. Müser, and M. O. Robbins, Science **284**, 1650 (1999).
- [31] G. He and M. O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. B 64, 035413 (2001).
- [32] M. H. Müser, L. Wenning, and M. O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1295 (2001).
- [33] E. Kumacheva, Prog. Surf. Sci. 58, 75 (1998).
- [34] S. Consta, N. B. Wilding, D. Frenkel, and Z. Alexandrowicz, J. Chem. Phys. 110, 3220 (1999).
- [35] S. Consta, T. J. H. Vlugt, J. W. Hoeth, B. Smit, and D. Frenkel, Mol. Phys. 97, 1243 (1999).
- [36] D. Frenkel and B. Smit, Understanding Molecular Simulation (Academic Press, London, 2002), 2nd ed.
- [37] J. M. Haile, Molecular Dynamics Simulation: Elementary Methods (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1997).
- [38] K. Kremer and G. S. Grest, J. Chem. Phys. **92**, 5057 (1990).

- [39] M. H. Müser and M. O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 2335 (2000).
- [40] G. He and M. O. Robbins, Trib. Lett. **10**, 7 (2001).
- [41] M. H. Müser, M. Urbakh, and M. O. Robbins, Adv. Chem. Phys. 126, 187 (2003).
- [42] K. Shinjo and M. Hirano, Surf. Sci. **283**, 473 (1993).
- [43] B. N. J. Persson, J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 5477 (2002).
- [44] B. N. J. Persson and P. Ballone, J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 9524 (2000).
- [45] C. Bennemann, W. Paul, K. Binder, and B. Dünweg, Phys. Rev. E 57, 843 (1998).
- [46] M. P. Allen and D. J. Tildesley, Computer Simulation of Liquids (Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1987).
- [47] M. Lüscher, Comput. Phys. Commun. **79**, 100 (1994).
- [48] F. James, Comput. Phys. Commun. **79**, 111 (1994).
- [49] L. N. Shchur and P. Butera, Int. J. Mod. Phys C9, 607 (1998).
- [50] I. Vattulainen, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 7200 (1999).
- [51] C. W. Gear, Numerical Initial Value Problems in ordinary Differential Equations (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1971), chap. 9.
- [52] B. D. Todd, D. Evans, and P. J. Daivis, Phys. Rev. E 52, 1627 (1995).
- [53] J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, Theory of Simple Liquids (Academic Press, London, 1986).
- [54] F. Varnik, J. Baschnagel, and K. Binder, J., Chem. Phys. **113**, 4444 (2000).
- [55] M. Mondello and G. S. Grest, J. Chem. Phys. **106**, 9327 (1997).
- [56] A. D. Sokal, in Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics Simulations in Polymer Science, edited by K. Binder (Oxford University Press, New York, 1995), chap. 2.
- [57] M. N. Rosenbluth and A. W. Rosenbluth, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 356 (1955).
- [58] T. Stühn, private communication (2003).
- [59] M. Fuchs, W. Götze, S. Hildebrand, and A. Latz, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4, 7709 (1992).
- [60] H. Z. Cummins, W. M. Du, M. Fuchs, W. Götze, S. Hildebrand, A. Latz, G. Li, and N. J. Tao, Phys. Rev. E 47, 4223 (1993), with complement in Phys. Rev. E 59, 5625 (1999).

- [61] H. C. Barshilia, G. Li, G. Q. Shen, and H. Z. Cummins, Phys. Rev. E 59, 5625 (1999), complement to Phys. Rev. E 47, 4223 (1993).
- [62] R. Schilling and T. Scheidsteger, Phys. Rev. E 56, 2932 (1997).
- [63] L. Fabbian, A. Latz, R. Schilling, F. Sciortino, P. Tartaglia, and C. Theis, Phys. Rev. E 62, 2388 (2000).
- [64] T. Franosch, M. Fuchs, W. Götze, M. R. Mayr, and A. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. E 55, 7153 (1997).
- [65] F. Sciortino and W. Kob, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 648 (2001).
- [66] A. Rinaldi, F. Sciortino, and P. Tartaglia, Phys. Rev. E 63, 061210 (2001).
- [67] J. Buchholz, W. Paul, F. Varnik, and K. Binder, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 7364 (2002).
- [68] H. Meyer and F. Müller-Plathe, J. Chem. Phys. 115, 7807 (2001).
- [69] H. Meyer and F. Müller-Plathe, Macromolecules **35**, 1241 (2002).
- [70] C. Bennemann, J. Baschnagel, and W. Paul, Eur. Phys. J. B 10, 323 (1999).
- [71] D. Chandler and H. C. Andersen, J. Chem. Phys. 57, 1930 (1972).
- [72] CLAPACK (C-translation of LAPACK), www.netlib.org/clapack.
- [73] E. Anderson, Z. Bai, C. Bischof, S. Blackford, J. Demmel, J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, A. Greenbaum, S. Hammarling, A. McKenney, et al., *LAPACK Users' Guide* (Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 1999), 3rd ed., ISBN 0-89871-447-8 (paperback).
- [74] H. Meyer, private communication (2001).
- [75] J.-L. Barrat, J.-P. Hansen, and G. Pastore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2075 (1987).
- [76] H. W. Jackson and E. Feenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34, 686 (1962).
- [77] M. Aichele, Diploma thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz (2000), unpublished.
- [78] V. Krakoviack, J. P. Hansen, and A. A. Louis, Europhys. Lett. 58, 53 (2002).
- [79] D. Chandler, J. Chem. Phys. **59**, 2742 (1973).
- [80] K. S. Schweizer and J. G. Curro, Adv. Polymer Sci. 116, 319 (1994).
- [81] K. S. Schweizer and J. G. Curro, Adv. Chem. Phys. 98, 1 (1997).
- [82] W. Kob, in Supercooled Liquids: Advances and Novel Applications, edited by J. T. Fourkas, D. Kivelson, U. Mohanty, and K. A. Nelson (American Chemical Society, Washington DC, 1997), ACS Symposium Series 676, pp. 28–44.

- [83] J.-L. Barrat, W. Götze, and A. Latz, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1, 7163 (1989).
- [84] S.-H. Chong (2002), private communication.
- [85] M. Fuchs, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **172-174**, 241 (1994).
- [86] S.-H. Chong and M. Aichele, in preparation.
- [87] K. Schmidt-Rohr and H. W. Spiess, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1991 (1991).
- [88] U. Tracht, M. Wilhelm, A. Heuer, H. Feng, K. Schmidt-Rohr, and H. W. Spiess, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2727 (1998).
- [89] M. T. Cicerone and M. Ediger, J. Chem. Phys. **103**, 5684 (1995).
- [90] B. Schiener, R. Böhmer, A. Loidl, and R. V. Chamberlin, Science 274, 752 (1996).
- [91] M. Yang and R. Richert, J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 2676 (2001).
- [92] E. Weeks, J. C. Crocker, A. C. Levitt, A. Schofield, and D. A. Weitz, Science 287, 627 (2000).
- [93] W. K. Kegel and A. van Blaaderen, Science **287**, 290 (2000).
- [94] E. Bartsch, Current Opinions in Coll. Int. Sci. 3, 577 (1998).
- [95] W. van Megen, Transport Theory Stat. Phys. 24, 1017 (1995).
- [96] W. van Megen and S. M. Underwood, Phys. Rev. E 49, 4206 (1994).
- [97] W. Götze and L. Sjögren, Phys. Rev. A 43, 5442 (1991).
- [98] W. van Megen, T. C. Mortensen, J. Müller, and S. R. Williams, Phys. Rev. E 58, 6073 (1998).
- [99] R. Yamamoto and A. Onuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4915 (1998).
- [100] W. Kob, C. Donati, S. J. Plimpton, P. H. Poole, and S. C. Glotzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2827 (1997).
- [101] C. Donati, J. F. Douglas, W. Kob, S. J. Plimpton, P. H. Poole, and S. C. Glotzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2338 (1998).
- [102] C. Donati, S. C. Glotzer, P. H. Poole, W. Kob, and S. J. Plimpton, Phys. Rev. E 60, 3107 (1999).
- [103] N. Giovambattista, S. V. Buldyrev, F. W. Starr, and H. E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 085506 (2003).
- [104] B. Doliwa and A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4915 (1998).
- [105] B. Doliwa and A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 6898 (2000).

- [106] B. Doliwa and A. Heuer, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **307–310**, 32 (2002).
- [107] K. Vollmayr-Lee, W. Kob, K. Binder, and A. Zippelius, J. Chem. Phys. 116, 5158 (2002).
- [108] C. Bennemann, W. Paul, J. Baschnagel, and K. Binder, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11, 2179 (1999).
- [109] C. Bennemann, J. Baschnagel, W. Paul, and K. Binder, Comp. Theo. Poly. Sci. 9, 217 (1999).
- [110] S. Kämmerer, W. Kob, and R. Schilling, Phys. Rev. E 58, 2131 (1998).
- [111] W. Kob and H. C. Andersen, Phys. Rev. E 51, 4626 (1995).
- [112] F. Sciortino, P. Gallo, P. Tartaglia, and S.-H. Chen, Phys. Rev. E 54, 6331 (1996).
- [113] S. Mossa, R. Di Leonardo, G. Ruocco, and M. Sampoli, Phys. Rev. E 62, 612 (2000).
- [114] J. Horbach, W. Kob, and K. Binder, Phil. Mag. B 77, 297 (1998).
- [115] J. Colmenero, F. Alvarez, and A. Arbe, Phys. Rev. E. 65, 041804 (2002).
- [116] R. Zorn, Phys. Rev. B 55, 6249 (1997).
- [117] M. Guenza, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 025901 (2002).
- [118] M. Fuchs, W. Götze, and M. R. Mayr, Phys. Rev. E 58, 3384 (1998).
- [119] K. Kremer and G. S. Grest, in Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics Simulations in Polymer Science, edited by K. Binder (Oxford University Press, New York, 1995), chap. 4.
- [120] W. Kob and H. C. Andersen, Phys. Rev. E 52, 4134 (1995).
- [121] W. Götze and L. Sjögren, Z. Phys. B **65**, 415 (1987).
- [122] J. P. Wittmer, A. Milchev, and M. E. Cates, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 834 (1998).
- [123] D. Dowson, *History of Tribology* (Longman, New York, 1979).
- [124] M. Brillouin, Notices sur les Travaux Scientifiques (Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1909).
- [125] C. Caroli and P. Nozières, Eur. Phys. J. B 4 (1998).
- [126] H. Hertz, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **92**, 156 (1881).
- [127] K. L. Johnson, K. Kendall, and A. D. Roberts, Proc. R. Soc. London A 324, 301 (1971).
- [128] A. Fodgen and L. R. White, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 138, 414 (1990).

- [129] R. S. Bradley, Philos. Mag. Suppl. **13**, 853 (1932).
- [130] B. V. Derjaguin, V. M. Muller, and Y. P. Toporov, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 53, 314 (1975).
- [131] V. M. Muller, V. S. Yuschenko, and B. V. Derjaguin, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 92, 92 (1983).
- [132] D. Tabor, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 58, 2 (1977).
- [133] E. Gnecco, R. Bennewitz, and E. Meyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2002).
- [134] M. G. Rozman, M. Urbakh, and J. Klafter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 683 (1996).
- [135] M. G. Rozman, M. Urbakh, and J. Klafter, Phys. Rev. E 54, 6485 (1996).
- [136] P. A. Thompson and M. O. Robbins, Science **250**, 792 (1990).
- [137] P. A. Thompson and M. O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. A 41, 6830 (1990).
- [138] L. Wenning and M. H. Müser, Europhys. Lett 54, 693 (2001).
- [139] B. N. J. Persson, V. N. Samoilov, S. Zilberman, and A. Nitzan, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 3897 (2002).
- [140] L. Prandtl, ZS. angew. Math. Mech. 8, 85 (1928).
- [141] G. A. Tomlinson, Phil. Mag. Series 7, 905 (1929).
- [142] Y. I. Frenkel and T. Kontorova, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 8, 1340 (1938).
- [143] O. M. Braun and Y. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rep. **306**, 1 (1998).
- [144] T. Strunz and F.-J. Elmer, Phys. Rev. E 58, 1601 (1998).
- [145] O. M. Braun and M. Peyrard, Phys. Rev. E 63, 046110 (2001).
- [146] P. Español and P. Warren, Europhys. Lett. **30**, 191 (1995).
- [147] W. K. den Otter and J. H. R. Clarke, Europhys. Lett. 53, 426 (2001).
- [148] H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. **33**, 7526 (1965).
- [149] R. Zwanzig, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 16, 67 (1965).
- [150] H. Risken, The Fokker Planck equation (Springer, 1984).
- [151] C. Daly, J. Zhang, and J. B. Sokoloff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 24101 (2003).
- [152] E. Jaynes, Papers on Probability, Statistics and Statistical Physics (Reidel, Dortrecht, 1982).
- [153] J. Honerkamp, *Statistical Physics* (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- [154] M. H. Müser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 224301 (2002).

- [155] W. Steele, Surf. Sci. **36**, 317 (1973).
- [156] A. Patrykiejew, S. Sokołowski, and K. Binder, Surface Science Reports 37, 207 (2000).
- [157] D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 1396 (1985).
- [158] E. D. Smith, M. O. Robbins, and M. Cieplak, Phys. Rev. B 54, 8252 (1996).
- [159] M. J. Stevens and M. O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. E 48, 3778 (1993).
- [160] P. Hänggi, P. Talkner, and M. Borkovec, Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 251 (1990).
- [161] M. Ruths and S. Granick, Langmuir **16**, 8368 (2000).
- [162] J. Krim and R. Chiarello, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 9, 1343 (1991).
- [163] J. Krim, D. H. Solina, and R. Chiarello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 181 (1991).
- [164] A. Mukhopadhyay, Z. Jiang, C. B. Sung, and S. Granick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 136103 (2002).
- [165] S. Caracciolo, A. Pelissetto, and A. D. Sokal, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23, L969 (1990).
- [166] R. Everaers, I. S. Graham, and M. J. Zuckermann, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28, 1271 (1995), and references therein.
- [167] C. Mischler, J. Baschnagel, and K. Binder, Adv. Coll. Interface Sci. 94, 197 (2001).
- [168] I. Carmesin and K. Kremer, J. Phys. France **51**, 915 (1990).
- [169] F. Mugele, T. Becker, A. Klingner, and M. Salmeron, Coll. Surf. A 206, 105 (2002), and references therein.