

RESEARCH

Targeted next-generation sequencing of cancer genes in poorly differentiated thyroid cancer

Tiemo S Gerber¹, Arno Schad², Nils Hartmann², Erik Springer², Ulrich Zechner³ and Thomas J Musholt¹

¹Endocrine Surgery Section, Department of General, Visceral and Transplantation Surgery, University Medicine, Mainz, Germany ²Department of Pathology, University Medicine, Mainz, Germany ³Institute of Human Genetics, University Medicine, Mainz, Germany

Correspondence should be addressed to T S Gerber: tiemogerber@gmail.com

Abstract

Poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma (PDTC) is a rare malignancy with higher mortality than well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma. The histological diagnosis can be difficult as well as the therapy. Improved diagnosis and new targeted therapies require knowledge of DNA sequence changes in cancer-relevant genes. The TruSeq Amplicon Cancer Panel was used to screen cancer genomes from 25 PDTC patients for somatic single-nucleotide variants in 48 genes known to represent mutational hotspots. A total of 4490 variants were found in 23 tissue samples of PDTC. Ninety-eight percent (4392) of these variants did not meet the inclusion criteria, while 98 potentially pathogenic or pathogenic variants remained after filtering. These variants were distributed over 33 genes and were all present in a heterozygous state. Five tissue samples harboured not a single variant. Predominantly, variants in P53 (43% of tissue samples) were identified, while less frequently, variants in APC, ERBB4, FLT3, KIT, SMAD4 and BRAF (each in 17% of tissue samples) as well as ATM, EGFR and FBXW7 (each in 13% of tissue samples) were observed. This study identified new potential genetic targets for further research in PDTC. Of particular interest are four observed ERBB4 (alias HER4) variants, which have not been connected to this type of thyroid carcinoma so far. In addition, APC and SMAD4 mutations have not been reported in this subtype of cancer either. In contrast to other reports, we did not find CTNNB1 variants.

Key Words

- thyroid
- endocrine cancers
- rare diseases/syndromes

Endocrine Connections (2018) **7**, 47–55

Introduction

Poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma (PDTC) represents an aggressive variant of thyroid cancer that predominantly arises from the differentiated variants of papillary and follicular thyroid carcinoma (PTC and FTC, respectively) but occasionally from normal follicular cells (1, 2). The incidence varies between 2–3% and 15%, depending on geographical location (3). The Turin consensus, which is based on histological growth pattern, nuclear features, mitosis, necrosis and convoluted nuclei, offered an algorithm to diagnose this entity (4). However, even with this consensus paper published in 2007, PDTC still represents a challenging diagnosis for pathologists and clinicians. Molecular changes in PDTC are heterogeneous. No exclusive mutation has been identified that could potentially facilitate the differentiation process (5, 6, 7). The advanced cancer stage and the impaired or complete lack of radioactive iodine uptake drive the search for effective therapeutic alternatives such as targeted therapies. Although Landa and coworkers (1) as well as Xu and Ghossein (8) reported an extensive investigation on PDTC, we still need to learn more about the driving molecular alterations.

Using a next-generation sequencing (NGS) approach, we screened 23 PDTC for variants in 48 cancer-relevant

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0290 © 2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd





genes. The main goal addressed in this exploratory study was to characterise novel genetic changes as potential targets for further research as well as establishing the NGS technique in our laboratory.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue acquisition

Patients treated in the Department of General, Visceral und Transplantation Surgery at University Medical Centre, Mainz, Germany between 2007 and 2015 were included in the study. The study was approved by Ethics Committee of the medical association of Rheinland Pfalz. Consent has been obtained from each patient or subject after full explanation of the purpose and nature of all procedures used. Archival tissue from the patients was retrieved and reviewed using the Turin consensus algorithm, in the course of which necrosis, the number of mitotic cells per ten high-powered fields (mitotic index) and the extrathyroidal and vascular invasion were determined. The samples were also immunohistochemically stained for thyroglobulin, thyroid transcription factor-1 and Ki-67. We did not analyse matched pairs of individual tumour-normal tissue, but only PDTC itself. Each histological slide was diagnosed by an experienced pathologist. Questionable samples were not included in this study. Twenty-five of 32 reviewed patients fulfilled all criteria to diagnose a PDTC. Furthermore, we assessed if the samples also fulfilled the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) criteria for PDTC as proposed by Hiltzik and coworkers (9). These were defined by the presence of ≥ 5 mitotic cells per ten high-powered fields and/or fresh tumour necrosis. The fraction of malignant cells in tumour tissue was determined by visual estimation of the percentage of malignant cells (tumour cell to nontumour cell). An overview of the patient data is presented in Table 1. Routine Sanger sequencing was performed on the BRAF V600E and BRAF wild-type V600 gene locus.

DNA extraction and sample quality control

Genomic DNA was extracted from the formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tumour material. To reduce contamination, microscope slides of the tumour stained with haematoxylin–eosin were used to select areas for macrodissection. The selected material was scratched off from $2\mu m$ thick unstained slides. It was deparaffinised with xylene and ethanol and digested by proteinase K in lysis buffer overnight at 56°C. The samples were purified with MPC Protein Precipitation Solution (Biozym Scientific GmbH, Oldendorf, Germany) and precipitated with isopropanol. The DNA was quantified using a spectrophotometer to measure the absorbance at 260 nm. The DNA quality was tested with the FFPE QC Kit for the TruSeqAmplicon Cancer Panel (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) using the manufacturer's instructions. Table 2 for a full list of covered genes. Two samples failed the quality control criteria, resulting in 23 analysed PDTCs.

Library preparation and MiSeq sequencing

Sequencing libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Amplicon Cancer Panel (Illumina) with the MiSeq Reagent Kit, v2 according to the manufacturer's instructions. The MiSeq System (Illumina) was used to launch the massively parallel sequencing process to capture the exons of 48 genes with 212 amplicons.

Data analysis

Using the TruSeq Amplicon Workflow on the MiSeq Reporter, the data were demultiplexed and aligned to the human reference genome hg19. The output was generated in the variant caller format. BaseSpace Variant Studio, v2.2.4 (Illumina) was used for post processing. This programme could integrate information about called variants from the Cosmic (v65) and ClinVar (v05.09.2013) scientific databases. The application uses the Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) tool for Annotation and Analysis of coding sequence changes. VEP also harnesses algorithms such as Polymorphism Phenotyping 2 (PolyPhen-2) and Sorting Intolerant From Tolerant (SIFT).

To eliminate false positive called variants we used a specific algorithm. First, all variants needed at least a coverage above 20, a sequencing depth of at least 100 and a mutation frequency of 10%, resulting in a threshold of 10 counts for a variant. Second, all variants needed to be nonsynonymous and to have a genotype quality of at least 30. Third, all variants needed to be marked as potentially deleterious in SIFT, as potentially damaging in PolyPhen, as potentially pathogenic or pathogenic in ClinVar or listed in Cosmic. Genes were named in accordance with the guidelines for human gene nomenclature (Supplementary Table 1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article; (10)).

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0290 © 2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd





T S Gerber et al.

Tumour size Tumour size West invasion Testment Local complications* West invasion 7 5 8 11 Yes Umg, bone FT FX Yes							Clinical and	d patient data				Patholo	gy	
Oc. Sex Age (m) Trategory Node Distribution Under Etr. TG S33 1 m 7.6 8 111 No MX TR-RM Nonee Visit Visit<				Tumour size			Metastasis			Vessel	invasion			
1 m 76 8 III No Mot Yes	<u>o</u>	Sex	Age	(cm)	T category	Node	Distant	Treatment	Local complications ^a	Lymph	Blood	ETE	TCF	P53 status
2 w 78 85 III Yes Ung, bone T+RAI Dyspnoea, dysphagia Yes Ye	-	٤	76	8	≡	No	Mx	TT + RAI	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	60%	Variant
3 w 65 7.7 IVa Yes Lung, bone TT+RAI+PT Dyspneea, dysphagia Yes Yes Yes Yes 90% War 6 w 81 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 7 m 73 9 Va Yes No Yes 70% Wa 6 w 81 NA NA NA NA NA NA 7 m 73 9 Va Yes Yes 70% Wa 9 m 64 9.4 III No M0 TT+RAI 1 mfittation trachea 8 m 64 9.4 III No M0 TT+RAI 1 mfittation trachea 1 m 77 7.5 IVa No M0 TT+RAI 1 mfittation trachea 1 m 77 7.5 IVa Yes No Yes Yes 90% Wa 1 m 65 8.5 III No M0 TT+RAI 1 m 70 1 Yes Yes Yes 90% Wa 1 m 77 7.5 Yes No Yes Yes 90% Wa 1 m 77 7.5 Yes No Yes Yes 90% Wa 1 m 77 7.5 Yes No Yes 70% Va 1 m 77 7.5 Yes No Yes Yes 90% Wa 1 m 77 7.5 Yes No Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 11 Yes Lung, bone TT+71, None None No No Yes 70% Va 1 m 67 Au IVa Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None No No No Yes 70% Va 1 m 67 Au IVa Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None None No Yes 70% Va 1 m 67 Au IVa Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None No No No Yes 70% Va 1 m 66 Ya 11 Vya Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None No No Yes 70% Va 1 m 66 Ya 11 Vya Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None None No Yes 70% Va 1 m 66 Ya 11 Vya Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None No No Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 11 Vb Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None None No Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 11 Vb Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None None Yes Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 11 Vb Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None None Yes Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 11 Vb Yes Lung, lower TT+71, None None Yes Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 Yo Yes Yes 80% Va 1 m 76 Yo Yes Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 Yo Yes Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 Yo Yes Yes 70% Va 1 m 77, The 70% Va Yes Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 Yo Yes Yes 70% Va 1 m 77, None Yes Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 Yo Yes 70% Va 1 m 77, None Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 Yes 70% Yes 70% Va 1 m 76 Yes 70% Yes	2	8	78	8.5	≡	Yes	Lung, bone	TT+RAI	Dyspnoea, dysphagia	Yes	Yes	No	%06	Wt
4 w 43 2.8 II No MX TT None Yes No Yes 705 Wit 6 w 81 NA NA NA NA None Yes No Yes Yes <td>m</td> <td>8</td> <td>65</td> <td>7.7</td> <td>IVa</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Lung, bone</td> <td>TT+RAI+PT</td> <td>Dyspnoea, dysphagia</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>%06</td> <td>Variant</td>	m	8	65	7.7	IVa	Yes	Lung, bone	TT+RAI+PT	Dyspnoea, dysphagia	Yes	Yes	Yes	%06	Variant
5 m 64 6.3 III Yes MA None Yes Yes <td>4</td> <td>8</td> <td>43</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>=</td> <td>No</td> <td>M×</td> <td>Ħ</td> <td>None</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>%06</td> <td>Wt</td>	4	8	43	2.8	=	No	M×	Ħ	None	No	No	Yes	%06	Wt
6 w 81 NA	ы	E	64	6.3	≡	Yes	M×	Ħ	None	Yes	No	Yes	20%	Wt
7 m 73 9 V/a Yes Mo Mrt 8 w 77 55 V/a No MO TT+RAI Dyspnoea Yes	9	8	81	ΝA	NA	ΔN	NA	NA	NA	No	Yes	٨A	50%	Variant
8 w 77 7.5 IVa No M0 TT+RAI Dyspnoea Yes Yes 80% Wr 9 m 64 9.4 III No M0 TT+RAI Dyspnoea Yes 80% Vari 1 w 27 2.2 II No M0 TT+RAI Dyspnoea No Yes Yes 90% Wr 2 m 72 NA IV Yes Mx TT+CTX+INAI Infiltration cosophagus Yes Yes 90% Wr 3 m 86 6.7 III Yes Lung TT+FTAI Infiltration cosophagus Yes Yes 70% Vari 4 m 70 4 IV Yes Mx TT+FTAI Infiltration cosophagus TY Yes Yes 70% Vari 5 w 53 1.2 II No M0 TT 6 w 59 1.5 III Yes Lung TT+FTAI Infiltration cosophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 7 m 67 NA IVA Yes M0 TT 8 w 79 NA IVA Yes Lung, IT+FT+RAI Infiltration cosophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 8 w 79 NA III Yes Lung, bone TT+FT+RAI Infiltration cosophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 8 w 79 NA III Yes Lung, bone TT+FT-RAI Infiltration cosophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 m 66 2.5 III Yes Lung, liver TT+RAI Infiltration cosophagus and trachea Yes No NA 90% Vari 9 m 67 A 11 Yes Lung, liver TT+RAI Infiltration cosophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 m 67 4 III Yes Lung, liver TT+RAI Infiltration cosophagus and trachea Yes No NA 90% Vari 9 m 67 4 III Yes Lung, liver TT+RAI None 10 w 67 4 III No NONE 11 Yes Lung, liver TT+RAI None 12 m 68 4.8 III Yes Lung, liver TT+RAI None 13 m 76 11 JVb Yes M0 Yes Yes 60% Vari 14 m Komea Attachea Yes Yes Yes Yes 70% Wr 14 m Komea Attachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Wr 15 m 68 4.8 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea 17 4 Mone 17 4 Mone 17 4 Mone Attachea Yes Yes Yes Yes 70% Wr 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	~	Е	73	6	IVa	Yes	MO	TT+CTx+PT	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	%09	Wt
9 m 64 9.4 III No Bone TT+CTX Infiltration trachea No Yes Yes 80% Vari 1 w 27 2.2 II No M0 TT None No Yes Yes 90% Wi 2 m 72 NA IV Yes MX TT+CTX+PT+RAI Infiltration oesophagus Yes Yes 70% Wari 3 m 86 6.7 III Yes Lung TT+CTX None None Yes Yes Yes 70% Wari 4 m 70 4 IV Yes Nu Yes No Yes 70% Wari 5 w 53 1.2 II No M0 TT None None Yes Yes 70% Wari 6 w 59 1.5 III Yes Lung, bone TT+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus Yes Yes 70% Vari 7 m 67 NA IV Yes Nu Yes Nu Yes Yes 70% Vari 8 w 59 1.5 III Yes Lung, bone TT+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 8 w 59 1.5 III Yes Lung, bone TT+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 w 57 0.9 IVA IVA Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 1 w 67 4 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 w 57 0.9 IVA Yes Lung, liver TT+RAI None 1 w 67 4 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 1 w 67 4 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 60% Wt 2 m 68 4.8 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 90% Wt 1 w 67 4 III VD Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 60% Wt 2 m 68 4.8 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 2 m 68 4.8 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Yes Yes 70% Wt 2 m 68 4.8 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt 2 m 76 11 IVD Yes MX TT+CTX+RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 10% Wt 2 Ma 76 11 IVD Yes MX TT+CTX-RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt 2 Ma 76 11 IVD Yes MX TT+CTX-PT-RAI Infiltration trachea Yes	∞	8	77	7.5	IVa	No	MO	TT+RAI	Dyspnoea	Yes	Yes	Yes	80%	Wt
0 m 65 8.5 III No M0 TT+RAI Dyspnoea No No No Ves Yes 90% Wt 1 w 27 2.2 II No M0 TT+CTX+PT+RAI Infitration oesophagus Yes Yes 90% Wt 2 m 72 NA IV Yes MX TT+CTX None None Yes Yes Yes 90% Wt 4 m 70 4 IV Yes Lung TT+PT+RAI Infitration trachea No Yes Yes 70% Wt 5 w 53 1.2 II No M0 TT None None No Yes Yes 70% Vari 6 w 59 1.5 III Yes Lung, Dirt PT+RAI Infitration trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt 7 m 67 NA IVa Yes Lung, Dirt PT+RAI Infitration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Wt 8 w 79 NA IVa Yes Lung, Dirt PT+RAI Infitration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 7 m 67 NA IVa Yes Lung, Dior TT+PT+RAI Infitration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 8 w 79 NA IVA Yes Lung, Dior TT+RAI Infitration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 m 67 A III Yes Lung, Dior TT+RAI Infitration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 m 67 4 III Yes Lung, Dior TT+RAI Infitration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 m 67 4 III Yes Lung, Dior TT+RAI Infitration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 m 67 4 III Yes Lung, Diver TT+CTX+PT+RAI Infitration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 m 76 11 IVB Yes Lung, Diver TT+CTX Dirtention oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes Yes 60% Vari 9 Mt Yes Yes Yes Yes 60% Vari 9 Mt 74 TH+RAI Infitration rachea No Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 Mt 74 Mt None Mt 24 Mt None No Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 Mt 74 Mt None No Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 Mt 74 Mt None No Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 Mt 74 Mt None No Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 Mt 74 Mt None No Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 Mt 74 Mt None No Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 9 Mt 75 tunour cell fraction (cell-cell raction (cell-cell raction) (cell-cell raction (cell-cell raction) 17. TG, tunour cell raction (cell-cell raction (cell-cell raction) 71. TG, tunour for the map 76 Mt 100 Mt	6	E	64	9.4	≡	No	Bone	TT+CTx	Infiltration trachea	No	Yes	Yes	80%	Variant
1 w 27 2.2 II No M0 TT+CTx+PT+RAI Infiltration oesophagus Yes <	0	E	65	8.5	≡	No	MO	TT+RAI	Dyspnoea	No	Yes	Yes	%06	Wt
2 m 72 NA IV Yes Mx TT+CTx+PT+RAI Infiltration oesophagus Yes Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt 3 m 86 6.7 III Yes Lung TT+CTx None Yes No Yes Yes <td< td=""><td>, -</td><td>8</td><td>27</td><td>2.2</td><td>=</td><td>No</td><td>MO</td><td>Ħ</td><td>None</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>50%</td><td>Variant</td></td<>	, -	8	27	2.2	=	No	MO	Ħ	None	No	No	No	50%	Variant
3 m 86 6.7 III Yes Lung TT+CTX None Yes No Yes No Yes 70% Wari 4 m 70 4 IVa No Lung TT+PT+RAI Infiltration trachea No Yes Yes 70% Vari 5 w 53 1.2 II No M0 TT None No No No Yes Yes 60% Wa 6 w 59 1.5 III Yes Lung, bone TT+PT+RAI Infiltration ossophagus and trachea Yes Yes 60% Vari 7 m 67 NA IVa Yes Lung, bone TT+PT+RAI Infiltration ossophagus and trachea Yes No No 90% Vari 8 w 79 NA III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT+RAI Infiltration ossophagus and trachea Yes No NA 90% Vari 9 m 66 2.5 III Yes Lung, liver TT+PT Infiltration larynx Yes No Yes No Yes 60% Wt 1 w 67 4 III No M0 TT+RAI None None No Yes No Yes Yes 60% Wt 1 w 67 4 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Python larynx Yes No Yes Yes 60% Wt 1 w 67 4 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea No Yes Yes 60% Wt 1 w 67 4 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea Yes Yes 60% Wt 1 w 67 4 R III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea Yes Yes 60% Wt 1 W 67 48 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea Yes Yes 60% Wt 1 W 67 48 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea Yes Yes 70% Wt 1 W 67 48 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea Yes Yes Yes 60% Wt 1 Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt 1 Yes Wt Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt 1 T+PT+RAI Infiltration trachea Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt	2	E	72	ΝA	≥	Yes	M×	TT+CTx+PT+RAI	Infiltration oesophagus	Yes	Yes	Yes	%06	Wt
4 m 70 4 IVa No Lung TT+PT+RAI Infiltration trachea No Yes Yes 70% Vari 5 w 53 1.2 II No M0 TT None No No No No No No No No 90% Wt 6 w 59 1.5 III Yes Lung, bone TT+PT+RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 60% Vari 8 w 79 NA IVA Yes Lung, bone TT+PT+RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes No NA 90% Vari 9 m 66 2.5 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT+RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes No NA 90% Vari 1 w 67 4 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX+PT+RAI Infiltration larynx Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes Wt 1 w 67 4 III No M0 TT+RAI None None No Yes No Yes Yes 60% Vari 1 w 67 4 III No M0 TT+RAI None None No Yes Yes 60% Vari 2 m 76 11 Ves Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea No Yes Yes 70% Vari 2 m 76 11 Ves MX TT+PT-RAI Infiltration larynx Yes Yes Yes 60% Vari 2 m 76 11 Ves MX TT+PT-RAI Infiltration larynx Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 2 m 76 11 Ves MX TT+PT-RAI Infiltration trachea Yes Yes 70% Vari 2 m 76 11 Ves MX TT+PT-RAI Infiltration trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 2 m 76 11 Ves MX TT+PT-RAI Infiltration trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 2 m 76 TT+RAI Infiltration trachea Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 70% Vari 2 m 76 TT+CTX TX, Chemotherapy; RAI, radioactive iodine treatment; TCF, tumour cell fraction (cell-cell ratio). TT, Chemotherapy; FL, extrathyroidal extension of tumour; NA, not available; PT, percutaneous radiotherapy; RAI, radioactive iodine treatment; TCF, tumour cell fraction (cell-cell ratio). Tr	m	E	86	6.7	≡	Yes	Lung	TT+CTx	None	Yes	No	Yes	20%	Wt
5 w 53 1.2 II No M0 T None No 90% Wt 6 w 59 1.5 III Yes Lung, bone TT+PT+RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 60% Vari 7 m 67 NA IVa Yes Lung, bone TT+PT+CTX+RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes No NA 90% Vari 8 w 79 NA III Yes Lung, liver TT+RAI None No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes Vari 9 m 66 2.5 III Yes Lung, liver TT+FT Infiltration larynx Yes No Yes Yes Yes 90% Vari 0 w 57 0.9 IVa Yes Lung, liver TT+FT None No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Jo% Vari 1 w 67 <td< td=""><td>4</td><td>E</td><td>70</td><td>4</td><td>IVa</td><td>No</td><td>Lung</td><td>TT+PT+RAI</td><td>Infiltration trachea</td><td>No</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>70%</td><td>Variant</td></td<>	4	E	70	4	IVa	No	Lung	TT+PT+RAI	Infiltration trachea	No	Yes	Yes	70%	Variant
6 w 59 1.5 III Yes Lung, bone TT+PT+RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes Yes 60% Vari 7 m 67 NA IVa Yes Lung, bone TT+PT+CTX+RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes No NA 90% Vari 8 w 79 NA III Yes No NA 80% Vari 9 m 66 2.5 III Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes Wit 0 w 57 0.9 IVa Yes Lung, liver TT+PT Infiltration larynx Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes 90% Wit 0 w 57 0.9 IVa Yes Lung, liver TT+PT Infiltration larynx Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 90% Wit 1 w 67 4 III No Mo Yes Yes Yes Yes	ъ	8	53	1.2	=	No	MO	Ħ	None	No	No	No	%06	Wt
7 m 67 NA IVa Yes Lung, bone TT+RAI Infiltration oesophagus and trachea Yes No NA 90% Vari 8 w 79 NA II Yes MO TT+RAI None No Yes NA 80% Vari 9 m 66 2.5 III Yes Lung, liver TT+FT Infiltration larynx Yes No Yes Yes Wo Wit 0 w 57 0.9 IVa Yes Lung, liver TT+FT Infiltration oesophagus and trachea No Yes Yes Yes 90% Wit 0 w 57 0.9 IVa Yes Lung, liver TT+FT None No Yes Yow Yow Yow Yow Yow Yow Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yow Yow Yow Yow Yow <td>9</td> <td>8</td> <td>59</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>≡</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Lung, bone</td> <td>TT+PT+RAI</td> <td>Infiltration oesophagus and trachea</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>60%</td> <td>Variant</td>	9	8	59	1.5	≡	Yes	Lung, bone	TT+PT+RAI	Infiltration oesophagus and trachea	Yes	Yes	Yes	60%	Variant
8 w 79 NA III Yes M0 TT+RAI None No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes S0% Vrt 9 m 66 2.5 III Yes Lung IT+FT Infiltration larynx Yes No Yes S0% Wt 0 w 57 0.9 IVa Yes Lung IT+RAI None No Yes Yes Yes 90% Wt 1 w 67 4 III No M0 TT+RAI None No Yes Yes Yes 90% Wt 2 m 68 4.8 III Yes Main Mo Mo Mt 3 m 76 11 IVb Yes Ms Mt Mt 2.1 IVb Yes Mix TT+PT+RAI Infiltration trachea No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yow Yow <t< td=""><td>~</td><td>E</td><td>67</td><td>NA</td><td>IVa</td><td>Yes</td><td>Lung, bone</td><td>TT+PT+CTx+RAI</td><td>Infiltration oesophagus and trachea</td><td>Yes</td><td>No</td><td>ΝA</td><td>%06</td><td>Variant</td></t<>	~	E	67	NA	IVa	Yes	Lung, bone	TT+PT+CTx+RAI	Infiltration oesophagus and trachea	Yes	No	ΝA	%06	Variant
9 m 66 2.5 III Yes Lung, liver T1+CTX+PT+RAI Infiltration larynx Yes No Yes 50% Wt 0 w 57 0.9 IVa Yes Lung T1+PT Infiltration oesophagus and trachea No Yes Yes 60% Wt 1 w 67 4 III No Mo T1+RAI None No Yes Yes Yes 90% Wt 2 m 68 4.8 III Yes Lung, liver T1+F1+RAI Infiltration trachea No Yes Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt 3 m 76 11 IVb Yes Masi 70% Wt 20 m 61 1 IVb Yes Masi 70% Mt 2 m 66 11 IVb Yes Masi 70% Mt 2 m 67 11 IVb Yes Masi 70% Mt <td colspan="</td> <td>00</td> <td>8</td> <td>79</td> <td>ΝA</td> <td>≡</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>MO</td> <td>TT+RAI</td> <td>None</td> <td>No</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>٩N</td> <td>80%</td> <td>Variant</td>	00	8	79	ΝA	≡	Yes	MO	TT+RAI	None	No	Yes	٩N	80%	Variant
0 w 57 0.9 IVa Yes Lung TT+PT Infiltration oesophagus and trachea No Yes Yes 60% Wt 1 w 67 4 III No M0 TT+RAI None No Yes Yes Yes 90% Wt 2 m 68 4.8 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea Yes Yes Yes Yes 60% Vari 3 m 76 11 IVb Yes MX TT+PT+RAI Infiltration trachea Yes Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt During any time after surgical treatment. Tx, Chemotherapy; ETE, extrathyroidal extension of tumour; NA, not available; PT, percutaneous radiotherapy; RAI, radioactive iodine treatment; TCF, tumour cell fraction (cell-cell ratio); TT,	6	E	99	2.5	≡	Yes	Lung, liver	TT+CTx+PT+RAI	Infiltration larynx	Yes	No	Yes	50%	Wt
1 w 67 4 III No M0 TT+RAI None No Yes Yo W1 3 m 76 11 IVb Yes Xes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yo W1 During any time after surgical treatment. TX. Chemotherapy; ETE, extrathyroidal extension of tumour; NA, not available; PT, percutaneous radiotherapy; RAI, radioactive iodine treatment; TCF, tumour cell fraction (cell-cell ratio); TT,	0	8	57	0.9	IVa	Yes	Lung	TT+PT	Infiltration oesophagus and trachea	No	Yes	Yes	60%	Wt
2 m 68 4.8 III Yes Lung, liver TT+CTX Dyspnoea Yes Yes Yes Yes 60% Vari 3 m 76 11 IVb Yes Mx TT+PT+RAI Infiltration trachea Yes Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt During any time after surgical treatment. Tx, Chemotherapy; ETE, extrathyroidal extension of tumour; NA, not available; PT, percutaneous radiotherapy; RAI, radioactive iodine treatment; TCF, tumour cell fraction (cell-cell ratio); TT,	-	8	67	4	≡	No	MO	TT+RAI	None	No	Yes	Yes	%06	Wt
3 m 76 11 IVb Yes Mx TT+PT+RAI Infiltration trachea Yes Yes Yes 70% Wt During any time after surgical treatment. Tx, Chemotherapy; ETE, extrathyroidal extension of tumour; NA, not available; PT, percutaneous radiotherapy; RAI, radioactive iodine treatment; TCF, tumour cell fraction (cell-cell ratio); TT,	2	Е	68	4.8	≡	Yes	Lung, liver	TT+CTx	Dyspnoea	Yes	Yes	Yes	%09	Variant
During any time after surgical treatment. Tx, Chemotherapy: ETE, extrathyroidal extension of tumour; NA, not available; PT, percutaneous radiotherapy; RAI, radioactive iodine treatment; TCF, tumour cell fraction (cell-cell ratio); TT,	e	٤	76	11	٩VI	Yes	Mx	TT+PT+RAI	Infiltration trachea	Yes	Yes	Yes	20%	Wt
	Durir Tx, C	ig any ti hemoth	me aftei erapy; E	r surgical tre TE, extrathy	atment. roidal extensi	on of tur	mour; NA, not ava	ilable; PT, percutaneous	radiotherapy; RAI, radioactive iodine treatmer	nt; TCF, tu	mour cell fi	raction (ce	ell-cell rati	o); TT, total

 Table 1
 Individual characteristics of cases.

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0290 © 2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

49

Targeted NGS of cancer genes in PDTC



 Table 2
 Truseq amplicon cancer panel gene list.

ERBB4	JAK2	PIK3CA
FBXW7	JAK3	PTEN
FGFR1	KDR	PTPN11
FGFR2	KIT	RB1
FGFR3	KRAS	RET
FLT3	MET	SMAD4
GNA11	MLH1	SMARCB1
GNAQ	MPL	SMO
GNAS	NOTCH1	SRC
HNF1A	NPM1	STK11
HRAS	NRAS	TP53
IDH1	PDGFRA	VHL
	ERBB4 FBXW7 FGFR1 FGFR2 FGFR3 FLT3 GNA11 GNAQ GNAS HNF1A HRAS IDH1	ERBB4 JAK2 FBXW7 JAK3 FGFR1 KDR FGFR2 KIT FGFR3 KRAS FLT3 MET GNA11 MLH1 GNAQ MPL GNAS NOTCH1 HNF1A NPM1 HRAS NRAS IDH1 PDGFRA

Results

Eighteen tissue samples of PDTCs showed a total number of 98 variants as presented in Fig. 1. All variants were present in a heterozygous state. Ninety-eight genetic changes were single-nucleotide variants (90 missense variants, two nonsense variants, and 6 splice site variants), while one was a deletion leading to a frameshift. The sequencing depth ranged between 101 and 10258 (median 161). The mutation frequency had a median of 13.2. The coverage varied between 21 and 280 with a median of 102. Eightyseven variants were identified with a genotype quality of 100. For detailed information on genetic alterations see Supplementary Table 1.

The most commonly altered gene was *TP53*, affected in 10 of 23 samples (43%). All *TP53* variants occurred

at different base positions. The *FLT3*, *SMAD4* and *BRAF* variants were detected in each of four samples. Four variants were observed in the each of the *APC*, *BRAF*, *ERBB4*, *FLT3*, *KIT* and *SMAD4* gene loci. In three of the four samples with *BRAF* variants, we found the p.V600E variant and in one, the p.G469V variant. Changes in the *ATM*, *EGFR* and *FBXW7* genes were identified in each of the three samples (13%).

One-hundred percent concordance in *BRAF* variant status was observed between NGS and routine conventional Sanger sequencing. Each tumour sample of PDTC diagnosed with the Turin consensus criteria was also classified as PDTC by the MSKCC-criteria that indicated more aggressive PDTC.

Discussion

Two main questions drive the search for new molecular alterations of PDTC. First, what targeted therapies could add a promising approach to the treatment? Second, which mutational markers could improve the accuracy of diagnosis for advanced thyroid carcinomas? This study aims to contribute to this growing area of research by exploring 48 genes of interest. It is beyond the scope of this explorative study to prove causality or to address the progression from well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma (WDTC) to PDTC.



Figure 1 The columns show the analysed genes sorted by the molecular pathway affected. Oncogenes are displayed bold while tumor suppressor genes are displayed normal. (A) RAS, (B) PIK3, (C) Wnt, (D) DNA damage control, (E) STAT, (F) RAS+PIK3, (G) RAS+PIK3+STAT, (H) others. The rows show the 23 PDTC specimens. The variant effect is shown by the colour of the mutation. On the right side of the figure is indicated the mutational burden. (1) PDTC with coexisting PTC. (2) PDTC with coexisting follicular tumour components. (3) PDTC only.

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0290 © 2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd





BRAF, TP53, RAS

While tumour-initiating somatic mutations leading to papillary thyroid carcinoma have been researched to a great extent, it remains uncertain whether and to what extent particular genetic alterations participate in the tumour progression to PDTC. The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network study has identified many oncogenic mutations for PTC. It is generally agreed today that PDTC can originate from WDTC (11).

Landa and coworkers has shown that the changes to the *BRAF* to *RAS* relationship are still preserved in PDTC (1). For these reasons, one could assume that certain driver mutations are conserved during the dedifferentiation process. In our study, we found three *RAS* variants (one each of *N*-, *K*- and *HRAS*) and three *BRAF* p.V600E variants. However, it is not the same as a *BRAF/RAS*-score based on mRNA data (11). In accordance with the aforementioned studies, there have been no *RAS* and *BRAF* p.V600E variants in the same tissue sample.

Mutations in BRAF, EIF1AX, TP53 and TERT are associated with aggressive behaviour of thyroid malignancies (2, 8, 12). BRAF and TP53 are associated with the dedifferentiation progress to anaplastic thyroid carcinomas (ATCs) (13). In our study, there were 10/23 cases of PDTC harbouring a p53 variant. There was no significant difference regarding the clinical course, extrathyroidal extension or vascular invasion between TP53-positive tumours and the other tumours. One possible reason may be the preselection of an already aggressive subtype of cancer. Some previously published works have assessed TP53 mutations in PDTC. They observed lower rates (8, 27 and 38%) of this variant than in our series (1, 14, 15). The variety might be related to the generally low number of patients in our study (23 tissue samples) as well as in compared series (84, 22 and 46 patients). The TERT and EIF1AX gene locations were not included in the applied cancer panel.

In contrast to previous studies, we found less RAS variants (13%) than described in the literature (20–55%) (16, 17, 18, 19, 20). These numbers are slightly lower than the value we expected. It seems plausible that a number of limitations could have influenced the obtained results. On the one hand, we used tissue that was formalin fixed and paraffin embedded for several years, which leads to the necessity of a very conservative filtering process to avoid false-positive findings from artefacts. This implies that there could be true-positive variants that have been lost in the background of artefacts. On the other hand, it is obvious that the

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0290 © 2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd overall small number of PDTC cases impairs conclusions based on the comparison of percentages.

APC, ATM, CTNNB1, EGFR and ERBB4

PDTC are a genetically heterogeneous entity. In this study, we identified new potential genetic targets for further investigation. Two of these are *EGFR* (*ERBB1*) and *ERBB4*, which have not been previously reported to play a role in thyroid carcinogenesis. *ERBB1* is known to be mutated in cancers like gliomas or small-cell lung cancer and associated with the epithelial–mesenchymal transition as well as tumour invasion (21). In colon cancer, the mutation of *ERBB4* resulted in a loss of differentiation and an activation of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signalling pathway (22).

Another valuable target is *APC*. Recent studies on PTC identified *APC* as well as *ATM* as potential driver mutations (11). PDTC may harbour mutations that are present in PTC as they may derive from WDTC (23). Furthermore, *APC* mutations have been observed in ATC (24). Considering these arguments, it seems possible that this mutation plays a role in PDTC carcinogenesis as well.

Somatic mutations of *CTNNB1* were discussed being associated PDTC and ATC (25). However, we detected no variants of this gene in the PDTC examined. The absence of CTNNB1 mutations supports the hypothesis of Rocha and coworkers (26) that loss of E-cadherin expression rather than β -catenin (CTNNB1) gene mutations induces the process of tumour dedifferentiation.

SMAD4 and KIT

SMAD4 encodes a mediator protein in the transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) signalling pathway. This can lead to a loss of TGF- β -mediated growth inhibition (27). In our study, five variants affected four patients (17%). The observed mutations were scattered along the sequence of the SMAD4 gene locus, suggesting that there is no mutational hotspot present. This locus is known to be altered in WDTC, oesophageal adenocarcinoma, pancreatic cancer and colorectal cancer (27, 28, 29). A SMAD4 immunohistochemistry expression study has shown a correlation with poor survival in colon cancer (30). An in-depth examination of SMAD4 status demonstrated that abnormalities in this locus occur in benign as well as in malignant thyroid tumours (15/56, 27%), which was interpreted by the authors as indicative of an early event in thyroid tumorigenesis (28). All this leads to the assumption





52

7:1



Figure 2

Macrodissection of a tumour with two different entities. Complete slide of the specimen before (A) and after (B) dissection of the PDTC. (C) A solid growing PDTC is demonstrated on the upper left next to a coexisting follicular thyroid tumour. Magnified clipping of a as indicated. (A, B and C: hematoxylin-eosin stain; A and B: 5× magnification; C: 200× magnification).

that the presence of *SMAD4* mutations cannot differentiate between well-differentiated thyroid cancer and PDTC.

KIT encodes for a tyrosine kinase receptor and mediates growth regulation. Mutations in this gene are associated with haematologic diseases and gastrointestinal stromal tumours (31). KIT expression is reduced in PTC compared to benign nodules (32). Its assessment can improve the diagnosis in fine-needle aspiration (33, 34). There are, however, little data available for KIT mutational status in PDTC, although it is known that alterations in KIT transcripts increase with tumour progression from WDTC to PDTC (35, 36). In a study with 118 ATCs, none showed a KIT mutation (37). In our study, we detected four KIT variants. This discrepancy may indicate a slowing effect on cancer growth that is lost during tumour dedifferentiation to anaplastic thyroid cancer, which may be lost in favour of a more deleterious mutation in the downstream signalling pathways.

Coexistent follicular or papillary tumours

Surprisingly, we found many tumours with coexistent follicular or papillary carcinoma components (Figs 1 and 2). Eight PDTCs showed follicular coexistent components while five showed proportions with papillary carcinomas. Given the higher incidence of PTC than FTC, this could indicate a more frequent progression of FTC to PDTC. This is in accordance with the findings of Soares and coworkers (38) who emphasised that PDTC are more closely related to FTC than to PTC. The authors established their assumption on the absence of BRAF variants in 19 PDTC. In contrast to their results, we found three BRAF V600E variants. Two of the three p.V600E BRAF variants were in PDTC with PTC proportions. The discrepancy is most likely related to the fact that Soares and coworkers excluded PDTC with PTC components in their series.

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0290 © 2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd





53

7:1

Methodological considerations

Five PDTCs did not show any genetic alteration at all, which implies that yet unknown driving genetic alterations exist or that the applied methods failed to detect them. According to Bozic and coworkers (39), the actual selective growth advantage of a cancer by typical mutations is much smaller than commonly assumed. The majority of genes leading to a carcinoma (or supporting its dedifferentiation consecutively) have a low mutation frequency (40). Thus, it is possible that either these PDTCs had genetic variants not covered by the NGS panel or they had a mutation frequency less than 10% and were not distinguishable from artefacts.

The results of the present study demonstrate that there are many noteworthy genes that could be responsible for the dedifferentiation of thyroid carcinoma, but a number of restrictions of our study should be mentioned. One should note that we did not analyse blood or normal tissue to discriminate between true mutations or SNP. In a detailed examination of germline mutations in tumour tissue 3% of all samples showed germline mutations (41). We can assume similar results. Given the exploratory nature of this study, the variants presented here can only serve as an indicator for more targeted studies that should consider pathogenic germline alterations.

Furthermore, it is important to emphasise that the data have not been completely validated by Sanger sequencing. Acknowledging the limitations of validation of NGSderived variants using Sanger sequencing, we can surmise that the validation rate is precise enough for an exploratory study (42). Admittedly, contrary to the aforementioned study, we used paraffin-embedded formalin-fixed tissue. This pre-treated tissue could potentially be the source of sequencing artefacts. Following recommendations to reduce this effect, we macrodissected tumour-rich tissue, measured the quantity of DNA and excluded insufficient tissue samples (43). Given the nature of the TruSeq Amplicon Cancer Panel, we only sequenced exonic DNA with a limitation on certain genes. Due to this limitation, it was not possible to detect rearrangements of oncogenes like RET and NTRK1 or mutations of TERT. The latter are suspected to be connected to the disease progression of WDTC and to distinguish PDTCs from ATCs (1). However, rearrangements are rarely described in PDTC possibly because WDTC expressing RET/PTC hybrid oncogenes will usually not dedifferentiate further (44).

Even with the recent progress in the knowledge of molecular changes in PDTC, we think that our study reveals some novel variants, possibly influencing future research.

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0290 © 2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd

Supplementary data

This is linked to the online version of the paper at https://doi.org/10.1530/ EC-17-0290.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sector.

Acknowledgements

This publication contains data from the dissertation of T S Gerber. This data was reported at the Joint Meeting of the CAEK and the BAETS 2016 as an abstract of no more than 300 words.

References

- 1 Landa I, Ibrahimpasic T, Boucai L, Sinha R, Knauf JA, Shah RH, Dogan S, Ricarte-Filho JC, Krishnamoorthy GP, Xu B, *et al.* Genomic and transcriptomic hallmarks of poorly differentiated and anaplastic thyroid cancers. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 2016 **126** 1052–1066. (https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI85271)
- 2 Musholt TJ & Musholt PB. Molecular genetic markers for thyroid FNAB. Established assays and future perspective. *Nuklearmedizin Nuclear Medicine* 2015 **54** 94–100.
- 3 Sanders EM Jr, LiVolsi VA, Brierley J, Shin J & Randolph GW. An evidence-based review of poorly differentiated thyroid cancer. *World Journal of Surgery* 2007 **31** 934–945. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-007-9033-3)
- 4 Volante M, Collini P, Nikiforov YE, Sakamoto A, Kakudo K, Katoh R, Lloyd RV, LiVolsi VA, Papotti M, Sobrinho-Simoes M, *et al.* Poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma: the Turin proposal for the use of uniform diagnostic criteria and an algorithmic diagnostic approach. *American Journal of Surgical Pathology* 2007 **31** 1256–1264. (https://doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e3180309e6a)
- 5 Volante M & Papotti M. Poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma: 5 years after the 2004 WHO classification of endocrine tumours. *Endocrine Pathology* 2010 **21** 1–6. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s12022-009-9100-4)
- 6 Nambiar A, Pv S, Susheelan V & Kuriakose MA. The concepts in poorly differentiated carcinoma of the thyroid: a review article. *Journal of Surgical Oncology* 2011 **103** 818–821. (https://doi. org/10.1002/jso.21803)
- 7 Schmid KW & Fuhrer D. The role of molecular pathology in thyroid cancer. Tumor diagnostics, cytology and targeted therapy. *Onkologe* 2015 **21** 584. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00761-014-2858-0)
- 8 Xu B & Ghossein R. Genomic landscape of poorly differentiated and anaplastic thyroid carcinoma. *Endocrine Pathology* 2016 **27** 205–212. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s12022-016-9445-4)
- 9 Hiltzik D, Carlson DL, Tuttle RM, Chuai S, Ishill N, Shaha A, Shah JP, Singh B & Ghossein RA. Poorly differentiated thyroid carcinomas defined on the basis of mitosis and necrosis: a clinicopathologic study of 58 patients. *Cancer* 2006 **106** 1286–1295. (https://doi. org/10.1002/cncr.21739)
- 10 Wain HM, Bruford EA, Lovering RC, Lush MJ, Wright MW & Povey S. Guidelines for human gene nomenclature. *Genomics* 2002 **79** 464–470. (https://doi.org/10.1006/geno.2002.6748)





54

7:1

- 11 Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Cell* 2014 **159** 676–690. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.050)
- 12 Lee SE, Hwang TS, Choi YL, Han HS, Kim WS, Jang MH, Kim SK & Yang JH. Prognostic significance of TERT promoter mutations in papillary thyroid carcinomas in a BRAF(V600E) mutation-prevalent population. *Thyroid* 2016 **26** 901–910. (https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2015.0488)
- 13 McFadden DG, Vernon A, Santiago PM, Martinez-McFaline R, Bhutkar A, Crowley DM, McMahon M, Sadow PM & Jacks T. p53 constrains progression to anaplastic thyroid carcinoma in a Brafmutant mouse model of papillary thyroid cancer. *PNAS* 2014 **111** E1600–E1609. (https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404357111)
- 14 Pita JM, Figueiredo IF, Moura MM, Leite V & Cavaco BM. Cell cycle deregulation and TP53 and RAS mutations are major events in poorly differentiated and undifferentiated thyroid carcinomas. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014 **99** E497–E507. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2013-1512)
- 15 Takeuchi Y, Daa T, Kashima K, Yokoyama S, Nakayama I & Noguchi S. Mutations of p53 in thyroid carcinoma with an insular component. *Thyroid* 1999 **9** 377–381. (https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.1999.9.377)
- 16 Nikiforova MN, Wald AI, Roy S, Durso MB & Nikiforov YE. Targeted next-generation sequencing panel (ThyroSeq) for detection of mutations in thyroid cancer. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2013 **98** E1852–E1860. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2013-2292)
- 17 Volante M, Rapa I, Gandhi M, Bussolati G, Giachino D, Papotti M & Nikiforov YE. RAS mutations are the predominant molecular alteration in poorly differentiated thyroid carcinomas and bear prognostic impact. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2009 **94** 4735–4741. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2009-1233)
- 18 Garcia-Rostan G, Zhao H, Camp RL, Pollan M, Herrero A, Pardo J, Wu R, Carcangiu ML, Costa J & Tallini G. ras mutations are associated with aggressive tumor phenotypes and poor prognosis in thyroid cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2003 **21** 3226–3235. (https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2003.10.130)
- 19 Basolo F, Pisaturo F, Pollina LE, Fontanini G, Elisei R, Molinaro E, Iacconi P, Miccoli P & Pacini F. N-ras mutation in poorly differentiated thyroid carcinomas: correlation with bone metastases and inverse correlation to thyroglobulin expression. *Thyroid* 2000 **10** 19–23. (https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2000.10.19)
- 20 Pilotti S, Collini P, Mariani L, Placucci M, Bongarzone I, Vigneri P, Cipriani S, Falcetta F, Miceli R, Pierotti MA, *et al.* Insular carcinoma: a distinct de novo entity among follicular carcinomas of the thyroid gland. *American Journal of Surgical Pathology* 1997 **21** 1466–1473. (https://doi.org/10.1097/00000478-199712000-00009)
- 21 Appert-Collin A, Hubert P, Cremel G & Bennasroune A. Role of ErbB receptors in cancer cell migration and invasion. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 2015 **6** 283. (https://doi.org/10.3389/ fphar.2015.00283)
- 22 Williams CS, Bernard JK, Demory Beckler M, Almohazey D, Washington MK, Smith JJ & Frey MR. ERBB4 is over-expressed in human colon cancer and enhances cellular transformation. *Carcinogenesis* 2015 **36** 710–718. (https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/ bgv049)
- 23 Nakazawa T, Celestino R, Machado JC, Cameselle-Teijeiro JM, Vinagre J, Eloy C, Benserai F, Lameche S, Soares P & Sobrinho-Simoes M. Cribriform-morular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma displaying poorly differentiated features. *International Journal of Surgical Pathology* 2013 **21** 379–389. (https://doi. org/10.1177/1066896912473355)
- 24 Kurihara T, Ikeda S, Ishizaki Y, Fujimori M, Tokumoto N, Hirata Y, Ozaki S, Okajima M, Sugino K & Asahara T. Immunohistochemical and sequencing analyses of the Wnt signaling components in Japanese anaplastic thyroid cancers. *Thyroid* 2004 **14** 1020–1029. (https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2004.14.1020)

http://www.endocrineconnections.org https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0290 © 2018 The authors Published by Bioscientifica Ltd

- 25 Soares P, Lima J, Preto A, Castro P, Vinagre J, Celestino R, Couto JP, Prazeres H, Eloy C, Maximo V, et al. Genetic alterations in poorly differentiated and undifferentiated thyroid carcinomas. *Current Genomics* 2011 **12** 609–617. (https://doi. org/10.2174/138920211798120853)
- 26 Rocha AS, Soares P, Fonseca E, Cameselle-Teijeiro J, Oliveira MC & Sobrinho-Simoes M. E-cadherin loss rather than beta-catenin alterations is a common feature of poorly differentiated thyroid carcinomas. *Histopathology* 2003 **42** 580–587. (https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2559.2003.01642.x)
- 27 Zhou S, Buckhaults P, Zawel L, Bunz F, Riggins G, Dai JL, Kern SE, Kinzler KW & Vogelstein B. Targeted deletion of Smad4 shows it is required for transforming growth factor beta and activin signaling in colorectal cancer cells. *PNAS* 1998 **95** 2412–2416. (https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.95.5.2412)
- 28 Lazzereschi D, Nardi F, Turco A, Ottini L, D'Amico C, Mariani-Costantini R, Gulino A & Coppa A. A complex pattern of mutations and abnormal splicing of Smad4 is present in thyroid tumours. *Oncogene* 2005 **24** 5344–5354. (https://doi.org/10.1038/ sj.onc.1208603)
- 29 van Nistelrooij AM, van Marion R, Koppert LB, Biermann K, Spaander MC, Tilanus HW, van Lanschot JJ, Wijnhoven BP & Dinjens WN. Molecular clonality analysis of esophageal adenocarcinoma by multiregion sequencing of tumor samples. *BMC Research Notes* 2017 **10** 144. (https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2456-5)
- 30 Yan P, Klingbiel D, Saridaki Z, Ceppa P, Curto M, McKee TA, Roth A, Tejpar S, Delorenzi M, Bosman FT, *et al.* Reduced expression of SMAD4 is associated with poor survival in colon cancer. *Clinical Cancer Research* 2016 **22** 3037–3047. (https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-0939)
- 31 Cruse G, Metcalfe DD & Olivera A. Functional deregulation of KIT: link to mast cell proliferative. *Immunology and Allergy Clinics of North America* 2014 **34** 219–237. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. iac.2014.01.002)
- 32 Tomei S, Mazzanti C, Marchetti I, Rossi L, Zavaglia K, Lessi F, Apollo A, Aretini P, Di Coscio G & Bevilacqua G. c-KIT receptor expression is strictly associated with the biological behaviour of thyroid nodules. *Journal of Translational Medicine* 2012 **10** 7. (https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-10-7)
- 33 Grim JE. Fbxw7 hotspot mutations and human colon cancer: mechanistic insights from new mouse models. *Gut* 2014 **63** 707–709. (https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305144)
- 34 Welcker M & Clurman BE. FBW7 ubiquitin ligase: a tumour suppressor at the crossroads of cell division, growth and differentiation. *Nature Reviews Cancer* 2008 **8** 83–93. (https://doi. org/10.1038/nrc2290)
- 35 Balamurugan K, Sharan S, Klarmann KD, Zhang Y, Coppola V, Summers GH, Roger T, Morrison DK, Keller JR & Sterneck E. FBXW7alpha attenuates inflammatory signalling by downregulating C/EBPdelta and its target gene Tlr4. *Nature Communications* 2013 **4** 1662. (https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2677)
- 36 Mlecnik B, Bindea G, Kirilovsky A, Angell HK, Obenauf AC, Tosolini M, Church SE, Maby P, Vasaturo A, Angelova M, *et al*. The tumor microenvironment and Immunoscore are critical determinants of dissemination to distant metastasis. *Science Translational Medicine* 2016 **8** 327ra326. (https://doi.org/10.1126/ scitranslmed.aad635)
- 37 Tiedje V, Ting S, Herold T, Synoracki S, Latteyer S, Moeller LC, Zwanziger D, Stuschke M, Fuehrer D & Schmid KW. NGS based identification of mutational hotspots for targeted therapy in anaplastic thyroid carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2017 **8** 42613–42620. (https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.17300)
- 38 Soares P, Trovisco V, Rocha AS, Feijao T, Rebocho AP, Fonseca E, Vieira de Castro I, Cameselle-Teijeiro J, Cardoso-Oliveira M & Sobrinho-Simoes M. BRAF mutations typical of papillary thyroid carcinoma





T S Gerber et al.

7:1

are more frequently detected in undifferentiated than in insular and insular-like poorly differentiated carcinomas. *Virchows Archiv* 2004 **444** 572–576. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-004-1018-0)

- 39 Bozic I, Antal T, Ohtsuki H, Carter H, Kim D, Chen S, Karchin R, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B & Nowak MA. Accumulation of driver and passenger mutations during tumor progression. *PNAS* 2010 **107** 18545–18550. (https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010978107)
- 40 Nehrt NL, Peterson TA, Park D & Kann MG. Domain landscapes of somatic mutations in cancer. *BMC Genomics* 2012 13 (Supplement 4) S9. (https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-S4-S9)
- 41 Jones S, Anagnostou V, Lytle K, Parpart-Li S, Nesselbush M, Riley DR, Shukla M, Chesnick B, Kadan M, Papp E, *et al.* Personalized genomic analyses for cancer mutation discovery and interpretation. *Science*

Translational Medicine 2015 **7** 283ra253. (https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaa7161)

- 42 Beck TF, Mullikin JC & Biesecker LG. Systematic evaluation of sanger validation of NextGen sequencing variants. *Clinical Chemistry* 2016 62 647–654. (https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2015.24962)
- 43 Do H & Dobrovic A. Sequence artifacts in DNA from formalinfixed tissues: causes and strategies for minimization. *Clinical Chemistry* 2015 **61** 64–71. (https://doi.org/10.1373/ clinchem.2014.223040)
- 44 Eloy C, Ferreira L, Salgado C, Soares P & Sobrinho-Simoes M. Poorly differentiated and undifferentiated thyroid carcinomas. *Turk Patoloji Dergisi* 2015 (Supplement 31) **1** 48–59. (https://doi.org/10.5146/ tjpath.2015.01314)

Received in final form 5 November 2017

Accepted 13 November 2017

Accepted Preprint published online 13 November 2017

